



Humble Oil and Refining Co. et al.....	6446	<b>Interior Department</b>	<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>
Shield, Fred W., et al.....	6448	<i>See</i> Land Management Bureau.	Public land orders:
Texaco Seaboard, Inc., and Texaco, Inc.....	6450	<b>Internal Revenue Service</b>	Alaska..... 6432
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.....	6446	<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>	Arizona..... 6435
		Distilled spirits plants; correction..	California..... 6433
			Idaho..... 6434
			Montana..... 6434
			Nevada (2 documents)..... 6433, 6435
			New Mexico..... 6433
			Oregon (2 documents)..... 6433, 6435
<b>Food and Drug Administration</b>		<b>Interstate Commerce Commission</b>	<b>Securities and Exchange Com-</b>
<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>		<b>NOTICES:</b>	<b>mission</b>
Certain food additives in mint oil; extension of effective date of statute.....	6431	Fourth section applications for relief.....	<b>NOTICES:</b>
		Motor carrier transfer proceed- ings.....	<i>Hearings, etc.:</i>
		Quarterly and annual reports of Class I and II motor carriers of property.....	Massachusetts Investors Trust... 6451
			New England Electric System and Wachusett Gas Co..... 6451
			Skiatron Electronics and Tele- vision Corp..... 6452
<b>Foreign Commerce Bureau</b>			<b>PROPOSED RULE MAKING:</b>
<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>			Definition of public offering in case of small business invest- ment company under certain circumstances..... 6443
Export regulations; positive list of commodities; miscellaneous amendments.....	6428		<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>
			Forms for registration statements.. 6431
<b>Health, Education, and Welfare Department</b>		<b>Justice Department</b>	
<i>See</i> Food and Drug Administra- tion.		<i>See</i> Alien Property Office; Immi- gration and Naturalization Service.	
<b>Housing and Home Finance Agency</b>		<b>Labor Department</b>	
<b>NOTICES:</b>		<i>See</i> Employment Security Bureau.	
Regional Director of Community Facilities Activities, Region VI (San Francisco); redelega- tions of authority:		<b>Land Management Bureau</b>	
Housing for educational institu- tions.....	6454	<b>NOTICES:</b>	
Public facility loans.....	6454	Alaska; partial cancellation of small tract classification.....	6444
Public works planning.....	6454	Alaska; small tract classification amendment.....	6444
Slum clearance and urban re- newal program, demonstra- tion grant program, and ur- ban planning grant program..	6454	Proposed withdrawal and reser- vation of lands:	
		Oregon.....	6444
		Washington (2 documents).....	6444
<b>Immigration and Naturalization Service</b>			
<b>RULES AND REGULATIONS:</b>			
Nonimmigrant classes; waiver of inadmissibility for transit with- out visa.....	6431		

# Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

<b>3 CFR</b>		<b>15 CFR</b>		2142.....	6433
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		399.....	6428	2143.....	6433
November 12, 1911 (revoked in part by PLO 2145).....	6434	<b>17 CFR</b>		2144.....	6433
April 17, 1926 (revoked in part by PLO 2148).....	6435	239.....	6431	2145.....	6434
10322 (see PLO 2143).....	6433	PROPOSED RULES:		2146.....	6434
10810 (amended by E.O. 10881).....	6414	230.....	6443	2147.....	6435
10881.....	6414	270.....	6443	2148.....	6435
PROCLAMATIONS:		<b>20 CFR</b>		2149.....	6435
3355.....	6414	PROPOSED RULES:			
<b>5 CFR</b>		602.....	6442	<b>46 CFR</b>	
6.....	6416	604.....	6442	10.....	6436
<b>7 CFR</b>		<b>21 CFR</b>		<b>47 CFR</b>	
1101.....	6415	121.....	6431	2.....	6436
<b>8 CFR</b>		<b>22 CFR</b>			
214.....	6431	41.....	6432		
<b>10 CFR</b>		<b>26 (1954) CFR</b>			
40.....	6427	201.....	6428		
<b>14 CFR</b>		<b>33 CFR</b>			
600 (9 documents).....	6416-6418	203.....	6432		
601 (9 documents).....	6417-6420	<b>43 CFR</b>			
609 (2 documents).....	6420, 6424	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:			
PROPOSED RULES:		861 (see PLO 2140).....	6432		
507.....	6438	2140.....	6432		
600.....	6438	2141.....	6433		
601 (9 documents).....	6438-6441				
602.....	6442				

Now Available

## UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION MANUAL

1960-61 Edition

(Revised through June 1)

Published by the Office of the Federal Register, the National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

817 pages—\$1.50 a copy

Order from Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

# Presidential Documents

## Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

### Proclamation 3355

#### DETERMINATION OF CUBAN SUGAR QUOTA

By the President of the United States  
of America  
A Proclamation

1. WHEREAS on December 17, 1959, the 1960 sugar quota for Cuba was determined pursuant to the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1100 *et seq.*), at 3,119,655 short tons, raw value, of which 2,379, 903 short tons, raw value, have heretofore been certified for entry, pursuant to regulations issued by the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 817), leaving 739,752 short tons, raw value, not yet so certified; and

2. WHEREAS section 408(b) (1) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended by the act of July 6, 1960, entitled "An Act to Amend the Sugar Act of 1948, as Amended", provides that the President shall determine, notwithstanding any other provision of Title II of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, the quota for Cuba for the balance of calendar year 1960 and for the three-month period ending March 31, 1961, in such amount or amounts as he shall find from time to time to be in the national interest: *Provided*, however, That in no event shall such quota exceed such amount as would be provided for Cuba under the terms of Title II of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, in the absence of section 408(b); and

3. WHEREAS section 408(b) (1) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, further provides that determinations made by the President thereunder shall become effective immediately upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER; and

4. WHEREAS, pursuant to section 408(b) (1) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, I find it to be in the national interest that the quota for Cuba under the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, for the balance of calendar year 1960 shall be 39,752 short tons, raw value, plus the sugar certified prior to July 3, 1960, for entry but not yet entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption:

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER, President of the United

States of America, acting under and by virtue of the authority vested in me by section 408(b) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, and section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, and as President of the United States:

1. Do hereby determine that in the national interest the quota for Cuba pursuant to the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, for the balance of calendar year 1960 shall be 39,752 short tons, raw value, plus the sugar certified prior to July 3, 1960, for entry but not yet entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; and

2. Do hereby delegate to the Secretary of Agriculture the authority vested in the President by section 408(b) (2) and section 408(b) (3) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended, such authority to be exercised with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

This proclamation shall become effective immediately upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this sixth day of July in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and sixty, and [SEAL] of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and eighty-fifth.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

By the President:

DOUGLAS DILLON,  
*Acting Secretary of State.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6396; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:56 a.m.]

## Executive Order 10881

### AMENDMENT OF EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 10810,<sup>1</sup> PRESCRIBING REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE ALLOWANCE OF TRAVEL EXPENSES OF CLAIMANTS AND BENEFICIARIES OF THE VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION AND THEIR ATTENDANTS

By virtue of the authority vested in me by section 111 of title 38 of the United States Code, as amended by Public Law 86-590, approved July 5, 1960, section 2 of Executive Order No. 10810 of April 22, 1959, entitled "Regulations Governing the Allowance of Travel Expenses of Claimants and Beneficiaries of the Veterans' Administration and their Attendants" is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 2. The Administrator of Veterans' Affairs may authorize in lieu of actual necessary expenses of travel, including lodging and subsistence, payment of an allowance of not more than five cents a mile to any claimant or beneficiary of the Veterans' Administration traveling under prior authorization to or from a Veterans' Administration facility, or other place, in connection with vocational rehabilitation or counseling, or for the purpose of examination, treatment, or care. In addition to such mileage allowance, the Administrator may allow reimbursement for the actual cost of ferry fares, and bridge, road, and tunnel tolls. In his discretion, the Administrator may authorize such payment and such reimbursement to the person who or the organization which has actually paid the expenses of such travel, including lodging and subsistence. The payment of mileage allowances and reimbursement for ferry fares, and bridge, road, and tunnel tolls in connection with vocational rehabilitation or counseling, or upon termination of examination, treatment, or care may be made prior to completion of such travel."

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

THE WHITE HOUSE,  
July 6, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6371; Filed, July 6, 1960;  
2:48 p.m.]

<sup>1</sup> 24 F.R. 3179; 3 CFR, 1959 Supp., p. 90.

# Rules and Regulations

## Title 7—AGRICULTURE

### Chapter XI—Agricultural Conservation Program Service, Department of Agriculture

#### PART 1101—NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION

##### Subpart—1961

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture under sections 7 to 17 of the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act, as amended, Public Law 85-58, Public Law 85-170, Public Law 85-766, and Public Law 86-532, the provisions of §§ 1101.1000 to 1101.1097 of the 1959 National Agricultural Conservation Program (23 F.R. 5247), as amended, shall be effective for the 1961 National Agricultural Conservation Program for the period July 1, 1960, through December 31, 1961, except for the following changes and such other changes as may hereafter be made:

1. For purposes of the 1961 program, references to the years 1958, 1959, and 1960 (except those in § 1101.1005(b)) shall be construed as references to the years 1960, 1961, and 1962, respectively.

##### § 1101.1000 [Amendment]

2. Paragraph (a) of § 1101.1000 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by deleting "continental" preceding "United States," and by adding "(excluding Alaska and Hawaii)" after "United States."

3. Paragraph (a) of § 1101.1002 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, to read:

##### § 1101.1002 State funds.

(a) Funds available for conservation practices will be distributed among States on the basis of conservation needs, but the proportion allocated for use in any State shall not be reduced more than 15 percent from its proportionate 1960 distribution. The allocation of funds among the States is as follows:

Alabama.....	\$6,188,000
Alaska.....	64,000
Arizona.....	1,598,000
Arkansas.....	4,975,000
California.....	5,929,000
Colorado.....	3,287,000
Connecticut.....	490,000
Delaware.....	331,000
Florida.....	2,821,000
Georgia.....	7,433,000
Hawaii.....	183,000
Idaho.....	1,845,000
Illinois.....	8,910,000
Indiana.....	5,800,000
Iowa.....	9,757,000
Kansas.....	6,435,000
Kentucky.....	7,207,000
Louisiana.....	4,371,000
Maine.....	987,000
Maryland.....	1,286,000
Massachusetts.....	565,000
Michigan.....	5,189,000
Minnesota.....	6,285,000
Mississippi.....	6,657,000

Missouri.....	\$9,160,000
Montana.....	3,914,000
Nebraska.....	6,496,000
Nevada.....	394,000
New Hampshire.....	543,000
New Jersey.....	715,000
New Mexico.....	1,956,000
New York.....	4,663,000
North Carolina.....	6,638,000
North Dakota.....	4,485,000
Ohio.....	6,138,000
Oklahoma.....	7,396,000
Oregon.....	2,315,000
Pennsylvania.....	4,950,000
Puerto Rico.....	873,000
Rhode Island.....	82,000
South Carolina.....	3,671,000
South Dakota.....	4,672,000
Tennessee.....	5,225,000
Texas.....	20,386,000
Utah.....	1,386,000
Vermont.....	1,122,000
Virgin Islands.....	13,000
Virginia.....	4,606,000
Washington.....	2,470,000
West Virginia.....	1,559,000
Wisconsin.....	5,639,000
Wyoming.....	2,160,000

##### § 1101.1003 [Amendment]

4. Section 1101.1003 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by changing the period at the end thereof to a colon and adding the following: "Provided, That the proportion of the State fund initially allocated to any county for the 1961 program shall not be reduced from the distribution of such fund for a program year to be specified by amendment to this section."

##### § 1101.1005 [Amendment]

5. Paragraph (b) of § 1101.1005 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by changing the proviso in the third sentence to read: "Provided, however, That notwithstanding other provisions of the 1961 national or State program, no change shall be made in the 1961 program for the county which will have the effect of restricting eligibility requirements or cost-sharing on practices included in either the 1958 or 1959 program for the county, unless such change shall have been recommended by the county committee and approved by the State committee."

##### § 1101.1010 [Amendment]

6. Paragraph (a) of § 1101.1010 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by deleting the period after the words "certification of performance" in the second sentence and adding the following: "for all requirements of the practice except those for which a certification by the farmer or rancher is to be accepted in accordance with instructions issued by the Administrator, ACPS."

##### § 1101.1015 [Amendment]

7. Section 1101.1015 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by revising the first sentence to read: "Costs will be shared only for those practices, or components of practices, for which cost-sharing is requested by the farmer or

rancher before performance thereof is started, except that for the practices contained in §§ 1101.1092, 1101.1096, and 1101.1097, the Administrator, ACPS, may authorize the acceptance of requests for cost-sharing filed within a reasonable period after performance thereof is started, such period to be stated in the practice wording."

8. Section 1101.1026 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, to read:

##### § 1101.1026 Failure to meet minimum requirements.

Notwithstanding other provisions of the 1961 program, costs may be shared for performance actually rendered even though the minimum requirements for a practice are not met, if the farmer or rancher establishes to the satisfaction of the State and county committees and the State and county representatives of any other agency having responsibility for technical phases of the practice (a) that he made every reasonable effort to meet the minimum requirements, and (b) that the practice as performed adequately meets the conservation problem.

##### § 1101.1030 [Amendment]

9. The wording preceding paragraph (a) of § 1101.1030 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, to read: "For practices other than the practice contained in § 1101.1097, the sum of the Federal cost-shares computed for any person with respect to any farm or ranch under the Agricultural Conservation Program and the Naval Stores Conservation Program shall be increased as follows:"

10. Paragraph (a) of § 1101.1031 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, to read:

##### § 1101.1031 Maximum Federal cost-share limitation.

(a) For practices other than the practice contained in § 1101.1097, the total of all Federal cost-shares under the 1961 program to any person with respect to farms, ranching units, and turpentine places in the United States (including Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands) for approved practices which are not carried out under pooling agreements shall not exceed the sum of \$2,500, and for all approved practices, including those carried out under pooling agreements, shall not exceed the sum of \$10,000.

11. Section 1101.1039 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, to read:

##### § 1101.1039 Filing of false claims.

If the State committee finds that any person has knowingly supplied false information, or has knowingly filed a false claim, including a claim for payment of the Federal cost-share under the program for practices not carried out or for practices carried out in such a manner that they do not meet the required specifications therefor, such person shall not

## Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

### Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

#### SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-354]

#### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

##### Modification

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1958) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify VOR Federal airway No. 153 between Wilkes-Barre, Pa., and Syracuse, N.Y., via a VOR to be commissioned approximately July 15, 1960, near Georgetown, N.Y.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, the commissioning date of the Georgetown, N.Y., VOR was rescheduled to December 15, 1960, and the geographical coordinates were corrected to latitude 42°47'21" N., longitude 75°49'37" W.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In the text of § 600.6153 (24 F.R. 10518), "point of INT of the De Lancey, N.Y., VOR 289° radial with the Binghamton, N.Y., VOR direct radial to the Rockdale, N.Y., VOR;" is deleted and "Georgetown, N.Y., VOR;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6288; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-357]

#### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

##### Modification

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1958) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 149 between Binghamton, N.Y., and Utica, N.Y., via a VOR to be commissioned approximately July 15, 1960, near Georgetown, N.Y.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, the commissioning date of the Georgetown, N.Y., VOR was rescheduled to December 15, 1960, and the geographical coordinates were corrected to latitude 42°47'21" N., longitude 75°49'37" W.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, § 600.6149 (24 F.R. 10518; 25 F.R. 634, 1940) is amended to read:

§ 600.6149 VOR Federal airway No. 149 (Allentown, Pa., to Utica, N.Y.).

From the Allentown, Pa., VORTAC via the INT of the Allentown VORTAC 329° True and the Binghamton, N.Y., VORTAC 167° True radials; Binghamton VORTAC; Georgetown, N.Y., VOR; to the Utica, N.Y., VOR.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6289; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-358]

#### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

##### Modification

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1957) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 34 between Ithaca, N.Y., and Wilton, Conn., via a VOR to be commissioned approximately July 15, 1960, near Hancock, N.Y.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, the commissioning date of the Hancock, N.Y., VOR was rescheduled to December 15, 1960, and the geographical coordinates were corrected to latitude 42°03'48" N., longitude 75°18'57" W.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In the text of § 600.6034 (24 F.R. 10510; 25 F.R. 3317) "Binghamton, N.Y., VOR;" is deleted and "Hancock, N.Y., VOR; INT of the Huguenot, N.Y., VORTAC

be eligible for any Federal cost-share under the 1961 program and shall refund all amounts that may have been paid to him under the 1961 program. The withholding or refunding of Federal cost-shares will be in addition to and not in substitution of any other penalty or liability which might otherwise be imposed.

#### § 1101.1045 [Amendment]

12. Paragraph (c) of § 1101.1045 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by deleting the word "continental," by deleting the period following "United States," and by adding "(excluding Alaska and Hawaii)" after "United States."

#### § 1101.1048 [Amendment]

13. Section 1101.1048 is amended, for purposes of the 1961 program, by revising paragraph (a) (4) to read: "(4) farms in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands."

#### § 1101.1097 [Amendment]

14. Paragraph (e) of § 1101.1097 is deleted in its entirety for purposes of the 1961 program.

(Sec. 4, 49 Stat. 164, secs. 7-17, 49 Stat. 1148, as amended, 71 Stat. 176, 71 Stat. 426, 72 Stat. 864, 74 Stat. 232; 16 U.S.C. 590d, 590g-590q)

Done at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of July 1960.

TRUE D. MORSE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6326; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

## Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

### Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

#### PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

##### Department of Commerce

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, paragraph (d) (1) of § 6.112 is amended as set out below.

##### § 6.112 Department of Commerce.

(d) *Bureau of the Census.* (1) Supervisors, assistant supervisors, supervisors' clerks, and enumerators in the field service for temporary, part-time, or intermittent employment, for not to exceed one year: *Provided*, That such appointments may be extended for additional periods of not to exceed one year each; but that after December 31, 1960, this subparagraph shall not be authority for employment in full-time positions for longer than one year.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,  
Executive Assistant.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6344; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:55 a.m.]

046° True and the Wilton, Conn., VOR 295° True radials;" is substituted therefor.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6290; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-359]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### Modification

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1959) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 252 between Huguenot, N.Y., and Binghamton, N.Y.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following action is taken:

In the text of § 600.6252 (24 F.R. 10524), "point of intersection of the Binghamton omnirange 130° radial with the Wilkes-Barre-Scranton, Pa., omnirange direct radial to the point of intersection of the De Lancey, N.Y., omnirange 289° radial with the Binghamton, N.Y., omnirange direct radial to the Rockdale, N.Y., omnirange station;" is deleted.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6291; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-KC-15]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### Modification

On April 26, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3596) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the north alternate to VOR Federal airway No. 6 between North Platte, Nebr., and Grand Island, Nebr., and the segment of VOR Federal airway

No. 172 between North Platte and Wolbach, Nebr.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. In the text of § 600.6006 (24 F.R. 10504, 25 F.R. 582, 5480) "Grand Island, Nebr., VOR, including a north alternate via the point of INT of the North Platte VOR 072° and the Wolbach, Nebr., VOR 266° radials;" is deleted and "Grand Island, Nebr., VOR, including a N alternate via the INT of the North Platte VORTAC 073° True and the Grand Island VOR 288° True radials;" is substituted therefor.

2. In the text of § 600.6172 (24 F.R. 10520) "INT of the North Platte VOR 072° and the Wolbach, Nebr., VOR 266° radials;" is deleted and "INT of the North Platte VORTAC 073° True and the Wolbach VOR 266° True radials;" is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 25, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6292; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-NY-58]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

#### Modification of Federal Airways and Associated Control Areas; Designation of Reporting Points

On April 2, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 2806) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed the following actions: modification of VOR Federal airway No. 16 between Kenton, Del., and Coyle, N.J.; modification of VOR Federal airway No. 44 between Price, Md., and Barnegat, N.Y.; modification of VOR Federal airway No. 238 between Woodstown, N.J., and Pomona, N.J.; and designation of the Millville, N.J., VOR, Barnegat, N.J., VOR and Leesburg, N.J., Intersection as Domestic VOR reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. In the text of § 600.6016 (24 F.R. 10507, 25-F.R. 171, 1819, 2388, 3022, 5479) "Kenton, Del., VOR; Coyle, N.J., VOR;" is deleted and "Kenton, Del., VOR; Millville, N.J., VOR; Coyle, N.J., VOR;" is substituted therefor.

2. In § 600.6044 (24 F.R. 9419, 10511), the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "(Centralia, Ill., to Baltimore, Md.)" is deleted and "(Centralia, Ill., to Barnegat, N.J.)" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "to the point of INT of the Baltimore VOR 097° radial with the Shadyside, Md., VOR direct radial to the Kenton, Del., VOR. The portion of this airway which lies within the geographic limits of, and between the designated altitudes of, the Camp Springs, Md. (Andrews AFB) Restricted Area/Military Climb Corridor (R-542) is excluded during this restricted area's time of designation" is deleted and "INT of the Baltimore VOR 097° True radial with the Nottingham, Md., VOR direct radial to the Kenton, Del., VOR; Kenton, Del., VOR; INT of the Kenton VOR 086° True and the Barnegat VOR 233° True radials; to the Barnegat, N.J., VOR. The portion of this airway which lies within the geographical limits of, and between the designated altitudes of, the Warren Grove, N.J., Restricted Area (R-26) is excluded during this restricted area's time of designation." is substituted therefor.

3. In § 600.6238 (24 F.R. 10523), the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption "(Philipsburg, Pa., to Atlantic City, N.J.)" is deleted and "(Philipsburg, Pa., to Pomona, N.J.)" is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "to the point of INT of the Woodstown VOR 106° and the Coyle, N.J., VOR 203° radials" is deleted and "to the INT of the Woodstown VOR 106° True and the Barnegat, N.J., VOR 233° True radials." is substituted therefor.

4. In the caption of § 601.6044 (24 F.R. 10599) "(Centralia, Ill., to Baltimore, Md.)" is deleted and "(Centralia, Ill., to Barnegat, N.J.)" is substituted therefor.

5. In the caption of § 601.6238 (24 F.R. 10603) "(Philipsburg, Pa., to Atlantic City, N.J.)" is deleted and "(Philipsburg, Pa., to Pomona, N.J.)" is substituted therefor.

6. In the text of § 601.7001 (24 F.R. 10606) "Millville, N.J., VOR; Barnegat, N.J., VOR; Leesburg INT: The INT of the Barnegat, N.J., 233° True and the Kenton, Del., VOR 086° True radials." are added.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. March 9, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D. C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6293; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-NY-60]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG- MENTS

#### Modification of Federal Airways and Control Areas; Designation of Re- porting Points

On April 20, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3426) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify VOR Federal airway No. 139 by designating a segment from Cape Charles, Va., to Sea Isle, N.J., via Snow Hill, Md.; modify VOR Federal airway No. 239 by redesignating the Wildwood, N.J.-Woodstown, N.J., segment from Snow Hill to Woodstown; and modify VOR Federal airway No. 29 by redesignating the Chincoteague, Va.-Salisbury, Md., segment from Snow Hill to Salisbury. Concurrently, the Snow Hill VOR and the Sea Isle VOR are added as designated reporting points.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

#### § 600.6029 [Amendment]

1. In § 600.6029 (24 F.R. 10510) the following changes are made:

(a) In the caption (*Salisbury, Md., to United States-Canadian Border*) is deleted and (*Snow Hill, Md., to United States-Canadian Border*) is substituted therefor.

(b) In the text "From the Chincoteague, Va., Navy LF radio range station via the Salisbury, Md., Omirange station;" is deleted and "From the Snow Hill, Md., VOR via the Salisbury, Md., VOR;" is substituted therefor.

2. Section 600.6139 (24 F.R. 10517, 25 F.R. 2360) is amended to read:

§ 600.6139 VOR Federal airway No. 139  
(Cape Charles, Va., to Sea Isle, N.J.,  
and Mastic, N.Y., to Boston, Mass.).

From the Cape Charles, Va., VORTAC via the Snow Hill, Md., VOR; to the Sea Isle, N.J., VOR. From the INT of the Riverhead, N.Y., VORTAC 146° True and the Hampton VOR 239° True radials via

the Hampton, N.Y., VOR; INT of the Hampton VOR 059° True and the Providence VOR 212° True radials; Providence, R.I., VOR; Whitman, Mass., VOR; INT of the Whitman VOR 041° True and the Boston VORTAC 133° True radials; to the Boston, Mass., VORTAC. The portions of the airway which lie within the geographic limits of, and between the designated altitudes of, the Bethany Beach Restricted Area (R-67) and the Chincoteague Inlet Restricted Area (R-45) are excluded during these areas' times of designation.

3. Section 600.6239 (24 F.R. 10523) is amended to read:

§ 600.6239 VOR Federal airway No. 239  
(Sea Isle, N.J., to Boothwyn, Pa.).

From the Sea Isle, N.J., VOR via the Woodstown, N.J., VOR; to the INT of the West Chester, Pa., VORTAC 120° True radial and the Philadelphia, Pa., International Airport ILS localizer SW course.

#### § 601.6029 [Amendment]

4. In the caption of § 601.6029 (24 F.R. 10599) (*Salisbury, Md., to United States-Canadian Border*) is deleted and (*Snow Hill, Md., to United States-Canadian Border*) is substituted therefor.

#### § 601.6139 [Amendment]

5. In the caption of § 601.6139 (24 F.R. 10601) (*Mastic, N.Y., to Boston, Mass.*) is deleted and (*Cape Charles, Va., to Sea Isle, N.J., and Mastic, N.Y., to Boston, Mass.*) is substituted therefor.

#### § 601.6239 [Amendment]

6. In the caption of § 601.6239 (24 F.R. 10603) (*Wildwood, N.J., to Boothwyn, Pa.*) is deleted and (*Sea Isle, N.J., to Boothwyn, Pa.*) is substituted therefor.

#### § 601.7001 [Amendment]

7. In the text of § 601.7001 (24 F.R. 10606) "Sea Isle, N.J., VOR." and "Snow Hill, Md., VOR." are added.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6294; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-355]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG- MENTS

#### Modification of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Fed-

ERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1964) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify and extend VOR Federal airway No. 273 and its associated control areas by designating it from the Huguenot, N.Y., VOR via a VOR to be installed approximately July 15, 1960, near Hancock, N.Y., and a VOR to be installed approximately July 15, 1960, near Georgetown, N.Y., to the Syracuse, N.Y., VOR.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, the commissioning dates of the Hancock and Georgetown VOR's were rescheduled to December 15, 1960, and the geographical coordinates of their sites were corrected to latitude 42°03'48" N., longitude 75°18'57" W. and latitude 42°47'21" N., longitude 75°49'37" W., respectively.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, § 600.6273 (24 F.R. 10524) and § 601.6273 (24 F.R. 10604) are amended to read:

§ 600.6273 VOR Federal airway No. 273  
(Huguenot, N.Y., to Syracuse, N.Y.).

From the Huguenot, N.Y., VORTAC via the Hancock, N.Y., VOR; Georgetown, N.Y., VOR; to the Syracuse, N.Y., VORTAC.

§ 601.6273 VOR Federal airway No. 273  
control areas (Huguenot, N.Y., to  
Syracuse, N.Y.).

All of VOR Federal airway No. 273.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6295; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-356]

## PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG- MENTS

#### Modification of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On March 5, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 1964) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify and extend a segment of VOR Federal airway No. 428, and its associated control areas, from Ithaca, N.Y., via a VOR to be commissioned ap-

proximately July 15, 1960, near Georgetown, N.Y., to Utica, N.Y.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notice, the commissioning date of the Georgetown, N.Y., VOR was rescheduled to December 15, 1960, and the geographical coordinates were corrected to latitude 42°47'21" N., longitude 75°49'37" W.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, §§ 600.6428 (24 F.R. 10527) and 601 (24 F.R. 10605) are amended to read:

§ 600.6428 VOR Federal airway No. 428 (Elmira, N.Y., to Utica, N.Y.).

From the Elmira, N.Y., VOR via the Ithaca, N.Y., VOR; Georgetown, N.Y., VOR; to the Utica, N.Y., VOR.

§ 601.6428 VOR Federal airway No. 428 control areas (Elmira, N.Y., to Utica, N.Y.).

All of VOR Federal airway No. 428.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. January 12, 1961.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6296; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-187]

## PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

### Modification of Control Area Extension

On December 29, 1959, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 10915) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering an amendment to § 601.1166 of the regulations of the Administrator which would modify the Mobile, Ala., control area extension.

The notice stated that the present Mobile control area extension is designated within a 25-mile radius of Brookley AFB, with a 25-mile extension to the northwest and that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering a modification to the area that would include additional airspace south of Brookley AFB, bounded on the east by the Pensacola, Fla., control area extension, on the south by Restricted Areas (R-452), (R-153) and

Warning Area (W-453), on the west by Long. 88°30'00" W, and on the north by VOR Federal airway No. 22. Further, this modification would provide additional protection to aircraft while operating under IFR conditions when arriving and departing the Brookley AFB. Inadvertently omitted from the Notice was the fact that the present description of the Mobile control area extension excludes that airspace which overlaps Caution Area (C-488).

The Department of the Navy objected to the proposed extension that would overlie Caution Area (C-488).

It was the intent of the proposed modification to include that airspace south of Mobile as control area, bounded on the west by longitude 88°30'00" W., on the south by Restricted Areas (R-452) and (R-153), on the east by the boundary of an area depicted on aeronautical charts as Caution Area (C-488), and on the north by the existing Mobile 25-mile control area extension and Victor 22. Therefore, the Federal Aviation Agency is redesignating the Mobile, Ala., control area extension within a 25-mile radius of Brookley AFB, excluding the portion east of a line extending from latitude 30°21'45" N., longitude 87°47'15" W., northwest to latitude 30°31'00" N., longitude 87°55'00" W., thence along the east shore of Mobile Bay to latitude 30°41'30" N., longitude 87°59'30" W., northeast to latitude 30°50'00" N., longitude 87°48'00" W., thence northeast to latitude 30°52'30" N., longitude 87°45'45" W., and including the airspace south of Mobile bounded on the west by longitude 88°30'00" W., on the northwest by VOR Federal airway No. 22, on the north by the 25-mile radius Mobile control area extension; on the east by a line extending from latitude 30°21'45" N., longitude 87°47'15" W. to latitude 30°15'00" N., longitude 87°41'00" W., and on the south by Restricted Areas (R-452) and (R-153). Although mentioned in the notice, the control area extension 5 miles either side of the 292° True radial of the Mobile VOR extending from the VOR to a point 25 miles northwest, is no longer required in the description since this area beyond the 25-mile radius of Brookley AFB is duplicated in the description of the New Orleans, La., control area extension (§ 601.1025).

No other adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) § 601.1166 (24 F.R. 10556) is amended to read:

§ 601.1166 Control area extension (Mobile, Ala.).

Within a 25-mile radius of Brookley AFB, Mobile, Ala., excluding the portion E of a line extending from latitude

30°21'45" N., longitude 87°47'15" W., thence NW to latitude 30°31'00" N., longitude 87°55'00" W., thence along the E shore of Mobile Bay to latitude 30°41'30" N., longitude 87°59'30" W., NE to latitude 30°50'00" N., longitude 87°48'00" W., thence NE to latitude 30°52'30" N., longitude 87°45'45" W.; and including the airspace S of Mobile bounded on the W by longitude 88°30'00" W., on the NW by VOR Federal airway No. 22, on the N by the Mobile 25-mile radius control area extension, on the east by a line extending from latitude 30°21'45" N., longitude 87°47'15" W. to latitude 30°15'00" N., longitude 87°41'00" W., and on the S by Restricted Areas (R-452) and (R-153).

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 25, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C.; on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6283; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-AN-3]

## PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

### Modification of Control Zone

On April 20, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3425) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the Aniak, Alaska, control zone by adding a control zone extension.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. In Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) § 601.2471 is added to read:

§ 601.2471 Aniak, Alaska, control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of the Aniak Airport (latitude 61°34'50" N., longitude 159°32'15" W.) and within 2 miles either side of the Aniak RR SW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 12 miles SW of the RR.

§ 601.1984 [Amendment]

2. In the text of § 601.1984 (24 F.R. 10570) "Aniak, Alaska: Aniak Airport." is deleted.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 25, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6284; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-AN-4]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Modification of Control Zone**

On April 20, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3423) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the Annette Island, Alaska, control zone by adding two control zone extensions.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. In Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) § 601-2432 is added to read:

§ 601.2432 Annette Island, Alaska, control zone.

Within a 5-mile radius of the geographical center of the Annette Island Airport (latitude 55°02'30" N., longitude 131°34'05" W.); within 2 miles either side of the Annette Island RR N course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 12 miles N of the RR; and within 2 miles either side of the Annette Island RR S course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to a point 12 miles S of the RR.

§ 601.1984 [Amendment]

2. In the text of § 601.1984 (24 F.R. 10570) "Annette Island, Alaska: Annette Island Airport." is deleted.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 25, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6285; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-LA-2]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Modification of Control Area Extension**

On March 26, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 2592) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the Salt Lake City, Utah, control area extension.

Although not mentioned in the notice, the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 81 between Grand Junction, Colo., and Salt Lake City is being revoked and redesignated as VOR Federal airway No. 484 in Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-48 which will be effective concurrently with this docket. Action is taken herein to substitute VOR Federal airway No. 484, in lieu of VOR Federal airway No. 81, in the description of the Salt Lake City control area extension.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, § 601.1416 (24 F.R. 10568) is amended to read:

§ 601.1416 Control area extension (Salt Lake City, Utah).

That airspace NE, SE, and SW of Salt Lake City bounded by the following VOR Federal airways; beginning at the Salt Lake City VORTAC and extending N along VOR Federal airway No. 21 to the Ogden, Utah, VOR; E along VOR Federal airway No. 6 to the Fort Bridger, Wyo., VOR; SW along VOR Federal airway No. 235 to the INT of VOR Federal airway No. 484; SE along Victor 484 to Myton, Utah, VOR; W along VOR Federal airway No. 200 to the Provo, Utah, VOR; NW along VOR Federal airway No. 253 to the INT of VOR Federal airway No. 32; and E along Victor 32 to the point of beginning.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. September 22, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6286; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-LA-20]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Revocation of Control Zone and Control Area Extension**

On April 9, 1960, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 3087) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the Akron, Colo., control zone and control area extension.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons stated in the notice, Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) is amended as follows:

1. Section 601.2041 Akron, Colo., control zone is revoked.

2. Section 601.1023 Control area extension (Akron, Colo.) is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. August 25, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6287; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 423; Amdt. 172]

**PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES**

**Miscellaneous Alterations**

The new and revised standard instrument approach procedures appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective and/or canceled when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures. Pursuant to authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), I find that a situation exists requiring immediate action in the interest of safety, that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than thirty days' notice.

Part 609 (14 CFR Part 609) is amended as follows:

1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Cottage Grove FM.....	EUG-LFR.....	Direct.....	3100	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
EUG-VOR.....	EUG-LFR.....	Direct.....	2000	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1½	600-1½
				S-dn-ry-16.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side N crs, 356° Outbnd, 176° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 mi, NA beyond 10 mi. (Nonstandard due to terrain.)

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1500'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 150-3.9.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.9 mi, turn right and climb to 2000' on N crs of EUG-LFR within 10 mi.

CAUTION: Terrain 1294', 7 mi E of N crs of range, 10.5 mi from range station.

City, Eugene; State, Ore.; Airport Name, Mahlon Sweet; Elev., 365'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., EUG; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 9; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 8; Dated, 13 Apr. 57

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE 16 JULY 60, OR UPON DECOMMISSIONING OF THE LFR.

City, Santa Barbara; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 14'; Fac. Class., SBMLRZ; Ident., SBA; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 23 July 55; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated 1 Aug. 52

Winslow VOR.....	INW-LFR.....	Direct.....	7000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	300-1
				C-dn.....	500-2	600-2	600-2
				S-dn-17.....	*400-1	600-2	600-2
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn W side N crs, 340° Outbnd, 160° Inbnd, 7000' within 10 mi.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 5600'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 160-1.3.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished with 1.3 mi, climb to 7000' on E crs within 20 mi.

\*CAUTION: 940' per minute 110 k.

City, Winslow; State, Ariz.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 4937'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., INW; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 8; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 7; Dated, 4 June 60

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
GTF LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	T-dn**.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
GTF VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	C-d.....	500-1½	500-1½	500-1½
Belt FM.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	C-n.....	500-2	500-2	500-2
Cascade FM.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	S-d-34.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
				S-n-34.....	500-2	500-2	500-2
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar transitions and vectoring utilizing Great Falls Radar authorized in accordance with approved Radar patterns.

Procedure turn W side of crs, 161° Outbnd, 341° Inbnd, 6000' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA. All turns W side of crs. High terrain E.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 6000'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 341°-6.5 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.5 miles, climb to 6000' on crs of 341° within 20 mi or, when directed by ATC, climb to 5000' on NW crs GTF-LFR within 15 mi.

CAUTION: 3340' MSL towers 1.1 mi SW of airport.

\*\*300-1 required on runways 11/29.

City, Great Falls; State, Mont.; Airport Name, Great Falls Int'l; Elev., 3671'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., GT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 2 (ADF portion comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 27 Aug. 55

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn.....	600-1	600-1	600-1½
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

CAUTION: No control area. Pilots using this facility shall, as soon as practicable, advise *Lonely Radio* of their position, altitude, ETA, and intentions, and thereafter determine that adequate separation exists from other reported users of navigational facilities in the area. In instances where other aircraft have previously contacted *Lonely Radio*, hold between facility and a point two minutes out on final approach course at least 1,000 feet above procedure turn altitude and 1,000 feet above previously reported traffic until advised that aircraft making approach has landed. Keep *Lonely Radio* advised at all times of changes in altitude and position in order that other aircraft may also receive this information.

NOTES: Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USAF AL-2305-ADF. Closed to all civil air traffic except in emergency or when given special authorization by USAF. See Alaska Airman's Guide for authorizing organizations.

City, Kolovik; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Lonely Aerodrome; Elev., 29'; Fac. Class., H; Ident., LNI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 16 July 60

**RULES AND REGULATIONS**

**ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued**

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Lafayette VOR.....	LFT RBN.....	Direct.....	1200	T-dn*..... C-dn..... A-dn.....	300-1 700-1 800-2	300-1 700-1 800-2	200-1½ 500-1½ 800-2

Procedure turn W side of crs, 180° outbnd, 360° inbnd, 1200' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Nonstandard due to ATC requirements.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 700'.  
 Facility on airport.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mi climb to 1300' on crs of 360° within 10 mi.  
 CAUTION: 494' TV Tower 3.0 mi WNW airport.  
 \*500-1 required for take-offs on Runway 28.  
 City, Lafayette; State, La.; Airport Name, Lafayette; Elev., 43'; Fac. Class., BMH; Ident., LFT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 22 Nov. 58

				T-dn..... C-dn..... A-dn.....	300-1 800-1 800-2	300-1 800-1 800-2	200-1½ 800-1½ 800-2
--	--	--	--	-------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------

CAUTION: No control area. Pilots using this facility shall, as soon as practicable, advise *Oliktok Point* of their position, altitude, ETA, and intentions, and thereafter determine that adequate separation exists from other reported users of navigational facilities in the area. In instances where other aircraft have previously contacted *Oliktok Point*, hold between facility and a point two minutes out on final approach course at least 1,000 feet above procedure turn altitude and 1,000 feet above previously reported traffic until advised that aircraft making approach has landed. Keep *Oliktok Point* advised at all times of changes in altitude and position in order that other aircraft may also receive this information.

NOTES: Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USAF AL-2304-ADF. Closed to all civil air traffic except in emergency or when given special authorization by USAF. See Alaska Airman's Guide for authorizing organizations.

City, Oliktok Point; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Oliktok Point Aerodrome; Elev., 16'; Fac. Class., H; Ident., OLI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 16 July 60

**3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:**

**VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE**

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Mermaid Int (via R-224 PMM).....	Int R-266 ELX and R-224 PMM.....	Direct.....	1600	T-dn.....	00-1	300-1	200-1½
Int R-271 ELX and R-328 SBN (via R-328 SBN).....	Int R-266 ELX and R-328 SBN.....	Direct.....	1600	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1½
Int R-266 ELX and R-328 SBN.....	Int* (Final).....		1600	S-dn-θ.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 266° Outbnd, 086° Inbnd, 1900' within 10 miles of Int\*.  
 Minimum altitude over Int\*, 1600'.  
 Crs and distance, Int\*, 086-3.3  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.3 miles of Int\*, climb to 2000' on R-266 ELX, proceed to ELX, contact SBN radio for further instructions.  
 ADVISORY NOTE: Close flight plan with South Bend radio at minimum approach altitude or by long distance phone immediately upon landing.  
 \*Int R-266 ELX and R-338 SBN.

City, Benton Harbor; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Ross Field; Elev., 642'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., ELX; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 16 Nov. 57

GTF LFR.....	VOR.....	Direct.....	5500	T-dn*.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
GTF LOM.....	VOR.....	Direct.....	5500	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Belt FM.....	VOR.....	Direct.....	5500	S-dn-21.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
Cascade FM.....	VOR.....	Direct.....	5500	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar transitions and vectoring authorized in accordance with approved Radar patterns.  
 Procedure turn N side of crs, 026° Outbnd, 206° Inbnd, 5100' within 15 miles.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4600'.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished turn right, climb to 6000' on R-252 within 12 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 7000' on R-312 within 15 mi.  
 CAUTION: 4088' stacks 4.5 mi NE of airport.  
 \*300-1 required on Runways 11-29.  
 #Descent to landing minimums authorized after passing 4 mi radar fix. If radar fix not received, ceiling minima of 900' apply.

City, Great Falls; State, Mont.; Airport Name, Great Falls Int'l; Elev., 3671'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., GTF; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 16 July 60

Lafayette RBN.....	LFT VOR.....	Direct.....	1200	T-dn*..... C-dn#..... S-dn-1..... A-dn.....	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-1½ 500-1½ 400-1 800-2
--------------------	--------------	-------------	------	--	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Procedure turn W side of crs, 165° Outbnd, 345° Inbnd, 1300' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA. Procedure turn nonstandard due to ATC requirements.  
 Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 700'.  
 Crs and distance, facility to airport, 345°-2.7.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.7 mi, climb to 1300\*\* on R-360 within 15 mi or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 1500' on R-087 within 20 mi.  
 CAUTION: #494' TV Tower 3.0 miles WNW airport. \*\*539' TV tower 7 mi NW or airport, 6 mi from centerline R-360.  
 \*500-1 required for take-offs on Runway 28.

City, Lafayette; State, La.; Airport Name, Lafayette; Elev., 43'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., LFT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated 27 Dec. 58

4. The terminal very high frequency omnirange (TerVOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.200 are amended to read in part:

TERMINAL VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Eugene LFR.....	EUG-VOR.....	Direct.....	2000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
Cottage Grove FM.....	EUG-VOR.....	Direct.....	3100	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	600-1½
				S-dn-16.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn \*E side of crs, 347° Outbnd, 167° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 900'.

Crs and distance, breakoff point to Rny 16, 158°—0.35 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile, make right climbing turn. Climb to 2000' on R-347 within 10 miles.

\*Nonstandard due to terrain.

City, Eugene; State, Ore.; Airport Name, Mahlon Sweet; Elev., 365'; Fac. Class., M-BVORTAC; Ident., EUG; Procedure No. TerVOR-16, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 1 Nov. 58

5. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Morton Int.....	Indian Int*.....	Via Radar Vector.	2000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	600-1½
				S-dn-32R.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn NA. All maneuvering to ILS final approach crs of 318° I-OHA must be under ORD ASR control.

No glide slope, markers or compass locators.

Minimum altitude over Indian Int\* on final approach crs, 2000'.

Crs and distance, Indian Int\* to Runway 32R, 318°—4.2 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.2 mi after passing Indian Int\*, make immediate right turn, climb to 2500' and proceed to OBK-VOR via OBK R-170 or, when directed by ATC, (1) climb to 3500', proceed to Spring Lake Int via ORD R-300; (2) climb to 2500', proceed to OHA LOM.

NOTES: Arrival radar at O'Hare and departure radar at Midway must be operative.

Radar transition to final approach crs authorized. Aircraft will be released for final approach without procedure turn on inbnd approach crs, inbnd to Indian Int. Refer to O'Hare radar procedure if detailed information on sector altitudes is desired.

\*Indian Int: Int R-051 API-VOR and SE crs ILS I-OHA.

City, Chicago; State, Ill.; Airport Name, O'Hare Int'l; Elev., 667'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-OHA; Procedure No. ILS-32R, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 16 July 60

GTF LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	T-dn**.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
GTF VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	C-d.....	500-1	500-1	600-1½
Belt FM.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5700	C-n.....	500-1½	500-1½	600-1½
Cascade FM.....	8 crs ILS.....	071°—12.....	6000	S-dn-34.....	200-1½	200-1½	200-1½
				A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2

Radar transitions and vectoring utilizing Great Falls Radar authorized in accordance with approved Radar patterns.

Procedure turn W side of crs, 161° Outbnd, 341° Inbnd, 6000' within 10 miles. NA beyond 10 mi. All turns West side of course—high terrain East.

Minimum altitude at G.S. Int Inbnd, 5700'.

Altitude of G.S. and distance to approach end of rny at OM 5700'—6.5, at MM 3900'—0.6.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 6000' on N crs ILS (341°) LOM within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, climb to 5000' on NW crs GTF-LFR or R-312 GTF within 15 mi.

CAUTION: 3840' MSL LFR towers 1.1 miles SW of airport.

\*\*300-1 required for runways 11-29.

City, Great Falls; State, Mont.; Airport Name, Great Falls Int'l; Elev., 3671'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., GTF; Procedure No. ILS-34, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 2 (ILS portion of Comb. ILS-ADF); Dated, 27 Aug. 55

Excelsior Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
FGT-VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2300	C-d.....	500-1	500-1	600-1½
St. Paul Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2300	S-dn-4.....	200-1½	200-1½	200-1½
MSP VOR.....	LOM.....	R-165.....	2500	A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2
MSP LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2300				
Int I-APL localizer and FGT VOR R-298.....	LOM (Final).....	039°—6.9.....	2200				
All sectors of radar site within 20 mi.....	LOM.....	As directed by ATC.....	2500				

Procedure turn South side of crs, 219° Outbnd, 039° Inbnd, 2300' within 10 mi.

Minimum altitude at G.S. Int Inbnd, 2100'.

Altitude of G.S. and distance to approach end of Runway at OM, 2088'—4.5 mi; at MM, 1035'—0.6 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 2500' on NE crs ILS within 20 miles.

City, Minneapolis; State, Minn.; Airport Name, Minneapolis St. Paul Int'l; Elev., 840'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-APL; Procedure No. ILS-4, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 16 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 18 July 59

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

## 6. The radar procedures prescribed in § 609.500 are amended to read in part:

## RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
All directions.....	Radar site.....	Within 20 mi.....	2500	T-dn-33.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-33.....	200-½	200-½	200-½
				A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished turn right, climb to 2500' and proceed direct to Hood VOR or Hood RBN.

NOTE: Prior arrangement for landing required for civil aircraft not on official business.

City, Killeen; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Fort Hood AAF; Elev., 923'; Fac. Class., Fort Hood AAF; Ident., Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 16 July 60

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 16, 1960.

OSCAR BAKKE,  
Director, Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-5708; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 433; Amdt. 173]

## PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

## Miscellaneous Alterations

The new and revised standard instrument approach procedures appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective and/or canceled when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures. Pursuant to authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), I find that a situation exists requiring immediate action in the interest of safety, that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than thirty days' notice.

Part 609 (14 CFR, Part 609) is amended as follows:

## 1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

## LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE 23 JULY 1960 OR UPON DECOMMISSIONING OF AKRON LFR.							
City, Akron; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Akron Airport; elev., 1051'; Fac. Class., SBMRLZ; Ident., AKR; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 4; Eff. Date, 11 Aug. 55; Sup. Amdt. No. 3; Dated, 12 Nov. 54							
PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE 23 JULY 1960 OR UPON DECOMMISSIONING OF AKRON LFR.							
City, Akron; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Akron Airport; Elev., 1051'; Fac. Class., SBMRLZ; Ident., AKR; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 11 Aug. 56; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 12 Nov. 54							
All directions.....				T-dn*.....	300-1	300-1	300-1
Shuttle East crs, 052° Outbnd, 232° Inbnd, 4000' within 25 mi.				C-dn**.....	500-2	500-2	500-2
				S-dn-25.....	500-2	500-2	500-2
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn N side E crs, 052° Outbnd, 232° Inbnd, 1500' within 10 miles.

CAUTION: \*Proceed to Kodiak LFR immediately after takeoff from all runways. Takeoff from Runways 25 and 28 not authorized. \*\*Maneuvering for approach to Runways 10, 25, 28, and 36 to be accomplished east of airport. Circling approach to Runways 7 and 10 not authorized. Terrain within 1.5 nautical miles—North 1182', West 2488', South 1000'.

NOTE: Airport closed to all civil air traffic except in an emergency or when given special authorization by U.S. Navy.

City, Kodiak; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Kodiak U.S. Naval Station; Elev., 77'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., NHB; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition		Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	Ceiling and visibility minimums		
From--	To--				2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
ABI VOR	LOM	Direct	3800	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
ABI LFR	LOM	Direct	3800	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
				S-dn-35	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side of S crs, 170° outbd, 350° inbd, 3800' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 mi NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 3200'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 350°-5.0 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6 miles after passing LOM, climb to 3100' on crs 350° within 20 miles or, when directed by ATIS, turn right, climb to 3200' on R-085 of ABI-VOR.

CAUTION: Towers 2032' msl 2.0 mi WNW, 2115' msl 5.2 mi NW, 2067' msl 6.8 mi NW, 2685' msl 8.7 mi SSE, 2778' msl 7.9 mi SSW.

City, Abilene; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 1778'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., AB; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

Int Navarre R-048 and 270° brng to LOM	LOM	Direct	2500	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Navarre VOR	LOM (Final)	Direct	2300	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Int Navarre R-322 and 090° brng to LOM	LOM	Direct	2500	S-dn-1	400-1	400-1	400-1
Int Navarre R-282 and 062° brng to LOM	LOM	Direct	2500	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Akron VOR direct to LOM	LOM	Direct	2500				

Procedure turn East side of crs 186° Outbd, 006° Inbd, 2500' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2300'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 006°-3.8 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.8 miles after passing LOM, climb to 2500' direct to Akron HW or, when directed by ATIS, make a right climbing turn and return to LOM at 2500'.

City, Akron; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Akron-Canton; Elev., 1228'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., CA; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 12; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 18 June 60

AMA VOR	TDW RBN	Direct	5000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
AMA LFR	TDW RBN	Direct	5000	C-dn	600-1	600-1	600-1 1/2
Soney Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5000	S-dn-3	600-1	600-1	600-1
Bivins Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5300	A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2
Claude Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5000				
Palo Duro Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	4900				
Tower Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5300				
Sam Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5300				
Westside Int.	TDW RBN	Direct	5000				

Procedure turn S side of crs 215° Outbd, 035° Inbd, 5000' within 10 mi. Beyond 10 mi NA.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 4600'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 035°-5.0 mi.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.0 mi after passing TDW RBN, climb to 4900' on crs 035° within 20 miles.

CAUTION: Towers 3994' msl 3.4 mi SW; 3886' msl 2.1 mi SW; 3855' msl 2.7 mi SSW of airport.

City, Amarillo; State, Tex.; Airport Name, AFB/Municipal; Elev., 3604'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., TDW; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 23 Apr. 60

				T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
				C-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USAF AL-2306-A-DF.

NOTE: Closed to all civil air traffic except in emergency or when given special authorization by USAF. See Alaska Airman's Guide for authorizing organizations.

CAUTION: No control area. Pilots using this facility shall, as soon as practicable, advise Barter Island of their position, altitude, ETA, and intentions, and thereafter determine that adequate separation exists from other reported users of navigational facilities in the area. In instances where other aircraft have previously contacted Barter Island, hold between facility and a point two minutes out on final approach course at least 1,000 feet above procedure turn altitude and 1,000 feet above previously reported traffic until advised that aircraft making approach has landed. Keep Barter Island advised at all times of changes in altitude and position in order that other aircraft may also receive this information.

City, Barter Island; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Barter Island Aerodrome; Elev., 8'; Fac. Class., H; Ident., BTI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

				T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
				C-dn	700-2	700-2	700-2
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USAF AL-2307-A-DF.

NOTE: Closed to all civil air traffic except in emergency or when given special authorization by USAF. See Alaska Airman's Guide for authorizing organizations.

CAUTION: No control area. Pilots using this facility shall, as soon as practicable, advise Flaxman Island Radio of their position, altitude, ETA, and intentions, and thereafter determine that adequate separation exists from other reported users of navigational facilities in the area. In instances where other aircraft have previously contacted Flaxman Island Radio, hold between facility and a point two minutes out on final approach course at least 1,000 feet above procedure turn altitude and 1,000 feet above previously reported traffic until advised that aircraft making approach has landed. Keep Flaxman Island Radio advised at all times of changes in altitude and position in order that other aircraft may also receive this information.

City, Bullen Point; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Flaxman Aerodrome; Elev., 16'; Fac. Class., H; Ident., FXM; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

**RULES AND REGULATIONS**

**ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued**

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Ontario VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	4000	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
Fontana FM/Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5000	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1½
RIV LFR.....	Colton RBn.....	Direct.....	4200	S-dn-25.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
Colton RBn.....	LOM (Final).....	Direct.....	2800	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2
Int 075° crs to LOM and SE crs BUR LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	4000				

Radar transitions and vectoring utilizing March Radar are authorized in accordance with approved Radar patterns. Procedure turn\* S side of crs, 075° Outbnd, 255° Inbnd, 3200' within 5 mi. NA beyond NW crs RIV-LFR. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2800'. Crs and distance facility to airport, 255°—6.2 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.2 mi after passing L'OM, climb to 3000' on crs of 255° within 8 mi.  
 \*Nonstandard due to terrain.

City, Ontario; State, Calif.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 952'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., ON; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 11; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 10; Dated, 9 Jan. 60

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn.....	700-1	700-1	700-1½
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Instrument approach to be conducted in accordance with USAF AL-2303-ADF. NOTE: Closed to all civil air traffic except in emergency or when given special authorization by USAF. See Alaska Airman's Guide for authorizing organizations. CAUTION: No control area. Pilots using this facility shall, as soon as practicable, advise *Point Lay Radio* of their position, altitude, ETA, and intentions, and thereafter determine that adequate separation exists from other reported users of navigational facilities in the area. In instances where other aircraft have previously contacted *Point Lay Radio*, hold between facility and a point two minutes out on final approach course at least 1,000 feet above procedure turn altitude and 1,000 feet above previously reported traffic until advised that aircraft making approach has landed. Keep *Point Lay Radio* advised at all times of changes in altitude and position in order that other aircraft may also receive this information.

City, Point Lay; State, Alaska; Airport Name, Point Lay Aerodrome; Elev., 20'; Fac. Class., H; Ident., PIZ; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

**3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:**

**VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE**

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Monroe LFR.....	MLU-VOR.....	Direct.....	1300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-4.....	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 215° Outbnd, 035° Inbnd, 1400' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 800'. Crs and distance, facility to airport, 035°—4.2 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4.2 miles, climb to 1400' on R-035 within 10 miles\*. CAUTION: 850' TV antenna located 3.7 miles WNW of airport. AIR CARRIER NOTE: No reduction in take-off minimums for cargo and ferry flights authorized on Runways 4, 9, 13, 31, and 35.  
 \*No control area beyond 10 miles.

City, Monroe; State, La.; Airport Name, Selman Field; Elev., 79'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., MLU; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 25 Apr. 59

**4. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:**

**ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE**

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
ABI-VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	3800	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1½
ABI-VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	3800	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-35°.....	200-1½	200-1½	200-1½
				A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2

Procedure turn E side of S crs, 170° outbnd, 350° inbnd, 3800' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles NA. Altitude of glide slope and distance to apr end of Rnwy at OM, 3753'—8.0 mi; at MM, 2007'—0.55 mi. If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 3100' on N crs of ILS within 20 miles or, when directed by ATC, turn right, climb to 3200' on R-085 of ABI-VOR. CAUTION: Towers 2688' msl 8.7 mi SSE, 2778' msl 7.9 mi SSW, 2032' msl 2.6 mi WNW, 2115' msl 5.2 mi NW, 2067' msl 6.8 mi NW.  
 \*400-1 required when glide slope not utilized. 3° glide slope.

City, Abilene; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 1778'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-ABI; Procedure No. ILS-35, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 23 July 60

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Talmadge Int.	Derby Int (Final)	Direct	2800	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Medina Int.	Derby Int.	Direct	2800	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Akron-Canton LOM	Derby Int.	Direct	2800	S-dn-19	400-1	400-1	400-1
				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn E side N crs, 006° Outbnd, 186° Inbnd, 2800' within 10 mi of Derby Int. (nonstandard due to traffic).  
 No glide slope markers or compass locators. Descent to airport minimums after passing Derby Int—2800' over Derby Int; 5.8 mi from Derby Int. to Runway 10.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.8 mi after passing Derby Int, climb to 2500' (or to a higher altitude when requested by ATC) on S crs ILS to LOM.  
 Major change: Deletes transition from Akron LFR.

City, Akron; State, Ohio; Airport Name, Akron-Canton; Elev., 1228'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., CAK; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. 5; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 4; Dated, 2 Apr. 65

AMA-VOR	LOM	Direct	5000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
AMA-LFR	LOM	Direct	5000	C-dn	400-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Borger Int.	LOM	Direct	5000	S-dn-03	200-1/2	200-1/2	200-1/2
Bivins Int.	LOM	Direct	5300	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
Canyon Int.	LOM	Direct	4800				
Claude Int.	LOM	Direct	5000				
Finley Int.	LOM	Direct	5000				
Palo Duro Int.	LOM	Direct	4900				
Plant Int.	LOM	Direct	5300				
Sam Int.	LOM	Direct	5300				
Tower Int.	LOM	Direct	5300				
W Side Int.	LOM	Direct	5000				

Procedure turn S side of crs, 215° Outbnd, 035° Inbnd, 5000' within 10 mi.  
 Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of Rnwy at OM, 5000'—5.0 mi; at MM, 3815'—0.6 mi.  
 Minimum altitude at G.S. interception inbnd on final, 5000'.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 4900' on NE crs ILS within 20 miles.  
 CAUTION: Towers 3994' msl 3.4 mi SW; 3888' msl 2.1 mi SW; 3885' msl 2.7 mi SW of airport.

City, Amarillo; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Amarillo AFB/Mun.; Elev., 3604'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-AMA; Procedure No. ILS-3, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 18 June 60

Ontario VOR	LOM	Direct	4000	T-dn	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Fontana FM/Int.	LOM	Direct	5000	C-dn	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Riverside LFR	Colton RBn	Direct	4200	S-dn-25	200-1/2	200-1/2	200-1/2
Colton RBn	LOM (Final)	Direct	2800	A-dn	600-2	600-2	600-2
Int W crs ILS and SE crs BUR LFR	LOM	Direct	4000				

Radar transitions and vectoring utilizing March Radar are authorized in accordance with approved Radar patterns.  
 Procedure turn #S side of crs, 075° Outbnd, 255° Inbnd, 3200' within 5 mi of LOM. NA beyond NW crs RIV LFR.  
 Minimum altitude at glide slope int inbnd, 2800'.  
 Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of Runway at LOM, 2806'—6.2 mi; at MM, 1120'—0.5 mi.  
 If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished climb to 3000' on W crs within 8 miles.  
 #Nonstandard due to terrain.

City, Ontario; State, Calif.; Airport Name, International; Elev., 952'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-ONT; Procedure No. ILS-25, Amdt. 11; Eff. Date, 23 July 60; Sup. Amdt. No. 10; Dated, 10 Jan. 60

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 22, 1960.

B. PUTNAM,  
 Acting Director, Bureau of Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-5891; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

## Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

### Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

#### PART 40—CONTROL OF SOURCE MATERIAL

##### Exempted Products; Uranium

The following amendment is designed to relieve persons receiving or possessing uranium contained in aircraft counterweights installed in aircraft from the necessity of obtaining a specific license from the Atomic Energy Commission authorizing such receipt or possession. Under the amendment to § 40.60, the uranium in such counterweights is exempted from the specific licensing requirement of § 40.10 relating to transfers, deliveries

and receipt of possession or title. The Commission has found that the transfer, delivery and receipt of possession or title to uranium in such products involve unimportant quantities of source material within the meaning of section 62 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, which are not of significance to the common defense and security, and that such activities can be conducted without adversely affecting public health and safety.

Inasmuch as this amendment is intended to relieve from rather than to impose restrictions under regulations currently in effect and will not adversely affect the public health and safety, the Commission has found that general notice of proposed rule making and public procedure thereon are unnecessary and good cause exists why this amendment

should be made effective without the customary period of notice and upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Part 40, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, "Control of Source Material," is hereby amended as follows:

Section 40.60 *Schedule I: Exempted products* is amended to include an additional exempted product by the addition of the following new paragraph (i):

(i) Uranium contained in aircraft counterweights installed in aircraft; provided that any such counterweight has been impressed with a statement, clearly legible after plating, which states "Caution-Radioactive Material-Uranium; and provided further that the exemption contained in this paragraph shall not be deemed to authorize the chemical, physical, metallurgical or other treatment or

processing of any such counterweight or the installation in, or removal from, an aircraft of any such counterweight, without a specific license from the Commission.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 30th day of June 1960.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.  
A. R. LUEDECKE,  
General Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6266; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity description	Unit	Processing code and related commodity group	GLV dollar value limits	Val-dated license re-quired	Commodity lists
76670	Industrial process indicating (measuring), recording, and/or controlling instruments, n.e.c., and specially fabricated parts and accessories, n.e.c.; Vacuum gauges, ionization type, and specially fabricated parts and accessories, n.e.c. <sup>1</sup>	-----	GIEQ	None	RO	A

<sup>1</sup> On or after Aug. 15, 1960, an Import Certificate (or a Hong Kong Import License) will be required in support of a license application covering exports of this commodity to the countries specified in § 373.2 of this chapter.

This item of the amendment shall become effective July 7, 1960, except as otherwise indicated in the footnote.

3. The following entries set forth below are substituted for entries presently on the Positive List. Where the Positive List contains more than one entry under a Schedule B number, the entry to be superseded is identified by a numerical reference in parentheses following the commodity description in the revised entry:

## Title 26—INTERNAL REVENUE, 1954

### Chapter I—Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury SUBCHAPTER E—ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND OTHER EXCISE TAXES

#### PART 201—DISTILLED SPIRITS PLANTS Correction

In F.R. Doc. 60-5982, appearing at page 6052 of the issue for Thursday, June 30, 1960, the following corrections are made:

1. In item 1 of the preamble which immediately precedes the codified portion of the document, the reference "235.250 through 235.261" should read "235.50 through 235.61".

2. In § 201.368(a), the phrase "of 1% degrees" should read "of 190 degrees".

## Title 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

### Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce

#### SUBCHAPTER B—EXPORT REGULATIONS

[9th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs.; Amtd. P.L. 26<sup>1</sup>]

#### PART 399—POSITIVE LIST OF COMMODITIES AND RELATED MATTERS

##### Additions, Deletions and Substitutions

Section 399.1 *Appendix A—Positive List of Commodities* is amended in the following particulars:

1. The following commodity is deleted from the Positive List:

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity description
82698	Synthetic resin film and sheeting, except laminated, and except scrap (including printed, embossed, planished, or otherwise treated surface); Oriented polystyrene film, 0.01 inch or less in thickness.

This item of the amendment shall become effective as of June 30, 1960.

2. The following commodities are added to the Positive List.

<sup>1</sup> This amendment was published in Current Export Bulletin 835, dated June 30, 1960.

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity description	Unit	Processing code and related commodity group	GLV dollar value limits	Val-dated license re-quired	Commodity lists
60172	Steel ingots, blooms, billets, slabs, sheet bars, and tinplate bars:	S. ton	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-7
60172	Ingots, alloy steel, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	S. ton	STEE 4	100	RO	E-7
	Ingots, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>					
60178	Billets, blooms, slabs, and sheet bars, alloy steel, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	S. ton	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-7
60178	Billets, blooms, slabs, and sheet bars, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	S. ton	STEE 4	100	RO	E-7
60181	Tube rounds, alloy steel, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	S. ton	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-7
60181	Tube rounds, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	S. ton	STEE 4	100	RO	E-7
60185	Skelp, alloy steel, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-7
60185	Skelp, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-7
60187	Wire rods, alloy steel, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60187	Wire rods, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
	Iron and steel bars and bar size rods and shapes, hot-rolled, except tool steel and concrete reinforcement bars (all regular bars, including bars in coils or cut lengths, and special sections and bar shapes with cross-sectional dimension of under 3 inches). [Report tool steel bars in 60270; concrete reinforcement bars in 60280; and special sections and bar shapes with cross-sectional dimension of 3 inches and over in 60730 and 60735]:					
60230	Stainless steel bars, hot-rolled, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60230	Nickel-bearing stainless steel bars, hot-rolled, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
	Steel bars and bar size rods and shapes, cold-finished, except tool steel and concrete reinforcement bars (all cold-drawn or cold-rolled flats and rounds, including bars in coils or cut lengths, and special sections and bar shapes with cross-sectional dimension of under 3 inches). [Report tool steel bars in 60270; concrete reinforcement bars in 60280; and special sections and bar shapes with cross-sectional dimension of 3 inches and over, in 60730 and 60735]:					
60260	Stainless steel bars, cold-finished, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60260	Nickel-bearing stainless steel bars, cold-finished, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60320	Steel sheets, black (including painted):	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60320	Stainless steel sheets, hot-rolled, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60320	Nickel-bearing stainless steel sheets, hot-rolled, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>3 5 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60335	Stainless steel sheets, cold-rolled, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60335	Stainless steel sheets, cold-rolled, special types only <sup>14 15</sup> .....	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8

See footnotes at end of table.

Dept. of Com. merce Schedule B No.	Commodity description	Unit	Processing code and related commodity group	GLV dollar value limits	Val-dated license re-quired	Commodity lists
60335	Steel sheets, black (including painted)—Con. Nickel-bearing stainless steel sheets, cold-rolled, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60355	Electrical (silicon) steel sheets and strip, as follows: (a) grain-oriented, with a core loss of 0.45 watts per pound at 13,000 gauss and 50 cycles per second (0.62 watts per pound at 15,000 gauss and 50 cycles per second) or less and with a thickness of 0.012 inches (31 mm.) or less; or (b) grain-oriented with a thickness of 0.008 inches (.2 mm.) or less, regardless of core loss characteristics. (Specify thickness in decimal parts of an inch and the core loss in watts per pound at flux density of either 13,000 or 15,000 gauss and at 50 cycles per second.) [Report stampings in 70997, 14 14 14]	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60356	Other electrical (silicon) steel sheets and strip, as follows: (a) with a core loss of 0.45 watts per pound at 13,000 gauss and 50 cycles per second (0.62 watts per pound at 15,000 gauss and 50 cycles) or less regardless of thickness; or (b) with a thickness of 0.0094 inches or less regardless of core loss characteristics. (Specify thickness in decimal parts of an inch and the core loss in watts per pound at flux density of either 13,000 or 15,000 gauss and at 50 cycles per second.) [Report stampings in 70997, 14 14 14]	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60380	Steel strip, coated or uncoated, except electrical (Report electrical steel strip in 60355).	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60380	Stainless steel strip, hot-rolled, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60390	Nickel-bearing stainless steel strip, hot-rolled, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60390	Stainless steel strip, cold-rolled, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60665	Nickel-bearing stainless steel strip, cold-rolled, (except for scrap or redrawing) [Report pipe assemblies specially fabricated for particular machines or equipment in 77516; used tubes for scrap in 60075; tubes for redrawing in 60095; and pipe fittings in 61849-61862].	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60665	Pipe and tubing, stainless steel, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60720	Pipe and tubing, nickel-bearing stainless steel, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60720	Steel plates (hot- or cold-rolled) not fabricated, except armor plates: Stainless steel plates (including stainless-clad plates), special types only. <sup>14 14</sup> Nickel-bearing stainless steel plates (including stainless clad plates), as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8

See footnotes at end of table.

Dept. of Com. merce Schedule B No.	Commodity description	Unit	Processing code and related commodity group	GLV dollar value limits	Val-dated license re-quired	Commodity lists
60735	Structural shapes and piping: Structural shapes, not fabricated, alloy steel, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	S. ton	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60735	Nickel-bearing stainless steel structural shapes, not fabricated, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	S. ton	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60815	Steel wire, n.e.c. (all round, shaped, and flat wire regardless of use) [Report steel fencing and netting in 61948; and electrical insulated wire and cable in 70972-70995].	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60815	Uncoated stainless steel wire, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
60821	Uncoated nickel-bearing stainless steel wire, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
60821	Coated wire, steel chief value (except galvanized or insulated), special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
61055	Coated wire, nickel-bearing stainless steel chief value, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
61055	Castings, iron and steel, rough and semifinished, except railway car wheels [Report railway car wheel castings in 60870].	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
61055	Stainless steel castings, special types only, except grinding balls.	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
61055	Nickel-bearing stainless steel castings, except grinding balls, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
61065	Forgings, alloy steel, special types only, except grinding balls. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
61065	Nickel-bearing stainless steel forgings, except grinding balls, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
61869	Fabricated steel products: Perforated sheets, alloy steel, special types only. <sup>14 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 3	100	RO	AE-8
61869	Nickel-bearing stainless steel perforated sheets, as follows: (a) AISI type 309-S-Cb-Ta, or (b) containing a total of 35 percent or more of alloying elements but no columbium, tantalum, or titanium. <sup>§ 14</sup>	Lb.	STEE 4	100	RO	E-8
70362	Electrical quantity indicating instruments (electrical or electronic type), nonrecording, n.e.c.: Electrical quantity indicating instruments having either of the following characteristics: (a) designed to operate at frequencies exceeding 500 megacycles; or (b) rated to maintain their specific operating data when operating over a range of ambient temperatures extending from below -25° C. to above +55° C. (Specify by name and model number.) (2) <sup>14 14</sup>	No.	ELME 3	100	RO	A
70370	Electrical quantity recording instruments (electrical or electronic type), n.e.c.: Electrical quantity recording instruments having either of the following characteristics: (a) designed to operate at frequencies exceeding 500 megacycles; or (b) rated to maintain their specific operating data when operating over a range of ambient temperatures extending from below -25° C. to above +55° C. (Specify by name and model number.) (2) <sup>14 14</sup>	No.	ELME 3	100	RO	A



This item of the amendment shall become effective as of June 30, 1960, except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes.

Shipments of any commodities removed from general license to Country Group R or Country Group O destinations as a result of changes set forth in items 2 or 3 of this amendment which were on dock for lading, on lighter, laden aboard an exporting carrier, or in transit to a port of exit pursuant to actual orders for export prior to 12:01 a.m., July 7, 1960, may be exported under the previous general license provisions up to and including August 1, 1960. Any such shipment not laden aboard the exporting carrier on or before August 1, 1960 requires a validated license for export.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 50 U.S.C. App. 2023. E.O. 9630, 10 F.R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp., E.O. 9919, 13 F.R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,  
Director, Bureau of  
Foreign Commerce.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6180; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

## Title 8—ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

### Chapter I—Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice

#### PART 214—NONIMMIGRANT CLASSES

##### Waiver of Inadmissibility for Transit Without Visa

The following amendments to Chapter I of Title 8 of the Code of Federal Regulations are hereby prescribed:

The first and third sentences of subparagraph (1) of paragraph (c) of § 214.2 are amended to read as follows:

§ 214.2 Special requirements for admission, extension, and maintenance of status.

(c) *Transits*—(1) *Without visas*. Any alien, except a citizen and resident of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, Albania, Communist-controlled China ("Chinese People's Republic"), North Korea ("Democratic People's Republic of Korea"), the Soviet Zone of Germany ("German Democratic Republic"), and North Viet-Nam ("Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam"), applying for immediate and continuous transit through the United States, must establish that he is admissible; that he has confirmed and onward reservations to at least the next country beyond the United States (except that, if seeking to join a vessel or aircraft in the United States as a crewman, the vessel or aircraft will depart directly foreign, and his departure will be completed within a maximum of 5 calendar days after his arrival, and, if joining a vessel, the crewman is in possession of, or makes application upon arrival for, a Form I-184 perma-

nent landing permit and identification card), and that he has a document establishing his ability to enter some country other than the United States. \* \* \* The acceptance of the transit privilege constitutes an agreement by the alien and the carrier that he will depart voluntarily from the United States without recourse to any hearing or proceeding provided for in this chapter and that at all times he is not aboard an aircraft which is in flight through the United States he shall be in the custody directed by the district director, provided that if admissibility is established only after exercise of the discretion contained in section 212(d)(3)(B) of the Act the alien shall be in the custody of the Service at carrier expense and must depart on the earliest and most direct foreign-destined plane or vessel.

This order shall become effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Compliance with the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) as to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date is unnecessary in this instance because the rule prescribed by the order confers benefits upon the persons affected thereby.

Dated: July 5, 1960.

J. M. SWING,  
Commissioner of  
Immigration and Naturalization.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6358; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:55 a.m.]

## Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

### Chapter II—Securities and Exchange Commission

#### PART 239—FORMS PRESCRIBED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

##### Forms for Registration Statements

On April 5, 1960, the Securities and Exchange Commission announced that it had under consideration a proposed amendment of § 239.22(c)(2) (General Instruction A (rule as to use of Form S-9) which defines the term "fixed charges" as used in General Instruction A and in Instruction 2 to the summary of earnings required by item 3 of the form. The term "fixed charges" has heretofore been defined in clause (ii) to include "an appropriate portion of rentals under long-term leases."

The Commission has considered all of the views and comments received on the proposal and has adopted the amendment in the form stated below. The purpose of the amendment is to establish a definite formula which may be used in determining an appropriate portion of rentals representing the interest factor in rental payments in order that a prospective registrant may determine with reasonable certainty whether it is qualified to use the form. The amend-

ment changes the test from "an appropriate portion of long term rentals" to "one-third of all rentals reported in Schedule XVI<sup>1</sup> or such other portion as can be demonstrated as representative of the interest factor." The limitation of rentals to "long term leases" has been dropped because of the substantial difference of opinion as to the definition of a "long term lease" and because the presence of the interest factor in rentals is not dependent upon the rental contract extending over any given period of time.

It has been suggested that "delay rentals" paid on leaseholds to retain mineral rights before development of leased acreage are not in the nature of ordinary rental for the use of property. Delay rentals or other items included in rentals in Schedule XVI which can be shown to contain little or no interest factor may properly be excluded under the alternate treatment.

The text of § 239.22(c)(2) (General Instruction A) as amended reads:

(2) The term "fixed charges" shall mean (i) interest and amortization of debt discount and expense and premium on all indebtedness, (ii) one-third of all rentals reported in Schedule XVI, or such other portion as can be demonstrated as representative of the interest factor in the circumstances of a particular case (if an alternative basis is used, explanation should be set forth in the statement required by Exhibit 5 of the Instructions as to Exhibits), and (iii) in case consolidated figures are used, preferred stock dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries, excluding in all cases items eliminated in consolidation.

The foregoing action, which is taken pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, particularly sections 7, 10, and 19(a) thereof, shall become effective August 1, 1960.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6320; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

## Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

### Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

#### PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

##### Subpart A—Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations

###### EXTENSION OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF STATUTE FOR 1,1-BIS(p-CHLOROPHENYL)-2,2,2-TRICHLOROETHANOL IN MINT OIL

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, pursuant to the authority provided in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

<sup>1</sup> Note 5 to Schedule XVI provides that "If the aggregate amount of rents and royalties is not material, a statement to that effect will suffice."

(sec. 6(c), Public Law 85-929; 72 Stat. 1788; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342) and delegated to him by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (23 F.R. 9500, 25 F.R. 5611) hereby authorizes the use in mint oil of the food additive 1,1-bis-(p-chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethanol under the conditions prescribed in this order:

Section 121.86 is amended by adding thereto the following item:

§ 121.86 Extension of effective date of statute for certain specified food additives as direct additives to food.

On the basis of data supplied in accordance with § 121.85 and findings that no undue risk to the public health is involved and that conditions exist that make necessary the prescribing of an additional period of time for obtaining tolerances or for granting exemptions from tolerances, the following additives may be used in food, under certain specified conditions, for a period of 1 year from March 6, 1960, or until regulations shall have been issued establishing or denying tolerances or exemptions from the requirement of tolerances, in accordance with section 409 of the act, whichever occurs first:

Product	Limits	Specified uses or restrictions
1, 1-bis-(p-Chlorophenyl)-2, 2, 2-trichloroethanol.	100 parts per million.	As a residue in mint oil from use on fresh mint leaves for control of mites.

Notice and public procedure are not necessary prerequisites to the promulgation of this order, and I so find, since extensions of time, under certain conditions, for the effective date of the food additives amendment to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were contemplated by the statute as a relief of restrictions on the food-processing industry.

**Effective date.** This order becomes effective on the date of signature.

(Sec. 701, 52 Stat. 1055, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 371. Interpret or apply 72 Stat. 1088; 21 U.S.C., note under sec. 342)

Dated: June 30, 1960.

[SEAL] GEO. P. LARRICK,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6304; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:50 a.m.]

## Title 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

### Chapter I—Department of State

#### PART 41—VISAS: DOCUMENTATION OF NONIMMIGRANTS UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, AS AMENDED

##### Nonimmigrant Documentary Waivers

Part 41, Chapter I, Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended in the following respect:

§ 41.6 Nonimmigrants not required to present passports, visas, or border-crossing identification cards.

(e) *Aliens in immediate transit*—(1) *Aliens in bonded transit.* A visa and a passport shall not be required of an alien, other than an alien who is a citizen of Albania, Bulgaria, Communist-controlled China ("Chinese People's Republic"), Czechoslovakia, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, North Korea ("Democratic People's Republic of Korea"), North Viet-Nam ("Democratic Republic of Viet-Nam"), Poland, Rumania, the Soviet Zone of Germany ("German Democratic Republic"), or the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and resident of one of said countries, who is being transported in immediate and continuous transit through the United States in accordance with the terms of a contract, including a bonding agreement, entered into between the transportation line and the Attorney General under the provisions of section 238(d) of the Act, to insure such immediate and continuous transit through, and departure from, the United States en route to a specifically designated foreign country. The acceptance of the privilege of transit without visa and passport provided by this subparagraph shall constitute an agreement by the alien and the transportation line that the alien will depart voluntarily from the United States without recourse to any hearing or proceeding provided for in the regulations of the Immigration and Naturalization Service and that at all times he is not aboard an aircraft which is in flight through the United States he shall be in the custody directed by the district director of that Service: *Provided*, That if admissibility is established only after exercise of the discretionary authority contained in section 212(d) (3) (B) of the Act, the alien shall be in the custody of the Immigration and Naturalization Service at the expense of the transportation line and shall depart on the earliest and most direct foreign-destined vessel or aircraft.

The provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) relative to notice of proposed rule making and delayed effective date are inapplicable to this order because the regulation contained therein involves foreign affairs functions of the United States.

Dated: July 1, 1960.

JOHN W. HANES, Jr.,  
Administrator, Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs,  
Department of State.

Dated: July 1, 1960.

J. M. SWING,  
Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization, Immigration and Naturalization Service,  
Department of Justice.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6338; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:54 a.m.]

## Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

### Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

#### PART 203—BRIDGE REGULATIONS

##### Colorado River, Texas

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the River and Harbor Act of August 18, 1894 (28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499), paragraph (j) of § 203.245 is hereby amended redesignating subparagraph (25-a) as (25-b) and prescribing a new subparagraph (25-a) to govern the operation of the Texas Highway Department bridge across Colorado River near Wadsworth, Texas, as follows:

§ 203.245 Navigable waters discharging into the Atlantic Ocean south of and including Chesapeake Bay and into the Gulf of Mexico, except the Mississippi River and its tributaries and outlets; bridges where constant attendance of draw tenders is not required.

(j) *Waterways discharging into the Gulf of Mexico west of Mississippi River, \* \* \**

(25-a) Colorado River, Tex.; Texas Highway Department bridge near Wadsworth. At least 24 hours' advance notice required.

(25-b) Lavaca River, Tex.; St. Louis, Brownsville and Mexico Railway Company and Texas Highway Department bridges near Vanderbilt. At least 48 hours' advance notice required, except in emergencies, when the bridge will be opened as soon as possible after receipt of notice.

[Regs., June 28, 1960, 285/91 (Colorado River, Texas)—ENG CW-O] (Sec. 5, 28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499)

R. V. LEE,  
Major General, U.S. Army,  
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6336; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:54 a.m.]

## Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

### Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

#### APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2140]

[Anchorage 039106]

##### ALASKA

#### Withdrawing Lands for Use of the Federal Aviation Agency as an Air Navigation Facility

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and by virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 4 of

the act of May 24, 1928 (48 Stat. 729; 49 U.S.C. 214), it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights and the provisions of existing withdrawals, the following-described lands in Alaska are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral-leasing laws but not the disposal of materials under the act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604) as amended, and reserved for use of the Federal Aviation Agency in the maintenance of air-navigation facilities:

#### SUSITNA VALLEY AREA

An unsurveyed parcel of land located in what will be a portion of Sections 24 and 25 of T. 15 N., R. 6 W., Seward Meridian, when surveyed, and more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

Beginning at Monument "A" as marked by brass cap, at approximate latitude 61°22'10" N., and longitude 150°16'06" W., go south 450', thence west 450' to the point of beginning, thence

North, 1,400 feet;  
East, 200 feet;  
South, 200 feet;  
East, 1,000 feet;  
South, 1,200 feet;  
West, 1,000 feet;  
South, 1,600 feet;  
West, 200 feet;

North, 1,600 feet to the point of beginning.

The tract described contains 40.32 acres.

This order shall take precedence over but not otherwise affect the reserve created by Public Land Order No. 861 of September 3, 1952.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6309; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2141]

[Oregon 09947]

#### OREGON

#### Withdrawing Lands Near Redmond for Use of the Federal Aviation Agency as an Air Navigation Site

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 4 of the Act of May 24, 1928 (45 Stat. 729; 49 U.S.C. 214), it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands in Oregon are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, but not disposals of materials under the Act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604), as amended, and reserved under jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior for use of the Federal Aviation Agency in the maintenance of air navigation facilities, under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior:

#### WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 15 S., R. 12 E.,  
Sec. 21, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described aggregate 120 acres.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6310; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2142]

[Nevada 051663]

#### NEVADA

#### Withdrawing Public Lands for Use of the Federal Aviation Agency for an Air to Ground Radio Communication Facility

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 4 of the Act of May 24, 1928 (45 Stat. 729; 49 U.S.C. 214), it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws but not the disposal of materials under the Act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604), as amended, and reserved under jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior for use of the Federal Aviation Agency in the maintenance of air navigation facilities, under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior:

#### MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 3 N., R. 43 E.,  
Sec. 19, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described contain 160 acres.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6311; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2143]

[84091]

#### NEW MEXICO

#### Transferring Jurisdiction Over Certain Lands From the Department of the Interior to the Department of Agriculture for Use, Administration, and Disposition Under the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and in order to further effectuate the objectives of the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act of July 22, 1937 (50 Stat. 522, 525; 7 U.S.C. 1001, et seq.), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and upon the recommendation of the Secretary of Agriculture, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, jurisdiction over the following-described lands acquired by transfer from the Secretary of Agriculture under the provisions of Title III of the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act (7 U.S.C. 1011) (by Executive Order 10322 of January 26,

1952), together with the title and use records, water or water right, improvements, appurtenances, and structures acquired, constructed, or used in connection with the use and administration of such lands, is hereby transferred from the Department of the Interior to the Department of Agriculture for use, administration, and disposition under the provisions of section 32(c) of Title III of that act:

#### NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 26 S., R. 22 W.,  
Sec. 12, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The area described aggregates 40 acres.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6312; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2144]

[Sacramento 060256]

#### CALIFORNIA

#### Opening Lands Under Section 24 of the Federal Power Act (Power Site Classification No. 117)

1. In DA-837, California, the Federal Power Commission determined that the value of the following-described lands would not be injured or destroyed for purposes of power development by entry, location, or selection under the public land laws, subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, as amended:

#### MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 33 N., R. 9 W.,  
Sec. 6, lot 16;  
Sec. 7, lots 20 and 21 (formerly Lot 2).

The tracts described aggregate 51.15 acres.

2. The lands lie immediately northeast of the town of Weaverville, county seat of Trinity County. The soil consists of rocky, red clay loam of fair depth, supporting a growth of manzanita and scattered ponderosa pine.

3. Lot 21, section 7, is included in a mineral patent application (Sacramento 038029).

4. By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended, the lands described in paragraph 1 hereof, excepting lot 21, section 7, are hereby opened to location, entry, and selection under the public-land laws, subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, supra, and subject to valid existing rights, and the requirements of applicable law, in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public-land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public-land laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on August 5, 1960, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

5. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, and to location under the United States mining laws pursuant to the provisions of the act of August 11, 1955 (69 Stat. 682; 30 U.S.C. 621).

6. Persons claiming preference rights based on valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

7. The State of California has waived the preference rights granted to it by subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of July 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851-2), and section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, California.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6313; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2145]

[Idaho 010460]

## IDAHO

**Power Site Restoration No. 553;  
Partly Revoking Power Site Reserve  
No. 223; Opening Lands Subject  
to Section 24 of Federal Power Act**

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and as Secretary of the Interior it is ordered as follows:

1. The Executive Order of November 12, 1911, creating Power Site Reserve No. 223 is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following-described lands:

## BOISE MERIDIAN

T. 15 N., R. 19 E.,  
Sec. 25, lots 1, 3, 5, and 6;  
Sec. 35, lots 4 and 6.

The lands have been patented.

2. In DA-25 and DA-524 the Federal Power Commission made a favorable determination with respect to the following-described lands:

T. 15 N., R. 19 E.,  
Sec. 24, lots 4, 5, and 10;  
Sec. 25, lot 2;  
Sec. 34, lots 1, 2, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 35, lots 1, 3, 5, and 7, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
T. 15 N., R. 20 E.,  
Sec. 3, lots 1 and 3, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 7, lots 5 and 6, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 9, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7;  
Sec. 10, lots 2, 3, 4, and 5;  
Sec. 17, lots 1 and 2, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 18, lots 1 and 2, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
T. 16 N., R. 20 E.,  
Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 24, lots 5 and 8, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 34, lots 2, 5, 6, and 7, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
T. 16 N., R. 21 E.,  
Sec. 5, lots 2 and 10;  
Sec. 8, lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8;  
Sec. 17, lots 1, 2, and 3, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 18, lots 3, 4, 5, 6, and 10.  
T. 15 N., R. 26 E.,  
Sec. 19, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 29, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 31, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 32, W $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Aggregating 2,855.84 acres.

3. Subject to any valid existing rights, the requirements of applicable law, and the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, the lands described in Paragraph 2 of this order are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections, and locations as follows:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections, and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Until 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960, the State of Idaho shall have a preferred right of application to select the lands in accordance with and subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of section 2 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851-2), and the regulations in 43 CFR. During this period the State may also apply for the reservation to it or to any of its political subdivisions, under any law or regulation applicable thereto, of any of the lands required for rights-of-way or materials sites in accordance with the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended.

(2) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on August 5, 1960, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

4. With respect to all the lands, except those in T. 15 N., R. 26 E., this opening is subject also to the further condition that should the lands be required for power development purposes, any structure or improvements placed thereon found to interfere with such development shall be removed or relocated at no expense or liability to the United States, its permittees or licensees.

5. The lands have been open to applications under the mineral leasing laws and to location under the United States mining laws.

6. The following-described lands are a part of the Salmon National Forest and are opened by this order to such forms of disposition not heretofore allowable as may by law be made of national forest lands:

T. 15 N., R. 26 E.,  
Sec. 31, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 32, W $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho.

ROGER ERNST,  
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6314; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2146]

[Montana 033171]

## MONTANA

**Partially Revoking Withdrawals for  
Reclamation Purposes (Milk River  
Project)**

By virtue of the authority contained in section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), it is ordered as follows:

1. The departmental orders of April 5, 1902 and October 15, 1904, reserving lands for reclamation purposes in connection with the Milk River Project in Montana, are hereby revoked so far as they affect the following-described lands:

## PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 29 N., R. 12 E.,  
Sec. 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 23, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described contain 480 acres.

2. The lands are located in Chouteau County, Montana, seven miles northwest of Big Sandy. The lands are gently undulating to gently sloping in topography and have a silty sandy loam soil with some rocks.

3. Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections and locations under the public land laws in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws, and applications and offers under the mineral-leasing laws may be presented to the Manager named below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections and offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation, will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) Until 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960, the State of Montana shall have a preferred right of application to select the lands in accordance with and subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851, 852), and the regulations in 43 CFR.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws other than from the State of Montana presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections and offers filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands shall be open to location under the United States mining laws at 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960.

4. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Billings, Montana.

ROGER ERNST,

*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6315; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:51 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2147]

[82163]

## ARIZONA

### Revoking in Part Certain Reclamation Withdrawals (Sentinel and Colorado River Storage Projects)

By virtue of the authority contained in Section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), it is ordered as follows:

1. The departmental orders of October 18, 1912, November 16, 1918, and March 14, 1929, so far as they reserved the following-described lands in the first

No. 132—4

form for reclamation purposes, are hereby revoked:

#### GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 14 W.,  
Sec. 24, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and W $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Containing 480 acres.

2. Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands are hereby opened to filing applications, selections and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Until 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960, the State of Arizona shall have a preferred right of application to select the lands in accordance with and subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851-2), and the regulations in 43 CFR 270.

(1) All applications under the nonmineral public land laws, other than from the State, filed at or before 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those thereafter filed shall be considered in the order of filing.

(2) All applications under subparagraph (1) above, shall be subject to those from persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing law, and equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation.

3. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws. They shall be open to location under the United States mining laws at 10:00 a.m. on December 30, 1960.

4. Applicants claiming preference rights must submit evidence of their entitlement.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona.

ROGER ERNST,

*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6316; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2148]

[1218080]

[Nevada 047449]

## NEVADA

### Partially Revoking the Executive Order of April 17, 1926, Which Created Public Water Reserve No. 107

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Executive order of April 17, 1926, creating Public Water Reserve No. 107, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following-described lands in Nevada:

#### MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 24 N., R. 65 E.,  
Sec. 31, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 32, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The areas described contain 120 acres.  
2. The lands are located approximately 50 miles north of Ely, Nevada,

along the western foothills of the Schell Creek Range within the boundaries of the Ely Grazing District. Elevation averages 7,000 feet and the growing season is short. Vegetation consists of big sage, scattered pinion and juniper.

3. Subject to any existing valid rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections, and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on August 5, 1960, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral-leasing laws, and to location for metalliferous minerals. They will be open to location for nonmetalliferous minerals under the United States mining laws beginning at 10:00 a.m. on August 5, 1960.

4. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Reno, Nevada.

ROGER ERNST,

*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JUNE 30, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6317; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2149]

[82271]

[Oregon 06778]

## OREGON

### Modifying the Boundaries of the Umpqua and Willamette National Forests

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by the act of June 4, 1897 (30 Stat. 34, 36; 16 U.S.C. 473), and pur-

suant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

1. So much of the following-described lands as were not eliminated from the Umpqua National Forest by the joint order of the Secretaries of Agriculture and of the Interior, signed respectively June 12, 1956, and June 21, 1956 (21 F.R. 4525-30), and as subsequently amended and modified, are hereby eliminated from the said forest:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

- T. 20 S., R. 1 E.,  
Sec. 31, lots 2, 3, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- T. 22 S., R. 1 W.,  
Secs. 1 to 5, incl., and 7 to 36 incl.
- T. 23 S., R. 1 W.,  
Sec. 1, lots 3 and 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 2 to 11, incl.;  
Sec. 12, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 14 to 23, incl.;  
Sec. 24, NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 26, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$  and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 27 to 29, incl.;  
Sec. 30, lots 2 and 19;  
Sec. 32, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 34;  
Sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- T. 24 S., R. 1 W.,  
Sec. 1, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 2 to 4, incl.;  
Sec. 6, lots 1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, and 22, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 10 to 13, incl.;  
Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 18, lots 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 20, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 24;  
Sec. 26, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 34, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- T. 25 S., R. 1 W.,  
Sec. 4, lots 1 and 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 6, lots 7, 8, 17, and 18;  
Sec. 10, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 14, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 18, lots 2 and 5, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 24 and 25;  
Sec. 26, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 30, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- T. 27 S., R. 2 W.,  
Secs. 7 and 8;  
Sec. 9, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 16 to 21, incl., and 28 to 31, incl.;  
Sec. 32, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 33, N $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- T. 28 S., R. 2 W.,  
Secs. 31 and 32;  
Sec. 33, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- T. 29 S., R. 2 W.,  
Secs. 4 to 9, incl.;  
Sec. 10, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 15, W $\frac{1}{2}$  and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 16 to 22, incl.;  
Sec. 26, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 27 to 34, incl.;  
Sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- T. 28 S., R. 3 W.,  
Secs. 19 to 23, incl., and 25 to 36, incl.
- T. 31 S., R. 3 W.,  
Secs. 1, and 9 to 11, incl.;  
Sec. 12, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 14, 15, 22, and 23;  
Sec. 24, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 25, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 27, N $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 28, lots 1, 2, 3, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ , and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 29.

- T. 32 S., R. 3 W.,  
Secs. 6 and 7;  
Sec. 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 17, W $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 18;  
Sec. 20, lots 4, 5, and 12;  
Sec. 30;  
Sec. 34, lots 2, 3, and 4.
- T. 33 S., R. 3 W.,  
Sec. 4, lot 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ , and S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 5, 8, 17, 18, 20.
- T. 31 S., R. 4 W.,  
Secs. 18, 19, 22 to 24, incl., 26 to 30, incl., 32 and 34.
- T. 32 S., R. 4 W.,  
Secs. 10, 14, 15, and 20, 22 to 30, incl., and 32 to 36, incl.
- T. 31 S., R. 5 W.,  
Secs. 2 to 14, incl., secs. 18, 23, 24, 26, 34, and 35.
- T. 32 S., R. 5 W.,  
Secs. 4 to 8, incl., and sec. 18.
- T. 33 S., R. 5 W.,  
Secs. 2, 4, 10, 11, 12, 14, 18, 20, 22 to 24, incl., 26 to 30, incl., 32 and 34.
- T. 25 S., R. 8 W.,  
Secs. 3 to 10, incl., 17 to 20, incl., and secs. 29 to 32 incl.
- T. 24 S., R. 9 W.,  
Sec. 10;  
Sec. 15, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Secs. 22, 27, and 34.

The areas described aggregate approximately 165,088 acres.

2. So much of the following-described lands as now lie within the boundaries of the Willamette National Forest are hereby transferred to and made a part of the Umpqua National Forest:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

- T. 20 S., R. 1 E.,  
Sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

Containing approximately 80 acres.

3. The boundaries of the Umpqua and Willamette National Forests are hereby adjusted to the extent necessary to conform with the exclusions made by paragraph 1 of this order, and the transfer made by paragraph 2, and with the joint order in 21 F.R. 4525-30, supra.

4. The lands eliminated from the Umpqua National Forest by paragraph 1 hereof, are either privately owned or revested Oregon and California railroad grant lands. The revested lands shall continue to be subject to such forms of appropriation as may by law be made of such lands.

ROGER ERNST,

*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

JULY 1, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6318; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

## Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

### Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

#### PART 2—FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS; GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

##### Frequency Allocations

The Commission having under consideration the desirability of making certain editorial changes in § 2.104(a) (5) of its rules and regulations; and

It appearing that the Commission's Second Memorandum Report and Order in Docket No. 11959, FCC-58-439, adopted May 8, 1958, inadvertently changed the frequency limits shown in footnote NG6 to the table of frequency allocations, whereas no change was proposed or intended; and

It further appearing that the amendments adopted herein are editorial in nature and, therefore, prior publication of notice of proposed rule making under the provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary, and the amendments may become effective immediately; and

It further appearing that the amendments adopted herein are issued pursuant to authority contained in sections 4(i), 5(d) (1) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 0.341(a) of the Commission's Statement of Organization, Delegations of Authority and Other Information;

*It is ordered*, This 5th day of July 1960, that effective July 11, 1960, footnote NG6 in § 2.104(a) (5) of the Commission's rules and regulations is amended to read as follows:

#### § 2.104 Frequency allocations.

- (a) \* \* \*  
(5) \* \* \*

NG6 The use of the frequencies in the band 152.87-153.35 Mc may be authorized, in any area, to remote pickup broadcast base and mobile stations on the condition that harmful interference will not be caused to the industrial radio services.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Released: July 5, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6343; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:54 a.m.]

## Title 46—SHIPPING

### Chapter I—Coast Guard, Department of the Treasury

[CGFR 60-48]

#### SUBCHAPTER B—MERCHANT MARINE OFFICERS AND SEAMEN

#### PART 10—LICENSING OF OFFICERS AND MOTORBOAT OPERATORS AND REGISTRATION OF STAFF OFFICERS

##### Subpart 10.05—Professional Requirements for Deck Officers' Licenses (Inspected Vessels)

U.S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION SCHOOL, FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA, COURSE AS "RADAR OBSERVER"; NOTICE OF APPROVAL

The course of instruction in the proper operation and utilization of marine radar equipment at the U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Eustis, Virginia, was reviewed after receipt of a letter dated April 22, 1960, from Headquarters, U.S. Army Transportation

School, Fort Eustis, Virginia, to Chief of Transportation, Department of the Army, with First Endorsement dated May 3, 1960, of the Deputy-Chief, Training Organization, and Operations Division, for The Chief of Transportation. It was also requested that the Coast Guard accept the certificates issued to men attesting to successful completion of a course of instruction at the U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Eustis, Virginia, as evidence of such holder's qualifications as a "radar observer," so that such holders need not take further examinations.

The regulation designated 46 CFR 10.05-46(d) (3) is added by this document in order to inform all persons concerned that the course of instruction in the proper operation and utilization of marine radar equipment is approved as given at the U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Eustis, Virginia. The holders of certificates of successful completion of such course of instruction attesting to such successful completion on or after

June 6, 1960, may present such certificates as evidence of qualification as "radar observer" and be exempt from taking the examination as specified in 46 CFR 10.05-46(b).

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, United States Coast Guard, by Treasury Department Orders 120, dated July 31, 1950 (15 F.R. 6521), 167-14, dated November 26, 1954 (19 F.R. 8026), 167-20, dated June 18, 1956 (21 F.R. 4894), and CGFR 56-28, dated July 24, 1956 (21 F.R. 5659), to promulgate regulations in accordance with the statutes cited with the regulation below, the following amendment designated § 10.05-46(d) (3) is prescribed and shall become effective upon the date set forth therein:

§ 10.05-46 Radar observer.

(d) \* \* \*

(3) The course of instruction in the proper operation and utilization of marine radar equipment is approved as

given at the U.S. Army Transportation School, Fort Eustis, Virginia. This approval shall be effective for all certificates issued to men attesting to the successful completion of the course in the proper operation and utilization of marine radar equipment on or after June 6, 1960, and will continue in effect until this approval is suspended, canceled, or modified by proper authority.

(R.S. 4405, as amended, 4462, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 375, 416. Interpret or apply R.S. 4417a, as amended, 4426, as amended, 4438, as amended, 4439, as amended, 4440, as amended, 4442, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 49 Stat. 1544, 1545, as amended, sec. 3, 54 Stat. 347, as amended, sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484, sec. 3, 68 Stat. 676, sec. 3, 70 Stat. 152; 46 U.S.C. 391a, 404, 224, 226, 228, 214, 367, 1333, 239b, 390b, 50 U.S.C. 198)

Dated: June 30, 1960.

[SEAL] J. A. HIRSHFIELD,  
Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,  
Acting Commandant.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6329; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making

## FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[ 14 CFR Part 507 ]

[Reg. Doc. 440]

### AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

#### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 405.27, 24 F.R. 2196), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal to amend Part 507 of the regulations of the Administrator to include an airworthiness directive requiring replacement of the generator static exciter terminal blocks in Lockheed 188 Series aircraft with a type less susceptible to burning.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. All communications received on or before August 9, 1960, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available, in the Docket Section, for examination by interested persons when the prescribed date for return of comments has expired. This proposal will not be given further distribution as a draft release.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 507.10(a), (14 CFR Part 507), by adding the following:

**LOCKHEED.** Applies to all Lockheed Model 188 Series aircraft with General Electric Models 3S2795H109B11 and 3S2795H109-B1A1 static exciters installed.

Compliance required not later than December 1, 1960.

The insulating material in the generator static exciter terminal blocks has been burned by heat generated as a result of a loose terminal connector.

Replace the terminal blocks with General Electric Types 667C17463 and 667C17464 which have improved flame retardant characteristics.

(General Electric Service Bulletin No. 6—Lockheed Electra AC Generating System Controls—covers the procedure for replacement of the terminal blocks. Service Bulletin No. 6 was originally prepared to provide replacement procedures for broken terminal blocks. Accordingly, the sentence, "It is not recommended that static exciter terminal blocks be replaced unless original terminal blocks are damaged mechanically." shall be disregarded.)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

OSCAR BAKKE,  
Director, Bureau of  
Flight Standards.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6271; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

### [ 14 CFR Parts 600, 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-49]

### FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROL AREAS AND REPORTING POINTS

#### Revocation and Modification of Segments of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to §§ 600.220, 601.220 and 601.4220 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

Red Federal airway No. 20 extends in part from the intersection of the south course of the Youngstown, Ohio, radio range and the northwest course of the Pittsburgh, Pa., radio range to the Pittsburgh radio range station, and from the intersection of the southeast course of the Pittsburgh radio range and the northwest course of the Washington, D.C., radio range to the Washington radio range station. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration revocation of these segments of Red 20. The Federal Aviation Agency IFR peak-day airway traffic survey for the period July 1, 1958, through June 30, 1959 showed less than seven aircraft movements on these segments of Red 20. On the basis of this survey it appears that the retention of these airway segments as an assignment of airspace is unjustified and the revocation thereof would be in the public interest. Concurrently with this action, the Federal Aviation Agency is considering modification of the terminating segment of Red 20 which is presently designated from the Washington radio range station to the intersection of the southeast course of the Washington radio range with Red Federal airway No. 77. It is proposed to redescribe this segment to terminate at the intersection of the southeast course of the Washington radio range and the northeast course of the Tappahannock, Va., radio range in order to eliminate reference to Red 77 since the segment of Red 77 from the intersection of the southeast course of the Andrews, Md., AFB, radio range to the Dover, Del., AFB non-directional radio beacon is being considered for revocation. This would be a change in description only and would not alter

the length of this segment of Red 20 or its associated control areas. It is also proposed to modify the caption to § 601.4220 relating to Red 20 reporting points to conform to the redesignated airway. In addition, the Columbiana, Ohio, intersection (intersection of the south course of the Youngstown, Ohio, radio range and the northwest course of the Pittsburgh, Pa., radio range), and the Shenandoah, W. Va., intersection (intersection of the east course of the Martinsburg, W. Va., radio range and the northwest course of the Washington, D.C., radio range) would be revoked as designated reporting points.

If these actions are taken, the segments of Red Federal airway No. 20 and associated control areas from the intersection of the south course of the Youngstown, Ohio, radio range and the northwest course of the Pittsburgh, Pa., radio range to the Pittsburgh radio range station, and from the intersection of the southeast course of the Pittsburgh radio range and the northwest course of the Washington, D.C., radio range to the Washington radio range station would be revoked. The terminating segment of Red Federal airway No. 20 would be redescribed to extend from the Washington radio range station to the intersection of the southeast course of the Washington radio range and the northeast course of the Tappahannock, Va., radio range. The caption to § 601.4220 would be amended to conform to the modified airway. The Columbiana, Ohio, and Shenandoah, W. Va., designated reporting points would be revoked.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York

Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6281; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-FW-42]

### CONTROL AREAS

#### Designation of Area Extension

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 601 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal by the Department of Navy to designate a control area extension at New Iberia, La., to include the airspace south of VOR Federal airway No. 20 south alternate, within a 35-mile radius of the New Iberia Naval Auxiliary Air Station. The designation of this control area extension would provide protection for aircraft executing standard departure and arrival procedures on the 126°, 132°, 166°, 232°, and 252° True radials of the New Iberia TACAN and the 156° True radial of the New Iberia VOR. It would also provide protection for aircraft holding on the 166° True radial of the TACAN.

If this action is taken, the New Iberia, La., control area extension would be designated to include the airspace within a 35-mile radius of the New Iberia Naval Auxiliary Air Station (latitude 30°02'15" N., longitude 91°53'02" W.), south of VOR Federal airway No. 20 south alternate.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part

of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6272; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-19]

### CONTROL AREAS

#### Designation of Extension

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 601 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal by the Air Transport Association for the designation of a control area extension at Staunton, Va., bounded on the southwest, northwest and northeast by a line extending from a point on the northern boundary of VOR Federal airway No. 140 at latitude 37°58'00" N., longitude 79°08'45" W., to latitude 38°06'30" N., longitude 79°13'45" W.; thence to latitude 38°25'00" N., longitude 79°00'45" W.; thence to the northern boundary of Victor 140 at latitude 38°15'15" N., longitude 78°39'45" W.; bounded on the southeast by the northern boundary of Victor 140. This control area extension would provide protection for aircraft operating under instrument flight rule conditions while conducting arrival and departure procedures to and from the Shenandoah Valley Airport, Staunton, Va.

If this action is taken, the Staunton, Va., control area extension would be designated as that airspace bounded on the southwest, northwest and northeast by a line extending from a point on the northern boundary of VOR Federal airway No. 140 at latitude 37°58'00" N., longitude 79°08'45" W., to latitude 38°06'30" N., longitude 79°13'45" W.; thence to latitude 38°25'00" N., longitude 79°00'45" W.; thence to the northern boundary of VOR Federal airway No. 140 at latitude 38°15'15" N., longitude 78°39'45" W.; bounded on the southeast by the northern boundary of VOR Federal airway No. 140.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6273; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-FW-16]

### CONTROL ZONES

#### Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 601.2270 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Enid, Okla., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Okla., within 2 miles either side of a line bearing 044° True extending from the Vance AFB nondirectional radio beacon to a point 10 miles northeast, and within 2 miles either side of a line extending from the Vance AFB through the Vance AFB VOR to a point 10 miles northwest of the VOR. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal by the Department of Air Force to modify the Enid control zone. The Department of Air Force advises that the Vance AFB radio beacon has been relocated and the instrument approach procedures based on

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

the beacon, at the original location, have been cancelled. Therefore, the north-east control zone extension is no longer required and is proposed for revocation. It is also proposed to designate a control zone extension within 2 miles either side of the 185° True radial of the Vance AFB TACAN (latitude 36°20'45" N., longitude 97°55'10" W.), extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 9 miles south of the TACAN. This modification would provide protection for aircraft conducting prescribed instrument approaches to Vance AFB. In addition, it is proposed to reduce the length of the control zone extension to the northwest by redesignating it within 2 miles either side of the 134° True radial of the Vance AFB VOR extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR. Instrument approach procedures based on the VOR are being revised so that the portion of the extension northwest of the VOR would no longer be required for the protection of aircraft.

If these actions are taken, the Enid, Okla., control zone would be designated within a 5-mile radius of the Vance Air Force Base (latitude 36°20'20" N., longitude 97°55'00" W.), within 2 miles either side of the 185° True radial of the Vance AFB TACAN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 9 miles south of the TACAN and within 2 miles either side of the 134° True radial of the Vance AFB VOR, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth 1, Tex. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6274; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-88]

## CONTROL ZONES

## Withdrawal of Proposal To Modify

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-88 on December 29, 1959 (24 F.R. 10917), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the Oklahoma City, Okla., control zone by revoking the control zone extension 2 miles either side of a direct line between Will Rogers Municipal Airport and Tinker Air Force Base. Subsequent to publication of this Notice it has been determined that modification of standard instrument approach procedures at Will Rogers Airport and Tinker AFB may be accomplished which would provide for additional reduction of the control zone. Therefore, this Notice is being withdrawn pending approval of the modified instrument approach procedures, and a new Notice proposing redesignation of this control zone will be published in the near future.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the proposal contained in Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-88 is withdrawn.

Sections 304(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6276; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-KC-63]

## CONTROL ZONES

## Withdrawal of Proposal to Modify

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 13, 1960 (25 F.R. 251), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a control zone at Kokomo, Ind., within a 5-mile radius of Kokomo Municipal Airport, and within 2 miles either side of the 136° True bearing of the Kokomo radio beacon, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles southeast of the radio beacon. In view of the fact that weather reporting service will not be available on a continuous basis at the Kokomo Municipal Airport, the proposal contained in the notice is being withdrawn.

In consideration of the foregoing, the notice of proposed rule making contained in Airspace Docket No. 59-KC-63 is hereby withdrawn.

Sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752, 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6277; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

## [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-NY-63]

## CONTROL ZONES

## Modification

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 601.2302 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration a proposal by the Department of the Navy to modify the Willow Grove, Pa., control zone by designating an extension within 2 miles either side of the Yardley, Pa., VOR 246° True radial from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR to provide protection for aircraft executing VOR standard instrument approaches to the Johnsville NAS. The Willow Grove, Pa., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of a point located at latitude 40°11'40" N., longitude 75°06'25" W., and within 2 miles either side of the northeast and northwest courses of the Willow Grove (Navy) radio range extending from the radio range station to points 10 miles northeast and northwest.

Concurrently, the Federal Aviation Agency proposes to additionally modify this control zone by increasing the present northwest and northeast extensions to 12 miles northwest and northeast of the radio range in order to provide adequate protection for aircraft executing radio range standard instrument approaches.

If these actions are taken, the Willow Grove, Pa., control zone would be designated within a 5-mile radius of a point at latitude 40°11'40" N., longitude 75°06'25" W., within 2 miles either side of the northeast and northwest courses of the Willow Grove (Navy) radio range extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 12 miles northeast and northwest of the radio range, and within 2 miles either side of the 246° True radial of the Yardley, Pa., VOR extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Federal Building, New York International Airport, Jamaica 30, N.Y. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace

Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6278; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-69]

### CONTROL ZONES AND CONTROL AREAS

#### Modification and Extension

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to §§ 601.2083 and 601.1088 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

The Alexandria, Minn., control zone is presently designated within a 5-mile radius of the Alexandria Municipal Airport extending 2 miles either side of the north course of the Alexandria radio range to a point 10 miles north of the radio range, and within 2 miles either side of the 230° and 050° True radials of the Alexandria VOR extending from the Alexandria Airport control zone to a point 10 miles northeast of the VOR. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the modification of the Alexandria control zone by revoking the control zone extension to the north based on the north course of the radio range, realigning the northeast control zone extension based on the VOR, and revoking the portion of the northeast control zone extension which lies northeast of the VOR. The prescribed instrument approach procedure based on the Alexandria radio range is being cancelled. Therefore, the control zone extension based on the radio range would no longer be required for the protection of aircraft, and would be revoked. The prescribed instrument approach procedure based on the Alexandria VOR is being revised to eliminate the requirement for the portion of the control zone extension northeast of the VOR. In addition a one degree change in the alignment of the

control zone extension would be necessary to provide adequate protection for aircraft executing the revised instrument approach procedure. Accordingly, the northeast extension of the Alexandria control zone would be redesignated within 2 miles either side of the Alexandria VOR 231° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR.

The Alexandria control area extension is presently designated from the Alexandria radio range extending 5 miles either side of the north course of the Alexandria radio range to 20 miles north of the radio range; within a 15-mile radius of the Alexandria VOR; and within 5 miles either side of the Alexandria VOR 050° True radial extending from the VOR to a point 25 miles northeast. The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration modifying the Alexandria control area extension by redesignating it within a 15-mile radius of the Alexandria Municipal Airport and within 5 miles either side of the Alexandria VOR 051° True radial extending from the 15-mile radius area to 15 miles northeast of the VOR. This modification would provide protection for aircraft arriving and departing the Alexandria Municipal Airport during instrument flight rule conditions. It would also reduce the control area extension northeast of the Alexandria VOR.

If these actions are taken, the Alexandria, Minn., control zone would be designated within a 5-mile radius of the Alexandria Municipal Airport (latitude 45°52'15" N., longitude 95°24'00" W.), and within two miles either side of the Alexandria VOR 231° True radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the VOR. The Alexandria control area extension would be designated within a 15-mile radius of the Alexandria Municipal Airport and within five miles either side of the Alexandria VOR 051° True radial extending from the 15-mile radius area to 15 miles northeast of the VOR.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Management Field Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW.,

Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Management Field Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
Acting Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6279; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 601 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-172]

### POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

#### Designation of Positive Control Area and Redesignation of Positive Control Route Segments

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 601 and § 601.8001 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 7, 1960 (25 F.R. 4082), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed the adoption of Special Civil Air Regulation No. SR424-C (Draft Release 60-9), to provide for the implementation of positive control of aircraft on an area basis. In Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-65, published as a Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 26, 1960 (25 F.R. 4653), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to designate a positive control area in the vicinity of Wilmington, Ohio, bounded on the north by latitude 39°30'00" N., on the south by latitude 38°48'30" N., on the east by longitude 82°00'00" W., and on the west by longitude 83°02'00" W., extending from 24,000 feet MSL to Flight Level 600. This would provide protection for aircraft conducting flight test activities for Wright Air Development Center, Dayton, Ohio, and would permit optimum use of the area by other aircraft.

The Federal Aviation Agency has under consideration the designation of a positive control area encompassing the approximate area of radar coverage of the Chicago, Ill., Indianapolis, Ind., and London, Ohio, long range radar facilities and extending from 24,000 feet MSL to Flight Level 350. The positive control area described herein is the area selected for the initial implementation and evaluation of the positive control area concept. This area was selected because it presents a representative mixture of all types of aircraft operations and an evaluation of positive control in this area

should provide a sound basis for expansion of this concept.

It is also proposed to amend the definition of positive control route segments in § 601.8001 to establish a ceiling of 24,000 feet MSL on the portions of positive control route segments which would underlie positive control area. This would eliminate a potential hazard created by uncontrolled aircraft intermingling between 22,000 and 24,000 feet MSL with aircraft transitioning between the underlying positive control routes and the positive control area.

The proposed Wilmington, Ohio, positive control area (Airspace Docket 60-WA-65) would be contained within the lateral limits of the positive control area under consideration in this docket. However, the Wilmington area would extend vertically above Flight Level 350 to Flight Level 600. This positive control area would appear to coincide with several restricted areas, however, prohibited and restricted areas are excluded from positive control areas by definition.

If these actions are taken, the Chicago, Ill.-Indianapolis, Ind., positive control area would be designated as follows:

That area bounded by a line beginning at latitude 41°45'30" N., longitude 83°19'45" W.,  
 thence to latitude 41°39'30" N., longitude 83°15'15" W.,  
 thence to latitude 41°00'00" N., longitude 82°30'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 40°32'00" N., longitude 81°40'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 39°12'00" N., longitude 81°25'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 38°40'00" N., longitude 82°00'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 37°51'00" N., longitude 84°00'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 37°40'00" N., longitude 87°30'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 39°45'00" N., longitude 88°11'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 40°18'00" N., longitude 89°00'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 41°00'00" N., longitude 89°50'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 42°00'00" N., longitude 90°15'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 43°00'00" N., longitude 90°00'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 43°25'00" N., longitude 89°00'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 43°20'00" N., longitude 87°43'20" W.,  
 thence to latitude 43°14'20" N., longitude 87°44'30" W.,  
 thence to latitude 43°14'55" N., longitude 87°30'00" W.,  
 thence to latitude 41°53'00" N., longitude 86°08'00" W.,  
 thence to the point of beginning, extending upward from 24,000 feet MSL to Flight Level 350.

In § 601.8001 Positive control route segments, the sentence following the section caption would be amended to read as follows: "The portions of Federal airways described in this subpart and their associated control areas between 17,000 and 22,000 feet MSL inclusive are designated as positive control route segments; the portions of positive control route segments which underlie positive control areas (Part 601, Subpart J) are designated to include the altitudes from

17,000 to but not including 24,000 feet MSL."

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. All communications received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

CHARLES W. CARMODY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
 Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6280; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
 8:47 a.m.]

#### [ 14 CFR Part 602 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-22]

#### JET ROUTES

##### Withdrawal of Proposal To Modify

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-22 on March 5, 1960 (25 F.R. 1966), it was proposed to modify VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 31 by realigning it from Houston, Tex., to Texarkana, Ark. Subsequent to publication of this notice, it has been determined that the modified J-31-V would have insufficient lateral spacing from high altitude terminal operations in the Shreveport, La., area if realigned as proposed therein.

In consideration of the foregoing, the notice of proposed rule making contained in Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-22 is hereby withdrawn.

Sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752, 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

GEORGE S. CASSADY,  
*Acting Director, Bureau of  
 Air Traffic Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6282; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
 8:47 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Employment Security

[ 20 CFR Parts 602, 604 ]

### UNITED STATES EMPLOYMENT SERVICE

#### Referral of Agricultural Workers in Labor Dispute Situations

A series of labor disputes in agriculture is now in progress in California. Regulations governing the cooperation of the United States Employment Service and States in establishing and maintaining a national system of public employment offices (20 CFR Part 602) provide:

§ 602.2 *Placement services.*

(b) No person shall be referred to a position the filling of which will aid directly or indirectly in filling a job which (1) is vacant because the former occupant is on strike or is being locked out in the course of a labor dispute, or (2) the filling of which is an issue in a labor dispute. With respect to positions not covered by subparagraph (1) or (2) of this paragraph, any individual may be referred to a place of employment in which a labor dispute exists, provided he is given written notice of such dispute prior to or at the time of his referral.

Identical provision is made in expressing the policies of the United States Employment Service (20 CFR 604.1(i)).

Agricultural employers actually or potentially involved in these disputes have complained that the prohibition against referrals in these regulations operates unfairly to their disadvantage, because of the perishable nature of their product and the lack of a permanent labor force on any single farm sufficient to harvest its crop. They request that the prohibition be revoked or curtailed insofar as it applies to agriculture. Representatives of the agricultural employees involved have responded, however, that any such revocation or curtailment would involve use of the employment service to recruit strikebreakers. Sharply conflicting factual descriptions of the precise way these regulations operate in such disputes have been presented by the contending groups. I have concluded that each of them and any other person who has an interest should be given an opportunity to hear, examine, and respond to the presentation of the others in proceedings so expedited that any relief demonstrated to be necessary can be granted in season to be effective.

Accordingly, under the authority of section 12, 48 Stat. 117, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 49k, and in accordance with section 4(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1004(b)), notice is hereby given that any interested person may appear before Hearing Examiner Clifford P. Grant at 10:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., July 21, 1960, in hearing room A, Departmental Auditorium, Constitution Avenue, between 13th and 14th Streets NW., Washington, District of Columbia, to present orally data, views, and argument on the question whether the prohibition against referrals in labor dispute situa-

tions expressed in 20 CFR 602.2(b) and 604.1(i) should be revoked or curtailed insofar as it applies to agricultural employment.

Every interested person shall have the right to present his data by oral or documentary evidence, to submit such rebuttal evidence and to conduct such cross examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Any interested person who cannot appear in person may submit such data, views, and argument in writing (4 copies) to the Chief Hearing Examiner, United States Department of Labor, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., not later than July 18, 1960, where they will be kept available for examination during usual business hours by any other interested person. Oral presentations shall be reported and transcripts will be made available upon request to any interested person on such terms as the Hearing Examiner may provide.

The Hearing Examiner shall have the authority and the duty to administer oaths and affirmations, rule upon offers of proof and receive relevant evidence, regulate the course of the hearing, and dispose of procedural requests or similar matters. Opportunity shall be granted any interested person at the close of the hearing to comment orally on the record as a whole, but provision will not be made to keep the record open for subsequent comment. As soon as the transcription of oral evidence and argument is made, it, together with such written exhibits as may be received in evidence and written submissions filed as herein above provided, shall be certified to the Secretary of Labor for final decision without any proposed or tentative findings, conclusions, or recommendations. The Secretary will give careful consideration to all relevant matter thus presented, together with such other information as may be available to him and will make such amendment to 20 CFR 602.2(b) and 604.1(i) as he may determine to be appropriate.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of July 1960.

JAMES T. O'CONNELL,  
Acting Secretary of Labor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6415; Filed, July 7, 1960; 11:02 a.m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[ 17 CFR Parts 230, 270 ]

### DEFINITION OF PUBLIC OFFERING IN THE CASE OF A SMALL BUSINESS INVESTMENT COMPANY UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES

#### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission has under consideration the amendment of § 230.151 (Rule 151) under the Securities Act of 1933 and § 270.3c-1 (Rule 3c-1) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 which define the term "public offering" to exclude under certain conditions the offering of the stock of small business investment companies to small business concerns pursuant to the requirements of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958.

Under the former section 304(d) of the Small Business Investment Act, whenever a small business investment company provided capital to a small business concern, the small business investment company was required to offer, and the small business concern was required to purchase, a certain amount of stock of the small business investment company. Public Law 86-502 amends section 304(d) of the Small Business Investment Act, now designated section 304(c), by eliminating the requirement that a small business concern receiving capital from a small business investment company must purchase stock of the investment company. Under the amended provisions of the Act, a small business concern has the right to acquire such stock when capital is provided, but the exercise of such right is optional with the small business concern. The purpose of the amendments to §§ 230.151 and 270.3c-1 (Rules 151 and 3c-1) is to conform the provisions of those rules to the amended provisions of the Act.

The foregoing action is proposed pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, particularly section 19(a) thereof, and the Investment Company Act of 1940, particularly section 38(a) thereof.

The rules as proposed to be amended read as follows:

§ 230.151 Definition of certain terms used in section 4(l) of the Act.

The term "transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering" as

used in section 4(1) of the Act, shall be deemed to include the offer or sale by a small business investment company, licensed under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, of its capital stock to a small business concern in not more than the amount which the small business concern has the right to acquire, with respect to the particular transaction, under the provisions of section 304(c) of such Act and the rules and regulations thereunder: *Provided*, That:

(a) The offer or sale is made only in connection with, and as a condition to, the providing of capital to the small business concern by the small business investment company; and

(b) The capital stock acquired by the small business concern in connection with the offer and sale is purchased for investment and not with a view to the distribution thereof.

§ 270.3c-1 Definition of certain terms used in section 3(c) (1) of the Act.

The term "public offering" as used in section 3(c) (1) of the Act shall not be deemed to include the offer or sale by a small business investment company, licensed under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, of its capital stock to a small business concern in not more than the amount which the small business concern has the right to acquire, with respect to the particular transaction, under the provisions of section 304(c) of such Act and the rules and regulations thereunder: *Provided*, That:

(a) The offer or sale is made only in connection with, and as a condition to, the providing of capital to the small business concern by the small business investment company; and

(b) The capital stock acquired by the small business concern in connection with the offer and sale is purchased for investment and not with a view to the distribution thereof.

All interested persons are invited to submit views and comments on the proposed amendments, in writing, to the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C., on or before August 1, 1960. All such views and comments will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,  
Secretary.

JULY 1, 1960.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6319; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:52 a.m.]

# Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management  
ALASKA

### Small Tract Classification Orders Nos. 13, 67, and 101; Partial Cancellation

JUNE 28, 1960.

By virtue of the authority contained in the Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609; 43 U.S.C. 682a), as amended, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by Bureau Order 541, dated April 21, 1954 (19 F.R. 2473), as amended, it is ordered as follows:

1. Effective at 10:00 a.m. June 28, 1960, Small Tract Classification Order No. 101, dated June 24, 1955, is cancelled insofar as it affects the following described lands in U.S. Survey No. 3317 near Sitka, Alaska: Tract A, Lots 2, 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 18.

2. Effective at 10:00 a.m. June 28, 1960, Small Tract Classification Order No. 13, dated September 28, 1949, is cancelled insofar as it affects the following described lands in T. 58 S., R. 79 E., C.R.M., near Petersburg, Alaska: in Section 26, Lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and all of U.S. Surveys Nos. 2985, 2986, 2987.

3. Effective at 10:00 a.m. June 28, 1960, Small Tract Classification Order No. 67, dated November 10, 1952, is cancelled insofar as it affects the following described lands in T. 58 S., R. 79 E., C.R.M., near Petersburg, Alaska: in Section 33, Lots 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29.

WARNER T. MAY,  
Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6308; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

[No. 60-5]

### OREGON

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JUNE 29, 1960.

The Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial No. Oregon 010313, for the withdrawal, subject to valid existing rights, of the lands described below from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining but not the mineral leasing laws, as provided by Section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388), as amended.

The applicant desires the land for reclamation purposes in the development of the Crooked River Project. Administration of the land for grazing purposes will remain with the Bureau of Land Management until such time as it is needed for reclamation purposes.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons

who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 809 NE., Sixth Avenue, Portland 12, Oreg.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON  
T. 16 S., R. 18 E.,  
Sec. 19: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

The area described aggregates 20 acres.

RUSSELL E. GETTY,  
State Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6305; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

### WASHINGTON

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JUNE 21, 1960.

The Bureau of Reclamation has filed an application, Serial No. Washington 03305, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws and the general mining laws, subject to prior existing rights. The applicant desires the land for location of the Palmer Lake pumping plant, canal headworks, and the right abutment of the proposed diversion dam on the Similkameen River.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 680 Bon Marche Building, Spokane 1, Washington.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON  
T. 39 N., R. 25 E.,  
Sec. 1, Lot 1 (0.60 acre).  
T. 40 N., R. 26 E.,  
Sec. 7, Lot 7 (49.40 acres).

FRED J. WEILER,  
State Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6306; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

### WASHINGTON

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JUNE 30, 1960.

The Bureau of Reclamation has filed an application, Serial No. Washington 03047, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, but not the mineral leasing laws. The applicant desires the land for inclusion in the Kennewick Extension, Kennewick Division, Yakima Project.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 680 Bon Marche Building, Spokane 1, Washington.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, WASHINGTON  
T. 9 N., R. 27 E.,  
Sec. 10: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 22: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

FRED J. WEILER,  
State Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6307; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

[Classification 60]

### ALASKA

### Small Tract Classification; Amdt. 1

JUNE 29, 1960.

Effective July 28, 1960, paragraph 1 of Federal Register Document 52-7766 appearing in the issue for July 16, 1952, is hereby amended to include the following land which was inadvertently omitted:

KENAI AREA

FOR LEASE AND SALE FOR RESIDENTIAL SITES

T. 6 N., R. 11 W., SM,  
Sec. 34: Lots 21-34 inc., 44-50 inc., 62-68 inclusive. In terms of aliquot part of sec. 34 these lands are described as: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ .

Containing 70 acres.

Having been inadvertently omitted, these lands were treated as if classified. All 28 lots have been leased at one time or another with patents having been issued for 13 lots, leases are still in effect on 9 lots, and 6 are presently not leased but are subject to reclassification. No

lands are being opened to leasing by the terms of this order.

L. T. MAIN,  
Operations Supervisor.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6337; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

## DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND

### SECRETARY-TREASURER, DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND

#### Delegation of Authority To Sign Fiscal Documents

JUNE 30, 1960.

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended (Pub. Law 665, 83d Congress), I hereby delegate to the Secretary-Treasurer, Development Loan Fund, and to such subordinate officers and employees as he may designate, authority to sign on behalf of the Managing Director of the Development Loan Fund, in connection with loans made from the Development Loan Fund, the documents listed below:

- a. Letters of Commitment.
- b. Amendments to Letter.

VANCE BRAND,  
Managing Director,  
Development Loan Fund.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6267; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

### SECRETARY-TREASURER, DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND

#### Delegation of Authority To Certify Vouchers

JUNE 30, 1960.

Pursuant to authority vested in me by the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended (Public Law 665, 83d Congress), authority is hereby delegated to the Secretary-Treasurer, Development Loan Fund, and to such subordinate officers and employees as he may designate, to make to the Treasury Department such certification as it requires to permit the Treasury Department to make payment for obligations incurred by the Development Loan Fund for services, supplies, materials, or equipment financed by advances, Letter of Commitment, Letter of Credit, or through reimbursement by the Development Loan Fund.

VANCE BRAND,  
Managing Director,  
Development Loan Fund.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6268; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

### CONTROLLER, INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION ADMINISTRATION

#### Delegation of Authority; Revocation

JUNE 30, 1960.

The Delegation of Authority to the Controller, International Cooperation Administration, titled "Delegation of Authority to Sign Fiscal Documents", dated August 29, 1958, and appearing on page 7016 of the FEDERAL REGISTER on

September 10, 1958, is revoked effective August 1, 1960.

VANCE BRAND,  
Managing Director,  
Development Loan Fund.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6269; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

### CONTROLLER, INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION ADMINISTRATION

#### Delegation of Authority; Revocation

JUNE 30, 1960.

The Delegation of Authority to the Controller, International Cooperation Administration, titled "Delegation of Authority to Certify Vouchers", dated August 29, 1958, and appearing on page 7016 of the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 10, 1958, is hereby revoked.

VANCE BRAND,  
Managing Director,  
Development Loan Fund.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6270; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 12865, 12866; FCC 60M-1129]

### CHRONICLE PUBLISHING CO. (KRON-TV) AND AMERICAN BROADCASTING-PARAMOUNT THEATRES, INC. (KGO-TV)

#### Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Chronicle Publishing Company (KRON-TV), San Francisco, California, Docket No. 12865, File No. BPCT-2168; American Broadcasting-Paramount Theatres, Inc. (KGO-TV), San Francisco, California, Docket No. 12866, File No. BPCT-2401; for construction permits to increase antenna heights.

Pursuant to prehearing conference held this date: *It is ordered*, This 29th day of June 1960, that a further prehearing conference will be held February 24, 1961, 10:00 a.m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: July 1, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6339; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13341-13344; FCC 60M-1131]

### CREEK COUNTY BROADCASTING CO. ET AL.

#### Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of T. M. Raburn, Jr., tr/as Creek County Broadcasting Co., Sapulpa, Oklahoma, Docket No. 13341, File No. BP-11605; Tinker Area Broadcasting Co., Midwest City, Oklahoma, Docket No. 13342, File No. BP-12410; Sapulpa Broadcasting Corporation, Sa-

pulpa, Oklahoma, Docket No. 13343, File No. BP-12595; M. W. Cooper, Midwest City, Oklahoma, Docket No. 13344, File No. BP-12887; for construction permits.

For reasons reflected in the transcript of the hearing session held in the above-entitled proceeding on June 30, 1960: *It is ordered*, This 30th day of June 1960, that the hearing is hereby continued until July 13, 1960, at 2:00 p.m., at the Commission's Offices, Washington, D.C.;

*It is ordered further*, That the parties shall be prepared at the resumption of hearing scheduled herein to present all matters deemed by them to be necessary so that the record may be closed no later than July 15.

Released: July 1, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6340; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13622, 13623; FCC 60-757]

### ELEVEN TEN BROADCASTING CORP.

#### Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

In re applications of Eleven Ten Broadcasting Corporation, Pasadena, California, for renewal of license of standard broadcast station KRLA & Aux., Docket No. 13622, File No. BR-1189; for license to cover construction permit (BP-11,700), Docket No. 13623, File No. BL-7701.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 29th day of June 1960;

The Commission having under consideration (1) the above-entitled applications, as amended; (2) the Commission's letter of December 16, 1959, sent to the above-named applicant pursuant to section 309(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended; and (3) the reply thereto filed by the applicant; and

It appearing that in its letter to the applicant, the Commission notified it of the grounds and reasons for the Commission's inability to make the public interest finding required in section 309 (b) of the Communications Act before said renewal application could be granted; and that in its reply, the applicant set forth the facts and reasons why it believed that said application should be granted; and

It further appearing that upon due consideration of the above applications, the Commission's letter of December 16, 1959, and the applicant's reply thereto, the Commission is unable to find that a grant of the said applications would serve the public interest; that, therefore, a hearing is required; and that no questions exist as to the qualifications of the applicant except as to the matters involved in the issues set forth below;

*It is ordered*, That, pursuant to section 309(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-entitled applications are designated for hearing,

at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order upon the following issues:

1. To determine whether, in light of its operations since it acquired Station KRLA, the licensee's program proposals contained in its application for Commission consent to assignment of the license of Station KRLA (then KXLA) (BAPL-171) were made in good faith;

2. To determine whether, in light of the manner in which the "Find Perry Allen" contest was conducted by Station KRLA in and about September 1959, the licensee operated said station for improper purposes contrary to the public interest;

3. To determine whether the station's program logs for the week of October 18-24, 1959, were altered with the intent and purpose of deceiving the Commission;

4. To determine whether, since the date of assignment of license of Station KRLA (formerly KXLA) to the licensee, Jack K. Cooke, a Canadian citizen, has exercised control with respect to the operations of said station contrary to the provisions of section 310 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and the Commission's rules and policies promulgated thereunder;

5. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether a grant of the above-entitled applications would serve the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Released: July 5, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6341; Filed July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13598 etc.; FCC 60M-1130]

## GILA BROADCASTING CO.

### Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Gila Broadcasting Company, for renewal of licenses of Stations KCKY, Coolidge, KCLF, Clifton, KGLU, Safford, KVNC, Winslow, KZOW, Globe and KWJB-FM, Globe, all in Arizona; Docket No. 13598, File No. BR-2128; Docket No. 13617, File No. BR-2441; Docket No. 13618, File No. BR-970; Docket No. 13619, File No. BR-2731; Docket No. 13620, File No. BR-973; Docket No. 13621, File No. BRH-851.

It is ordered, This 29th day of June 1960, that Forest L. McClenning will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on September 19, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: July 1, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6342; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-18783]

### TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

#### Notice of Motion and Hearing

JUNE 30, 1960.

On June 20, 1960, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) filed "Motion of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation for Approval by Commission of Proposed Rate Settlement and for Termination of Proceeding" in the above-entitled docket, in which it moved that the Commission (a.) approve the rate settlement contained in "Statement of Proposed Settlement dated January 11, 1960," filed in this docket on February 5, 1960, as modified by the "Amended Statement of June 16, 1960, of Statement of Proposed Settlement dated January 11, 1960" filed June 20, 1960, and (b) terminate the instant proceeding. On June 28, 1960, Transco filed a request for hearing on said motion accompanied by a revised schedule to the amended statement of June 16, 1960.

Take notice that a hearing is hereby scheduled to commence on July 20, 1960, at 10:00 a.m., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters presented by the aforesaid motion and statements as modified and amended. The motion and statements hereinbefore described are available for public inspection in the Commission's offices.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6303; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-17849 etc.]

### EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO. ET AL.

#### Order Permitting Withdrawal of Application and Terminating Proceedings

JUNE 30, 1960.

El Paso Natural Gas Company, Docket No. G-17849; Northern Natural Gas Company, Docket No. G-18110; The Atlantic Refining Company, Docket No. G-17571; Phillips Petroleum Company, Operator, Docket No. G-17747; Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc., Docket No. G-17842; Shell Oil Company, Operator, Docket No. G-17888; Pioneer Production Corporation, Operator, Docket No. G-18553; Riddell Petroleum Corporation, Docket No. G-18609; Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Operator, Docket No. G-18660; Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, Operator, Docket No. G-18748; H. H. Davis, Docket No. G-19672; The Superior Oil Company, Docket No. CI 60-328; United Producing Company, Inc., Docket No. CI 60-350.

On June 17, 1960, Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc. (Socony Mobil) filed, pursuant to § 1.11(d) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a motion for leave to withdraw its ap-

plication for certificate of public convenience and necessity in Docket No. G-17842 and to terminate proceedings in the aforementioned docket.

By letter issued February 26, 1960, as supplemented by letter issued April 7, 1960, Socony Mobil was issued temporary authorization for the commencement of sales to El Paso Natural Gas Company conditioned upon a reduction in the contract price. Such temporary authorization was refused.

Socony Mobil indicated that its contract with El Paso for the sale of natural gas had been cancelled by letter agreement dated May 18, 1960, a copy of which was attached to the motion.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest to permit withdrawal of the application by Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc. in Docket No. G-17842 and to terminate the proceedings therein.

The Commission orders:

(A) Socony Mobil Oil Company, Inc. is hereby permitted to withdraw its application in Docket No. G-17842.

(B) The proceedings in Docket No. G-17842 are hereby terminated.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6299; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. RI60-447-RI60-456]

### HUMBLE OIL AND REFINING CO.

ET AL.

#### Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates, and Allowing Increased Rates To Become Effective Subject to Refund<sup>1</sup>

JUNE 30, 1960.

Humble Oil & Refining Company, Docket No. RI60-447; McElroy Ranch Company, Docket No. RI60-448; Associated Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. RI60-449; Union Oil Company of California, Docket No. RI60-450; Union Oil Company of California, Agent, et al., Docket No. RI60-451; Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., Docket No. RI60-452; Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company, Docket No. RI60-453; Tri-Service Drilling Company, Docket No. RI60-454; Woodson Oil Company, Docket No. RI60-455; Kingwood Oil Company, Docket No. RI60-456.

The above named Respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. In each filing, the natural gas is sold at 14.65 psia, with exceptions of Union Oil Company of California and Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., which is sold at 15.025 psia. The proposed changes are designated as follows:

<sup>1</sup>The order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Notice of change dated—	Date tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI60-447...	Humble Oil & Refining Co.	118	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Amacker-Tippett Field, Upton County, Tex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	10.3072	* 13.6823	G-16416
RI60-447	do.	45	6	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Cooper Jal Field, Lea County, N. Mex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	13.34802	* 15.5599	G-19487
RI60-447	do.	33	5	do.	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	13.34802	* 15.5599	G-19487
RI60-447	do.	28	6	do.	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	13.34802	* 15.5599	G-19487
RI60-447	do.	16	10	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Dollarhide Field, Andrews County, Tex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	14.1724	17.2295	G-16416
RI60-447	do.	9	8	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Clara Couch Field, Crockett County, Tex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	* 7-1-60	12-1-60	13.4761	15.7093	G-16416
RI60-448...	McElroy Ranch Co.	1	2	West Lake Natural Gasoline Co. (Nena Lucia Field, Nolan County, Tex.).	5-31-60	6-1-60	* 7-2-60	* 7-3-60	5.0	8.5	-----
RI60-449...	Associated Oil and Gas Co.	3	6	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. (Farenthold Field, Jim Wells and Nueces Counties, Tex.).	6-3-60	6-1-60	* 7-9-60	7-9-60	14.87589	17.02416	G-10652
RI60-450.	Union Oil Co. of California.	49	-----	United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Houma Field, Terrebonne Parish, La.).	Contract (1-5-60)	6-1-60	* 7-2-60	12-2-60	10.497	-----	* G-16074
RI60-451.	Union Oil Co. of California, Agent, et al.	45	1	do.	Undated	6-1-60	* 7-2-60	12-2-60	-----	23.25	-----
RI60-451.	do.	45	1	West Lake Natural Gasoline Co. (Lake Trammell Field, Nolan County, Tex.).	do.	6-8-60	* 7-10-60	7-11-60	5.5	8.5	-----
RI60-452.	Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc.	55	9	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. (Bully Camp Field, LaFourche Parish, La.).	do.	6-8-60	* 7-9-60	* 12-9-60	23.09167	23.6	G-19872
RI60-453.	Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Co.	4	9	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Jalmat Field, Lea County, N. Mex.).	6-6-60	6-8-60	* 7-9-60	12-9-60	10.50118	15.50174	-----
RI60-454.	Tri-Service Drilling Co.	2	1	El Paso Natural Gas Co. and Hunt Oil Co. (Willrode Field, Upton County, Tex.).	5-31-60	6-9-60	* 7-10-60	12-10-60	7.0	13.5	-----
RI60-455.	Woodson Oil Co.	1	3	Northern Natural Gas Co. (Perryton Field, Ochiltree County, Tex.).	4-12-60	6-1-60	* 7-2-60	12-2-60	15.5	16.5	-----
RI60-456.	Kingwood Oil Co.	4	1	Northern Natural Gas Co. (NW. Dower Field, Beaver County, Okla.).	5-31-60	6-2-60	* 7-3-60	12-3-60	15.5	16.5	-----

1 The stated effective date is the effective date proposed by Humble.  
 2 Includes 0.4467 cent per Mcf for compression deducted by buyer.  
 3 The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required 30 days' notice.  
 4 Suspended for 1 day from July 2, 1960, or from such later date as the suspended rate of West Lake Natural Gasoline Company is made effective in Docket No. RI60-

30. (Note: Commission's Notice dated June 21, 1960, made West Lake's rates in Docket No. RI60-30 effective as of June 23, 1960.)  
 5 Supersedes Union Oil Co. of California's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 9, as amended.  
 6 Effective subject to refund insofar as the increased rate pertains to Louisiana Gas Gathering Tax.

In support of their proposed favored-nation rate increases, Humble Oil & Refining Company (Humble) and Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company (Texas Pacific) cite the favored-nation provisions and the renegotiated increased rates. Humble states that the contracts resulted from arm's-length negotiations and the increased rates are reasonable and in line with others in the area. Humble also states that denial of the increased prices would abrogate the contracts and unjustly enrich El Paso Natural Gas Company at its expense and would be confiscatory. Texas Pacific states that the pricing provisions of its contracts were negotiated at arm's length and were an important consideration to seller in executing the contract.

McElroy Ranch Company (McElroy) and Union Oil Company of California, Agent, et al. (Union Oil), in support of their proposed revenue-sharing type rate increases, cite the contract revenue-sharing provisions and West Lake Natural Gasoline Company's (West Lake) increased 17.0 cents per Mcf resale rate to El Paso Natural Gas Company which is suspended until June 23, 1960, in Docket No. RI60-30, and submit copies of a letter from West Lake advising as to the increased 8.5 cents per Mcf price. In addition, Union Oil states that the price provisions of the contract were negotiated at arm's length and were a material inducement to seller to execute such contract.

In support of its proposed favored-nation rate increase, Associated Oil and Gas Company (Associated Oil) cites the contract favored-nation provisions and submits copies of Tennessee Gas Trans-

mission Company's (Tennessee) letter establishing the increased price. In addition, Associated Oil states that the contract resulted from arm's-length bargaining and the increased price is just and reasonable and in line with other prices Tennessee pays in the area.

In support of its proposed renegotiated rate increase, Union Oil Company of California states that the contract resulted from arm's-length negotiations, the pricing provisions thereof are fair, just and reasonable and that United Gas Pipe Line Company could not purchase new gas reserves in the area at a lower price.

Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc. (Kerr-McGee), in support of its proposed favored-nation rate increase, cites the contract provisions and submits copies of Tennessee Gas Transmission Company's favored-nation letter. In addition, Kerr-McGee states that the contract was negotiated at arm's length and the increased price is fair and reasonable and no higher than necessary to encourage further exploration. Kerr-McGee also states that the increased price is equal to or below prices in recently negotiated contracts for gas sales in the area and cites several certificated 23.6 cents per Mcf initial rates to Tennessee in the area.

Tri-Service Drilling Company, in support of its proposed renegotiated rate increase, submits copies of the amendatory agreements executed pursuant to El Paso Natural Gas Company's contract renegotiation program for its Permian Basin gas purchases eliminating the indefinite pricing clauses from the contracts. No other support is offered for the increase in rate.

In support of its renegotiated rate increase, Kingwood Oil Company (Kingwood) claims that the proposed increased rate does not change the rate or charges made for natural gas sold to Northern Natural Gas Company, since it involves the compensation for liquid hydrocarbons. Kingwood has not submitted the required comparative statement of revenues and other required material for rate change and offers no support.

Woodson Oil Company states, in support of its proposed renegotiated rate increase, that the increased rate will not exceed the value of gas in the area.

The proposed changes may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds:  
 (1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed changes and that the rate schedule and above-designated supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

(2) It is necessary and proper in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that Supplement No. 2 to McElroy Ranch Company's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, and Supplement No. 1 to Union Oil Company of California, Agent, et al's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 45 be allowed to take effect subject to refund upon the timely filing of their respective agreement and undertaking, as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:  
 (A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules

of practice and procedure and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Ch. I), public hearings shall be held upon dates to be fixed by notices from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated rate schedule and supplements.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, said rate schedule and each of the above-designated supplements is hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until the date indicated in the above "Rate Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Neither the rate schedule or supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Supplement No. 2 to McElroy Ranch Company's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1 shall be effective as of July 3, 1960, and Supplement No. 1 to Union Oil Company of California, Agent, et al.'s FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 45 shall be effective as of July 11, 1960; *Provided,*

*however,* That within 20 days from the date of the issuance of this order, McElroy Ranch Company and Union Oil Company of California, Agent, et al., shall execute and file under Docket Nos. RI60-448 (McElroy) and RI60-451 (Union Oil) with the Secretary of the Commission their respective agreement and undertaking to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and Section 154.102 of the Regulations thereunder (prescribed by Order 215 and 215A). The agreement and undertaking shall be signed by Respondent, or if Respondent is a corporation, signed by a responsible officer thereof accompanied by proper authorization from the Board of Directors and by a certificate showing service of copies upon all purchasers under the rate schedule involved. Unless Respondents (McElroy and Union Oil) are advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of such agreement and undertaking, their agreement and undertaking shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(E) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before August 15, 1960.

By the Commission (Commissioner Kline dissenting).

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6300; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. RI 60-436-RI 60-444]

FRED W. SHIELD ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates <sup>1</sup>

JUNE 30, 1960.

Fred W. Shield, Docket No. RI60-436; Texas Gulf Producing Company, Docket No. RI60-437; Union Oil Company of California, Docket No. RI60-438; L. H. Puckett, et al., Docket No. RI60-439; J. R. McGill (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI60-440; Gillring Oil Company, Docket No. RI60-441; Jal Oil Company, Inc., Docket No. RI60-442; Humble Oil and Refining Company (Operator), et al., Docket No. RI60-443; Humble Oil and Refining Company, Docket No. RI60-444.

The above-named respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes are designated as follows:

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Notice of change dated—	Date tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf		Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
									Rate in effect	Proposed increased rate	
RI60-436...	Fred W. Shield.....	8	-----	Trunkline Gas Co. (Heard Ranch Field, Bee County, Tex.).	5-25-60	6-1-60	7-2-60	12-2-60	8.0768	-----	-----
RI60-436.....	do.....	8	1	do.....	5-25-60	6-1-60	7-2-60	12-2-60	8.0768	-----	-----
RI60-436.....	do.....	8	2	do.....	6-1-60	6-1-60	7-2-60	12-2-60	8.0768	15.25	-----
RI60-437.....	Texas Gulf Producing Co.	24	2	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. (Piedre Lumbré Field, Duval County, Tex.).	5-24-60	6-2-60	7-3-60	12-3-60	15.0952	17.24347	G-19899
RI60-437.....	do.....	33	3	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. (Seelgson Field, Jim Wells County, Tex.).	5-24-60	6-2-60	7-3-60	12-3-60	15.0952	17.24347	G-19899
RI60-438.....	Union Oil Co. of California.	10	6	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Dollarhide Field, Andrews County, Tex.).	Undated	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	11.07425	17.11475	G-13997
RI60-439.....	L. H. Puckett et al....	1	4	Phillips Petroleum Co. (Panhandle Field, Hutchinson County, Tex.).	Undated	6-2-60	7-3-60	12-3-60	11.0	12.0	G-10276
RI60-440.....	J. R. McGill (Operator) et al.	1	3	do.....	Undated	6-2-60	7-3-60	12-3-60	11.0	12.0	G-10277
RI60-441.....	Gillring Oil Co.....	1	7	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. (Agua Dulce Field, Nueces, Tex.).	5-26-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	14.87589	17.02416	G-19864
RI60-442.....	Jal Oil Co., Inc.....	2	2	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Crosby Devonian Field, Lea County, N. Mex.).	5-27-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	10.5	15.5598	-----
RI60-443.....	Humble Oil & Refining Co. (Operator), et al.	5	31	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Spraberry Field, Midland, Upton, Glasscock, and Reagan Counties, Tex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	14.1178	17.2295	-----
RI60-443.....	do.....	142	3	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (S. Four Lakes Field, Lea County, N. Mex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	14.036	17.0656	G-18172
RI60-444.....	Humble Oil & Refining Co.	31	10	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Cooper Jal Field, Lea County, N. Mex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	13.34802	15.5599	G-19487
RI60-444.....	do.....	116	9	El Paso Natural Gas Co. (S. Andrews Field, Andrews County, Tex.).	6-1-60	5-31-60	7-1-60	12-1-60	10.3072	13.6823	G-16416

<sup>1</sup> Contract.

<sup>2</sup> The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the required statutory notice.

<sup>3</sup> Settlement Agreement.

<sup>4</sup> The stated effective date is that requested by respondent.

In support of its proposed increased rate, Shield states that the new contract will commit additional gas to Trunkline and allow greater flexibility in scheduling the acceptance and delivery of gas over the 20-year term of the contract; the proposed rate does not exceed the price being paid for gas by Trunkline in the same area; and the price increase is necessary to offset the additional expenses of drilling one additional well and to provide the necessary facilities to dehydrate and process the gas. Shield also states that he is now paying a third party 0.5 cents per Mcf for dehydrating the gas

whereas the dehydration reimbursement from the buyer amounts to only 0.25 cents per Mcf. The new contract provides for a 1.0 cent per Mcf periodic increase every five years and contains no flexible pricing provision clauses.

In support of its proposed increased rate, Texas Gulf states that the higher prices are provided by contracts agreed upon in arm's-length bargaining; the proposed rates are less than the going price for gas in the area; and such rates represent a lower price than the present market value for equivalent supplies.

In support of higher rates, Union Oil states that the contract was negotiated at arm's length and the contract would not have been executed without the favored-nation clause. Humble states that the proposed rates are provided by contract and are in line with going contract prices in the area. If suspended, Humble requests that the suspension period be limited to one day on the theory

<sup>1</sup> This order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

that the staff has been investigating Humble's books and records since June 27, 1956, and additional time is not required for investigation.

Puckett and McGill state that the increased rates are provided by contract and are just and reasonable and not contrary to the public interest.

In support of its proposed increased rate, Jal Oil states that in consideration of the higher price it has agreed to delete the favored-nation clause from the contract; it agreed to extend the term of the contract to January 1, 1980; the amendatory agreement was arrived at after arm's-length negotiations; and the cost of doing business has been increasing.

The proposed rate changes may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed changes and that the above-designated supplements, together with Fred W. Shield's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 8, be suspended, and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter provided.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. I), public hearings will be held upon dates to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the several proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements together with Fred W. Shield's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 8.

(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, each of the aforementioned supplements and Fred W. Shield's FPC Gas Rate Schedule 8 are suspended and the use thereof deferred until the date specified in the above-designated "Rate Suspended until" column and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Neither the Rate Schedules nor supplements thereto involved in the above-proposed changes shall be changed until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before August 15, 1960.

By the Commission (Commissioner Kline dissenting).

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6301; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-6952]

## BLACK HILLS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

### Notice of Application

JULY 1, 1960.

Take notice that on June 24, 1960, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act by Black Hills Power and Light Company ("Applicant") for the issuance of such common shares of Common Stock of Applicant as will equal an aggregate offering price not in excess of \$1,000,000, computed on the basis of the market value of Applicant's Common Stock as determined from transactions or quotations in the Over-the-Counter Market on a specified date within 15 days of the date of offering. The exact number of shares to be offered and price will be supplied by amendment. Applicant, having its principal business office at Rapid City, South Dakota, is incorporated under the laws of the State of South Dakota and does business in the States of South Dakota and Wyoming. Applicant proposes to arrange with Dillon, Read & Co., Inc., New York, New York, for the underwriting of such shares of the Additional Common Stock as the stockholders of Applicant may not purchase pursuant to the rights to be issued to them. Applicant did not ask for competitive bids in connection with the sale or underwriting of the aforesaid Common Stock since the Additional Common Stock is to be offered to Applicant's Common Stockholders pursuant to their preemptive rights and since the issuance of the Additional Common Stock will result in proceeds in an amount less than \$1,000,000. Applicant states that the proceeds from the issuance and sale of the aforementioned Common Stock will be used for additions and improvements to Applicant's properties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said application should on or before the 26th day of July 1960, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6297; Filed, July 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

## COLUMBIAN FUEL CORP.

[Docket No. G-20578]

### Order Reopening Proceeding, Staying Order Accepting Offer of Settlement and Terminating Proceeding, Granting Petition To Intervene and Fixing Hearing

JUNE 30, 1960.

On February 29, 1960, Columbian Fuel Corporation (Columbian Fuel) tendered an Offer of Settlement pursuant to § 1.18

(e) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. By order issued April 26, 1960, this offer of settlement was accepted by the Commission.

In the order of April 26, 1960, it was stated that: "there are no interveners in this proceeding," whereas, subsequent to Commission action, but prior to issuance of the April 26, 1960 order, on April 22, 1960, a petition to intervene had been filed by the City and County of Denver, Colorado (Denver).

Denver further filed on May 25, 1960, a petition for rehearing of the order of April 26, 1960, requesting that its petition to intervene and rehearing of the order of April 26, 1960, be granted and that the Commission vacate or modify the said order in conformity with its request.

In support of its requested relief, Denver contends that it would uncover through cross-examination facts and evidence which would demonstrate that the settlement between Columbian Fuel and Colorado Interstate is not in the public interest. Denver avers that it should have been allowed to intervene in order to inquire and have determined whether consumers were prejudiced by the settlement terms, such as possible waiver by Colorado Interstate of refunds in return for the possible advantages which Columbian has set forth in its offer of settlement. Denver alleges that since it has not been allowed to intervene, the Commission has deprived itself of evidence without which a finding in the public interest cannot possibly be correctly entered. Finally, Denver argues that the order of April 26, 1960, is clearly unlawful as no finding of justice and reasonableness was made.

Denver in its petition for rehearing has presented allegations which, if supported, may materially affect our decision in this matter. Accordingly, we believe that the public interest would best be served by granting rehearing, granting Denver's petition to intervene, and staying our order of April 26, 1960 for a reasonable time to allow Denver an opportunity to support its above-stated contentions.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is in the public interest that the petition for rehearing of the Commission's order of April 26, 1960 filed by Denver on May 25, 1960, should be allowed; that this proceeding should be reopened; and that a limited hearing should be held to allow Denver the opportunity to offer evidence in support of the contentions it has advanced in its petition of May 25, 1960.

(2) The Commission order of April 26, 1960 accepting the offer of settlement should be stayed until further order of the Commission.

(3) Denver's petition to intervene filed on April 22, 1960, should be granted.

The Commission orders:

(A) The petition filed by Denver on May 25, 1960, requesting rehearing of the Commission's order of April 26, 1960, is hereby granted, this proceeding is hereby reopened and a hearing will be held on July 19, 1960, at 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power

Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., limited to the matters involved in and the issues presented by Denver in its petition for rehearing filed herein on May 25, 1960.

(B) The effectiveness of the Commission's order of April 26, 1960, is hereby stayed until further order of the Commission.

(C) Denver be permitted to become an intervener in this proceeding, subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: *Provided, however*, That the participation of Denver shall be limited to the matters involved in and the issues presented in its petition for rehearing filed May 25, 1960: *And provided, further*, That the admission of Denver shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that Denver might be aggrieved because of any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6298; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. RI60-445, RI60-446]

**TEXACO SEABOARD, INC., AND  
TEXACO, INC.**

**Order Providing for Hearings on and  
Suspension of Proposed Changes in  
Rate and Allowing Rate Changes  
To Become Effective Upon Filing of  
Motions and Undertakings To Assure  
Refund of Excess Charges<sup>1</sup>**

JUNE 30, 1960.

Texaco Seaboard, Inc., Docket No. RI60-445; Texaco, Inc., Docket No. RI60-446.

On May 31, 1960, the above-named respondents tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. In each filing the natural gas is sold at 14.65 psia. Neither Notice of Change was dated.

The filing by Texaco Seaboard, Inc., is designated Supplement No. 3 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 25, and reflects an increase in rate from 6.9918 cents to 8.5 cents per Mcf, for sales to West Lake Natural Gasoline Co. in the producing area of S. Lake Trammell Field. The effective date is July 1, 1960.<sup>2</sup>

The filing by Texaco, Inc., is designated Supplement No. 13 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 9, and reflects a decrease from 11.7518 cents to 11.3057 cents per Mcf, for sales to Northern Natural Gas Company in the producing area of W. Panhandle Field, Carson County, Texas. The effective date is July 1, 1960.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This order does not provide for the consolidation for hearing or disposition of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

<sup>2</sup> The stated effective date is 30 days after expiration of the required statutory notice.

<sup>3</sup> The stated effective date is that requested by respondent.

The filing of Texaco Seaboard, Inc., is based upon a revenue-sharing rate increase for gas sold to West Lake Natural Gasoline Company from leases in the South Lake Trammell Field, Nolan County, Texas, and is requested to be effective as of June 23, 1960, the earliest date that the buyer's related resale rate may be made effective subject to refund in Docket No. RI60-30.

In support of its proposed increase, Texaco cites contractual provisions and states that costs have been increasing due to inflation as shown by the indices of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics; exploratory and developmental expenses have increased because of deeper drilling and drilling in more inaccessible places; and the increase is needed to encourage exploration and development.

Texaco, Inc.'s contract of sale with Northern Natural dated August 1, 1948, provides that buyer will pay seller a price equal to the current market price being paid at the wellhead for sweet gas produced in the Texas Panhandle Field, as determined by the Railroad Commission of Texas. Such determinations are made twice a year by the Texas Railroad Commission and Texaco has been filing rate changes in accordance with such determinations.

The last such previous determination was made on November 16, 1959, for a price of 11.7518 cents per Mcf for sweet gas. Texaco filed for such price on November 30, 1959, and the proposed rate was suspended in Docket No. G-20433 until June 1, 1960, because it exceeded the 11.0 cents per Mcf ceiling at 14.65 psia for increased rates in Texas Railroad Commission District No. 10. On April 28, 1960, Texaco filed a motion to put the suspended rate in effect subject to refund.

The latest determination by the Texas Railroad Commission was made on May 16, 1960, and is for a price of 11.3057 cents per Mcf for sweet gas. This is a decrease of 0.4461 cent per Mcf from the previously determined rate of 11.7518 cents per Mcf. The reasons for the decrease in the average price are not stated by Texaco. Consistent with the pricing provisions of its contract, Texaco now files for the lower rate.

The proposed changes may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest, and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act, that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in the above-designated supplement, and that said proposed rate schedules be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter provided.

(2) It is appropriate in the public interest and in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the above proposed rate supplements be made effective as hereinafter provided and that

respondents be required to file undertakings as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 4 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act, including rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR Ch. I), public hearings shall be held at a time and date to be fixed by notices from the Secretary of this Commission, concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, contained in the above-designated supplements.

(B) Pending such hearings and decisions thereon each of the above-designated supplements is hereby suspended, and its use deferred until July 2, 1960, and until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.<sup>4</sup>

(C) Neither the supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) The above-designated supplements shall be made effective as of July 2, 1960: *Provided, however*, That within 20 days from the date of issuance of this order, respondents shall execute and file under Docket Nos. RI60-445 and RI60-446 with the Secretary of the Commission their agreements and undertakings to comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and § 154.102 of the regulations thereunder (prescribed by Order No. 215 and 215A). Each agreement and undertaking shall be filed by respondent, or if respondent is a corporation, signed by a responsible officer thereof accompanied by proper authorization from the Board of Directors and by a certificate showing service of copies upon all purchasers under the rate schedule involved. Unless respondents are advised to the contrary within 15 days after the filing of such agreements and undertakings, their agreement and undertaking shall be deemed to have been accepted.

(E) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.37(f)) on or before August 15, 1960.

By the Commission (Commissioner Kline dissenting).

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-6302; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

<sup>4</sup> Or in the filing by Texaco Seaboard, Inc., RI60-446 until such time as West Lake's resale rate in RI60-30 may be made effective subject to refund.

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 812-1314]

### MASSACHUSETTS INVESTORS TRUST

#### Notice of Filing of Application for Order of Exemption

JULY 1, 1960.

Notice is hereby given that Massachusetts Investors Trust ("MIT"), a registered open-end diversified investment company, has filed an application pursuant to section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order of the Commission exempting from the provisions of section 22(d) of the Act the proposed issuance of its shares for substantially all of the cash and securities of Edgemont Securities Corporation ("Edgemont") on the basis set forth below.

Shares of MIT, a Massachusetts common law trust, are offered to the public on a continuous basis at net asset value plus varying sales charges dependent on the amount purchased. As of April 30, 1960, the net assets of MIT amounted to \$1,416,990,132.

Edgemont, a Delaware corporation, is a personal holding company with eleven stockholders which engages in the business of investing and reinvesting its funds. Edgemont is exempt from registration under the Act by reason of the provisions of section 3(c)(1) thereof. Pursuant to an agreement between MIT and Edgemont, substantially all of the cash and securities of Edgemont, with a total value of approximately \$10,600,000 as of April 30, 1960, will be transferred to MIT in exchange for shares of stock of MIT. The shares acquired by Edgemont are to be distributed immediately to its shareholders who intend to take such shares for investment with no present intention of distribution or redemption. The number of shares of MIT to be delivered to Edgemont will be determined by dividing the net asset value per share of MIT in effect at the close of business on the day preceding the closing date into the value of the Edgemont assets to be exchanged.

The value of the Edgemont assets will be subject to an adjustment designed to protect MIT's shareholders from possible adverse tax consequences of the exchange. Since the exchange will be tax-free for Edgemont and its shareholders, MIT's cost basis for tax purposes on the assets acquired from Edgemont will be the same as for Edgemont, rather than the price actually paid by MIT for the assets. In view of this, provision is made for the following adjustment. If the percentage of the value of the securities of Edgemont representing unrealized appreciation is greater than the percentage of the value of MIT's portfolio securities representing unrealized appreciation, there will be deducted from the value of the Edgemont assets 12½ percent of the amount of such excess unrealized appreciation. This adjustment is intended to safeguard the present shareholders of MIT from bearing a greater capital gains tax on any subse-

quent sale by MIT of the Edgemont securities than they would bear on the sale of the securities presently in its portfolio.

The application states that since the average capital gains tax rate that would have to be paid by MIT's shareholders cannot be exactly calculated, the figure of 12½ percent used for adjustment purposes was arrived at as a fair compromise between zero and the maximum long term capital gains tax rate of 25 percent.

As of April 30, 1960, the net unrealized appreciation of the Edgemont securities amounted to \$9,577,961, or approximately 90 percent of the value of such securities, as compared with net unrealized appreciation on MIT's portfolio securities of approximately \$708,495,066, or approximately 50 percent of the value of the net assets of MIT. If the transaction had been consummated on April 30, 1960, the adjustment described above would have resulted in a deduction of approximately \$174,380 from the value of Edgemont's assets, and Edgemont's shareholders would have received approximately 761,074 shares of stock of MIT.

The application recites that the terms of the entire transaction were arrived at through arm's length bargaining between the officers of MIT and Edgemont.

Section 22(d) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that no registered investment company shall sell any redeemable security issued by it to any person except at a current offering price described in the prospectus, with certain exceptions not applicable here. Under the terms of the Agreement, however, the shares of MIT are to be issued to Edgemont at a price other than the public offering price stated in the prospectus, which lists a sales charge of 2.5 percent for sales over \$250,000.

Section 6(c) of the Act authorizes the Commission by order upon application to exempt, conditionally or unconditionally, any transaction from any provision of the Act or of any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that the Commission finds that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 15, 1960 at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule 0-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6321; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

[File No. 70-3889]

### NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM AND WACHUSETT GAS CO.

#### Notice of Proposed Increase in Authorized Shares of Capital Stock and Intrasystem Issuance, Sale and Acquisition of Such Increased Shares

JULY 1, 1960.

Notice is hereby given that New England Electric System ("NEES"), a registered holding company, and one of its gas utility subsidiaries, Wachusett Gas Company ("Wachusett"), have filed a joint application-declaration pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a)(2), 6(b), 7, 9(a) and 10 of the Act and Rules 50(a)(1), (3), (4) and 42(b)(2) thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the joint application-declaration on file in the office of the Commission for a statement of the proposed transactions which are summarized as follows:

Wachusett proposes (a) to increase its 10,290 authorized shares of capital stock, par value \$100 per share, to 13,290 of such shares and (b) to issue and sell such additional 3,000 shares of its capital stock to NEES for the aggregate par value thereof or a total consideration of \$300,000.

The proceeds from the above sale are to be applied by Wachusett to the payment of a like amount of short-term Notes payable to a bank, which note indebtedness was incurred for extensions, enlargements and additions to the plant and property of Wachusett.

The estimated fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions by Wachusett and NEES aggregate \$2,950 and \$600, respectively, and consist, for the most part, of aggregate charges of \$3,100 by the system service company.

Wachusett has applied to the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities for authorization of the proposed increase in its authorized shares and for the proposed issuance and sale of such increased shares to NEES. A copy of the order entered therein is to be supplied by amendment. It is represented that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over either of the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than July 26, 1960, request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matters, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law, if any, raised by the joint application-declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such re-

quest should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, the joint application-declaration as filed, or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective, as provided in Rule 23 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100, or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6322; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3865]

## SKIATRON ELECTRONICS AND TELEVISION CORP.

### Order Summarily Suspending Trading

JULY 1, 1960.

The common stock, par value 10 cents per share of Skiatron Electronics and Television Corporation, being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, July 5, 1960 to July 14, 1960, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6323; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL REPORTS OF CLASS I AND CLASS II MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

JUNE 23, 1960.

By a petition filed with the Commission under date of February 3, 1960, the

American Trucking Associations, Inc. asks for a rule to provide for the revision of the operating ratio presently required to be furnished by Class I and Class II motor carriers of property in their annual and quarterly reports to the Commission, and to require the furnishing of a second ratio by such carriers in these reports to be known as "Net Income Ratio." Revision of the present operating ratio would call for the inclusion of the item of income taxes in computation of the "Operating Ratio", and the reporting of a second ratio to be called "Net Income Ratio", which would be computed by dividing the net income transferred to surplus by the total operating revenues.

Revision of the present operating ratio is requested by the petitioner so as to obtain a reflection of the treatment of income taxes as an expense of doing business as recognized in certain court decisions and in accordance with sound and generally accepted accounting principles, and for rate-making and other purposes. The second ratio is requested for the purpose of furnishing a valuable guide to the Commission, carrier management, financial institutions and others interested in an accurate measure of the carrier's financial efficiency.

Upon consideration of the above-described petition, notice is hereby given, in conformity with the terms of section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1003, that the Commission has taken the matter under consideration.

Any interested person may, within 45 days from the date of the appearance of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Commission a written statement of views or other representations in regard to the above described proposed changes and may request oral argument or public hearing.

This notice with a copy of petition will be served on all Class I and Class II common and contract motor carriers of property and notice will be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, Washington, D.C., and by filing the notice with the Director, Federal Register Division.

By the Commission, division 2.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6331; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

[Notice 343]

### MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JULY 5, 1960.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its dis-

position. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 63060. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Amos C. Baer, doing business as Hagerstown Storage and Transfer Company, Hagerstown, Md., of Certificate No. MC 65631 issued November 22, 1949, to Lewis Samuel Horst and Frances Louise Horst, a partnership, doing business as Horst Transfer, Hagerstown, Md., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of monumental stone and supplies and equipment for installing same, from Hagerstown, Md., to points within 50 miles of Hagerstown, in Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Virginia, and Maryland; newspapers, from Hagerstown, Md., to Greencastle, Pa., Berkeley Springs, W. Va., and points in Maryland within 6 miles of Hagerstown; coal, from points within 5 miles of Dividing Ridge, Pa., to points in Washington County, Md.; petroleum products (not requiring special equipment), between Hagerstown, Md., and points within 10 miles thereof; and, agricultural commodities, between points in Washington County, Md., on the one hand, and, on the other, points within 125 miles of Hagerstown, Md., in Maryland and Pennsylvania. Harry H. Frank, Commerce Building, Harrisburg, Pa., or, Norman I. Broadwater, Second National Bank Building, Hagerstown, Md., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63071. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Esther Gibson, doing business as The Gibson Trucking Co., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, of a Certificate in No. MC 109531, issued July 11, 1950, to E. H. Gibson and Esther Gibson, a partnership, doing business as The Gibson Trucking Co., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, which authorizes the transportation of oil-field equipment and supplies, over irregular routes, between points in Texas west of, but not including those on U.S. Highway 81 and those in Kansas and Oklahoma. John H. Lewis, Stockton, Linville and Lewis, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo.

No. MC-FC 63218. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Ace-R. B. Van Lines, Inc., 2136 Northwest 24th Avenue, Miami, Florida, of a Certificate in No. MC 60004 issued June 18, 1941 to Decker Van Lines, Inc., 143 Clark Street, Auburn, N.Y., which authorizes the transportation of household goods, as defined by the Commission, between Auburn, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Delaware, Virginia, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Indiana, Wisconsin, Massachusetts, Vermont, Connecticut, Michigan, Illinois, New Jersey, Maryland, and the District of Columbia.

No. MC-FC 63234. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Ohio Valley Motor Freight, Inc., Marietta, Ohio, of the operating rights in Certificates Nos. MC 114718, MC 114718 Sub 1, MC 114718 Sub 2, MC 114718 Sub 6 and MC 114718 Sub 7, issued May 31, 1955, April 13, 1955, March 22, 1956, October 10, 1957, and February 12, 1960, respectively, to Wil-

liam H. Elliott, doing business as Ohio Valley Motor Freight, Marietta, Ohio. The foregoing certificates authorize the transportation of ferro alloys, silicon metals, dry cement, agricultural commodities, general commodities, except household goods, as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and other specified commodities, from, to, and between, specified points in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, and Missouri, and the substitution of transferee for transfer or in No. MC 114718 Sub 8. Herbert Baker, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus 15, Ohio, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63264. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Arthur J. Letourneau, doing business as A. J. Letourneau Movers, Worcester, Mass., of Certificate in No. MC 2797, issued February 14, 1958, to Matthew J. Modzelewski and Victor A. Bergerson, a partnership, doing business as J. B. Brooks Co., Worcester, Mass., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, between Shrewsbury, Mass., and points in Massachusetts within 20 miles of Shrewsbury, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, and New Jersey. Arthur A. Wentzell, P. O. Box 720, Worcester, Mass., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63270. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Donald E. Miller, Lemmon, South Dakota, of Permit No. MC 116720 issued June 20, 1958 in the name of Howard Kellogg, Lemmon, South Dakota, authorizing the transportation over irregular routes of malt beverages, in containers, and supplies, signs and materials used in the sale and distribution thereof, from St. Paul, Minn., to Lemmon, S. Dak., and empty containers on return. Ronald R. Johnson, 310 Main Avenue, Lemmon, S. Dak., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63272. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Leo E. Richard, doing business as Leo Richard Trucking, Portland, Maine, of a portion of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 61019, issued April 2, 1959, to Massachusetts Trucking Corp., New Bedford, Mass., authorizing the transportation of: Malt beverages, in containers, and advertising matter used in connection with the sale or distribution of malt beverages, from Newark, N.J., or New York, N.Y., to specified points in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and Connecticut; and returned or rejected shipments of malt beverages and empty malt beverage containers, on the return. Kenneth B. Williams, 111 State Street, Boston 9, Mass., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63283. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Boward Truck Line, Inc., Staunton, Va., of that portion of the operating rights authorized to J. C. Boward, doing business as J. C. Boward's Truck Lines, Staunton, Va., in Certificate No. MC 27598 issued January 19, 1950, authorizing the transportation, over regular routes, of plumbing and heating supplies and equipment, from

Bayonne, N.J., and Lansdale, Pa., to Staunton, Va., and over irregular routes, of general commodities, excluding household goods, between Staunton, Va., and points in Augusta County, Va., within 50 miles of Staunton on the one hand, and, on the other, Washington, D.C., Baltimore, Hagerstown, and Knoxville, Md., Philadelphia, Bristol, Pittsburgh, and Lancaster, Pa., and points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and West Virginia, and between points in Rockbridge and Rockingham Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Washington, D.C., Baltimore, Hagerstown, and Knoxville, Md., Philadelphia, Bristol, Pittsburgh, and Lancaster, Pa., and points in North Carolina, South Carolina, and West Virginia, and various specified commodities from and to specified points in Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, South Carolina, West Virginia, Tennessee, and the District of Columbia, and the operating rights authorized to J. C. Boward, doing business as J. C. Boward's Truck Lines, in Certificate No. MC 27598 Sub 5, issued May 17, 1951, authorizing the transportation, over a regular route, of general commodities, excluding household goods and commodities in bulk, between Lynchburg, Va., and Covington, Va. Glenn F. Morgan, 925 Whitfield Avenue, Sarasota, Fla., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63284. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Boward Moving and Storage, Inc., Staunton, Va., of that portion of the operating rights authorized to J. C. Boward, doing business as J. C. Boward's Truck Lines, Staunton, Va., in Certificate No. MC 27598, issued January 19, 1950, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of household goods, between Staunton, Va., and points in Augusta County, Va., within 50 miles of Staunton, on the one hand, and, on the other, Washington, D.C., and points in North Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, between points in Rockbridge and Rockingham Counties, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Washington, D.C., and points in North Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, and between Waynesboro, Va., and points within 25 miles of Waynesboro, on the one hand, and, on the other, those in Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Glenn F. Morgan, 925 Whitfield Avenue, Sarasota, Fla., for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63347. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to William Wayne Talkington, Jr., doing business as Talkington Truck Co., 814 West G Street, Wilmington, California, of a Certificate in No. MC 32606 issued April 14, 1941 to Edythe May Talkington, doing business as Talkington Truck Co., 816 Frigate Ave., Wilmington, California, which authorizes the transportation of lumber and forest products, over irregular routes, from Los Angeles Harbor and Long Beach Harbor, Calif., to specified points in California.

No. MC-FC 63375. By order of June 30, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Joseph A. Conrad, Jr., Terre Haute, Ind., of Permit No. MC 109470 issued August 24, 1948, to Joseph A. Conrad, doing business as Conrad Transfer Company, Terre Haute, Ind., authorizing the transportation of such merchandise, as is dealt in by mail-order houses and their stores, between Terre Haute, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois and Indiana within 50 miles of Terre Haute, Ind. Joseph A. Conrad, Jr., 547 North 13th Street, Terre Haute, Ind., for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6328; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

#### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JULY 5, 1960.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

#### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 36370: *Vermiculite—Between points in southwestern and WTL and IFA territories.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7839), for interested rail carriers. Rates on vermiculite, asbestos and clay combined, vermiculite, other than crude, and perlite, other than crude, in carloads between points in southwestern territory, on the one hand, and points in western trunk-line, including northern lines territory, also East St. Louis, Ill., St. Louis, Alexandria, Hannibal, Louisiana, Old Monroe, West Alton and West Quincy, Mo., on the other.

Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula and grouping.

Tariff: Supplement 56 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 4330.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 60-6327; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

HARALD VON SCHENK

### Notice of Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32(f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

**Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location**

Harald von Schenk, Bremen, Germany; \$9,661.22 in the Treasury of the United States. Vesting Order No. 12800; Claim No. 56772.

The following securities in the Safekeeping Department of the Federal Reserve Bank, New York:

Republic of Chile External Sinking Fund Dollar Bonds of 1948, due 1993, Nos. M13174/7 at \$1,000.00 each; Federal Republic of Germany Conversion and Funding Issue 1953, 10 year 3% Dollar Bonds due 1963, Nos. M 008120/21 at \$1,000.00 each, D 001352 at \$500.00, C 006750/52 at \$100.00 each; German Savings Banks and Clearing Association Berlin, Debt Adjustment Debentures Series A, 5½%, due 1967, Nos. M 004136/8 at \$1,000.00 each, C 002076/80 at \$100.00 each; Free Hanseatic City of Bremen 10 year External Loan Gold Bonds 5%, due 1945, Nos. M 2473/6 at \$1,000.00 each; 29 share warrants Royal Dutch Company for the Working of Petroleum Wells in Netherlands India, 1000 florins p.v., Nos. 474670/83, 431753, 115982, 079744, 281388, 474659/69; and 42 shares of Handelsvereeniging, Amsterdam, 500 guilders face value, various issues.

Executed at Washington, D.C., on June 30, 1960.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] DALLAS S. TOWNSEND,  
Assistant Attorney General,  
Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6330; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

## HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

### REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES ACTIVITIES, REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

#### Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Housing for Educational Institutions

The Regional Director of Community Facilities Activities, Region VI (San Francisco), with respect to the program of loans for housing for educational institutions authorized under Title IV of the Housing Act of 1950, as amended (64 Stat. 77, as amended, 12 U.S.C. 1749-1749c), is hereby authorized within such Region:

1. To execute loan agreements involving loans for student and/or faculty housing and/or dining facilities, and to amend or modify any such loan agreement;

2. To execute any loan agreement under the program in the amount approved by the Community Facilities Commissioner, and to amend or modify any such loan agreement.

This redelegation supersedes the redelegation effective September 22, 1959 (24 F.R. 7627, Sept. 22, 1959).

(62 Stat. 1283 (1948), as amended by 64 Stat. 80 (1950), 12 U.S.C. 1701c; Housing and Home Finance Administrator's delegation effective

April 9, 1960 (25 F.R. 3090, April 9, 1960, as corrected 25 F.R. 3198, April 13, 1960))

Effective as of the 3d day of June 1960.

[SEAL] MELVIN S. FRAZIER,  
Acting Regional Administrator,  
Region VI.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6332; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

### REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES ACTIVITIES, REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

#### Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Public Facility Loans

The Regional Director of Community Facilities Activities, Region VI (San Francisco), with respect to the public facility loans program authorized under section 202 of Public Law 345, 84th Congress, as amended (69 Stat. 643, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1492), is hereby authorized within such Region:

1. To enter into contracts with public agencies involving loans for essential public works or facilities in amounts not exceeding \$250,000, and to amend or modify any such contract provided that such amendment or modification does not increase the Federal loan beyond \$275,000;

2. To enter into contracts with public agencies for loans for such public works or facilities in amounts approved by the Community Facilities Commissioner, and to amend or modify any such contract provided that such amendment or modification does not increase the amount of the Federal loan approved by the Commissioner by more than \$25,000 or 10 percent, whichever is the lesser.

This redelegation supersedes the redelegation effective September 22, 1959 (24 F.R. 7626, Sept. 22, 1959).

(62 Stat. 1283 (1948), as amended by 64 Stat. 80 (1950), 12 U.S.C. 1701c; Housing and Home Finance Administrator's delegation effective April 9, 1960 (25 F.R. 3090, April 9, 1960))

Effective as of the 3d day of June 1960.

[SEAL] MELVIN S. FRAZIER,  
Acting Regional Administrator,  
Region VI.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6333; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

### REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES ACTIVITIES, REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

#### Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Public Works Planning

The Regional Director of Community Facilities Activities, Region VI (San Francisco), with respect to the program of advances for public works planning authorized under section 702 of the Housing Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 641), as

amended by section 112 of the Housing Amendments of 1955 (69 Stat. 641), 40 U.S.C. 462, is hereby authorized within such Region:

1. To execute offers to public agencies for planning projects involving advances in amounts not exceeding \$30,000 per project, and to amend or modify contracts resulting from the acceptance of such offers provided that such amendments or modifications do not increase the Federal advances for any project beyond \$30,000;

2. To execute offers to public agencies in amounts approved by the Community Facilities Commissioner for planning projects involving advances in excess of \$30,000, and to amend or modify contracts resulting from the acceptance of such offers, except that any amendment or modification involving a substantial increase in the scope of a project or an increase in the amount of the Federal advance shall not be executed without the prior approval of the Community Facilities Commissioner;

3. To approve the planning data submitted by public agencies in accordance with contracts resulting from acceptance of offers under subparagraphs 1 or 2 above;

4. To authorize payments under any contracts resulting from acceptance of offers under subparagraphs 1 or 2 above.

(62 Stat. 1283 (1948), as amended by 64 Stat. 80 (1950), 12 U.S.C. 1701c; Housing and Home Finance Administrator's delegation effective April 9, 1960 (25 F.R. 3090, April 9, 1960))

Effective as of the 3d day of June 1960.

[SEAL] ANNABELLE HEATH,  
Regional Administrator,  
Region VI.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6334; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:53 a.m.]

### REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF URBAN RE-NEWAL, REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

#### Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Slum Clearance and Urban Renewal Program, Demonstration Grant Program, and Urban Planning Grant Program

The Regional Director of Urban Renewal, Region VI (San Francisco), Housing and Home Finance Agency, is hereby authorized within such Region to exercise all the authority delegated to the Regional Administrator by the Housing and Home Finance Administrator's delegation of authority effective December 23, 1954 (20 F.R. 428, Jan. 19, 1955), as amended, with respect to the slum clearance and urban renewal program authorized under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended (63 Stat. 414-421, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1450-1460), and under section 312 of the Housing Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 629, 42 U.S.C. 1450 note), with respect to the

demonstration grant program authorized under section 314 of the Housing Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 629, 42 U.S.C. 1452a), and with respect to the urban planning grant program authorized under section 701 of the Housing Act of 1954, as amended (68 Stat. 640, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 461), except those authorities which under paragraph 6 of such delegation may not be redelegated.

This redelegation supersedes the redelegations effective January 17, 1955 (20 F.R. 696, Feb. 1, 1955), October 3, 1955 (20 F.R. 7975, Oct. 22, 1955), and August 13, 1957 (22 F.R. 7092, Sept. 4, 1957).

(62 Stat. 1283 (1948), as amended by 64 Stat. 80 (1950), 12 U.S.C. 1701c; Housing and Home Finance Administrator's delegation, as amended)

Effective as of the 3d day of June 1960.

[SEAL] MELVIN S. FRAZIER,  
Acting Regional Administrator,  
Region VI.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6335; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:54 a.m.]

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Area 282]

### NEW YORK

#### Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of June, 1960, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of New York;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now therefore, as Deputy Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated from persons or firms whose property situated in the following County (including any areas adjacent to said County) suffered damage or destruction as a result of the catastrophe hereinafter referred to:

County: Broome (Flood occurring on or about June 17, 1960).

Offices: Small Business Administration Regional Office, 42 Broadway, New York 4, N.Y. Small Business Administration Branch Office, Chimes Building, Room 904, 500 South Salina Street, Syracuse, N.Y.

2. A temporary field office will be established in the Town of Union Office Building, Endwell, New York.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to December 31, 1960.

Dated: June 22, 1960.

ROBERT F. BUCK,  
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6324; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

[Declaration of Disaster Area 283]

### NEBRASKA

#### Declaration of Disaster Area

Whereas, it has been reported that during the month of June 1960, because of the effects of certain disasters, damage resulted to residences and business property located in certain areas in the State of Nebraska;

Whereas, the Small Business Administration has investigated and has received other reports of investigations of conditions in the areas affected;

Whereas, after reading and evaluating reports of such conditions, I find that the conditions in such areas constitute a catastrophe within the purview of the Small Business Act.

Now therefore, as Deputy Administrator of the Small Business Administration, I hereby determine that:

1. Applications for disaster loans under the provisions of section 7(b) of the Small Business Act may be received and considered by the Offices below indicated

from persons or firms whose property situated in the following Counties (including any areas adjacent to said Counties) suffered damage or destruction as a result of the catastrophe hereinafter referred to:

Counties: Dodge, Douglas, Madison and Sarpy (flood occurring on or about June 19 and 20, 1960).

Offices: Small Business Administration Regional Office, Home Savings Building, Fifth Floor, 1006 Grand Avenue, Kansas City 6, Mo. Small Business Administration Branch Office, Farm Credit Building, Room 207, 206 South 19th Street, Omaha 2, Nebr.

2. No special field offices will be established at this time.

3. Applications for disaster loans under the authority of this Declaration will not be accepted subsequent to December 31, 1960.

Dated: June 22, 1960.

ROBERT F. BUCK,  
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6325; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:52 a.m.]

## TARIFF COMMISSION

[AA1921-13]

### NEPHELINE SYENITE

#### Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held in the Hearing Room, Tariff Commission Building, Eighth and E Street NW., Washington, D.C., beginning at 10 a.m. e.d.s.t. on July 25, 1960, in connection with the investigation instituted by the Tariff Commission under section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended, with regard to Nepheline Syenite from Canada (25 F.R. 4967).

Interested parties desiring to appear and to be heard should notify the Secretary of the Tariff Commission, in writing, at its offices in Washington, D.C., at least three days in advance of the date of the hearing.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 7, 1960.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-6395; Filed, July 7, 1960;  
8:55 a.m.]

## Title 2—THE CONGRESS

### ACTS APPROVED BY THE PRESIDENT

EDITORIAL NOTE: During the current recess of the Congress a listing of public laws approved by the President will appear in the FEDERAL REGISTER under Title 2—The Congress.

Approved July 6, 1960

H.R. 3291.....Public Law 86-593  
An Act to amend title 10, United States Code, with respect to certain medals.

H.R. 4251.....Public Law 86-594  
An Act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 with respect to the limitation on the deduction of exploration expenditures.

H.R. 6108.....Public Law 86-595  
An Act to provide for the establishment of the Arkansas Post National Memorial, in the State of Arkansas.

H.R. 9142.....Public Law 86-596  
An Act to provide for payment for lands heretofore conveyed to the United States as a basis for lieu selections from the public domain, and for other purposes.

H.R. 12311.....Public Law 86-592  
An Act to amend the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended.

## CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JULY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during July.

3 CFR	Page	10 CFR—Continued	Page	26 (1954) CFR—Con.	Page
<b>PROCLAMATIONS:</b>		<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>			
3354	6233	30	6302	170	6184
3355	6414	<b>14 CFR</b>		194	6270
<b>EXECUTIVE ORDERS:</b>		45	6262	201	6428
November 12, 1911	6434	47	6262	240	6184
April 17, 1926	6435	203	6262	250	6196
10322	6433	244	6263	251	6204
10810	6414	507	6178	<b>27 CFR</b>	
10881	6414	514	6266	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
<b>4 CFR</b>		600	6266, 6416-6418	5	6292
30	6234	601	6178-6180, 6266, 6417-6420	<b>29 CFR</b>	
34	6234	602	6180	1401	6209
35	6234	608	6328	<b>33 CFR</b>	
52	6234	609	6420, 6424	202	6235
<b>5 CFR</b>		610	6267	203	6432
6	6235, 6416	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		<b>36 CFR</b>	
202	6317	507	6213, 6214, 6438	3	6360
209	6317	514	6367	212	6360
325	6162	600	6303, 6438	<b>38 CFR</b>	
<b>6 CFR</b>		601	6214-6216, 6330, 6438-6441	13	6285
421	6161, 6317	602	6442	<b>39 CFR</b>	
427	6235	<b>15 CFR</b>		24	6330
464	6323	371	6355	33	6330
474	6161	379	6355	48	6330
485	6326	399	6428	168	6210
<b>7 CFR</b>		<b>16 CFR</b>		<b>41 CFR</b>	
51	6236	13	6180-6183, 6328, 6329, 6355-6359	9-1	6289
722	6326, 6327	<b>17 CFR</b>		<b>42 CFR</b>	
728	6236	239	6431	35	6331
730	6327	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		<b>43 CFR</b>	
922	6259, 6347	230	6443	<b>PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:</b>	
928	6162	270	6443	861	6432
934	6260	<b>18 CFR</b>		2136	6210
936	6328	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		2137	6290
937	6347	141	6212	2138	6360
953	6260, 6328	<b>20 CFR</b>		2139	6360
959	6347	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		2140	6432
961	6261	602	6442	2141	6433
968	6169	604	6442	2142	6433
992	6261	<b>21 CFR</b>		2143	6433
1016	6348	121	6431	2144	6433
1029	6350	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		2145	6434
1101	6415	9	6301	2146	6434
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		19	6301	2147	6435
51	6292, 6362	121	6302, 6335	2148	6435
904	6336	<b>22 CFR</b>		2149	6435
932	6294	41	6432	<b>46 CFR</b>	
980	6364	<b>24 CFR</b>		10	6436
990	6336	237	6329	<b>47 CFR</b>	
996	6336	<b>25 CFR</b>		2	6436
999	6336	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		12	6290
1019	6336	131	6332	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
<b>8 CFR</b>		163	6362	3	6369
214	6431	<b>26 (1954) CFR</b>		17	6304
<b>9 CFR</b>		1	6183	<b>49 CFR</b>	
131	6178			95	6291, 6361
<b>10 CFR</b>					
40	6427				