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Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Proclamation 3869

NATIONAL HISPANIC HERITAGE WEEK, 1968

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

It is with special pride that I call the attention of my fellow citizens to the great contribution to our national heritage made by our people of Hispanic descent—not only in the fields of culture, business, and science, but also through their valor in battle.

Several of our States and many of our cities proudly bear Hispanic names and continue Hispanic traditions that enrich our national life. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico has given an example to the world by lifting the per capita income of its inhabitants through “Operation Bootstrap” from $256 to $1,047 in 10 years.

The people of Hispanic descent are the heirs of missionaries, captains, soldiers, and farmers who were motivated by a young spirit of adventure, and a desire to settle freely in a free land. This heritage is ours.

Wishing to pay special tribute to the Hispanic tradition, and having in mind the fact that our five Central American neighbors celebrate their Independence Day on the fifteenth of September and the Republic of Mexico on the sixteenth, the Congress, by House Joint Resolution 1299, has requested the President to issue annually a proclamation designating the week including September 15 and 16 as National Hispanic Heritage Week.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, LYNDON B. JOHNSON, President of the United States of America, do hereby proclaim the week beginning September 15, 1968, as National Hispanic Heritage Week, and I call upon the people of the United States, especially the educational community, to observe that week with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this seventeenth day of September, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and sixty-eight, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and ninety-third.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11504; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 11:23 a.m.]
Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE
Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation
 [Airspace Docket No. 68-WE-70]
PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS
Alteration of Control Zone Correction
In F.R. Doc. 68-10678 appearing at page 12543 of the issue for Thursday, September 5, 1968, the figure "201" in the third line of the description of the Modesto, Calif. control zone should read "291".

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES
Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission
 [Docket No. C-1396]
PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES
Apparel Industries of California et al.


In the Matter of Apparel Industries of California, Inc., a Corporation, Trading as Martin of California, and Alexander Lawlor, individually and as an officer of said Apparel Industries of California, Inc., and Jay M. Greenberg, individually and as Production Control Manager of Apparel Industries of California, Inc., and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction, or the manufacture for introduction, into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution, delivery for shipment, or shipment, in commerce, of wool products, as "commerce" and "wool product" are defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:
1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling, or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.
2. Failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label, or other means of identification correctly showing in a clear and conspicuous manner each element of information required to be disclosed by section 4(a)(2) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of the order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: July 29, 1968.
By the Commission.

[Seal]
JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 68-11398; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. C-1397]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES
Mercury Electronics, Inc., et al.


Consent order requiring a Dallas, Tex., distributor of dry cell batteries, flashlights and other electric and electronic equipment to cease using deceptive methods to recruit franchised dealers to sell its products.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Mercury Electronics, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Marathon Sales Corp., a corporation, and its officers, and David L. George, individually and as an officer of said corporations and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of electronic equipment, dry cell batteries, flashlights and displays and routes, licenses, and franchises in relation thereto, or any other route, franchise, license, or product, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:
1. Using the word "Marathon" in or as part of respondents' trade or corporate name or representing, directly or by implication, that respondents are the Marathon Battery Company of Wausau, Wis., or are affiliated with or related to said company in any manner other than as independent contracting agents for the products of that company; or misrepresenting, in any manner, respondents' trade or business connections or affiliations.
2. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents offer exclusive franchises for the Marathon Battery Co., or for any other company; Provided, however, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that
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they do offer a bona fide exclusive franchise for the area and in accordance with the terms of any represented offer.

3. Representing, directly or by implication, that any amount invested pursuant to respondents’ offer is secured by inventory or otherwise; or that there is no risk of losing the money so invested: Provided, however, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to show that the investment is actually secured by inventory and in accordance with the terms of any represented offer.

4. Representing, directly or by implication, that persons investing in any products or business will have substantial earnings or profit or any percentage of profit or will earn any amount of income: Provided, however, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that any represented percentage of profit or any represented amount of income or profit is the percentage ordinarily realized by previous purchasers of such products or such business as a result of such purchase.

5. Misrepresenting, in any manner, the income of persons investing in any products or engaging in any business opportunity offered by any respondent.

6. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents establish profitable routes for their products; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the assistance supplied in obtaining locations for the products purchased from respondents: Provided, however, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to show that they do establish profitable accounts or profitable routes for their products.

7. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents’ business is the largest service organization in the middle west; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature or extent of respondents’ business.

8. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents’ offer is made only to a selected group of persons; or misrepresenting, in any manner, that any qualification other than tender of the purchase price are necessary: Provided, however, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that any qualification other than tender of the purchase price is necessary and that the offer is made only to a selected group of persons.

9. Placing in the hands of jobbers, retailers, dealers or others the means and methods, in any manner, that they may mislead or deceive the public in any manner or as to the things hereinabove prohibited.

It is further ordered, That the respondents shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of their operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: July 31, 1968.

By the Commission.

[Seal]

JOSEPH W. SHEA, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-11370; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 23—HIGHWAYS AND VEHICLES

Chapter II—Vehicle and Highway Safety

PART 255—FEDERAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS

Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 205; Glazing Materials—Passenger Cars, Multipurpose Passenger Vehicles, Motorcycles, Trucks, and Buses


As a result of inquiries seeking clarification of the applicability of the Federal motor vehicle safety standards to campers, a ruling was published in the Federal Register on March 26, 1968 (33 F.R. 3505), which specified that the glazing standard is applicable to slide-in campers because they are items of motor vehicle equipment for use in motor vehicles and to chassis-mount campers.


The Federal Highway Administration has received a petition concerning certification requirements for prime manufacturers of glazing materials; prime glazing material manufacturers being those who fabricate, laminate or temper glazing materials. The Petitioner states he has encountered practical problems in the use of certification labels because: (a) Glass stored for appreciable lengths of time, covered by the label, may "weather" in a different manner from the remaining areas of the glass, (b) labels on individual lights of glass can produce pressure points due to local area loading and may result in failures during shipment and storage, and (c) certification labels can become separated from the material prior to delivery from certified stock distributors to nonstocking distributors.

The Petitioner points out that Standard No. 205 requires marking of safety glazing materials in accordance with paragraph 8 of the United States of America Standards Institute (USASI) Standard Z26.1-1966. The Petitioner requests that the permanent marking on the glazing material required by Standard No. 205, with the addition of the symbol "DOT", be allowed as an alternative method of certification required under section 114 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 (15 U.S.C. 1401). This petition is granted provided that the symbol "DOT" and an approved two digit manufacturer’s number are included in the permanent marking. Any prime glazing material manufacturer may apply for an approved two digit manufacturer’s number
Assignment to the Director, National Highway Safety Bureau, Washington, D.C. 20590.

Since these amendments relieve restrictions, provide alternative means of compliance and create no additional burden the Administrator finds, for good cause shown, that it is in the public interest to make them effective upon date of issuance.

In consideration of the foregoing, § 255.21 as amended by 32 F.R. 2414 (as amended by 32 F.R. 10072) is amended to read as set forth below:

These amendments are made under the authority of section 1 and 119 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1497) and the delegation of authority contained in section 1.4(c) of Part I of the regulations of the Office of the Secretary (49 CFR 1.4(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 13, 1968.

JOHN R. JAMESON,
Deputy Federal Highway Administrator.

Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 205

GLAZING MATERIALS—PASSENGER CARS MULTIPURPOSE PASSENGER VEHICLES, MOTORCYCLES, TRUCKS, AND BUSES

S1. Purpose and scope. This standard specifies requirements for glazing materials to reduce lacerations to the face, scalp, and neck, and to minimize the possibility of occupants being thrown through the vehicle windows in collisions.

S2. Authority. This standard applies to glazing materials for use in passenger cars, multipurpose passenger vehicles, motorcycles, trucks, and buses.

S3. Requirements.


S3.2 Certification alternative. As an alternative to the certification requirements under section 114 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, a prime glazing material manufacturer may, instead of requirements of section 6 of Z26.1-1966 if the symbol "DOT" and an approved manufacturer's code mark, in letters and numbers at least 0.070 inch in height, is included in the marking. The approved manufacturer's code mark is a two-digit number assigned upon request to a prime glazing material manufacturer. A prime glazing material manufacturer, for the purpose of this standard, is one who fabricates, laminates or tempers the glazing material.

3. Certification alternative. As an alternative to the certification requirements under section 114 of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966, a prime glazing material manufacturer may, instead of requirements of section 6 of Z26.1-1966 if the symbol "DOT" and an approved manufacturer's code mark, in letters and numbers at least 0.070 inch in height, is included in the marking. The approved manufacturer's code mark is a two-digit number assigned upon request to a prime glazing material manufacturer. A prime glazing material manufacturer, for the purpose of this standard, is one who fabricates, laminates or tempers the glazing material.

(F.R. Doc. 68-11607; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.)

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter V—Department of the Army

SUBCHAPTER C—MILITARY EDUCATION

PART 543—PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE

Civilian Marksmanship

Section 543.1 is revised, new § 543.1a is added, and §§ 543.2 and 543.3 are revised, as follows:

§ 543.1 General.

(a) Purpose. Sections 543.1-543.3 prescribe the program of the Secretary of the Army for promoting marksmanship training with rifled arms among able-bodied citizens of the United States. See § 543.4. Members of the Armed Forces of the United States, the Reserve components thereof, and the ROTC are not eligible to receive support under the provisions of § 543.1-543.3.

(b) Prerequisite for enrollment. As a condition precedent to consideration of an enrollment application or continued support, clubs must agree to existing civil rights laws and directives as prescribed in AR 600-23. Assurances in the form shown in § 543.1a will be prepared in triplicate and forwarded to the Director of Civilian Marksmanship as a part of initial enrollment or as requested by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

§ 543.2 Issues of rifles, ammunition, and related equipment to civilian shooting clubs.

(a) Authority. The Secretary of the Army is authorized by title 10, United States Code, section 4308, within the limits of funds made available annually to him, and in accordance with rules and regulations approved by him upon the recommendation of the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice, to assist civilian marksmanship clubs in conducting competitive marksmanship activities in which equipment, property, and supplies provided in whole or in part through federally financed assistance are utilized. The Applicant-Recipient recognizes and agrees that such Federal financial assistance will be extended in reliance on the representations and agreements made in this assurance, and that the United States shall have the right to seek judicial enforcement of this assurance.

This assurance is binding on the Applicant-Recipient, its successors or assigns, and the person or persons whose signatures appear below are authorized to sign this assurance on behalf of the Applicant-Recipient.

Dated

(Applicant-Recipient)

By

(Chairman of Board or comparable authorized official)

(Applicant-Recipient's Mailing Address)

§ 543.3 Issues of rifles, ammunition, and related equipment to civilian shooting clubs.

(a) Authority. The Secretary of the Army is authorized by title 10, United States Code, section 4308, within the limits of funds made available annually to him, and in accordance with rules and regulations approved by him upon the recommendation of the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice, to assist civilian marksmanship clubs in conducting small arms marksmanship training with rifled arms, and to issue, in connection therewith, arms and ammunition.

(b) Application for enrollment. Marksmanship clubs desiring enrollment on behalf of a District of Civilian Marksmanship requirements are eligible to receive Government assistance for civilian marksmanship training, on the basis prescribed in paragraph (a) of this section, through the
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Office of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship, Department of the Army. This assistance will be provided eligible clubs enrolled by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship in accordance with subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph.

(1) To be eligible for enrollment with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship, a club must—

   (i) Conduct an active program of marksmanship training for a reasonably permanent membership for at least 9 months of each year. This requirement cannot be fulfilled by training at summer camps, unless such training is generally in accordance with the requirements of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

   (ii) Provide, or have access to, adequate range facilities to enable each member to fire for practice at least four times a year and for record at least once a year.

   (iii) Be composed of at least 10 physically fit male members, 12 through 19 years of age, except that undergraduate members of a suitable age from the college shall be eligible regardless of their age. Members must be citizens of the United States, and must function under the direct supervision of the club director and three responsible adult leaders, at least one of whom is a qualified marksmanship instructor. Eligible clubs may be associated with other marksmanship clubs the membership of which does not meet the prescribed age criteria, but such other clubs are not entitled to affiliation with or support by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship, DCM, except to the limited extent provided by paragraph (2) of this section.

   (iv) Apply for affiliation with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship within 2 years after beginning activity as a marksmanship club. Enrollment forms may be obtained, upon request, from the Director of Civilian Marksmanship, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C. 20310. These forms, signed and completed, must be submitted to the Office of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

   (a) DA Form 1271 (Application for Enrollment of a Civilian Rifle Club).

   (b) DA Form 1272 (Bond Application for Civilian Rifle Club).

   (c) DA Form 1273 (Requisition for Articles Authorized for Issue to the Civilian Rifle Clubs).

   (d) DA Form 1274 (Description of Available Range Facilities for Civilian Rifle Club).

   (e) ODCM Form 137 (Roster of Club Members).

   (f) Assurance of Compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1967.

(2) Together with its enrollment forms, each club must submit the name, title, and address of at least one local or state law enforcement officer in a supervisory position who may be contacted if further investigation is deemed necessary before affiliation is granted. In addition, each club must submit, for its qualified adult leaders and adult club officers, a bond executed in the following form:

   (a) DA Form 1273 (Requisition for Articles Authorized for Issue to the Civilian Rifle Clubs).

   (b) DA Form 1274 (Description of Available Range Facilities for Civilian Rifle Club).

   (c) ODCM Form 137 (Roster of Club Members).

   (d) Assurance of Compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1967.
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RULES AND REGULATIONS

qualified adult leaders may direct, but only the high score of each individual will be reported (subparagraph (2) of this paragraph).

(iii) Where the course of fire of any programmed individual or aggregate rifle match or a tournament corresponds to one of the currently prescribed Director of Civilian Marksmanship approved courses of fire, the scores attained in such a match may be counted as the annual record firing of individuals of a club.

(iv) Annual allowances of ammunition will not be increased on account of any club or club member firing record courses more than once. No restrictions will be placed on the type of ammunition which will be used in firing qualification courses for record.

(2) Annual reports. (i) Each club affiliated with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship will file an annual report with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship. The report will consist of the following:

(a) DA Form 1277 (Annual Statistical Report of Civilian Rifle Club).

(b) DA Form 1279 (Roster of Club Members).

(c) DA Form 1275 (Annual Inventory of U.S. Property Held by Civilian Rifle Club).

(d) DA Form 1274 (Description of Available Range Facilities for Civilian Rifle Club).

(ii) The annual report will be filed within 1 month after the conclusion of each year of a club's affiliation with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship. DA Forms for these reports will be furnished by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship 2 months before they are due.

(iii) The Roster of Club Members will show the full name of each firing member, address, age, course, score, and the date fired for record.

(iv) Annual certificates of qualifications or badges, as available, may be issued to club members qualifying in marksmanship based upon information contained in qualification forms submitted. Forms for the submission of qualification are DA Form 1278 (Record of Qualification for Junior Small-Bore Rifle Course) and DA Form 1279 (Record of Qualification for Caliber .22 Rifle). These forms may be obtained from the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

(3) Bonds, care of property, fire insurance and lost property surveys—(i) Bond. As a condition precedent to the issue of any Government property each club will be required to file with the Director of Civilian Marksmanship a properly executed bond as prescribed by the Director.

(ii) Safekeeping of arms, equipment and ammunition. The adult officials of clubs participating in the Director of Civilian Marksmanship program are responsible for the safekeeping of arms, equipment, and ammunition issued to them and for insuring that proper precautions are taken to prevent these Government issues from being improperly used and from falling into the hands of unauthorized or irresponsible persons. Further, it is the responsibility of club officials to insure that arms and ammunition are stored in accordance with local ordinances and regulations. The initial application for affiliation and each subsequent annual report (subparagraph (2) (ii) of this paragraph) will describe the method of safekeeping used by the club, under its surety bond, as extended or discontinued, as appropriate, if safekeeping provisions are deemed inadequate by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

(iii) Lost property surveys and reports of theft. Government-owned property, issued to junior clubs and lost or destroyed without fault or neglect on the part of the club officials or members, will be replaced at the expense of the United States except for transportation costs. To determine whether such loss or destruction was without fault or neglect on the part of the club officials or members, a report of survey (DD Form 200) will be made in accordance with instructions provided by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship. Each owner of Government-owned property is required to promptly notify the local police and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and will inform the Director of Civilian Marksmanship by telephone.

(iv) Defective ammunition. (i) All requests for replacement of unserviceable ammunition and complaints concerning ammunition issued by the Director of Civilian Marksmanship will be addressed to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Ammunition Procurement and Supply Agency, Joliet, Ill. 61202, within 30 days of the receipt of the ammunition in order to qualify for replacement without cost. Such reports will give the complete nomenclature of the ammunition, the lot number, the date received, the condition in which received, the quantity on hand, the reason for requesting replacement, and the reasons for requesting replacement. Should investigation of the complaint or sample ammunition require that such ammunition be examined or test fired, such samples of the ammunition will be furnished by the organization upon request. The Commanding General, U.S. Army Ammunition Procurement and Supply Agency, will replace only ammunition determined by that agency to be defective, on a round-for-round basis at no cost to the club. No replacement will be made unless the ammunition is found to be defective.

(ii) Organizations which have unserviceable ammunition on hand, and which have no disposal facilities, may dispose of ammunition from the establishment, relinquishing all claims to the ammunition and requesting that it be accepted for disposition.

(5) Rifles, accessories, and equipment—(i) Care. The clubs to which issues of property are made will be required to keep in good and serviceable condition, as issued by the Government.
local regulations as the commanding officer may prescribe. The president, secretary, or chief of the organization will then address a letter to the commanding officer of the installation, enclosing the approval of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship, and stating the date or dates on which the organization desires to use the range, and the particular ranges at which it desires to fire. The commanding officer will reply, stating whether or not the range will be available on the dates requested, or, if not, the dates on which it will be available, and to whom the organization will report upon arrival at the range. Subsequent applications for the use of the range by the same organization may be conducted in a manner agreed upon by representatives of the commanding officer and the organization concerned.

(c) Personnel and target materials. Commanding officers at all installations provided with ranges may detail personnel to supervise civilian rifle practice. Personnel detailed will be responsible for the proper use and preservation of all Government property involved, and for insuring that the local regulations are observed, and that the proper safety precautions are observed by all persons using the range. Pit details, target handlers, road guards, scorers, etc., will not be furnished. Using organizations will make the necessary arrangements for the manual labor required for the operation of the ranges. Regular targets and target frames may be provided unless the organization elects to provide its own target frames. Material, such as special targets, field glasses, telescopes, score books, etc., must be provided by the using organization. Organizations may desire to use arms, ammunition, and target materials. The Commanding Officer is authorized to refuse the use of the range to any person or organization which willfully disobeys any of the rules and regulations prescribed for the use of the range, or whose conduct on the range or installation is such as to warrant such action. Commanding officers are also authorized to refuse the use of the range to any individual whose knowledge of the basic principles of rifle shooting is so deficient that the individual poses a safety hazard.

(g) Additional rules to be prescribed. Commanding officers are authorized to prescribe additional rules and regulations consistent with the purpose of the governing statutes (10 U.S.C. 4306-4313).

(h) Use of ranges where no Regular Army personnel are present. Organizations which desire to use ranges at installations where no personnel of the Regular Army are present will make application for the necessary authority to the Director of Civilian Marksmanship. The Director of Civilian Marksmanship will then request permission from the State Adjutant General or other agency concerned, and will notify the requesting club of the result. It is the responsibility of the requesting club or organization to arrange all details with the commander or agency concerned. Organizations using these ranges must provide their own arms, ammunition, and target materials.

[A.R. 920-20, July 12, 1968 (Secs. 4306, 702, Stat. 236; 10 U.S.C. 4306)]

For the Adjutant General.

HAROLD SHARON,
Chief, Legislative and Precedent Branch, Office of Comptroller,
TAGO.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11362; Filed, Sept. 16, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 207—NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

San Francisco Bay, Calif.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1), § 207.640 governing the use and navigation of restricted areas in San Francisco Bay and adjacent waters, California, is hereby amended by revoking paragraph (e) in its entirety effective as follows:

§ 207.640 San Francisco Bay, San Pablo Bay, Coyote Strait, Suisun Bay, San Joaquin River, and connecting waters, California.

For the Adjutant General.

HAROLD SHARON,
Chief, Legislative and Precedent Branch, Office of the Compt.,
TAGO.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11363; Filed, Sept. 16, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

Chapter 8—Veterans Administration

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

Chapter 8 is amended as follows:

PART 8-3—PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

1. In § 8-3.210, paragraph (c) is revoked and paragraph (d) is added to read as follows:

§ 8-3.210 Impracticable to secure competition by formal advertisement.

* * * * * *

(c) [Revoked]

(d) Contracts for the maintenance, repair, and inspection of electronic automatic elevators may be negotiated under authority of FPR 3-2.310. The determinations and findings required by FPR 1-3.3 to support the use of this authority will be prepared by the contracting officer. They will be supported by a written statement from the engineer officer setting forth in detail why, in his professional engineering opinion, the negotiation of a contract for this purpose is in the best interest of the Veterans Administration.

PART 8-6—FOREIGN PURCHASES

Section 8-6-105 is revised to read as follows:

§ 8-6.105 Excepted articles, materials, and supplies.

Pursuant to the “Buy American Act,” the Director, Supply Service has determined that the articles, materials, and supplies listed in this section may be acquired by the Veterans Administration without regard to source, except as provided in Subpart 8-5.39:

Acetone, black. Agar, bulk.
Books, trade, text, technical or scientific; newspapers; magazines; periodicals; printed books and films; not printed in the United States and for which domestic equivalents are not available. Brazil nuts. Cadmium ores and flu dust. Calcium cyanamides. Capers.
Chlorella. Chlorella or chlorella. Cinnabon bark.
Coals, in cathodes, roncedelles, or other primary forms. Cocoa beans. Coconut and coconut meat in shredded, desiccated, or similarly prepared form. Coffee, raw or green beans. Colchicine alkaoloid, raw.
RULES AND REGULATIONS


by reference, may result in rejection of the merchandise and request for replacement, or repackaging, repacking, and/or marking. The Government reserves the right without obtaining authority from the Contractor to perform the required repackaging, repacking, and/or marking services and charge the Contractor therefor at the rate of $10 for the first hour and $7.50 per hour for each additional hour, with a minimum charge of $10, or have the required repackaging, repacking, and/or marking services performed commercially under Government orders at prevailing rates and charges. In connection with any discount offered, time will be computed from the date of completion of such repackaging, repacking, and/or marking services.

These regulations are effective immediately.

Approved: September 13, 1968.

By direction of the Administrator.

[A. H. Monk, Associate Deputy Administrator.]

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

[47 CFR 68-923]

Chapter 1—Federal Communications Commission

PART 2—FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS: GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

Type Approval Actions by Direct Mailing From Applicant to Laboratory

1. At the present time applications for type approval are addressed to the Secretary in Washington, D.C., and after a determination that they concern type approval are forwarded by mail to the laboratory at Laurel, Md. This involves unnecessary determination that the letter concerns type approval and unnecessary handling in Washington as well as a number of days delay because of the delay in Washington and in the mail to Laurel. Nothing useful seems to be involved in the present routing.

2. Accordingly, we are amending the rules and regulations to specify direct mailing by the applicant to the laboratory. Both the Commission and the public will benefit.

3. Because the amendment relates to matters of procedure, the prior notice and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, do not apply. Authority for the adoption of this amendment is contained in section 4(c)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(c).
Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter 1—Department of Transportation

PART 239—STANDARD TIME ZONE

Relocation of Boundary Between Alaska-Hawaii Standard Time Zone and Bering Standard Time Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 239 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations is to change the existing boundary line between the Alaska-Hawaii standard time zone and the Bering standard time zone, so as to include within the Alaska-Hawaii zone certain communities located in the area of Bristol Bay, Alaska. Several public and private organizations in Bristol Bay requested this change.

This amendment is based on a notice of proposed rule making (OST Docket No. 9; Notice No. 68-1) issued by the General Counsel of the Department of Transportation and published in the Federal Register on June 14, 1968 (33 F.R. 8745).

Part 239 describes the limits of the Alaska-Hawaii standard time zone as including all U.S. territory between 161° W. longitude and 157°30’ W. longitude and the entire State of Hawaii. Various commercial enterprises operating in the Bristol Bay area of Alaska requested the Department to include the Bristol Bay area in the Alaska-Hawaii time zone. The city of Dillingham, which is the principal city in the area, also requested the change. Accordingly, the notice of proposed rule making proposed that the limits of the Alaska-Hawaii time zone be extended to include all territory of the United States between 141° W. longitude and 161° W. longitude and the entire State of Hawaii.

Interested persons were given a 45-day period within which to comment on the proposal. Comments were received from commercial firms in the area, the airline serving the area, the local chamber of commerce, and local and Federal officials. All comments supported the proposal. No opposition to the proposal was received by the Department.

The Alaska Region of the Federal Aviation Administration recommended that the proposal be extended to include all territory between 141° W. longitude and 162° W. longitude. The community of Bethel lies between 161° and 162°. The Region’s comment stated that the inclusion of Bethel in the Alaska-Hawaii zone would further facilitate the movement of commerce in the Bristol Bay area, and would result in more effective administration of PAA facilities. This comment indicated that the time zone needed to have more merit. However, since this proposal was not contained in the notice of proposed rule making, and has therefore not been the subject of public notice, it cannot be adopted as a part of this action. The Department of Transportation is therefore issuing a separate notice of proposed rule making on the question of moving the line to 162° W. longitude, without holding up action on this decision.

Since this amendment was requested by the persons in the areas affected, will benefit commercial interests in that area, and received no adverse comment, I find that good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

In consideration of the foregoing, effective September 22, 1968, §§ 239.13 and 239.15 are amended to read as follows:

§ 239.13 Alaska-Hawaii standard time.

The seventh standard time zone, the Alaska-Hawaii time zone, includes all territory of the United States located between 141° W. longitude and 161° W. longitude, and the entire State of Hawaii.

§ 239.15 Bering standard time.

The eighth standard time zone, the Bering time zone, includes all territory of the United States between 161° W. longitude and 172°30’ W. longitude, and all of the Aleutian Islands which lie west of 172°30’ W. longitude, but does not include any part of the State of Hawaii.

This amendment in no way concerns adherence to or exemption from advanced (daylight saving) time within the established time zones from the last Sunday in April until the last Sunday in October but permits an individual State to exempt itself, by law, from observing advanced (daylight saving) time within the State.

(Act of Mar. 19, 1918, as amended by the Uniform Time Act of 1966; 13 U.S.C. 260-267; sec. 6(e) (5), Department of Transportation Act; 49 U.S.C. 1655(e) (5))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 13, 1968.

Alan S. Boyd, Secretary of Transportation.

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter 1—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the Federal Register.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 33, NO. 183—THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1968
§ 32.12 Special regulations; migratory game birds; individual wildlife refuge areas.

IOWA

MARK TWAIN NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of migratory game birds on the Mark Twain National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa, is permitted only on the Big Timber Division and the Turkey Island area designated by signs as open to hunting. These areas, comprising 1,680 acres, are delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55408. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations covering the hunting of migratory game birds subject to the following conditions:

1. Blinds—No permanent structure, excluding wood or brush duck blinds, shall be permitted; no blinds shall be locked or otherwise sealed against public entry.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuges generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through December 6, 1968.


R. W. BUTWELL,
Regional Director.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11580; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge, III.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the Federal Register.

§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ILLINOIS

CRAB ORCHARD NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

The public hunting of deer on the Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge on an area designated by signs as open to hunting is permitted with bow and arrow from one-half hour before sunrise to one-half hour before sunset daily from October 1, 1968, through November 18, 1968, and from one-half hour before sunrise until one-half hour before sunset November 25, 1968, through December 31, 1968, except during the period from December 2 through December 8, 1968, inclusive. Shotgun or single shot muzzle loading rifle hunting of deer is permitted from 6:30 a.m. to 4 p.m. from November 22, 1968, through November 24, 1968, and from December 6, 1968, through December 8, 1968. This open area, comprising 9,380 acres, is delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55408. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State and Federal regulations governing the hunting of deer.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through December 31, 1968.

L. A. MEHRHOFF JR.,
Project Manager, Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge, Carterville, 111.

SEPTERMBER 13, 1968.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11379; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Audubon National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the Federal Register.

§ 32.32 Special regulations; big game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NORTH DAKOTA

AUDUBON NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of deer on the Audubon National Wildlife Refuge, N. Dak., is permitted only in the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 13,837 acres, is delineated on a map available at refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55408. Hunting shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations covering the hunting of deer, subject to the following special conditions:

1. (a) Hunting is permitted from 12 noon C.S.T. until sunset November 8, and from sunrise until sunset November 9 through November 17, 1968.

(b) All hunters must exhibit their hunting license, deer tag, game, and vehicle contents to Federal and State officers upon request.

(c) Vehicular traffic, including the use of boats, is prohibited by hunters on the refuge during the deer season.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32, and are effective through November 17, 1968.

DAVID C. MCCLAUNCHLIN,
Refuge Manager, Audubon National Wildlife Refuge, Colby Harbor, N. Dak.

SEPTEMBER 11, 1968.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11581; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Grapefruit Reg. 67, Anndt. 1]

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the committees established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) in that the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; and this amendment relieves restrictions, from September 17, through September 29, 1968, on the handling of grapefruit grown in Florida.

Order. The provisions of § 905.506 (Grapefruit Reg. 67; 33 F.R. 14066) are hereby amended in the following respects:

The introductory text and paragraph (a) (1) of paragraph (a) is amended and a new paragraph (a) (2) is added to read as follows:

§ 905.506 Grapefruit Regulation 67.

(a) Order. (1) During the period September 17, 1968, through September 29, 1968, no handler shall ship between the production area and any point outside thereof in the continental United States, Canada, or Mexico:

(i) Any grapefruit, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least 17.0 No. 2 Rust; and

(ii) Any seeded grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than 31/2 inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seeded grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which
tollarance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in the U.S. Standards for Florida Grapefruit; or

(ii) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than 3½ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in the U.S. Standards for Florida Grapefruit; or

(iii) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in Regulation Area I, which do not grade at least Improved No. 1; or

(iv) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in Regulation Area II, which do not grade at least Improved No. 2; or

(v) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than 3½ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in said U.S. Standards for Florida Grapefruit.

(1) Any seeded grapefruit, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1;

(2) Any seeded grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than 3½ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seeded grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in the U.S. Standards for Florida Grapefruit;

(3) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in Regulation Area I, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1; or

(4) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in Regulation Area II, which do not grade at least Improved No. 2; or

(5) Any seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are smaller than 3½ inches in diameter, except that a tolerance of 10 percent, by count, of seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum size shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in said U.S. Standards for Florida Grapefruit.

Dated, September 17, 1968, to become effective September 17, 1968.

Paul A. Nicholson,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.
[F.R. Doc. 68-11500; Filed, Sept. 19, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[Amend. 1]

PART 906—ORANGES AND GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN TEXAS

Container and Pack Regulations

On August 31, 1968, notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 13353) that consideration was being given to a proposed amendment of § 906.340, Container and pack regulations (Subpart—Container and pack requirements), which was recommended by the Texas Valley Citrus Committee, established pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and order No. 906, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of oranges and grapefruit grown in the Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas. This program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposal set forth in the aforesaid notice, the recommendation and information submitted by the Texas Valley Citrus Committee (established pursuant to the amended marketing agreement and order), and other available information, it is hereby found and determined that the amended § 906.340, Container and pack regulations, as hereinafter set forth, is in accordance with the provisions of the said amended marketing agreement and order and will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

It is hereby further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) notice of proposed rule making concerning this amendment, with an effective date September 15, 1968, was published in the Federal Register on August 31, 1968 (33 F.R. 12333), and no objection to this amendment or such effective date was received; (2) the recommendation and amendment were submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the Texas Valley Citrus Committee on August 13, 1968, no due notice of such meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; (3) the provisions of this amendment, including the effective time hereof, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee; (4) information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated to the handlers of oranges and grapefruit in Texas; (5) compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which could not be completed by the effective time hereof; (6) shipments of the current crop of oranges and grapefruit grown in Texas are expected to begin on or about the effective date hereof, and this amendment should be effective at such time, and this amendment relaxes restrictions.

This amendment will authorize the use of another container in addition to those authorized under § 906.340 Container and pack regulations (33 F.R. 11542). Such container is a 1% bushel closed wirebound wooden box with inside dimensions of 26¾ x 11¾ x 11¾ inches, described in Freight Container Tariff 2G as container No. 3680.

§ 906.340[Amended]

In paragraph (a)(1) of § 906.340 (33 F.R. 11542) subdivisions (viii) and (ix) are redesignated as subdivisions (t) and (x), respectively, and a new subdivision (v) is inserted reading as follows:

(v) Closed wirebound wooden box with inside dimensions of 24½ x 11¾ x 11¾ inches, described in Freight Container Tariff 2G as container No. 3680; (Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated September 13, 1968, to become effective September 15, 1968.

Paul A. Nicholson,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11402; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[Valencia Orange Reg. 257]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 908.557 Valencia Orange Regulation 257.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act by tending to establish and maintain such orderly marketing conditions for such oranges as will provide, in the interests of producers and consumers, an orderly flow of the supply of such oranges to market throughout the normal marketing season to avoid unreasonable fluctuations in supplies and prices, and is not for the purpose of maintaining prices to farmers above the level which it is declared to be the policy of Congress to establish under the act.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving
due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencian oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information have the same meaning and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencian oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the Act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on September 17, 1968.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period September 20, 1968, through September 28, 1968, are hereby fixed as follows:
   (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
   (ii) District 2: 500,000 cartons;
   (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement;
   (2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "oranges" have the same meaning and when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: September 18, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68–11507; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 11:31 a.m.]

| Orange Reg. 8, Amdt. 2 |

PART 944—FRUIT; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Oranges

On August 31, 1968, notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (33 F.R. 12334) that consideration was being given to a proposed amendment of § 944.307, Orange Regulation 8, which would limit the importation of oranges into the United States, pursuant to Part 944—Fruits; Import Regulations (7 CFR Part 944.307). This amendment of the import regulation is designed to prescribe a grade and size regulation which would be the same as the amended domestic grade and size regulation for oranges grown in the State of Texas, which is to become effective on September 18, 1968. This import regulation is effective pursuant to section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).

It is hereby found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to postpone the effective time of this regulation beyond that hereinafter specified (5 U.S.C. 833) in that (a) the requirements of this amended import regulation are imposed pursuant to section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), which makes such regulation mandatory; (b) such regulation will impose a grade and size regulation, which will be the same as the domestic grade and size regulation for oranges grown in Texas under Orange Regulation 19, as amended, which becomes effective September 18, 1968; (c) notice that amendatory action was being considered was published in the August 31, 1968, issue of the Federal Register (33 F.R. 12334); (d) compliance with this amendment of the import regulation will not require any special preparation which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof; (e) notice hereof in excess of 8 days, the minimum prescribed by said section 8e, is given with respect to this amendment of the import regulation; and (f) such notice is hereby determined, under the circumstances, to be reasonable.

Paragraphs (a) and (j) of § 944.307, Orange Regulation 8 are amended to read as follows:

§ 944.307 Orange Regulation 8.

(a) On and after September 23, 1968, the importation into the United States of any oranges is prohibited unless such oranges are inspected and grade U.S. No. 2, U.S. Combination, with not less than 60 percent, by count, of the oranges in each container thereof graded at least U.S. No. 1 and the remainder grading U.S. No. 2, or any higher grades; and are of a size not smaller than 2½ inches in diameter. Provided, That not more than 10 percent, by count, of such oranges in any lot of containers, and not more than 15 percent, by count, of such oranges in individual containers in such lot, may be of a size smaller than 2½ inches in diameter.

(j) The terms "U.S. No. 2," "U.S. No. 1," "U.S. Combination," and "diameter" shall have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: September 17, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 68–11506; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 11:31 a.m.]
Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto not later than 15 days following its publication in the Federal Register. None was filed.

After consideration of all relevant matters, including the proposals set forth in the aforesaid notice which were recommended by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order, it is hereby found and determined that:

§ 958.212 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The reasonable expenses that are likely to be incurred during the fiscal period beginning July 1, 1968, and ending June 30, 1969, by the Idaho-Eastern Oregon Onion Committee for its maintenance and functioning, and for such purposes as the Secretary determines to be appropriate will amount to $74,625.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid by each handler in accordance with the Marketing Agreement and this part shall be $0.023 per hundredweight of onions handled by him as the first handler thereof during said fiscal period.

(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period ending June 30, 1969, may be carried over as a reserve.

(d) Terms used in this section have the same meaning as when used in the said marketing agreement and this part.

It is hereby found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time hereof would serve no useful purpose.

2. In second sentence of § 993.149(d) (2), the following is deleted: "other than those of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph."

3. In the first sentence of § 993.149(d) (2), the following is deleted: "other than those of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph."

4. The citation "§ 993.149(d) (1) and (2)" wherever it appears in the following provisions is revised to read "§ 993.149(d) (2)".

(a) In the first sentence of § 993.150(c);
(b) in § 993.150(a) (3);
(c) in § 993.173(a) (4); and
(d) in § 993.173(b) (2).

It is hereby found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice and engage in public rule making procedure and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time hereof and for making this action effective as of August 1, 1968 (5 U.S.C. 553), in that: (1) Effective August 1, 1968, subparagraph (1) of § 993.149(d) was terminated; (2) the action set forth herein is a necessary conforming change; (3) handlers do not require advance notice to comply with this action; and (4) postponing the effective time hereof would serve no useful purpose.

Dated: September 16, 1968, to become effective as of August 1, 1968.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 68-11627; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Consumer and Marketing Service
[7 CFR Part 1133]
MILK IN INLAND EMPIRE MARKETING AREA
Notice of Proposed Suspension of Certain Provisions of the Order
Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the suspension of certain provisions of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Inland Empire area is being considered for the months of September, October, and November 1968.

The provisions proposed to be suspended are:

1. In paragraph (a) of § 1133.12 the provision "and 20 percent in the months of September through November," where such provision appears in both subparagraphs (1) and (2) of such paragraph, and

2. Paragraph (c) (5) of § 1133.12 in its entirety.

The proposed suspension would permit a handler to divert producer milk from a pool plant to a nonpool plant during the months of September, October, and November 1968 without limit.

A cooperative association representing a substantial number of producers supplying the market has requested this suspension. Proponent stated that producer deliveries have increased in recent months more than usual for the season. It is expected that milk in excess of 20 percent of that delivered to pool plants will need to be diverted to nonpool plants during September, October, and November 1968 for manufacture into butter, cheese and other manufactured dairy products.

The proposed suspension will permit dairy farmers who have supplied the fluid milk requirements of the market to continue as producers under the order.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with the proposed suspension should file the same with the Hearing Clerk, Room 112-A, Division of Agricultural Marketing, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than 3 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All documents filed should be in quadruplicate.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).
PROPOSED RULE MAKING

by licensees, public utilities, and natural gas pipelines. The Commission further proposes to require compliance with these policies and procedures in addition to the grant of all licenses or certificates of public convenience and necessity issued on or after the effective date of the rule.

In addition, all licensees, public utilities, and natural gas pipelines are required to report periodically to the Commission as to whether they comply with the regulations with respect to all other procurement activities covered by the regulations but not directly related to licenses or certificates, and to maintain summary records showing the amounts and details of all items which have been procured, subsequent to the effective date of the regulations, by procedures failing to conform to such regulations.

With respect to all procurements under conditioned licenses or certificates the licensee or natural gas pipeline, would, under the proposed rules, be required to maintain complete records as to the nature of the procurement procedure utilized including a written statement by the company of the steps taken to explore the potential sources of the equipment, construction, or services to ensure that they would be secured or accomplished in a manner most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered. All such items will be subject to examination by the Commission staff at time of periodic audit (or at such earlier time as the Commission may direct) and the licensee, public utility or natural gas pipeline will be expected to be prepared at such time to present a full and complete record of proof in justifying such entry as involving no greater cost than if the prescribed procurement procedures had been followed. The Commission proposes to exercise its authority to require suspension of such charges or credits pending the submission of satisfactory proof in support thereof, but instead intend to examine the operation of the various licenses, public utilities, and natural gas pipelines subsequent to the adoption of this rule before determining whether it is necessary to exercise such authority on a general or selective basis.

This Commission has long construed the terms “cost” and “legitimate original cost” for purposes of the Acts we administer and our Uniform Systems of Accounts as the amount actually paid for an item when first dedicated to the public service, so long as that amount paid was not coerced or unreasonable. See, e.g., Louisville Hydro-Electric Co., 1 F.P.C. 130, 139 (1933); Uniform System of Accounts, Definitions Section 8. While much of our past activity has been devoted to preventing acquisition write-ups, or dealings which because of the nature of the participants are not fully at arms' length we are, of course, also vitally concerned with procurement and construction practices of licensees, public utilities and natural gas pipelines, which affect the prices paid for supplies and services, since, if allowed as costs under our accounts, any excess over reasonable price may be subsidized by the public through higher rates.

In the past we have attempted, principally through our review of the adequacy of procurement practices of companies on a case by case basis, to ensure that consumers are not burdened with extravagant, wasteful, or imprudent costs. E.g., The Susquehanna Power Co., 4 F.P.C. 74, 121-124 (1946). The process of attempting to protect the public against the effects of imprudently incurred excessive costs by audit, on a case by case basis, has not proven satisfactory. Consequently, and in light of the number and size of the companies subject to our accounting regulation, we believe that more effective procedures can be established on the reasonableness of regulated company procurement costs. Realizing that more effective procedures are needed, the Commission instructed the staff to undertake a limited informal investigation of the procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction (as well as those followed in analogous situations). This study was intended to look toward a possible rule which could be applied by management and by our staff in audits, to ensure that the prices paid for an item were not excessive. It was to explore, among other things, the extent to which existing procurement practices were adequate to take maximum advantage of competition among suppliers.

The “cost” concept presently utilized in our Uniform Systems of Accounts relies primarily upon the company, in making its purchasing decisions, to ensure that the lowest cost consistent with quality is selected. Since the market economy may operate imperfectly in any given individual transaction, however, it is necessary, in order to provide for competition, for a prospective purchaser to investigate all reasonably available sources of the desired items. Thus, we sought to discover to what extent electric utilities and natural gas pipelines availed themselves of the benefits of competition with respect to procurement, and to what extent, through habit or lack of knowledge of alternative sources, they allowed themselves to forgo those benefits, dealing only with restricted lists of suppliers.

The staff examination of procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction indicates that the majority of companies investigated ordinarily utilize some form of competitive procurement for major items and construction. Some lists of prospective suppliers which the companies maintain, however, appear to be unnecessarily restricted. Unnecessary restriction would appear often to leave management with inadequate knowledge of the procurement choices available; this lack of knowledge must, on occasion, lead to awards to suppliers whose prices and terms are less favorable to the company than would have been the case if the company had proceeded with full knowledge of available choices.

We are convinced that it is possible to prescribe policies and procedures for procurement of major items of equipment, construction, and services which will not impose an undue burden upon management of the public utilities, but instead will make it more difficult for suppliers to erect illegal artificial barriers to the operation of competitive procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction. At least one utility has recently examined the procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction. At least one utility has documented savings of about 15 percent on procurements shifted from non-competitive to competitive status. See, e.g., Testimony of Secretary of Defense McNamara, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Federal Procurement and Regulation of the Joint Economic Committee, 89th Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 27, 1965, p. 13.

We are convinced that it is possible to prescribe policies and procedures for procurement of major items of equipment, construction, and services which will not impose an undue burden upon management of the public utilities, but instead will make it more difficult for suppliers to erect illegal artificial barriers to the operation of competitive procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction. At least one utility has documented savings of about 15 percent on procurements shifted from non-competitive to competitive status. See, e.g., Testimony of Secretary of Defense McNamara, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Federal Procurement and Regulation of the Joint Economic Committee, 89th Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 27, 1965, p. 13.

We are, of course, also vitally concerned with the reasonableness of regulated company procurement costs. Realizing that more effective procedures are needed, the Commission instructed the staff to undertake a limited informal investigation of the procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction (as well as those followed in analogous situations). This study was intended to look toward a possible rule which could be applied by management and by our staff in audits, to ensure that the prices paid for an item were not excessive. It was to explore, among other things, the extent to which existing procurement practices were adequate to take maximum advantage of competition among suppliers.

The “cost” concept presently utilized in our Uniform Systems of Accounts relies primarily upon the company, in making its purchasing decisions, to ensure that the lowest cost consistent with quality is selected. Since the market economy may operate imperfectly in any given individual transaction, however, it is necessary, in order to provide for competition, for a prospective purchaser to investigate all reasonably available sources of the desired items. Thus, we sought to discover to what extent electric utilities and natural gas pipelines availed themselves of the benefits of competition with respect to procurement, and to what extent, through habit or lack of knowledge of alternative sources, they allowed themselves to forgo those benefits, dealing only with restricted lists of suppliers.

The staff examination of procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction indicates that the majority of companies investigated ordinarily utilize some form of competitive procurement for major items and construction. Some lists of prospective suppliers which the companies maintain, however, appear to be unnecessarily restricted. Unnecessary restriction would appear often to leave management with inadequate knowledge of the procurement choices available; this lack of knowledge must, on occasion, lead to awards to suppliers whose prices and terms are less favorable to the company than would have been the case if the company had proceeded with full knowledge of available choices.

The wide use of competitive procurement by our jurisdictional companies, even with the shortcomings presently indicated, suggests that they have found the use of competitive procurement both feasible and advantageous. Our examination of the experience of government-owned utilities utilizing competitive procurement techniques, such as TVA, as well as the Communications Satellite Corp., which operates pursuant to procurement regulations promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission, 47 CFR 26, reinforces our views as to the feasibility and value of such procedures. Nor can we ignore the experience of the Department of Defense, which estimates that it has saved approximately 35 percent on procurements shifted from non-competitive to competitive status. See, e.g., Testimony of Secretary of Defense McNamara, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Federal Procurement and Regulation of the Joint Economic Committee, 89th Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 27, 1965, p. 13.

We are convinced that it is possible to prescribe policies and procedures for procurement of major items of equipment, construction, and services which will not impose an undue burden upon management of the public utilities, but instead will make it more difficult for suppliers to erect illegal artificial barriers to the operation of competitive procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction. At least one utility has documented savings of about 15 percent on procurements shifted from non-competitive to competitive status. See, e.g., Testimony of Secretary of Defense McNamara, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Federal Procurement and Regulation of the Joint Economic Committee, 89th Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 27, 1965, p. 13.

We are convinced that it is possible to prescribe policies and procedures for procurement of major items of equipment, construction, and services which will not impose an undue burden upon management of the public utilities, but instead will make it more difficult for suppliers to erect illegal artificial barriers to the operation of competitive procurement practices of companies subject to our jurisdiction. At least one utility has documented savings of about 15 percent on procurements shifted from non-competitive to competitive status. See, e.g., Testimony of Secretary of Defense McNamara, Hearings Before the Subcommittee on Federal Procurement and Regulation of the Joint Economic Committee, 89th Cong., 1st sess., Apr. 27, 1965, p. 13.
methods of competitive procurement, each of which utilizes widespread public notification of possible suppliers for major purchases. These methods are already utilized by some of the company and its customers, price and other material factors considered. (b) Industry and Government experience has shown, however, that in many cases greater flexibility is required, where, for example, specifications cannot be drawn with the certainty needed to support a precise formal bid. In such cases, requests for bids or proposals are sent to prospective suppliers, describing the items or services as closely as possible, and negotiations are conducted following the receipt of proposals from those who offer the most advantageous terms, who offer the most advantageous, price and other factors considered. (c) Under a third technique, the so-called two-step procurement methods, negotiations are held on the technical proposals submitted in the first step, and award is based on bids submitted in the second step.

10. The availability of a wide variety of competitive techniques such as those described should, we believe, provide management with needed flexibility to adapt to specific problems. But, so long as a company complies with the advertising requirements, it may then utilize any similar method of choosing among prospective suppliers, which it may desire. Where a company believes that no form of competitive procurement is feasible we shall provide, in the case of procurements under conditioned licenses or certificates, for waiver of the regulations by the Commission. With respect to all other procurements, companies will be required to maintain detailed records as hereinabove described.

11. We recognize that there are circumstances in which the legitimate interests of the purchasing entity and its customers will not be served by mechanical acceptance of the lowest bid or proposal, either as tendered or as modified after appropriate negotiations. In most cases, valid noncost elements of choice such as reasonable preferences for domestic sellers, past supplier experience with particular types of construction or manufacture, considerations of guaranteed completion dates, performance bonds, etc., can and should be set forth in the procurement specifications. We shall not attempt, however, to foreclose a company from accepting a bid or proposal other than the lowest one meeting the specifications. Instead we believe that an appropriate standard is that adopted by GSA in its procurement activities and which is that adopted by the FCC for its Communications Satellite Corp. procurement rules, under which the licensee, utility or pipeline should accept the bid or proposal of that company which is most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered.

12. We shall require the company in each procurement to notify each unsuccessful bidder that upon the final proposal considered with such offerors, contract award is to be made on the basis of the lowest bid or proposal that was not accepted. Otherwise we are not proposing any mandatory public disclosure of the nature or contents of the successful and unsuccessful bids, although such information will, of course, be available to the Commission. Further to ensure against any abuse of the broad discretion retained by the purchasing companies, we shall require that in each procurement action a detailed written statement of the basis for contract award be prepared by the responsible official of the purchasing company, which will then be available for examination by the Commission or its staff.

13. The system we propose leaves the ultimate determination of which bid is most advantageous, price and other factors considered, entirely to the management of the jurisdictional company. We do not believe that it would be either appropriate or necessary for this Commission to establish any procedures by which it would intervene in that selection process or establish a forum before which dissatisfied bidders could assert their right to a contract award. Rather, we believe, the selection of bidders should be left to management, subject to review only at the time of audit, at which time the auditors may, in their discretion, if any, can be dealt with. This procedure will adequately protect the consumers, create the least possible interference with management’s freedom of action, and avoid an excessive administrative burden upon this Commission.

14. We shall also require, that each licensee, public utility and natural gas pipeline, place in writing, file with the Commission and make available to any member of the public upon request, a comprehensive Statement of Procurement Policy and Practice, a copy of which shall be available upon request.

15. Any interested person may submit to the Federal Power Commission, on or before December 15, 1968, data, views, and comments in writing concerning the amendments proposed herein. The Commission will consider these written submissions before taking any action upon the proposed amendments. An original and fourteen copies of any such submissions shall be filed.

16. For reasons stated above, the Commission proposes the attached amendments.

By direction of the Commission.

Gordon M. Grant,
Secretary.

PART 50—PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS

Sec. 50.1 Applicability.
50.2 Scope of regulations.
50.3 Definition.
50.4 Lists of potential suppliers.
50.5 Solicitation of bids, negotiation and award of contracts.
50.6 Rejection of all bids or proposals.
50.7 Notice of award.
50.8 Maintenance of records.
50.9 Waiver.
50.10 Reporting requirements for all licenses, permits and public utilities with respect to noncompetitive procurements.
50.11 Filing of company procurement policies and practices.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 50 issued under secs. 109, 304, 305, 41 Stat. 1068, 49 Stat. 855, 858. Interpret or apply secs. 6, 10c, 203, 301, 304, and 309; 49 Stat. 839, 833, 864, 855, 858; 16 U.S.C. 797(b), 824q, 825, 825c, and 826.

§ 50.1 Applicability.

The provisions of this part shall apply to all procurements not exempted by § 50.2 hereof, relating to the project works for which a permit or license has been issued, and the permit of license or decision so to require. In addition, every permittee, licensee, or public utility will be required to comply with the provisions of §§ 50.11 and 50.12.

§ 50.2 Scope of regulations.

The regulations in this part apply to all procurements of materials, supplies, equipment and services, including construction, by a permittee, licensee, or public utility initiated after the effective date hereof, except:

(a) Procurements unrelated to activities of the company regulated by the Commission;
(b) Procurements reasonably estimated not to exceed $100,000;
(c) Procurement of utility or other services regulated as to price;
(d) Procurement of employee services;
(e) Contracts solely for personal services, other than repair, maintenance, management consultant, and construction.

(f) Procurement to meet emergencies beyond the control of the permittee, licensee, or public utility, where compliance with the provisions of this part would preclude receipt of equipment or services when required for essential company operation;
(g) Procurement under contracts executed before 1969;
(h) Procurement of capital.

§ 50.3 Definition.

For the purposes of this regulation, competitive procurement means the solicitation of bids or proposals from all known qualified sources, and the award of contracts, after negotiation where appropriate, in a timely manner. (f) Procurement offering the most advantageous terms, price, and other material factors considered.

§ 50.4 Lists of potential suppliers.

Licensees, permittees, and public utilities shall maintain and utilize lists of potential suppliers for each major category of property or services procured. Lists shall include all potential suppliers which, in the opinion of the company, are qualified for solicitation. Unless otherwise determined by the company for good cause, lists shall include all potential suppliers which have requested that their names be included and all potential suppliers which have submitted bids or proposals in the 5 years preceding any review of the list. Determinations to delete or exclude potential suppliers from the lists for good cause shall be placed in writing, together with the reasons therefor, and included among the records required to be maintained by § 50.9.

§ 50.5 Public advertising.

At least 15 days prior to the solicitation of sources for any procurement, licensees, permittees, and public utilities shall post notice of the intended procurement in a public place and shall publish notice in a nationally distributed trade or general business publication or, where appropriate to the particular procurement and the likely sources of potential suppliers, in a regional, State, or local publication. Notices shall contain sufficient information to permit prospective suppliers to request that they be included in the solicitation or to request that their names be placed on the list of potential suppliers for similar procurements in the future.

§ 50.6 Solicitation of bids, negotiation and award of contracts.

With respect to each procurement, licensees, permittees and public utilities shall solicit bids or proposals from each source included in the appropriate list maintained in accordance with § 50.4, and from each additional source which requests to be included under the procedures set forth in § 50.5. Companies may utilize any reasonable method of competitive procurement in the award of contracts, provided it is not limited to sealed-bid formal advertising, two-step formal advertising or competitive negotiation following the receipt of bids or proposals. In all cases, however, contracts shall be awarded on the basis of the offer, bid, or proposal considered most advantageous to the company in rendering public service, price and other material factors considered. Negotiations following the receipt of bids or proposals shall not be limited to a single source unless the company determines in writing that bids or proposals offering an equal or lower total price, if any, are unacceptable and that negotiations would serve no useful purpose.

§ 50.7 Rejection of all bids or proposals.

Licensees, permittees, and public utilities may reserve the right in invitations to bid or requests for proposals to reject all bids or proposals and cancel or post-poned negotiations, if, following negotiations, if any. Each determination to reject all bids or proposals shall be evidenced by a written justification thereof.

§ 50.8 Notice of award.

The name of the successful bidder or offeror shall be publicly announced and all unsuccessful bidders or offerors notified thereof. Upon written request the company shall explain in writing to any unsuccessful bidder or offeror the reasons why his bid or proposal was not accepted.

§ 50.9 Maintenance of records.

In addition to the requirements of the Commission's Regulations to Govern the Preservation of Records of Public Utilities and Licensees, licensees, permittees, and public utilities shall maintain and preserve for 6 years, with respect to each procurement, evidence of public notice and advertising, the names of those to whom bids or proposals were sent, copies of the invitation to bid or request for proposals, bids or proposals received, determinations, if any, limiting negotiations pursuant to § 50.6, copies of acceptances and rejections of bids or proposals, any negotiations or rejections of all bids or proposals, public notice of contract award and any responses explaining why a bid or proposal was unsuccessful, and a company memorandum documenting the basis for contract award.

§ 50.10 Waiver.

Upon a showing by the licensee, permittee, or public utility that the procedures set out in §§ 50.4-50.9 cannot feasibly be complied with in a particular case, the Commission may grant a waiver thereof in advance of the procurement award.

§ 50.11 Reporting requirements for all licensees, permittees and public utilities with respect to noncompetitive procurements.

Any licensee, permittee, or public utility which, subsequent to the effective date of the regulation in this part, acquires materials, supplies, equipment, construction, or services as defined in § 50.2, through procedures other than those specified herein shall maintain summary records showing the amount and details of all such items. With respect to each noncomplying procurement, every corrective action, including but not limited to, a written statement by the company official responsible as to what steps, if any, were taken to explore potential sources for the item or services and to insure that the procurement would be effected in a way most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered. Filing further action by the Commission all such noncomplying procurements will be subject to examination as to reasonableness of the cost incurred. A complete report with respect to all noncomplying procurements of $1 million or more shall be forwarded to the Commission's Chief Accountant within 60 days of the award of the contract therefor.

§ 50.12 Filing of company procurement policies and practices.

Not later than each license, permittee and public utility shall be required to reduce to writing, file with the Commission in triplicate and make available to any member of the public upon request a comprehensive statement of its procurement policies and procedures. Amendments thereto shall likewise be filed and made available within 30 days of their effective dates. The statement of Procurement Policies and Procedures shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Policies governing the choices of procurement method (sealed-bid formal advertising, competitive negotiation, noncompetitive contract awards, etc.) with specific reference to the following categories:
   (1) Equipment.
   (2) Materials and supplies.
   (3) Construction.
   (4) Repair, maintenance and management services.
   (5) Research and development.

(b) Policies and procedures governing the inclusion or exclusion of prospective suppliers, including any special product or service qualification procedures.

(c) Extent and nature of public notice of proposed procurements, and of direct notice to prospective suppliers.

(d) Procedures governing the opening of sealed bids and proposals, negotiations with bidders or offerors, and other than those specified herein shall maintain summary records showing the amount and details of all such items. With respect to each noncomplying procurement, every corrective action, including but not limited to, a written statement by the company official responsible as to what steps, if any, were taken to explore potential sources for the item or services and to insure that the procurement would be effected in a way most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered. Filing further action by the Commission all such noncomplying procurements will be subject to examination as to reasonableness of the cost incurred. A complete report with respect to all noncomplying procurements of $1 million or more shall be forwarded to the Commission's Chief Accountant within 60 days of the award of the contract therefor.

(e) Policies and procedures with respect to types of contracts (cost-plus, incentive type, fixed price, etc.).

(f) Procedures governing the opening of sealed bids and proposals, negotiations with bidders or offerors, and other than those specified herein shall maintain summary records showing the amount and details of all such items. With respect to each noncomplying procurement, every corrective action, including but not limited to, a written statement by the company official responsible as to what steps, if any, were taken to explore potential sources for the item or services and to insure that the procurement would be effected in a way most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered. Filing further action by the Commission all such noncomplying procurements will be subject to examination as to reasonableness of the cost incurred. A complete report with respect to all noncomplying procurements of $1 million or more shall be forwarded to the Commission's Chief Accountant within 60 days of the award of the contract therefor.

(g) Policies and procedures governing emergency procurements and any similar exceptions to competitive procurement.
(h) Any special procurement policies or restrictions such as those relating to foreign suppliers, regional, State or local suppliers, small business suppliers, procurement from corporate affiliates, and union or minority suppliers.

(i) Policies and procedures, if any, with respect to approval or control of subcontractors and subcontracts.

(j) Procedures governing internal company review and control of procurement activities, including levels of contract review and approval, audit of procurement activities, conflict-of-interest regulations and the like.

PART 160—PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS

Sec. 160.1 Applicability.

160.2 Scope of regulations.

160.3 Definition.

160.4 Lists of potential suppliers.

160.5 Public advertising.

160.6 Solicitation of bids, negotiation and award of contracts.

160.7 Rejection of all bids or proposals.

160.8 Price of natural gas.

160.9 Maintenance of records.

160.10 Waiver.

160.11 Reporting requirements for all natural gas pipelines with respect to noncompetitive procurements.

160.12 Reporting of noncompliance policies and practices.

Authority: The provisions of this Part 160 issued under sec. 16, 52 Stat. 880, Interpret or apply secs. 4(e), 5(a), 6(b), 6(c), 6(d), 7(b), 7(e), 7(f), 10(a), and 13, 29 Stat. 823-827, 61 Stat. 459, and 76 Stat. 72, 15 U.S.C. 1710, 1714, 1717, 1719, 1721, and 1727.

§ 160.1 Applicability.

The provisions of this part of the regulations shall apply to all procurements not exempted by § 160.2 hereof, relating to the facilities or operations covered by any certificate of public convenience or necessity issued to a natural gas pipeline, application for which is filed after the effective date hereof, or to the facilities or operations covered by any certificate of public convenience or necessity issued to a natural gas pipeline, application for which is filed after the effective date hereof. The provisions of this part of the regulations shall apply to all such facilities or operations covered by such certificates, and the certificate in question shall be conditioned so to require. In addition, every natural gas pipeline will be required to comply with the provisions of §§ 160.11 and 160.12.

§ 160.2 Scope of regulations.

The regulations in this part apply to all procurements of materials, supplies, equipment, and services, including construction, by a natural gas pipeline initiated after the effective date hereof, except:

(a) Procurements unrelated to activities of the company regulated by the Commission;

(b) Procurements reasonably estimated not to exceed $1,000;

(c) Purchased gas contracts;

(d) Procurement of utility or other services regulated as to price;

(e) Procurement of employee services;

(f) Contingency supplies, either for personal services, other than repair, maintenance, management consultant, and construction.

(g) Procurement to meet emergencies beyond the control of the natural gas company, where compliance with the provisions of this part would preclude receipt of equipment or services within the time required for essential company operation;

(h) Procurement under contracts executed before 1969;

(i) Procurement of capital.

§ 160.3 Definition.

For the purposes of the regulation in this part, competitive procurement means the acquisition of bids or proposals from all known qualified sources, and the award of contracts, after negotiation where appropriate, on the basis of the bid or proposal offering the most advantageous terms, price and other material factors considered.

§ 160.4 Lists of potential suppliers.

Natural gas pipelines shall maintain and utilize lists of potential suppliers for each major category of property or services procured. Lists shall include all potential suppliers which, in the opinion of the pipeline company, are qualified for solicitation. Unless otherwise determined by the pipeline company for good cause, lists shall include all potential suppliers which had previously submitted bids or proposals in the 5 years preceding any review of the list. Determinations to delete or exclude potential suppliers from the lists for good cause shall be placed in writing, together with the reasons therefor, and included among the records required to be maintained by § 160.5.

§ 160.5 Public advertising.

At least 15 days prior to the solicitation of sources, natural gas pipelines shall post notice of the intended procurement in a public place and shall publish notice in a nationally distributed trade or general business publication or, where appropriate to the particular procurement and the likely sources of potential suppliers, in a regional, State, or local publication. Notices shall contain sufficient information to permit prospective suppliers to request that their names be included and all potential suppliers which have submitted bids or proposals in the 5 years preceding any review of the list, determinations, if any, to limit the business of potential suppliers, in a regional, State, or local publication. Notices shall contain sufficient information to permit prospective suppliers to request that their names be included and all potential suppliers, in the opinion of the pipeline company, are qualified for solicitation. Unless otherwise determined by the pipeline company, public notice of the list shall be placed in writing, together with the reasons therefor, and included among the records required to be maintained by § 160.5.

§ 160.6 Solicitation of bids, negotiation and award of contracts.

With respect to each procurement companies shall solicit bids or proposals from each source included in the appropriate list maintained in accordance with § 160.4, and from each additional source which requests to be included under the procedures set forth in § 160.5. Natural gas pipeline companies may utilize any reasonable method of competitive procurement in the award of contracts, including but not limited to sealed-bid formal advertising, two-step formal advertising or competitive negotiation following the award of bids or proposals. In all cases, however, contracts shall be awarded on the basis of the offer, bid, or proposal considered most advantageous to the company in rendering public services, price and other material factors considered. Negotiations following the receipt of bids or proposals shall not be limited to a single source unless the company determines in writing that bids or proposals offering an equal or lower total price, if any, are unacceptable and that negotiations would serve no useful purpose.

§ 160.7 Rejection of all bids or proposals.

Natural gas pipeline companies may reserve the right in invitations to bid or requests for proposals to reject all bids or proposals and cancel or postpone the procurement, prior to or following negotiations, if any. Each determination to reject all bids or proposals shall be evidenced by a written justification thereof.

§ 160.8 Notice of award.

The name of the successful bidder or offeror shall be publicly announced and all unsuccessful bidders or offerors notified thereof. Upon written request the company shall explain in writing to any unsuccessful bidder or offeror the reasons why his bid or proposal was not accepted.

§ 160.9 Maintenance of records.

In addition to the requirements of the Commission's Regulations to Govern the Presentation of Records of Natural Gas Companies, natural gas pipelines shall maintain and preserve for 5 years with respect to each procurement evidence of public notice and advertising, the names of those to whom bids or proposals were sent, copies of the invitation to bid or request for proposals, bids or proposals received, determinations, if any, limiting negotiations pursuant to § 160.6, copies of acceptance, and rejections of bids or proposals, any determinations to reject all bids or proposals, public notice of contract award and any responses explaining why a bid or proposal was unsuccessful, and a company memorandum documenting the basis for contract award.

§ 160.10 Waiver.

Upon a showing by the natural gas pipeline that the procedures set out in §§ 160.4-160.9 cannot feasibly be complied with in a particular case, the Commission may grant a waiver thereof in advance of the procurement award.

§ 160.11 Reporting requirements for all natural gas pipelines with respect to noncompetitive procurements.

Any natural gas pipeline company which, subsequent to the effective date of the regulation in this part, acquires materials, supplies, equipment, construction or services as defined in § 160.2, through procedures other than those specified herein shall maintain summary records showing the amount and details of all such items. With respect to each noncompetitive procurement, every natural gas pipeline shall maintain for inspection by the Commission or its staff complete, accurate records of the procurement procedure utilized, including, but not limited to, a written statement by the company official responsible as to what steps, if any, were taken to explore potential sources for the item of procurement and to insure that the procurement would be
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effected in a way most advantageous to the company, price and other material factors considered. Pending further action by the Commission all such noncomplying procurements will be subject to examination as to reasonableness of the cost incurred. A complete report with respect to all noncomplying procurements of $1 million or more shall be forwarded to the Commission’s Chief Accountant within 60 days of the award of the contract therefor.

§ 160.12 Filing of company procurement policies and practices.

Not later than __________ 1969, each pipeline company shall be required to reduce to writing, file with the Commission in triplicate and make available to any member of the public upon request a comprehensive statement of its procurement policies and procedures. Amendments thereto shall likewise be filed and made available within 30 day of their effective dates. Statements of Procurement Policies and Procedures shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(a) Policies governing the choice of procurement method (sealed-bid formal advertising, competitive negotiation, noncompetitive contract awards, etc.), with specific reference to the following categories:
(1) Equipment.
(2) Materials and supplies.
(3) Construction.
(4) Repair, maintenance and management services.
(5) Research and development.

(b) Policies and procedures governing the inclusion or exclusion of prospective suppliers, including any special product or service qualification procedures.

(c) Extent and nature of public notice of proposed procurements, and of direct notice to prospective suppliers.

(d) Procedures governing the opening of sealed bids and proposals, negotiations with bidders or offerors, and criteria for contract award thereunder.

(e) Policies and procedures with respect to types of contracts (cost-plus, incentive type, fixed price, etc.).

(f) Procedures governing public notice of contract awards and direct notice to unsuccessful bidders or offerors.

(g) Policies and procedures governing emergency procurements and any similar exceptions to competitive procurement.

(h) Any special procurement policies or restrictions such as those relating to foreign suppliers, regional, state or local suppliers, small business suppliers, procurement from corporate affiliates, and union or nonunion suppliers.

(i) Policies and procedures, if any, with respect to approval or control of subcontracts and subcontractors.

(j) Procedures governing internal company review and control of procurement activities, including levels of contract review and approval, audit of procurement activities, conflict-of-interest regulations and the like.

[F.R. Doc. 69-11394; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Agency for International Development
[Delegation of Authority No. 27 (Amdt. 6) ]

CERTAIN OFFICIALS
Delegation of Authority
Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by Delegation of Authority No. 86, as amended, from the Secretary of State, dated November 3, 1961 (28 F.R. 10666), I hereby direct that Delegation of Authority No. 27, be, and it is hereby further amended, as follows:

Section 1 Amend section 1, by adding to the end of the paragraph, the following: “and with respect to any of the persons authorized to be employed and compensated at rates higher than those provided for Grade 15 of the General Schedule established by section 5332 of title 5 of the United States Code, the authority (1) to appoint those personnel transferring from appointment under sections 631 (b) and (c) of the Act, and (2) to remove those persons in cases of death, resignation or retirement, except trial retirement;”

Sec. 2 Amend section 1 by adding the following new subsection at the end thereof: “21. In accordance with sections 631 (b) and (c) of the Act, the authority to approve removals for the purpose of appointment under section 631 (b) and to remove in the case of death, resignation or retirement, except trial retirement, persons employed as Chief of Mission, Deputy Chief of Mission, A.I.D. Representative, and the Chairman of the Development Assistance Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.”

Sec. 3 Amend subsections 2 and 3 of section III to read as follows:

“2. In accordance with section 625 (b) of the Act, the authority to appoint, compensate and remove any of the persons authorized to be employed and compensated at rates higher than those provided for Grade 15 of the General Schedule established by section 5332 of title 5 of the United States Code. Notwithstanding this reservation, the Assistant Administrator for Administration may exercise those authorities set forth in subsection 4 and 21 of this Delegation of Authority.”

This amendment to delegation of Authority No. 27 is effective immediately.

Dated: September 12, 1968.

WILLIAM S. GAUD
Administrator.

[Delegation of Authority No. 27 (Amdt. 6) ]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Indian Affairs
[Phoenix Area Office Redelegation Order 1, Amdt. 10]

SUPERINTENDENTS, SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT, PROJECT ENGINEER, AND OFFICER IN CHARGE OF AREA FIELD OFFICE
Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Leases and Permits (Nonmineral)
Phenix Order 1, 20 F.R. 992 (an order by which the Area Director, Phoenix Area, redelegates authority to Superintendents, School Superintendent, Project Engineer, and Officer in Charge of Area Field Office), as amended, is further amended as hereinafter indicated:

Section 2.12, under the heading “Functions Relating to Leases and Minerals,” is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 2.12 Leases and Permits (Nonmineral). All those matters set forth in 25 CFR Part 131 except:

1. The approval of leases which provide for a duration in excess of 10 years, inclusive of any provisions for extensions or renewals thereof; and, the approval of any amendment of such lease changing the use purpose or reducing the rental. This exception does not apply to the leasing of tribal land for homesteading purposes to members of the tribe or to tribal housing authorities.

2. Modification of any forms approved by the Secretary of the Interior, the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, or the Area Director.

Approved: September 11, 1968.

GEORGE W. HUHLER, Jr.,
Assistant Commissioner.

[Phoenix Area Office Redelegation Order 1, Amdt. 11]

SUPERINTENDENTS, SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT, PROJECT ENGINEER, AND OFFICER IN CHARGE OF AREA FIELD OFFICE

Redelegation of Authority With Respect to Title Transfers and Alienations of Trust or Restricted Indian Lands
Phoenix Order 1, 20 F.R. 992 (an order by which the Area Director, Phoenix Area, redelegates authority to Superintendents, School Superintendent, Project Engineer, and Officer in Charge of Area Field Office), as amended, is further amended as hereinafter indicated:

A new section, No. 2.4, is added under the heading “Functions Relating to Trusts and Minerals” as follows:

Sec. 2.4 Sales, Fee Patents, and Other Matters in 25 CFR 121. The approval of applications by individuals for acquisition, sale, exchange, partition, patent in fee, certificate of competency, and removal of restrictions on Indian land.

The approval of the respective official of applications, by those tribes possessing statutory authority, for acquisition, sale, and exchange of trust or restricted Indian lands. The authority herein does not extend to the issuance of land sale advertisements without the prior approval of the Area Director.

W. WADE HEAD
Area Director.

Approved: September 11, 1968.

GEORGE W. HUHLER, Jr.,
Assistant Commissioner.

[Delegation of Authority No. 35 (Amdt. 14) ]

AREA DIRECTORS
Redelegation of Authority Regarding Specific Legislation

September 11, 1968.

Order 561 (an order by which the Commissioner of Indian Affairs redelegates authority to Bureau officials), as amended, is further amended by the revision of sections 369 and 370 (Amdt. 79, 28 F.R. 1118). The revision authorizes Bureau Area Directors to exercise the authority of the Secretary of the Interior under the act of July 21, 1968 (Public Law 90–414; 82 Stat. 396), which supplements the act of October 3, 1962 (Public Law 87–754; 76 Stat. 669), and the act of October 3, 1962 (Public Law 87–755; 76 Stat. 704), and under any other acts amendatory thereof, which authority was
NOTICES

IDAHO

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey

September 12, 1968.

1. Plats of survey for the following described land, accepted June 35, 1968, and July 9, 1968, will be officially filed in the Land Office, Boise, Idaho, effective at 10 a.m., on October 21, 1968.

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

T. 4 N., R. 39 E.
Sec. 1, lots 9 to 23, inclusive; Sec. 2, lots 7 to 14, inclusive, tract 37; Sec. 12, lot 2.
T. 4 N., R. 40 E.
Sec. 5, lots 8 and 9; Sec. 7, lots 9 to 22, inclusive; Sec. 6, lots 3, 4, and 5; Sec. 16, lots 6 to 22, inclusive; Sec. 17, lots 6 to 22, inclusive; Sec. 18, lots 6 and 7; Sec. 20, lots 2, 4, and 9; Sec. 21, lots 5 to 13, inclusive; Sec. 22, lots 10 to 15, inclusive; Sec. 23, lots 6 to 13, inclusive; Sec. 25, lots 6 to 15, inclusive; Sec. 26, lots 8 to 19, inclusive; Sec. 27, lots 3 to 12, inclusive.
T. 4 N., R. 41 E.
Sec. 30, lot 12; Sec. 31, lots 9 to 21, inclusive; Sec. 32, lot 3.

The areas described aggregate 1,821.50 acres.

2. The lands involve dependent reservations, survey of islands, and omitted lands.

3. The omitted lands are subject to the provisions of the Act of May 31, 1962 (76 Stat. 89). Before sale of any of the omitted lands can be made, a notice in accordance with the regulations in 43 CFR 2214.51 must be published in the Federal Register. Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Idaho Land Office, 560 West Fort Street, Boise, Idaho 83702.

ORVAL G. HADLEY,
Manager, Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11375; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

NEVADA

 Notice of Offering of Land for Sale

September 12, 1968.

Notice is hereby given that, under the provisions of the Public Land Sale Act of September 19, 1964 (78 Stat. 988, 43 U.S.C. 1421–1427), 43 CFR Subpart 2243, the Secretary of the Interior, pursuant to an application by Pershing County, will offer for sale the following lands: Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, T. 27 N., R. 31 E., sec. 30, lots 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, NW 1/4 SW 1/4; T. 22 N., R. 34 E., sec. 5, SW 1/4, NW 1/4. The area described contains 519.61 acres.

These lands have been classified as chiefly valuable for the orderly growth and development of Pershing County, for use as refuse disposal sites. They have been zoned for such use by the county.

It is the intention of the Secretary to enter into an agreement with the Board...
of Pershing County Commissioners to permit Pershing County to purchase the lands at their appraised market value of $5,016, plus publication costs.

These lands are subject to all valid existing rights and rights-of-way of record. Reservations shall be made to the United States for ditches and canals in accordance with the Act of August 30, 1890 (26 Stat. 331; 43 U.S.C. 945). All mineral rights that the United States has in these lands shall be reserved to the United States, and the minerals shall be withdrawn from appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws.

ROLLE E. CHANDLER,
Manager, Nevada Land Office.
[FR. Doc. 68-11376; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

[Serial No. N-1739]

NEVADA
Notice of Public Sale
SEPTEMBER 11, 1968.

Under the provisions of the Public Land Act of September 19, 1964 (78 Stat. 956, 43 U.S.C. 1421-1427), 43 CFR Subpart 2243, a tract of land will be offered for sale to the highest bidder at a sale to be held at 1 p.m., local time on Tuesday, October 29, 1968, at the Elko District Office, Bureau of Land Management, 120 Pioche Highway, Elko, Nev. 89801. The land is described as follows:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA
T. 26 N., R. 65 E., Sec. 28, SW\(^2\).

The area described contains 320 acres. The appraised value of the tract is $8,000, and the publication costs to be assessed are $12.

The land will be sold subject to all valid existing rights. Reservations will be made to the United States for ditches and canals in accordance with the Act of August 30, 1890 (26 Stat. 331; 43 U.S.C. 945). All minerals are to be reserved to the United States and withdrawn from appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws. Bids may be made by the principal or his agent, either at the sale, or by mail. Bids must be for the land in the parcel. A bid for less than the appraised value of the land is unacceptable. Bids sent by mail will be considered only if received by the Elko District Office, Bureau of Land Management, Pioche Star Route, Elko, Nev. 89801, prior to 1 p.m., on Tuesday, October 29, 1968. Bids made prior to the public auction must be in sealed envelopes, and accompanied by certified checks, postal money orders, bank drafts, or cashier's checks, payable to the Bureau of Land Management, for the full amount of the bid plus publication costs. The envelopes must be marked in the lower left-hand corner "Public Sale Bid, Parcel No. 1, sale of October 29, 1968."

The authorized officer shall publicly declare the highest quality sealed bid received. Oral bids shall then be invited and specified increments. After oral bids, if any, are received, the authorized officer shall declare the high bid. A successful oral bidder must submit a guaranteed remittance, in full payment for the tract and cost of publication, before 3:30 p.m. of the day of the sale.

If no bids are received for the sale tract on Tuesday, October 29, 1968, the tract will be offered on the first Tuesday of subsequent months at 1 p.m., beginning November 5, 1968.

Any adverse claims to the above-described land should file their claims, or objections, with the undersigned before the time designated for sale.

The land described in this notice has been segregated from all forms of appropriation, including locations under the general mining laws, except for sale under this Act, from the date of the proposed classification decision. Inquiries concerning this sale should be addressed to the Land Office Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3008 Federal Building, 300 Booth Street, Reno, Nev. 89503, or to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Pioche Star Route, Elko, Nev. 89801.

ROLLE E. CHANDLER,
Manager, Nevada Land Office.
[FR. Doc. 68-11377; Filed, Sept. 19, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

NEW MEXICO
Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands
SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

The Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has filed an application, New Mexico 7630, for the withdrawal of land described below, from location and entry under the mining laws. The applicant desires the land for an administrative site.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Chief, Division of Lands and Minerals Program Management and Land Office, P.O. Box 1449, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501.

The authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant's representatives in connection with the proposed withdrawal to eliminate lands needed for purposes other than those described in the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than those of the applicant, to eliminate lands needed for purposes more essential than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

He will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The lands involved in the application are:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO
SANTA FE NATIONAL FOREST
Panchuela West Administrative Site
T. 10 N., R. 11 E., Sec. 2, SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\) and SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\); Sec. 11, SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\), SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\), and NE\(^{1/4}\)NE\(^{1/4}\).

The area described aggregates 120 acres.

W. J. Egan,
Acting Chief, Division of Lands and Minerals, Program Management and Land Office.
[FR. Doc. 68-11378; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

OREGON
Notice of Termination of Proposed Classification of Public Lands
SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

Notice of a proposed classification of public lands for multiple-use management was published as F.R. Doc. 68-7263 on page 9121 of the issue for Thursday, June 20, 1968. The proposed classification has been canceled insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR 2411.3(e)(2)(ii), such lands will be at 10 a.m. on October 21, 1968, relieved of any segregative effect the above-mentioned proposed classification may have had.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
CROOK COUNTY
T. 14 S., R. 21 E., Sec. 19, NE\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\), SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\), and SE\(^{1/4}\); Sec. 20, SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\); Sec. 21, NE\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\) and SW\(^{1/4}\)SW\(^{1/4}\).

10 S., R. 25 E., Sec. 12, SE\(^{1/4}\); Sec. 13, NW\(^{1/4}\).

DEGUESSIS COUNTY
T. 22 S., R. 10 E., Sec. 2, NW\(^{1/4}\)NW\(^{1/4}\); Sec. 27, SW\(^{1/4}\)NE\(^{1/4}\) and NE\(^{1/4}\)SE\(^{1/4}\).

ARCHIE D. CRUMP,
State Director.
[FR. Doc. 68-11374; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
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T. 21 S., R. 21 E., Secs. 1 to 26, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 22 E., Secs. 1 to 26, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 23 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 24 E., Secs. 2 to 10, inclusive, secs. 16 to 21, inclusive, and secs. 29 to 32, inclusive.

DESCHUTES COUNTY

T. 14 S., R. 11 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 14 S., R. 12 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 14 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1, 2, 7, 12, 18, 19, 29, 30, and 31.
T. 15 S., R. 12 E., Secs. 1 to 18, inclusive, secs. 20 to 29, inclusive, and secs. 34 to 36, inclusive.
T. 15 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 11, inclusive, and secs. 14 to 36, inclusive.

T. 15 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1, 2, 7, and 18 to 24, inclusive, and secs. 31 to 36, inclusive.
T. 16 S., R. 11 E., Secs. 6, 7, 8, and 9, inclusive, and secs. 12 to 16, inclusive.
T. 16 S., R. 12 E., Secs. 1 to 15, inclusive, and secs. 23 to 26, inclusive.
T. 16 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 30, inclusive.
T. 17 S., R. 12 E., Secs. 1 and 12.
T. 17 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 17, inclusive; Sec. 18, N\(\frac{1}{4}\); Secs. 22 to 27, inclusive, and secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
T. 17 S., R. 14 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive, secs. 12, 13, and 19, and secs. 26 to 36, inclusive.
T. 18 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 3, inclusive, secs. 10 to 15, inclusive, secs. 20 to 29, inclusive, and secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
T. 18 S., R. 14 E., Secs. 1 to 30, inclusive.
T. 19 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 3, inclusive; Sec. 4, N\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 10, N\(\frac{1}{2}\); Secs. 11, 12, and 13; Sec. 14, N\(\frac{1}{2}\) and SE\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 24, N\(\frac{1}{2}\) and SW\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 25, NE\(\frac{1}{4}\).
T. 19 S., R. 14 E., Secs. 1 to 29, inclusive; Sec. 30, N\(\frac{1}{2}\) and SE\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 31, N\(\frac{1}{2}\); Secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
T. 19 S., R. 15 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 19 S., R. 16 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 20 S., R. 14 E., Secs. 1 to 5, inclusive; Sec. 9, E\(\frac{3}{4}\); Secs. 9 to 16, inclusive; Sec. 16, NE\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 20, N\(\frac{1}{2}\); Secs. 23 and 24.
T. 20 S., R. 15 E., Secs. 1 to 15, inclusive; Sec. 16, E\(\frac{3}{4}\); Sec. 26, NW\(\frac{1}{2}\); Secs. 26, SE\(\frac{1}{4}\); Secs. 36 and 37.
T. 20 S., R. 16 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 20 S., R. 17 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 20 S., R. 18 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.

T. 20 S., R. 19 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 10 E., Secs. 1 to 6, inclusive; Sec. 7, W\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 8, W\(\frac{1}{4}\); Secs. 9 to 16, inclusive, secs. 21 to 28, inclusive, and secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 11 E., Secs. 6, 7, 18, and 19; Sec. 5, NW\(\frac{1}{4}\); Sec. 20, SW\(\frac{1}{4}\); Secs. 30 and 31.
T. 21 S., R. 12 E., Secs. 1 to 15, inclusive; Sec. 17, N\(\frac{1}{2}\); Sec. 21, E\(\frac{1}{4}\); Secs. 22 to 29, inclusive; Sec. 27, NE\(\frac{1}{4}\), NW\(\frac{1}{4}\), NE\(\frac{3}{4}\), NW\(\frac{3}{4}\), SE\(\frac{1}{4}\), SW\(\frac{3}{4}\), and SE\(\frac{3}{4}\); Sec. 28, NW\(\frac{1}{4}\)NE\(\frac{1}{4}\), NW\(\frac{1}{2}\)SE\(\frac{1}{2}\), and S\(\frac{1}{2}\) SE\(\frac{1}{2}\); Sec. 33, NE\(\frac{1}{2}\)NE\(\frac{1}{2}\); Sec. 34, N\(\frac{1}{2}\) and SE\(\frac{1}{2}\); Secs. 35 and 36.
T. 21 S., R. 13 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 14 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 15 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 16 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 17 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 18 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.
T. 21 S., R. 19 E., Secs. 1 to 36, inclusive.

Department of Agriculture
Office of the Secretary
MISSOURI

Designation of Area for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the heretofore-named county in the State of Missouri, natural disasters have caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

MISSOURI RAILS

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named county after June 30, 1969, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of September 1968.

Onville L. Freeman, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11404; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]
NOTICES

DOW CHEMICAL CO.

Notice of Filing of Petition Regarding Pesticide Chemicals

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b), 21 U.S.C. 348(a)(1)), notice is given that a petition has been filed by the Dow Chemical Co., Post Office Box 512, Midland, Mich. 48640, proposing the establishment of a tolerance of 5 parts per million for residues of the herbicide dalapon sodium salt, calculated as dalapon (2,2-dichloropropionic acid), in or on the raw agricultural commodity lemons.


Dated: September 11, 1968.

J. K. KIRK,

Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR. Doc. 68-11482; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:50 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Notice of Issuance of Facility License Amendment

The Commission has issued Amendment No. 7, as set forth below and effective as of the date of issuance, to Facility License No. CX-11. The license authorizes Westinghouse to operate its CES facility located near Waltz Mill in Westmoreland County, Pa., as hereby further amended in accordance with application dated August 28, 1968, by revising paragraph 4 thereof to read:

4. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight September 30, 1978. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.


For the Atomic Energy Commission.

DONALD J. SCOVOLT,

Assistant Director for Reactor Operations; Division of Reactor Licensing.

[License CX-11, Amdt. 7]

The Atomic Energy Commission has found that:

1. Westinghouse Electric Corp.'s application for license renewal complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations set forth in Title 10, Chapter 1, CFR.

2. The issuance of this amendment for license renewal will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

3. Prior public notice of proposed issuance is not required since the amendment does not involve significant hazard considerations different from those previously evaluated.

Accordingly, Facility License No. CX-11, as amended, which authorizes Westinghouse Electric Corp. to operate its CES facility located in Westmoreland County, Pa., is hereby further amended in accordance with application dated August 28, 1968, by revising paragraph 4 thereof to read:

4. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight September 30, 1978. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 9th day of September 1968.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

DONALD J. SCOVOLT,

Assistant Director for Reactor Operations; Division of Reactor Licensing.

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

AIRCREWS AND MAINTENANCE, INC.

Order Fixing Final Mail Rate

Issued under delegated authority, all interested persons, and particularly the parties named below, were directed to show cause by Order 68-8-110, dated August 26, 1968, why the Board should not establish the service mail rate proposed therein.

The time designated for filing notice of objection has elapsed and no notice of objection or answer to the order has been filed by any party. All parties have therefore waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a decision by the Board fixing the service mail rate.

Upon consideration of the record, the findings and conclusions set forth in said order are hereby reaffirmed and adopted.

AIRCREWS AND MAINTENANCE, INC., the Postmaster General, Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Delta Air Lines, Inc.
Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof, the Board's regulations, 14 CFR Part 302, 14 CFR Part 308, and the authority duly delegated by the Board in its organization regulations, 14 CFR 389.14(g),

It is ordered, That:
1. The fair and reasonable final service mail rate to be paid to Aircrews and Maintenance, Inc., pursuant to section 406 of the Act for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful thereof, and the services connected therewith, between Waycross, Ga., and Atlanta, Ga., via Macon, Ga., shall be 30.45 cents per great circle aircraft mile;
2. The final service mail rate here fixed and determined is to be paid in its entirety by the Postmaster General;
3. This order shall be served on Aircrews and Maintenance, Inc., the Postmaster General, Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Delta Air Lines, Inc.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 10 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11412; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

[DOCKET NO. 9977]

AIRLINES MUTUAL AID AGREEMENT (RENEWAL)

Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a public hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is assigned to be held on October 14, 1968, at 10 a.m., e.d.t., in Room 911, Universal Building, 1325 Connecticut Avenue NW, Washington, D.C., before the undersigned examiner.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details of this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the various documents which are in the docket of this case on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 13, 1968.

[SEAL] ARTHUR S. PRESENT, Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11411; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

1 ALBANY AIR SERVICE

Order Fixing Final Mail Rate

September 13, 1968.

Issued under delegated authority.

All interested persons, and particularly the parties named below, were directed to show cause by Order 68-9-109, dated August 29, 1968, why the Board should not establish the service mail rate proposed therein.

The time designated for filing notice of objection has elapsed and no notice of objection or answer to the order has been filed by any party. All parties have therefore waived the right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a decision by the Board fixing the service mail rate.

Upon consideration of the record, the findings and conclusions set forth in said order are hereby reaffirmed and adopted.

It is ordered, That:
1. The fair and reasonable final service mail rate to be paid to Albany Air Service pursuant to section 406 of the Act for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful thereof, and the services connected therewith, between Valdosta and Atlanta, Ga., via Macon, Ga., shall be 43.5 cents per great circle aircraft mile;
2. The final service mail rate here fixed and determined is to be paid in its entirety by the Postmaster General;
3. This order shall be served on Albany Air Service, the Postmaster General, Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Southern Airways, Inc.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order pursuant to the Board's regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within 10 days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed, or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11412; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:49 a.m.]

[DOCKET NO. 20289; ORDER 68-9-64]

PIEDMONT AVIATION, INC.

Order of Investigation and Suspension Regarding Increased Rates on Dogs

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 13th day of September 1968.

By tariff revision1 bearing a posting date of August 16, 1968, and marked to become effective October 1, 1968, Piedmont Aviation, Inc. (Piedmont), proposes to increase its rates for the carriage of dogs from 200 percent of the applicable general commodity rates to 260 percent of such rates.

Upon consideration of all relevant matters, the Board finds that Piedmont's proposal may be unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, or unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and should be suspended pending investigation. The proposal would result in significant increases in the rates for which the carrier presents no adequate justification. Piedmont is simultaneously proposing certain increases in general commodity rates and charges on the basis of higher costs, but no reason is advanced why rates for the transportation of dogs should be raised even more. The proposed increase of 60 percent of the general commodity rates would be significantly higher than the rates published by any other carrier in the country. Most trunkline carriers and some local service carriers publish rates of less than one percent, while most local carriers have rates of 200 percent. Finally, Piedmont's proposal would make its rates for carrying dogs higher than its rates for other live animals, which do not exceed 200 percent of general commodity rates. No justification has been presented for this difference.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 1002 thereof,

It is ordered, That:
1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the Exception Rating to General Commodity Rates of 250 percent (of the general commodity rates) applicable to "Dogs" for account of "P" appearing in Item No. 450 on 105th Revised Page 205 of the Airline Tariff Publishers, Inc., Agent, CAB No. 8 (Agent J. Anielo series), and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such rating, are, or will be unjust or unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful rating, and rules, regulations, or practices affecting such rating;
2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the Exception Rating to General Commodity Rates of 250 percent (of the general commodity rates) applicable to "Dogs" for account of "P" appearing in Item No. 450 on 105th Revised Page 205 of Airline Tariff Publishers, Inc., Agent, CAB No. 8 (Agent J. Anielo series) is suspended and its use deferred to and including December 29, 1968, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;
3. The proceeding herein is assigned for hearing before an examiner of the

1Revision to Airline Tariff Publishers, Inc., Agent, Tariff CAB No. 8 (Agent J. Anielo series).
NOTICES

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

CURRENT BUDGET AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Notice of Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the Delaware River Basin Commission will hold a public hearing on September 26, 1968. The hearing will take place in Room 603 of the City Hall Annex in Philadelphia at 2 p.m. The hearing will be beginning on the following subjects:

A. A proposed fiscal year 1970 current expense budget, in the amount of $1,277,000 and capital budget in the amount of $200,000.

B. A proposal to amend the Comprehensive Plan so as to include therein the following projects:

1. City of Millville. An expansion of existing sewage treatment plant of the city of Millville, Cumberland County, N.J. Capacity will be provided for secondary treatment for 5 million gallons daily. Treated discharge will be to the Maurice River.

2. City of Millville. A sewage interceptor and pumping station to provide collection service in the eastern section of the city of Millville, Cumberland County, N.J. The facilities are designed to serve an ultimate population of about 383,000 persons. Sewage will be treated at the existing treatment plant and discharged to the Maurice River.

3. City of Gloucester City. A well water supply project to augment public water supplies in Gloucester City, Camden County, N.J. Extraction from the new well will begin at a rate of 1 million gallons daily and increase to 1.5 million gallons daily over a 10-year period.

4. Frenchtown Water Co. A well water supply project to augment public water supplies in the Borough of Frenchtown, Hunterdon County, N.J. The new facility will be located adjacent to Trenton Avenue and is expected to yield 140,000 gallons per day. An existing well adjacent to Everittstown Road is also a part of this project application.

5. City of Newark. A well water supply project to augment public water supplies in the city of Newark, New Castle County, Del. Two new facilities will be developed and are expected to have a combined yield of 864,000 gallons per day.

6. Westgate Water Co. A well, water supply project to augment public water supplies in Westgate Hills development, Hanover Township, Northampton County, Pa. Designated as Well No. 3, the new facility is expected to yield 500 gallons per minute.

Deadline for Filing Apprenticeship Information Report EEO-2

Pursuant to the authority vested in it by section 709(c) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. section 2000e-8, 20 C.F.R. section 1602.15, notice is hereby given that this year's deadline for filing Apprenticeship Information Report EEO-2 is October 1, 1968. The period for which joint labor-management apprenticeship committees may gather and report apprenticeship data may be any calendar week or other appropriate period in the months of August, September, or October 1968.

Apprenticeship Information Report EEO-2 is required to be filed by all joint labor-management apprenticeship committees which have (a) five or more apprentices in the entire program, and (b) at least one employer sponsor which has 25 or more employees, and (c) at least one local union sponsor which operates a hiring hall or which has 25 or more members.


Clifford L. Alexander, Jr.,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11392; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]
NOTICES

APPENDIX

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING
DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

1410-C2-P-69—The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Va.; (New); C.P. for a new two-way station to be located at 120 North Braudco Street, Winchester, Va., to operate on base frequencies 152.60 and 153.61 MHz.

1411-C2-P-69—the Lincoln Telephone and Telegraph Co.; (KAA680); C.P. to change the antenna system at location No. 1: 1440 M Street, Lincoln, Neb., operating on base frequency 152.03 MHz.

1412-C2-ML-69—Henry M. Zachs; (KCC500); Modification of license to change the repeater frequency from 72.18 MHz to 72.56 MHz and change the control frequency from 78.74 to 79.18 MHz. All other terms of the existing license to remain unchanged.

1413-C2-ML-69—Henry M. Zachs; (KCC803); Same as the above, except change the repeater frequency from 72.56 MHz to 72.95 MHz and change the control frequency from 78.52 MHz to 78.95 MHz.

1415-C2-P-69—Coalinga Radio Telephone Service; (New); C.P. for a new two-way station to be located at location No. 1: On Joaquin Ridge, near Coalinga, Calif., to operate on base frequency 454.176 MHz and repeater frequency 72.56 MHz and at location No. 2: 605 Valley Avenue, Coalinga, Calif., to operate on control frequency 76.58 MHz.

1416-C2-AL-69—Charles W. Stockton; (WWA338); Consent to assignment of license from Charles W. Stockton, Assignor, to: Electronics Unlimited Corp., by its division Virgin Isle Communications, Assignee.

1417-C2-P-69—The Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Company of West Virginia; (KQK729); C.P. to add a fourth channel to operate on base frequency 152.81 MHz, located at Mission Hill Road, Charleston, W. Va.

1418-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc. (KQM894); Modification of license to change one-way station frequency to 95.58 MHz. All other terms of the existing license to remain unchanged.

1419-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KA683); Same as above, except change frequency to 95.58 MHz.

1420-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KQ877); Same as above, except change frequency to 95.59 MHz.

1421-C2-P-69—The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of WV; (KQ877); Same as above, except change frequency to 95.59 MHz.

1422-C2-P-69—The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Va.; (New); C.P. for a new two-way station to be located at 120 North Braudco Street, Winchester, Va., to operate on base frequencies 152.60 and 153.61 MHz.

1423-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KAA503); Same as above, except change frequency to 95.78 MHz.

1424-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KRA777); Same as above, except change frequency to 95.79 MHz.

1426-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KQ894); Same as above.

1427-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KQ877); Same as above.


1429-C2-ML-69—New York Technical Institute of Cincinnati, Inc.; (KQ894); Same as above.


1431-C2-P-69—Eastern Oregon Telephone Co.; (KLE844); C.P. to change frequency 152.69 MHz to 153.75 MHz, located at 15 miles southwest of Pilot Rock, Ore.

1432-C2-P-69—Telecall; (KGA783); C.P. to change the antenna system on repeater frequency 459.10 MHz at location No. 2: Frenchman Hills, 13 miles south-southwest of Moses Lake, Wash., to establish control facilities to operate on 454.10 MHz at a new site described as location No. 4: 1580 South First Avenue, Othello, Wash.


1434-C2-P-69—Page Boy, Inc.; (KAB661); C.P. for an additional transmitter to operate on frequency 35.22 MHz, located on Second Avenue South, between Fifth and Sixth Streets, Minneapolis, Minn. (one-way).

1436-C2-ML-69—Telephone Answering Service, Inc.; (KGA955); C.P. for an additional transmitter to operate on frequency 43.58 MHz, located at 1715 Grandview Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pa. (one-way).

1437-C2-P-69—Telephone and Radio Answering Service, Inc.; (KES661); C.P. for an additional transmitter to operate on frequency 43.58 MHz, located at the northwest corner of San Jacinto and Walker Streets, Houston, Tex.

1438-C2-P-69—McCord's Communications Service; (KFC893); C.P. to replace base transmitter operating on frequency 152.12 MHz and relocate facilities to 1010 Pavy Avenue, Gadsden, Ala.

NOTICES

14187

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report 405]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION 1

Domestic Public Radio Services Applications Accepted for Filing 2

September 16, 1968.

Pursuant to § 1.217(b) (3) and 21.28 (b) of the Commission’s rules, an application, in order to be considered with any domestic public radio services application appearing on the attached list, must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which subsequent applications are in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. An application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered to be a newly filed application. It is to be noted that the cutoff dates are set forth in the alternative—applications will be entitled to consideration with those listed in the appendix if filed by the end of the 60-day period, only if the Commission has not acted upon the application by that time pursuant to the first alternative earlier date. The mutual exclusivity rights of a new application are governed by the earliest action with respect to any one of the earlier filed conflicting applications.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings pursuant to section 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, concerning any domestic public radio services application accepted for filing, is directed to § 21.27 of the Commission’s rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[SEAL] BEN F. WARE, Secretary.

1 All applications listed in the appendix are subject to further consideration and review and may be returned and/or dismissed if not found to be in accordance with the Commission’s rules, regulations, and other requirements.

2 The above alternative cutoff rules apply to those applications listed in the appendix as having been accepted in Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio, Rural Radio, Point-to-Point Microwave Radio, and Local Television Transmission Services (Part 21 of the rules).

1407—5

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 33, NO. 183—THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1968

No. 183

CORRECTIONS


1394-C2-P-69—William L. Elisee, trading as South Suburban Fagig; (New); Correct entry to read: C.P. for a new one-way station, not two-way. All other particulars to remain same as reported in public notice dated Sept. 9, 1968, Report No. 463.

1396-C2-P-69—Pomona Radio Dispatch Corp.; (KMD692); Correct entry to read: C.P. to add a second channel to operate on frequency 454.125MHz at a new site described as location No. 2: Sunset Ridge, approximately 3 miles north of Pomona, Calif. All other particulars to remain as reported in public notice dated Sept. 6, 1968, Report No. 404. Renewal of licenses expiring July 1, 1963. Term: July 1, 1968 to July 1, 1973.

1397-C2-P-69—Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.; (KK1444); Correct call sign to read: KKI444, not KKE444. All other particulars to remain as reported in public notice dated June 18, 1966, Report No. 361-1.
### CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS

**List of Changes, Proposed Changes, and Corrections in Assignment**

**August 28, 1968.**

Notification under the provision of Part III, section 2 of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement.

List of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignment of Canadian Broadcast Stations modifying appendix containing assignments of Canadian stations (mimeograph No. 47214-3) attached to the recommendation of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call letters</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Power kw</th>
<th>Antenna Schedule</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Expected date of commencement of operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFBB (Change in broadcasting facil- 10 kw D/10 kw N DA-2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW2 (PO: Oakville, Ontario... 10 kwD/10 kwN DA-2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION**

[Docket No. RH59-60, etc.]

**COASTAL STATES GAS PRODUCING CO. ET AL.**

**Order Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates**

**September 11, 1968.**

The Respondents named herein have filed proposed increased rates and charges of currently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas under Commission jurisdiction, as set forth in Appendix A herewith. The proposed increased rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is in the public interest and consistent with the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings regarding the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the supplements herein be suspended and their use be deferred as ordered below.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 33, NO. 183—THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1968
NOTICES

The Commission orders:
(A) Under the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15, the regulations pertaining thereto (18 CFR Ch. I), and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, public hearings shall be held concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes.
(B) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the rate supplements herein are suspended and their use deferred until the “Date Suspended Until” column, and thereafter until made effective as prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.
(C) Until otherwise ordered by the Commission, neither the suspended supplements, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered, shall be changed until disposition of these proceedings or expiration of the suspension period.

APPENDIX “A”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Rate schedule no.</th>
<th>Supplement no.</th>
<th>Purchaser and producing area</th>
<th>Amount of annual increase</th>
<th>Date filing to be suspended</th>
<th>Effective date unless suspended</th>
<th>Date suspended until</th>
<th>Rate in effect</th>
<th>Proposed increased rate</th>
<th>Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R169-93...</td>
<td>Cities Service Gas Co., Post Office Box 2400, Tulsa, Okla. 74103; Attention: H. E. Wright, Division Manager, Gas Division.</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>5 Transwestern Pipeline Co.</td>
<td>(Goma Field, Pecos County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 8) (Permian Basin Area).</td>
<td>18,094</td>
<td>8-20-68</td>
<td>9-20-68</td>
<td>9-20-68</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>$17.56</td>
<td>RI65-146.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R169-93...</td>
<td>Ashland Oil &amp; Refining Co. (Operator) et al., Post Office Box 19004, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73118; Attention Ted Hollenbauer, Sr.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4 El Paso Natural Gas Co.</td>
<td>(Spraberry Field, Glasscock, Reagan and Sutton Counties, Tex.) (R.R. District Nos. 5-C and D) (Permian Basin Area).</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>8-22-68</td>
<td>9-22-68</td>
<td>9-22-68</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>RI65-146.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R169-95...</td>
<td>Husky Oil Co., Post Office Box 300, Cody, Wyo. 82414; Attention: Donald L. Jensen, Esq.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4 Mountain Fuel Supply Co.</td>
<td>(Salt Wells Field, Sweetwater County, Wyo.)</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>8-23-68</td>
<td>9-23-68</td>
<td>9-23-68</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>RI65-146.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R169-96...</td>
<td>Signal Oil and Gas Co. (Operator) et al., 3235 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90017; Attention: Michael P. Kelly, Esq.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 El Paso Natural Gas Co.</td>
<td>(South Andrews Field, Andrews County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 6) (Permian Basin Area).</td>
<td>7,069</td>
<td>9-20-68</td>
<td>10-1-68</td>
<td>10-1-68</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
<td>RI65-146.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See footnotes at end of table.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Rate schedule No.</th>
<th>Supplement No.</th>
<th>Purchaser and producing area</th>
<th>Amount of annual increase</th>
<th>Date filing</th>
<th>Effective date tendered</th>
<th>Date rate suspended until</th>
<th>Rate in effect</th>
<th>Proposed increased rate</th>
<th>Rate in effect subject to ref. in dock. No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RI67-81...</td>
<td>Sunray Petroleum Co., 24010 First National Bank Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102</td>
<td>10-20-77</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>Humble Oil &amp; Refining Co., 1701 S. Independence Ave., Fort Worth, Texas 76102</td>
<td>$470 8-29-68 2 11- 1-68 4- 1-69</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>17.4417</td>
<td>17.4417</td>
<td>17.4417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI67-81...</td>
<td>Pan American Petroleum Corp., Fort Office Box 1430, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101</td>
<td>10-20-77</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>Humble Oil &amp; Refining Co., 1701 S. Independence Ave., Fort Worth, Texas 76102</td>
<td>$455 8-29-68 2 8-29-68 2 8-29-68</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>8-29-68</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notices 14191

Amax Petroleum Corp. (Amax) proposed periodic rate increase includes production in the Arkansas Area of Arkansas where no formal ceiling rates have been established. Since the proposed 16 cents rate exceeds the 11 cents per Mcf rate established for adjacent Oklahoma "Other" Area which has previously been applied for increased rates filed in this area of Arkansas, we conclude that Amax's proposed rate increase should be suspended for five months from September 23, 1968, the proposed effective date.

The producers' proposed increases rates and charges exceed the applicable area price levels for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of General Policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR 2.56), with the exception of the rate increase filed by Amax, mentioned above, for which no formal ceiling rates have been established for the area involved but exceeds the area increased rate ceiling for adjacent Oklahoma "Other" Area which has been used for similar cases in the past.

SUNRAY DX OIL CO. ET AL.

Order Permitting Rate Filing, Accepting Supplement and Contract Amendment, Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates 1

September 11, 1968.

The above-named Respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are designated as follows:

1 Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Remitent</th>
<th>Rate schedule No.</th>
<th>Supplement No.</th>
<th>Purchaser and producing area</th>
<th>Amount of natural gas increase tendered</th>
<th>Effective date unless suspended</th>
<th>Date suspended until</th>
<th>Rate in effect</th>
<th>Proposed increased rate</th>
<th>Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H109-77...</td>
<td>Sunray DX Oil Co., Post Office Box 3599, Tulsa, Okla. 74101</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>South Texas Natural Gas Gathering Co. (Jay Shiner Field, Wilbarger County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 8).</td>
<td>$305</td>
<td>8-12-68</td>
<td>9-12-68</td>
<td>2-12-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-79...</td>
<td>Lemos M. Jessy, Inc., et al., 504 Waugh Dr., Houston, Tex. 77009</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Northeast Trail Field, Darks County, Okla.)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9-12-68</td>
<td>10-8-68</td>
<td>3-1-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-80...</td>
<td>AtlanticRichfield Co., Post Office Box 1010, Dallas, Tex. 75221</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Argyle Area, Pittsburg County, Okla.)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>9-12-68</td>
<td>10-8-68</td>
<td>2-12-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-81...</td>
<td>Pan American Petroleum Corp., Post Office Box 1410, Fort Worth, Tex. 76101</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Arkan-sa Area, Latimer, LeFlore, and Pittsburg County, Okla.) (Arkansas &quot;Other&quot; Area)</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>8-12-68</td>
<td>9-12-68</td>
<td>2-12-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-82...</td>
<td>Texaco, Inc., Post Office Box 3297, Midland, Tex. 79701</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Northern Natural Gas Co. (Wilburton Field, Latimer County, Okla.) (Arkansas &quot;Other&quot; Area)</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>9-16-68</td>
<td>9-16-68</td>
<td>2-15-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-83...</td>
<td>Sun Oil Co., Post Office Box 3399, Tulsa, Okla. 74101</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Red Oak Field, Latimer County, Okla.) (Arkansas &quot;Other&quot; Area)</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>9-16-68</td>
<td>10-4-68</td>
<td>2-14-69</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-85...</td>
<td>Ashland Oil &amp; Refining Co., Post Office Box 36025, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73118</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Tatum Field, Dawney County, Okla.) (Arkansas &quot;Other&quot; Area)</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>1116-68</td>
<td>11-16-68</td>
<td>2-16-69</td>
<td>$12.095</td>
<td>182.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H109-86...</td>
<td>Jack D. Weatherly, Jr., 211 North Akard, Dallas, Tex. 75201</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cities Service Gas Co. (South Okla. City Field, Oklahoma, Okla.)</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>8-16-68</td>
<td>8-16-68</td>
<td>2-16-69</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>R167-39.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the statutory notice.*

*Periodic rate increase.*

*Base rate is 14.65 per MCF.*

*No present production.*

*Proposed rate increase.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to a downward B.t.u. adjustment.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to a reduction of 0.015 cents for gas requiring compression by buyer.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to an upward B.t.u. adjustment.*


*Proposed rate increase is subject to a separate amendment to General Policy Statement No. 61-1 (settlement order issued Jan. 30, 1968, in Docket Nos. G-17324 et al.)*

*Subject to a downward B.t.u. adjustment.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to a temporary certificate issued Oct. 1, 1968, in Docket No. G-20002.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to a temporary certificate issued Sept. 19, 1965, in Docket No. R163-432.*

*Proposed rate increase is subject to a temporary certificate issued Sept. 19, 1965, in Docket No. G-20002.*
Sunray DX Oil Co. (Sunray) requests an effective date of August 1, 1968, for Supplement No. 5 to Sunray's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 201, and an effective date of September 1, 1968, for Supplement Nos. 2 and 5 to Sunray's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 215, for their proposed rate increases. Sunray Oil & Gas Co. (Operator) et al. requests waiver of the statutory notice to permit its proposed rate increases to become effective on September 1, 1968. Jack D. Wratsher, Jr., requests an effective date of August 1, 1968, for his proposed rate increase, and Texaco, Inc. (Texaco), requests a retroactive effective date of July 1, 1968, for its rate filings. Good cause has not been shown for waiving the 30-day notice requirement provided in section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act to permit earlier effective dates for the aforementioned producers' rate filings and such requests are denied.

Atlantic Richfield Co. is "fracturing" its contractually due rate of 22.5 cents per Mcf for gas sold from acreage dedicated under Supplement No. 7 to its FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 230. The sale is currently being made pursuant to a temporary certificate conditioned to an initial rate of 19.5 cents, with a refund floor of 18.5 cents, and contained a Condition (2) provision prohibiting changes in the initial rate unless ordered by the Commission in the related certificate proceeding in Docket No. G–20020. Atlantic requests waiver of the aforementioned condition to permit its rate change to be filed. Consistent with previous Commission action taken on similar waiver requests involving temporary certificate sales for which a permanent certificate has not been issued within three years from the date service commenced thereafter (under (date of initial delivery for this sale is September 25, 1965), we conclude that it would be in the public interest to waive the Condition (2) provision to permit Atlantic's notice of change in rate to be filed since service was commenced more than 3 years ago.

Concurrently with the filing of their rate increases, Texaco submitted a Supplement dated August 7, 1968, and Humble Oil & Refining Co. (Humble) submitted a contract amendment dated May 1, 1968, which provide for their proposed rate increases. We believe that it would be in the public interest to accept for filing Texaco and Humble's aforementioned contract amendment and contract amendment to become effective on September 16, 1968 (Texaco), the expiration date of the statutory notice and September 16, 1968 (Humble), the proposed effective date, but not the proposed rates contained therein which are suspended as hereinafter ordered.

All of the producers' proposed increased rates and charges exceed the applicable area price levels for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's statement of general policy No. 61–1, as amended (18 CFR 2.6). The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds:

(1) Good cause exists for waiving Condition (2) provision temporarily certificated issued in Docket No. G–20020 with respect to Atlantic's notice of change, designated as Supplement No. 10 to Atlantic's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 230, and such rate change is permitted to be filed as hereinafter ordered.

(2) Good cause has been shown for accepting for filing Texaco's proposed Supplement dated August 7, 1968, designated as Supplement No. 7 to Texaco's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 8, and Humble's proposed contract amendment designated Matter No. 43, to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 19, and for permitting such supplements to become effective on September 16, 1968 (Texaco) the expiration date of the statutory notice and September 16, 1968 (Humble), the proposed effective date.

(3) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the above-designated supplements be suspended, and the same be filed as hereinafter ordered (except for the supplements referred to in paragraph (2) above).

The Commission orders:

(A) Condition (2) in the temporary certificate issued in Docket No. G–20020 is hereby waived with respect to Atlantic's notice of change, designated as Supplement No. 10 to Atlantic's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 230, and such rate change is permitted to be filed.

(B) Supplement No. 7 to Texaco's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 8, and Supplement No. 21 to Humble's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 19, are accepted for filing and permitted to become effective on September 16, 1968 (Texaco), and September 16, 1968 (Humble).

(C) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. 1), public hearings shall be held upon dates to be fixed by notices from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements (except the supplements set forth in paragraph (B) above).

(D) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the above-designated supplements are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until the date indicated in the above "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

Neither the supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired or otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)), on or before October 23, 1968.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] Gordon M. Grant, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68–13566; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. E–7441]

BLACK HILLS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Notice of Application

September 13, 1968.

Take notice that on September 5, 1968, Black Hills Power and Light Co. (Applicant), filed an application seeking an order pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act authorizing the issuance of up to $1,780,000 in short-term promissory notes.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of South Dakota with its principal business office at Rapid City, S. Dak., and is engaged in the electric utility business in western South Dakota, northeastern Wyoming, and southeastern Montana.

The notes which will be issued to commercial banks will mature in less than 1 year and not later than October 31, 1968.

The proceeds will be used in part to finance Applicant's construction program for its fiscal year ending October 31, 1968. Present estimates indicate that expenditures for additions and improvements to Applicant's properties during the period from August 1, 1968, through June 30, 1969, will approximate $8.8 million, of which approximately $4.1 million will be spent for facilities related to the installation of additional generating capability at Wyodak Plant and the rebuilding of the Colony, Wyoming, to Alzada, Montana, transmission line.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 9, 1968, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.6 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

Gordon M. Grant, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68–13566; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]
NOTICES

[FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO.
Order Suspending Proposed Changes in Rates, Providing for Hearing, Prescribing Prehearing Conference Procedure and Denying Motion To Reject
SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.
Florida Gas Transmission Co. (Florida Gas) tendered for filing on August 1, 1968, changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, original volumes Nos. 1 and 2 and T-1 and T-2 rate from 20 cents per MMBTU to 22.1 cents per MMBTU and the G and I rates from 54.7 cents and 33 cents per MMBTU to 75.6 cents and 35.6 cents per MMBTU, respectively. Based upon sales and transportation deliveries for the 12-month period ending April 30, 1968, adjusted, the proposed increase would amount to about $3,500,000.
In support of the proposed increase Florida Gas claims that the presently effective rates are inadequate to meet the company's obligations and provide a fair return. It states that the present rates were designed to recover the estimated costs made some 3 years ago, and that it has since experienced major cost increases. The claimed increased costs include a significant portion of a capital outlay totaling millions of dollars for the major plant expansion which Florida Gas has been constructing in accordance with Opinion No. 516, that the Commission's Opinion No. 516, issued March 1, 1967, in Docket No. CP65-393, in which we announced our intention to initiate an investigation of all of Florida Gas' jurisdictional rates, and our order issued July 19, 1967, by which we instituted such investigation, both contemplated that the rate reductions which Florida Gas proposed in Docket No. CP65-393, and which became effective June 10, 1968, were to continue in effect until a rate determination was made. On or about April 15, 1968, Florida Gas filed an answer in which it states that FPL's motion, in effect, contravenes Opinion No. 516 as intending to place a moratorium on any change by Florida Gas in proposed effective rates, pending termination of Docket No. RP68-1 and urges that it is clear that the opinion placed no such limitation, either express or implied, upon Florida Gas.

Upon review of Opinion No. 516 and the rate orders issued subsequent thereto we believe FPL's contentions to be without merit. We shall therefore deny the motion to reject.

It is also our view, in the light of the procedures hereinafter provided, that no action need be taken at this time on the motions for interlocutory order procedure on the issue of rate of return.

Hearings have been completed in Docket No. RP68-1 et al. and those proceedings are now being briefed. The data which Florida Gas has submitted in support of its proposed increase in RP69-2 include some additional claimed costs but do not appear to present any changes in the rate making principles or methods which were employed in the presentation of its case in Docket No. RP68-1. Under the circumstances, it is appropriate that opportunity be provided to the parties to explore procedures which would (1) avoid retrial of issues involving rate making principles or methods being briefed in RP68-1 et al., (2) arrive at stipulations and agreement on claimed costs or narrow the issues thereon, or (3) eliminate entirely the necessity for hearing in RP69-2. Accordingly we shall order a prehearing conference in this proceeding to enable all the parties and Commission staff to consider the above procedures, or any others, including settlement, which would most expeditiously provide for orderly and appropriate disposition of Florida Gas' rate proceedings.

The Commission finds:

(1) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services contained in Florida Gas' FPC

Second Revised Sheet No. 4 and First Revised Sheet No. 8 of original volume No. 1 and Third Revised Sheet Nos. 27 and 38, and First Revised Sheet No. 128 of original volume No. 2.

*By this opinion we granted a certificate to Florida Gas to construct additional facilities for a new transportation service (T-3) for FPL.

GORDON M. GRANT,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 68-11500; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:48 a.m.]
NOTICES

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

BARNETT NATIONAL SECURITIES CORP.

Order Approving Application Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the application of Barnett National Securities Corp., Jacksonville, Fla., for approval of acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Munroe and Chamblis National Bank of Ocala, Ocala, Fla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) and §222.3(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.3(a)), an application by Barnett National Securities Corp., Jacksonville, Fla., a registered bank holding company, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Munroe and Chamblis National Bank of Ocala, Ocala, Fla.

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board notified the Comptroller of the Currency of the application and requested his views and recommendation. The Comptroller recommended approval of the application.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the Federal Register on May 8, 1968 (33 F.R. 6944), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposed transaction. A copy of the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's statement of this date, that said application be and hereby is approved; Provided, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order, or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of September, 1968.

By order of the Board of Governors.  

[SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11485; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

BARNETT NATIONAL SECURITIES CORP.

Order Approving Application Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the application of Barnett National Securities Corp., Jacksonville, Fla., for approval of acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Munroe and Chamblis National Bank of Ocala, Ocala, Fla.

There has come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) and §222.3(a) of Federal Reserve Regulation Y (12 C.F.R. 222.3(a)), an application by Barnett National Securities Corp., Jacksonville, Fla., a registered bank holding company, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The Munroe and Chamblis National Bank of Ocala, Ocala, Fla.

As required by section 3(b) of the Act, the Board notified the Comptroller of the Currency of the application and requested his views and recommendation. The Comptroller recommended approval of the application.

Notice of receipt of the application was published in the Federal Register on May 8, 1968 (33 F.R. 6944), providing an opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views with respect to the proposed transaction. A copy of the application was forwarded to the U.S. Department of Justice for its consideration. Time for filing comments and views has expired and all those received have been considered by the Board.

It is hereby ordered, For the reasons set forth in the Board's statement of this date, that said application be and hereby is approved; Provided, That the acquisition so approved shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the date of this order, or (b) later than 3 months after the date of this order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of September, 1968.

By order of the Board of Governors.  

[SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11485; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

NATIONWIDE INVESTMENT CORP.

Notice of Receipt of Application for Permission To Acquire Control of Surety Savings and Loan Association

SEPTEMBER 16, 1968.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corp. has received an application from the Nationwide Investment Corp., Beverly Hills, Calif., on behalf of itself and its parent companies, North American Investment Co., Malubro Investment Co., Greenlake Trust "A", Greenlake Trust "B", and 30 individuals, Beverly Hills, Calif., for permission to acquire control of the Surety Savings and Loan Association, Burbank, Calif. The proposed acquisition of control is to be effected by the purchase of control of Nationwide Investment Co., its parent companies, and its affiliate, the Mary Lou Brown Foundation, Beverly Hills, Calif., of the First Surety Corp., which owns all of the guarantee stock of the Surety Savings and Loan Association, an insured institution. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20252, within 30 days of the date of this notice appears in the Federal Register.

[SEAL] JACK CARTER,  
Secretary,  
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[F.R. Doc. 66-11426; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD
NOTICES

Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Dated at Washington, D.C., this 12th day of September 1968.

By order of the Board of Governors. [SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL, Assistant Secretary. [F.R. Doc. 68-11387; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:46 a.m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Federal Property Management Regs., Temporary Reg. F-21]

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Delegation of Authority Regarding Electric Service Rate Proceedings

1. Purpose. This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent the interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Georgia Public Service Commission in a proceeding involving electric service rates otherwise than on a national securities exchange.

2. Effective date. This regulation is effective immediately.

3. Delegation.
   a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201 (a) (4) and 205 (d), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government before the Georgia Public Service Commission in a proceeding involving electric service rates otherwise than on a national securities exchange.
   b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegating authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.
   c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and further, shall be exercised in a manner that the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.


SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

ALCAR INSTRUMENTS, INC.

Order Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Alcar Instruments, Inc., 225 East 57th Street, New York, N.Y., being traded otherwise than at a national securities exchange, is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors; It is ordered, Pursuant to section 5 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 14, 1968, through September 22, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission. [SEAL] ORVAL L. DOBOS, Secretary. [F.R. Doc. 68-11385; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3421]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 cents par value of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, being traded...
otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 14, 1968, through September 23, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DU BOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11388; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 6:47 a.m.]

MASTER-CRAFT ELECTRONICS CORP.
Order Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Master-Craft Electronics Corp., 1115 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10010, and all other securities of Master-Craft Electronics Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 14, 1968 through September 21, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DU BOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11387; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 6:47 a.m.]

NORTHEAST UTILITIES
Notice of Proposed Transactions

SEPTEMBER 13, 1968.

Notice is hereby given that Northeast Utilities ("Northeast"), 70 Federal Street, Boston, Mass. 02110, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6, 7, 12(d), 12(c), and 13(d) of the Act and rules 42, 45, and 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Northeast proposes to make cash capital contributions aggregating $25 million to The Connecticut Light and Power Co. ("CL&P"), The Hartford Electric Light Co. ("Hartford"), and Western Massachusetts Electric Co. ("WMECO"), all electric utility subsidiary companies of Northeast. The capital contributions will be made in 1968 to CL&P, Hartford, and WMECO in the amounts of $10 million, $8 million, and $7 million, respectively. CL&P, Hartford, and WMECO will use the capital contributions, together with the proceeds of First Mortgage Bonds, estimated at $40 million, $35 million, and $15 million, respectively, to finance the costs of their respective 1968 construction programs and investments in regional nuclear generating companies, to finance additional permanent financing in existing and generating company investments, and for other corporate purposes. The construction programs of CL&P, Hartford, and WMECO contemplate gross construction expenditures of approximately $66,700,000, $37,300,000, and $28,500,000, respectively, in 1968 and $28,900,000, $34,600,000, and $26,500,000, respectively, in 1969. Investments in advances to nuclear generating companies, Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co., Maine Yankee Atomic Power Co., and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp., by WMECO are estimated to aggregate $2,200,000, $1 million, and $300,000, respectively, in 1968, and $2,200,000, $600,000, and $500,000, respectively, in 1969.

In order to cover the necessary funds for the capital contributions, Northeast proposes to issue and sell 1,684,085 additional shares of its authorized 5 par value common stock pursuant to a rights offering to the holders of record on the basis of one additional share for each 20 shares held on the record date, which will be at 3:30 p.m. on the date on which proposals for the purchase of any unsubscribed shares are opened. The rights to subscribe are to be evidenced by fully transferable warrants. In case the number of shares of common stock held by any shareholder is not evenly divisible by 20, such shareholder will be entitled to subscribe for one additional share of common stock in lieu of any fraction thereof. If, as a result, subscriptions pursuant to the rights offering to shareholders should exceed 1,684,085 shares of common stock computed on the basis of a strict 1-for-20 offering, additional shares will be issued to the extent necessary. Northeast expects that subscription rights will be traded on the New York Stock Exchange. Northeast proposes to invite bids for any unsubscribed shares pursuant to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 promulgated under the Act. Prior to the time to submit bids, Northeast will set the subscription price for the offers to stockholders. The subscription price will also be the price for the unsubscribed shares, if any, to the underwriters. Such subscription price will be not more than the last reported sale price on the New York Stock Exchange prior to the fixing thereof and not less than such last reported sale price less 10 percent.

It is stated that Northeast may, if it is considered desirable, stabilize the price of its common stock by the purchase of not more than $4,500,000 shares on the New York Stock Exchange, in the open market, or otherwise on the three business days preceding and on the day on which proposals for the purchase of the unsubscribed shares are opened, up to and until a proposal is accepted or all proposals are rejected. Under the purchase agreement, any such shares, together with the unsubscribed shares, will be purchased by the purchasers at the subscription price.

The declaration further states that if market conditions at the time of the proposed rights offering are not favorable, in Northeast's judgment, to proceed with the sale of the additional common stock, Northeast proposes to reissue and extend its outstanding short-term notes to banks, which presently aggregate approximately $3,400,000 and to issue and sell to banks up to an additional $25,600,000 of short-term notes (and to renew such notes) from time to time. The aggregate amount of such notes at any one time outstanding, including both notes now outstanding and those greater issues, will at no time exceed $29 million. The proceeds of such notes will be at the date of issue, will have maximum maturity dates of 6 months, will bear interest at the prime rate in effect on the landing bank date of such issue, and will be subject to prepayment at any time at Northeast's option without premium. Although no formal commitments for future borrowings have been made with any bank, Northeast expects that such borrowings will be from the banks and in the maximum amounts listed below:

- Bankers Trust Co., New York, N.Y. -------- $11,400,000
- Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co., New York, N.Y. -------- 4,000,000
- New England Merchants National Bank, Boston, Mass. -------- 4,000,000
- The Connecticut Bank & Trust Co., Hartford, Conn. -------- 3,600,000
- Irving Trust Co., New York, N.Y. -------- 2,000,000

Total -------------- 39,000,000

The net proceeds from the sale of the additional common shares (estimated to exceed $23 million) and, after payment of the net proceeds derived from the issue of $25 million principal amount of the notes, will be utilized by Northeast to make the foregoing capital contributions. If the additional common shares are sold, Northeast estimates it will not require additional permanent financing in 1968 or 1969. If the additional common shares are not sold and Northeast proceeds with the issuance and sale of the notes, it will apply the net proceeds from any permanent financing, including any future sale of any of its common shares, in reduction of, or in total payment of, such outstanding notes. The proceeds of the sale of the $3,400,000 of notes which are now outstanding have been applied, and the proceeds of any additional notes hereafter sold, other and above the amount required for the capital contributions, will be applied, by Northeast (1) to make investments in Northeast Utilities Service Co., The Millstone Point Co., and The Rocky River Realty Co., (2)
to make other investments in its subsidiary companies as and to the extent such investments are permitted by the Act, and (3) to meet other miscellaneous capital requirements.

The declaration states that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. The fees and expenses relating to the proposed issue and sale of common stock will be filed by amendment. The fees and expenses of the alternatively proposed issue and sale of short-term notes are estimated at $1,500, including legal fees of $1,000.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than September 30, 1968, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law on which his interest depends.

If a protest is filed on or before September 25, 1968, a hearing will be held on such matter, stating the reasons for the protest, and for the protection of investors.

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 15, 1968, through September 24, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[Seal] Orval L. DeBois, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11399; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

PARAMOUNT GENERAL CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

September 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Paramount General Corp., Los Angeles, Calif., and all other securities of Paramount General Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 15, 1968, through September 24, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[Seal] Orval L. DeBois, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11391; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

STANWOOD OIL CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

September 13, 1968.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Stanwood Oil Corp., Warren, Pa., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 16, 1968, through September 25, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[Seal] Orval L. DeBois, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11390; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

WESTEC CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

September 13, 1968.

The common stock, 10 cents par value, of Westec Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Westec Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (5) and 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities on the American Stock Exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period September 14, 1968, through September 23, 1968, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[Seal] Orval L. DeBois, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 68-11386; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:47 a.m.]

NOTICES

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 1220]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARD APPLICATIONS

September 13, 1968.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 1.247 of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the Federal Register issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the Federal Register. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with § 1.247(d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protest not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one (1) copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such request shall meet the requirements of § 1.247(d) (4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 1.247(d) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning Motor Carrier Licensing Pro
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edures, published in the Federal Reg-
ister issue of May 3, 1968. This
assignment will be by Commission order which
will be entered at such party's record.

The publications hereinafter set forth
reflect the scope of the applications as
filed by applicants, and may include de-
scriptions, restrictions, or limitations which
are not acceptable to the Commission. Authority
which is deemed necessary may be granted,
result of the applications here noticed will not neces-
sarily reflect the phraseology set forth in
the application. Authority sought to operate as a
common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, trans-
porting: General commodities, except those of
unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock,
household goods as defined by the Commission,
commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment,
between points in Connecticut, Delaware,
Rhode Island, and Wisconsin.

Authority sought to operate as a
common carrier, by motor vehicle, over
irregular routes, between points to operate as
a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over
irregular routes, transporting: Aircraft engines and aircraft
components, parts, between Vero Beach, Fla., and Lock
Haven and Williamsport, Pa. Notice: If a hearing is deemed
necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington,
D.C., or Miami, Fla.

No. MC 7587 (Sub-No. 2), filed Sep-
tember 5, 1968. Applicant: JOHN M.
DUFFY, THOMAS J. DUFFY, JOHN M.
DUFFY, JR., and JAMES J. DUFFY, a
partnership, doing business as JOHN M.
DUFFY AND F. HUGHES & SON, 15
North 59th Street, Philadelphia, Pa., 19139. Applicant's
representative: William O. Turner, 1775 Massachusetts
Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036 and
Douglas Faris (same address as applicant). Au-
thority sought to operate as a common
carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting:
Pianos, organs, and parts and accessories thereof, between
points in Bucks, Chester, Delaware,
Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties, Pa., on the one hand,
and, on the other, points in New Jersey, and the New York,
N.Y., commercial zone as defined by the Commission.
Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant
requests it be held in Philadelphia, Pa., or C.

No. MC 10761 (Sub-No. 238), filed
August 30, 1968. Applicant: TRANS-
AMERICAN FREIGHT LINES, INC.,
1700 North Waterman Avenue, Detroit,
Mich. 48209. Applicant's representatives: L. G. Naidow
(same address as applicant) and A. Alvis Layne, Pennsylvania
Buildings, Washington, D.C. 20004. Au-
thority sought to operate as a common
carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting:
General commodities, except those of unusual value,
classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined
by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those
requiring special equipment, serving the Ford Motor Co., plants at the
intersection of West Park Avenue and Murphy Lane, Jefferson County,
Ky., as an off route point in connection with applicant's regular route authority
to and from Louisville, Ky. Notice: If a
hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville,
Ky.

No. MC 13250 (Sub-No. 100) (Amend-
ment), filed April 5, 1968, published Federal
Register issue of May 9, 1968, amended August 29, 1968, and repu-
lished as amended this issue. Applicant: J.
H. ROSE TRUCK LINE, INC., 5003
Jensen Drive, Post Office Box 16190, Houston, Tex. 77021. Applicant's
representative: Thomas E. James, The 904
Lavaca Building, Austin, Tex. 78701. Au-
thority sought to operate as a common
carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: (1) Motors; (2) street sweepers; and (3) parts and
attachments for the items named in (1)
and (2) above, from the plants and storage facilities of American Hoist Com-
pany of California (a subsidiary of
American Hoist & Derrick Co.), at or near
Irwinvale, Calif., to points in the United
States (except Hawaii). Notice: Applicant
states that no duplication is intended
sought. The purpose of this republication
is to broaden the commodity description.

If a hearing is deemed necessary, appli-
cant requests it be held at Washington,
D.C.

No. MC 14702 (Sub-No. 21), (Amend-
ment) filed May 24, 1968, published in
FEDERAL REGISTER issue of June 13, 1968,
amended September 4 and republisher
published this issue. Applicant: OHIO
FREIGHT, INC., Post Office Box 808,
Warren, Ohio 44482. Applicant's rep-
resentative: Paul E. Stainton, 30, 19102.
Authority sought to operate as a common
carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transport-
Address: 623 West 43rd Street, Kansas City,
Mo. 64108. Applicant's representative:

No. MC 2902 (Sub-No. 359), filed Au-
This republication is to add pallets,
and refused, rejected, damaged, or de-
No. LC 2202 (Sub-No. 354), filed August
29, 1968. Applicant: ROADWAY EXPRES,
INC., 1777 Gorge Boulevard, Post Office Box 471, Akron, Ohio 44309.
Applicant's representative: Robert H.
Kinker, 711 McClure Building, Franklin,
Fla. 32899 and Douglas Faris (same
address as applicant). Authority sought to operate
as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, trans-
porting: General commodities, except those of
unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock,
household goods as defined by the Commission,
commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment,
serving Hendersonville, Tenn., as an off
route point in connection with applicant's regular routes between
Ohio and Memphis, Tenn. Notice: If a
hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn.
No. MC 2902 (Sub-No. 359), filed Au-
published Federal Register issue of May 9, 1968, amended August 26, 1968, and repu-
lished as amended this issue. Applicant: FAST FREIGHT, INC., 57 West Park Avenue,
Vineland, N.J. 08360. Applicant's representative: Alexander Markowitz (same
address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common
carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, trans-
porting: Aircraft engines and aircraft
components, parts, between Vero Beach,
Fla., and Lock Haven and Williamsport,
Pa. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be
delivered at Washington, D.C., or Miami, Fla.

No. MC 5760 (Sub-No. 34), filed Au-
30, 1968. Applicant: NATIONAL
EXPRESS, INC., 57 West Park Avenue,
Vineland, N.J. 08360. Applicant's repre-
sentative: Francis W. McInerny, Vineland,
N.J. 08360. Authority sought to operate
as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes,
and refused, rejected, damaged, or de-
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Applicant's representative: Robert H. Griewold, 100 Pine Street, Post Office Box 432, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, equipment, materials, and supplies used in the conduct of such business, (a) between points within a territory bounded by a line beginning at Normalville, Pa., thence extending in a southerly direction through Connellsville, Pa., to California, Pa., thence in a southwesterly direction through Fredericktown, Pa., to Waynesburg, Pa., thence in a southwesterly direction along Pennsylvania Highway 218 to the Pennsylvania-West Virginia State line, thence in a southerly direction through Grafton, Philippi, Belington, and Elkins, W. Va., thence in a north-easterly direction through Parsons, W. Va., to Thomas, W. Va., thence north to Normalville, including the points named; and, (b) between points within an area specified by a line beginning at Thomas, W. Va., thence north to points in southern Virginia, and extending in a westerly direction through Shippenville, Pa., and Oakland, Md., to Thomas, W. Va., thence in a southeasterly direction to Petersburg, W. Va., thence in a northeasterly direction through Morgantown, W. Va., Connellsville, and Duncannon, Pa., to Millersburg, Pa., thence in a northerly direction to Jersey Shore, Pa., and thence west through Renovo, Emporium, Towanda, Montrose, and St. Marys, Pa., to Tionesta, including the points named; (2) Store fixtures, and store equipment, uncleared, used in the conduct of wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, including Youngstown, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Hancock, Md., Greensburg and Kane, Pa., and points within the territory bounded by a line beginning at Normalville, Pa., thence extending in a northerly direction along Pennsylvania Highway 218 through Connellsville, Pa., to California, Pa., thence in a southeasterly direction through Fredericktown, Pa., to Waynesburg, Pa., thence in a southwesterly direction along Pennsylvania Highway 218 to the Pennsylvania-West Virginia State line, thence in a southerly direction through Grafton, Philippi, Belington, and Elkins, W. Va., thence in a north-easterly direction through Parsons, W. Va., to Thomas, W. Va., thence north to Normalville, Pa., including the points named.


No. MC 27817 (Sub-No. 78), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: H. C. GABEL, BOX 7, Rural Delivery No. 2, Chambersburg, Pa. 17201. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Grass stop, in rolls, metal stove shovels, metal roofing and siding, and fabricated metal building products, from the plant of Penn Supply & Metal Company, 1501 South Market Street, at or near Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wisconsin.

No. MC 28653 (Sub-No. 15), filed August 21, 1968. Applicant: INTER-CITY TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 14333 Gaddord Street, Detroit, Mich. 48212. Applicant's representative: Robert D. Schuler, Room 710, 117 West Lafayette Boulevard, Detroit, Mich. 48226. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between points in or near highway U.S. Highway 10 and Fenton, Mich.; from junction Dixie Highway (formerly U.S. Highway 10) and Grange Hall Road, over Grange Hall Road and Milford.
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Road to Holly, Mich., thence over Milford Road and Grange Hall Road to Fenton, and return over the same route (also, from junction Alls Highway (formerly U.S. Highway 10) and Holly Road over Holly Road to Holly, Mich., thence over Milford Road and Fenton Road to Fenton, and return over the same route), serving all intermediate points. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 28690 (Sub-No. 18), filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: HERMAN LOZOWICZ TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 1551 Park Avenue South, Lincoln, N. J. 07036. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles and materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and processing of iron and steel articles, between Huntington, W. Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and West Virginia; and (2) from Litchfield, Illinois, to points in Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 31393 (Sub-No. 103), filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: McLEAN TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 617 Waukegan Street, Post Office Box 215, Winsted, N.C. 27102. Applicant's representative: Francis W. McInerny, 1000 16th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined in the Interstate Commerce Act, and those requiring special equipment), between Dwight, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 38338 (Sub-No. 23), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: THE GLENN CARAGE COMPANY, a corporation, 1115 South State Street, Girard, Ohio 44442. Applicant's representative: Henry M. Reinerth, 660 Terminal Tower, Cleveland, Ohio 44113. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Precast concrete slabs and beams, and accessories, supplies, and materials incidental to the installation thereof; (1) from Kent and Day Street, Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York, and West Virginia; and (2) from Fresno, Mich., to points in Kentucky and Indiana, and returned and rejected shipments on return in Nos. (1) and (2) above. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 42487 (Sub-No. 697), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORA TION OF DELAWARE, 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, Calif. 94025. Applicant's representative: A. John Warren, Commerce Supervisor, Western Traffic Service, Post Office Box 3062, Portland, Ore. 97208. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except liquid petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, and household goods as defined by the Interstate Commerce Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Dwight, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Ore., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 104), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT & STORAGE, INC., 817 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Applicant's representative: Donald F. Martin (same address as applicant), and Charles Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Paper and paper products; products produced or distributed by Washington Tower, Miami, Florida; (2) returned and rejected shipments and materials supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the above-described commodities on return. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 52460 (Sub-No. 91), filed September 4, 1968. Applicant: HUGH BREEDING, INC., 1520 West 35th Street, Post Office Box 8315, Tulsa, Okla. 74107. Applicant's representative: James W. Wrae, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum and petroleum products in containers, from Smith's Bliss, Jefferson County, Tex., to points in Tennessee; (1) from Lockport, Ill., to points in Illinois. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., Oklahoma City, Okla., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 56676 (Sub-No. 681), filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: ARCO AUTO CARRIERS, INC., 2140 West 79th Street, Chicago, Ill. 60629. Applicant's representative: A. J. Bieberstein, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, Wis. 53704, and S. J. Zangri, 2140 West 79th Street, Chicago, Ill. 60629. Authority sought to

mately 20 miles north of Libby, Mont., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular route specified between Ekalaka and Libby, Mont. Note: Applicant states it will tack its present authority MC 42487 Subs 23 and 24, at Libby, Mont. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Ore., or Seattle, Wash.
operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Trailers, trailer chassis (except those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), and trailer connectors, in secondary truck-aways, from Milwaukee, Wis., to points in Illinois and north of U.S. Highway 24, Wisconsin, and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan and Wayne County, Mich.; (2) trailers, trailer chassis (except those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), and trailer connector dollies, in secondary truck-away service, from points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, to the plantsites, warehouses, storage areas, and service centers of The Heil Co. at or near Milwaukee, Wis.; (3) bodies and containers, between Milwaukee, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii. Notes: Applicant the one hand, and, on the other, points in paragraphs (1) and (3) above, when moving in loads of certain commodities, between Milwaukee, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii. Notes: Applicant states it presently holds authority under its Sub 336 to transport trailers from Milwaukee, Wis., to all points in the United States except to points in the destination city located in paragraphs (1) and (3) above. The Commission, after consideration of the authority sought above, has determined that this application is made to eliminate the gateway through the counties of Marshall, Brooke, or Hancock in W. Va., to effect further safety and economy of operations and that no new service is to be rendered by the respondent. Authority sought of operating as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Garbage disposal units, and (2) parts for garbage disposal units, from Newton, Iowa, to points in Illinois, Montana, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. Notes: Applicant intends to transport trailer chassis (except those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), and trailer converter dollies, in secondary truck-away service, from points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, to the plantsites, warehouses, storage areas, and service centers of The Heil Co. at or near Milwaukee, Wis.; (3) bodies and containers, between Milwaukee, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii. Notes: Applicant states it presently holds authority under its Sub 336 to transport trailers from Milwaukee, Wis., to all points in the United States except to points in the destination city located in paragraphs (1) and (3) above. The Commission, after consideration of the authority sought above, has determined that this application is made to eliminate the gateway through the counties of Marshall, Brooke, or Hancock in W. Va., to effect further safety and economy of operations and that no new service is to be rendered by the respondent. Authority sought of operating as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, from Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Alabama, except classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, in tank, vehicles, from the plantsite of the St. Joseph Lead Co. located at or near Herculeanum, Mo., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. Notes: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, III., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 56592 (Sub-No. 123), filed Aug. 23, 1968. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINE, INC., 3708 Elm Street, Bettendorf, Iowa 52722. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, Ind., 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Grass stop, in rolls, to or near Moline, Ill., and republished as amended, this issue. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sulphuric acid, in bulk, to or near Cadillac, Mich., and republished as amended, this issue.

No. MC 61048 (Sub-No. 9), filed May 16, 1968, published in Federal Register issue of June 19, 1968, and republished as amended, this issue. Applicant: ROGERS CARGO CO., a corporation, 1439 West 103rd Street, Chicago, Ill. 60643. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 30 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, in bulk and those requiring special equipment, between the Ford Motor Co. plantsite located at the intersection of Westport Road and Murphy Lane, near Louisville, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, Anderson and Pendleton, Ind., points in Indiana located on U.S. Highway 20, U.S. Highway 40, U.S. Highway 60, those on U.S. Highway 52 between junctions 41 and the Indiana-OH State line, those on U.S. Highway 41 between Vincennes and the Indiana-Illinois State line, those on U.S. Highway 24 between junctions 41 and 48, and the Indiana-OH State line, those on U.S.

No. MC 94250 (Sub-No. 194), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: TRANSIT HOMES, INC., Haywood Road, Post Office Box 1628, Greenville, S.C. 29602. Applicant's representative: Mitchell King, Jr. (same address as applicant).

Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, from points in Lumberton County, N.C., to points east of the Mississippi River including Minnesota and Louisiana.

No. MC 94250 (Sub-No. 195), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: TRANSIT HOMES, INC., Haywood Road, Post Office Box 1628, Greenville, S.C. 29602. Applicant's representative: Mitchell King, Jr. (same address as applicant).

Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, from points in Lumberton County, N.C., to points east of the Mississippi River including Minnesota and Louisiana.


No. 105481 (Sub-No. 85), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: HERR'S MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., Box 8, Quarryville, Pa. 17566. Applicant's representative: Bernard N. Gingerich, 114 West State Street, Quarryville, Pa. 17566. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Oemargin and other similar edible oils, preserved, or pickled, or defective shipments, or return. No. 305168 (Sub-No. 118) (Amendment), filed August 26, 1968, published Federal Register issue of September 12, 1968, amended September 6, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE AWAY, INC., 2800 Saint Peter's Avenue, Elmsford, N.Y. 10523. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Tessar (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Bags and sacks, and their contents, in bulk, from points in the counties of Union, Hudson, and Essex; points in New York on and east of New York Highway 12 from Clayton, N.Y., to Binghamton, N.Y., thence west of New York Highway 14 to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, including Binghamton, N.Y., except for.
points in New York, N.Y., and points in the counties of Suffolk, Nassau, Westchester, and Rockland; points in Pennsylvania on or along the Susquehanna River from the Pennsylvania-New York State line at or near Waverly, N.Y., to Northumberland, Pa., to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line; and points along the West Virginia on and north of U.S. Highway 33. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 108642 (Sub-No. 39), filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: CLARK TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., Post Office Box 395, Chicago Heights, Ill. 60411. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, 33 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Automobiles, trucks, and buses, in truckaway and driveaway service, restricted to secondary movements, between Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, except traffic originating at the points of origin in the United States (except Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.


No. MC 1072458 (Sub-No. 100), filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: EASTERN EXPRESS, Inc., 1450 Wabash Avenue, Terre Haute, Ind. 47801. Applicant's representative: John E. Lesow, 3727 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46209. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except classes A and B explosives, livestock, grain, petroleum products in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment, serving the new plantsite of Grinnell Corp., at or near Averil, Ind., and, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the counties of Suffolk, Nassau, Westchester, and Rockland; points in Pennsylvania on or along the Susquehanna River from the Pennsylvania-New York State line at or near Waverly, N.Y., to Northumberland, Pa., to the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line; and points along the West Virginia on and north of U.S. Highway 33. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 82), filed August 19, 1968. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., Post Office Box 988, Lincoln Highway East and Meyer Road, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46801. Applicant's representative: Martin A. Weissert (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Furniture, from Benton and Waldron, Ark., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Maine, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Smith or Little Rock, Ark., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 751) (Correction), filed August 1, 1968, published Press-
and points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wyoming. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 110), filed August 30, 1968. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1425 Franklin Avenue, Marietta, Ga. 30069. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born, Post Office Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30069. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles; mobile homes; and buildings, complete, knocked down, or in sections, between points in Franklin County, Va., and Duncld County, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, excepting Hawaii and Alaska. Note: Applicant states it intends to tack at points in Franklin County, Va., and Duncld County, Mo., wherein it is authorized to transport buildings, complete, knocked down, or in sections, between points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina, Arkansas, Delaware, Kentucky, Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 112750 (Sub-No. 261), filed August 23, 1968. Applicant: AMERICAN COURIER CORPORATION, 222-17 Northern Boulevard, Bayside, N.Y. 11361. Applicant's representatives: Russell S. Bernard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. and Gerard L. Peace (same address as applicant). Authorization sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Commercial papers, documents, written instruments, and business records (except currency and negotiable securities), as are used in the business of banks and banking institutions, between York, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maryland. Note: Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a common carrier, in Certificate No. MC 111729 and Subs, therefor, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112514 (Sub-No. 104), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: SMITH & HAMILTON NATIONAL BANK, Republic National Bank Building, Dallas, Texas 75201. Applicant's representative: William D. White, Jr., 2506 Republic National Bank Tower, Dallas, Texas 75201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Silica gel catalyst, in bulk, from Lake Charles, La., to Scottsbluff, Nebr. Note: Applicant states it intends to tack at its present authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 71) (Amendment), filed August 26, 1968, published Federal Register issue of September 12, 1968, amended, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 700 Prior Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Applicant's representative: James C. Hardman, 33 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper articles, containers, closures, and parts and accessories for containers, and machinery, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of the foregoing commodities, between points in Minnesota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. Note: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114463 (Sub-No. 6), filed August 30, 1968. Applicant: STEVENSON'S REFRIGERATED TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 1017 Perkins Avenue, Muncie, Ind. 47303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of Appendix A to Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plants and cold storage facilities utilized by Wilson & Co., Inc., at or near Logansport, Ind., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114533 (Sub-No. 169), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: DANKERS DISPATCH CORPORATION, 1150 South Archer Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60632. Applicant's representative: Warren W. Wallin, 330 South Jefferson Street, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Human blood and derivatives therefrom, and blood plasma and concentrate, and containers therefor, and written reports, (a) between Wichita, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas, (b) between Kansas City, Mo., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kansas. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a contract carrier under MC 115286; therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it to be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Chicago.

No. MC 115092 (Sub-No. 6), filed August 30, 1968. Applicant: WEISS TRUCKING, INC., Post Office Box O, Vernal, Utah 84080. Applicant's representative: William S. Richards, 1610 Walker Bank Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Crushed ore, from points in Río Blanco Counties, Colo., to location at or near Rangely, Colo., for subsequent movement out-of-State. Note: Applicant states it intends to tack at points in Colorado on and west of U.S. Highway 65 and points in Utah to serve points in Colorado and Utah. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it to be held at Denver, Colo., or Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 115311 (Sub-No. 90), filed August 22, 1968. Applicant: J & M TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., Post Office Box 498, Milledgeville, Ga. 31061. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniel, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Mouldings and materials and supplies used in the installation thereof, from points in South Carolina, S.C.; Sumter County, S.C.; Charleston County, Fla.; Mecklenburg County, N.C.; and Jefferson County, Ala.; to points in Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it to be held at Knoxville, Tenn., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 115669 (Sub-No. 93), filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: HUARD N. DAHLSTEN, doing business as DAHLSTEN TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 95, Clay Center, Nebr. 68933. Applicant's representative: C. L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Flour and flour products, (2) animal, poultry, and bird feed, (3) animal, poultry, and bird feed ingredients, and (4) bird feeders, advertising matter, and materials, when moving in mixed shipments with commodities named in (1), (2), and (3) above, between Kansas City, Mo., and points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. Note:
NOTES

If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 115669 (Sub-No. 94), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: HOWARD N. DAHLSTEN, doing business as DAHLSTEN TRUCK LINE, Post Office Box 55, Clay Center, Nebr. 68933. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stier, 650 Tryon National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. 68102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Sulphuric Acid and phosphatic fertilizer solution, in bulk, from the plant site of Freeport Chemical Co., Division of Freeport-McMoran Inc., at Williamsport, Pa., under contract with W. R. Grace & Co., Agricultural Products Division, Columbus, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 116563 (Sub-No. 56), filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: JENNINGS BOND, doing business as BOND ENTERPRISES, Post Office Box 28, Lutesville, Mo. 65669. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Insulation materials, expanded plastic articles, from points in Boone County, Ill., to points in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Tennessee, Ohio, Kentucky, West Virginia, Indiana, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and Colorado. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 118228 (Sub-No. 17) (Correction), filed August 7, 1968, published August 29, 1968, corrected, and republished, as corrected this issue. Applicant: JOHN H. LUTESVILLE, 116077 (Sub-No. 246), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth and Guy H. Postell, 127 West Peachtree Street NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Glass and plastic products, (1) between Wooster, Ohio, on the one hand, and the other, points in Frederick County, Va., and (2) from points in Frederick County, Va., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to service to or from the plant site of National Carbon Company, Inc., at South Carolina, under contract with W. R. Grace & Co., Agricultural Products Division, Columbus, Ohio. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Raleigh, N.C., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 120367 (Sub-No. 72), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: M&M TANK LINES, INC., Post Office Box 612, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27102. Applicant's representative: B. M. Shirley, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizers, ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia, and nitrogen solutions, in bulk, from points in Hertford County, N.C., to points in Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.
Avenue, Newark, N.J. 07105. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 47 Lincoln Park, Newark, N.J. 07102. Applicant seeks to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dry chemicals, in bulk, from Solvay, N.Y., to points in Ohio and West Virginia. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 342), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: SCHWEDERMAN TRUCKING CORPORATION, 611 South 26 Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53246. Applicant's representative: Richard H. Prevete (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Salt, in bulk, from points in New Hanover County, N.C., to points in Virginia and South Carolina. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. 122), filed August 30, 1968. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., 2648 Cornhusker Highway, Post Office Box 654, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) General commodities, except classes A and B explosives, commodities of unusual value, animals, livestock, and poultry, household goods, as defined by the Commission in Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods, 17 M.C.C. 467; and, those commodities injuries or contaminating to other lading, between points in the Omaha, Nebr., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission; (2) pipe, conduit, and tubing, from Lincoln, Nebr., to points in Arizona, Kansas, Montana, Nevada, North Dakota, Nebraska, and Texas; (3) welding equipment, materials and supplies, and related articles, from points in Miami County, Ohio, to points in the United States, except Alaska and Hawaii; and, (4) Ferrous and nonferrous articles, (a) between Lincoln, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Texas; and, (b) from Arizona, Wash., to points in Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wyoming. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Lincoln or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. 123), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., 2648 Cornhusker Highway, Post Office Box 624, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 47 Lincoln Park, Newark, N.J. 07102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Seed and agricultural commodities, as defined in section 203(b) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended, when transported at the same time with flour, grain products, or food products, between Lincoln, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Wisconsin, and Wyoming; and, (2) advertising matter and advertising paraphernalia, when intended for use by the beverage industry and when moving in the same vehicle and at the same time with beverages, and beverages, (1) between Belleville, Ill., and St. Louis, Mo., for purposes of loading or unloading; or (2) from points in Kentucky and Wisconsin, to points in Nebraska, for purposes of tacking or joining only; and (3) charcoal briquettes, comprised of coal, coke, or other material, to points in Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska; and, (4) a chemicals, containers, drugs, intermediates, medicines, health and beauty aids, valued articles; and (b) equipment, materials, and supplies, used in the manufacture, production, and sale of commodities named in (a) above, between points in California, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Cook, Du Page, Kane, Lake, and Will Counties, Ill., and Lancaster County, Nebr. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 124221 (Sub-No. 17), filed August 16, 1968. Applicant: HOWARD BAER, 821 East Dunne Street, Morton, Ill. 61550. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Loser, 409 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except meat, meat by-products, fish, fowl, canned vegetables, canned soups, frozen vegetables, bakery goods, and consumer candy), stabilizers, confections, food acids, dry ice, ice cream containers, milk containers, emulsifiers, and office supplies, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, between points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota and South Dakota, under contract with Sealtest Foods Division, National Dairy Products Corp. Notice: Applicant states that the purpose of this application is to add the States of Minnesota and South Dakota to those States now authorized in its Sub 12 permit. It further states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 124782 (Sub-No. 9), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: KATO EXPRESS, INCORPORATED, Route 3, Elizabethtown, Ky. 42701. Applicant's representative: Rudy Yesin, Sixth Floor, McClure Building, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Standiford Field Airport, Louisville, Ky., Owensboro Airport, Owensboro, Ky., and Drees Memorial Airport, Evansville, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Hancock, Ky., and Spencer and Perry Counties, Ind., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by air. Notice: Applicant states that no duplicating authority is being sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Louisville or Elizabethtown, Ky.

No. MC 125952 (Sub-No. 5) (Amendment), filed May 15, 1968. Applicant: INTERSTATE DISTRIBUTOR CO., 2511 Durango SW, Tucson, Ariz. 85714. Applicant's representative: George L. Bisboniere, 920 Logan Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Interior fixtures, consisting of counters, cabinets, benches, shelving, files, blackboards, partitions, wooden and parts thereof, necessary for their assembly and installation; and, machined or cut plywood and hardboard, between points in Pierce County, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, N.Y., under contracts with Harmon Cabinets, Inc., and Pasquer Products, Inc. Notice: Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 117201, therefore, dual operations may be involved. The purpose of this republication is to broaden the commodity scope. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 126477 (Sub-No. 2), filed August 23, 1968. Applicant: JET AIR FREIGHT & PARCEL DELIVERY, INC., Rural Route 4, Baer Field Terminal, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46803. Applicant's representative: Richard D. Logan, 1435 Lincoln Bank Tower, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating (over lading), (1) between points in Allen, Blackford, Fulton, Grant, Jay, Kosciusko, and Miami Counties, Ind., and points in Van Wert County, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Baer Field Municipal
Airport, Fort Wayne, Ind., and (2) between points in Adams, Allen, Blackford, De Kalb, Fulton, Grant, Huntington, Jay, Kosciusko, La Grange, Miami, Noble, Steuben, Wabash, Wayne, Whitley, and Wabash counties, Ind., and points in Van Wert County, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, O'Hare International Airport, Chicago, Ill., to points in Adams, Allen, Hardin, Lincoln, Logan, and Union counties, Ill., and to points in Washington, D.C., New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Wayne or Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126669 (Sub-No. 2), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: JOHN BARBER, doing business as BELLINGHAM TRANSFER, 1659 State Street, Bellingham, Wash. 98225. Applicant's representative: George Davenport (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used household goods, as defined by the Commission, in containers, between points in Whatcom, Skagit, Island, San Juan, Snohomish, and King Counties, Wash., restricted to shipments having prior or subsequent movement by air, sea, or rail; and further restricted to pickup and delivery service incidental to and in connection with packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such shipments. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 127065 (Sub-No. 16), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: RALPH H. BOELE, doing business as R. H. BOELE TRUCK LINES, 1201 14th Avenue, Mendota, Ill. 61342. Applicant's representative: Ralph H. Boeke (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Plumbers' goods, bathroom or lavatory fixtures, and accessories, from Abingdon, Ill., to points in Alabama, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Missouri; (2) cabinets, bathroom or lavatory fixtures, and accessories, radio, phonograph or talking machine without mechanism in packages, from Tell City, Ind., to Decatur, Ill. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Springfield or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128078 (Sub-No. 2), filed August 26, 1968. Applicant: MICHAEL VALIHORA, 3050 West Fort Street, Detroit, Mich. 48216. Applicant's representative: James P. Tryand, 518 Ann Arbor Trust Building, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48108. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, between ports of entry on the International boundary line, between the United States and Canada located at or near Detroit and Port Huron, Mich., and Buffalo and Niagara Falls, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant holds contract carrier authority under docket No. MC 125378 (Sub-No. 2), therefore, dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mich., or Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 27), filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: MIDWEST EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 198, Fort Scott, Kan. 66701. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 948 Warner Building, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Clay and clay products, from Paris, Tenn., and Olmsted, Ill., to points in Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, South Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Georgia, Pennsylvania, New York, and Michigan. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fort Wayne or Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 128892 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: C. V. MARBLE and E. F. E. FRY, a partnership, doing business as FRY TRUCKING, Milton Junction, Iowa 52778. Applicant's representative: Kenneth P. Dudley, 501 South Main Street, Box 379, Ottumwa, Iowa 52501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Animal or poultry feed concentrates, animal and poultry feed ingredients, mineral feed supplements, mixes of trace minerals, livestock insec-ticides, livestock medicines, and disinfectants; (2) binders and baler twine, packing, crating, and containerization of such shipments. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 129039 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: MARION E. HORN AND RONALD E. HORNER, a partnership, doing business as HORN EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 3050, Ann Street, Portland, Oregon 97210. Applicant's representative: James Brown, First National Bank Building, Greenville, Tenn. 37745. Authority sought to operate as a common contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, between Green ville, Tenn., and Tri-Cities Airport, located near Johnson City, Tenn., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by air, under contract with Judd Lock and Manufacturing Co. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Greeneville, Knoxville, or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 129581 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 19, 1968. Applicant: PACK TRANS-PORT, INC., 334 East 13th Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho. Applicant's representative: Lon Rodney Kump, 720 Newhouse Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: Construction materials and supplies, between Spencer, Idaho (and points without 50 miles of Spencer), and Salt Lake City, Utah, from Spencer, over U.S. Highway 91 and Interstate Highway 15 to Idaho Falls and downey, Idaho, thence over U.S. Highway 91 to junction U.S. Highway 305, thence over U.S. Highway 305 to Brigham, Utah (also from Downey over U.S. Highway 91 to Brigham), and thence over U.S. Highway 91 and Interstate Highway 15 to Salt Lake City, and return over the same routes, serving all intermediate points and the off-route points.
NOTICES
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of Rigby and Rexburg, Idaho (and within 20 miles of Rexburg). Note: Applicant states that it presently holds certificate of public convenience and necessity in MC 126381 authorizing the transportation of building materials and other commodities serving the same points and over the same routes. Applicant requests this instant application to clarify the certificate. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 129760 (Sub-No. 1), filed Septem
ber 5, 1968. Applicant: CITY BEVERAGES, INC., 725 Saar Street, Kent, Wash. 98031. Applicant's representative: Joseph J. Giordano, 42 Third Building, 607 Third Avenue, Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificate No. 98031, filed September 5, 1968, from Seattle, Washington, Yakima, Toppenish, and Sunnyside, Wash., to points in California. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 130082 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 23, 1968. Applicant: BURTON R. PE
TERTON, North Branch, Minn. 55056. Applicant's representative: A. E. Fowler, 2388 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55114. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Talc (soapstone), in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Chisago and Goodhue Counties, N.C., to the plantsite of Resource Processors, Inc., at or near Alpine, Ala. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 130312, filed August 23, 1968. Applicant: DON R. FRUCHBY, INC., 5608 Maumee Road, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46803. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Heavy and disabled tractors, automobiles or trucks, over irregular routes, transporting: Precast and prestressed concrete and materials and supplies used in the installation thereof, when moving at the time of transport. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 131315, filed August 30, 1968. Applicant: LUDOLPHI EDWARDS, 1200 Atlantic National Bank Building, Jacksonville, Fla. 32206. Applicant's representative: E. Charles Oberdorfer, 1001 Atlantic National Bank Building, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wrecked or disabled tractor-trailers and farm tractor-trailer trucks and trailers, from places in Florida to points in New York, Delaware, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, Tennessee, and the District of Columbia. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville or Tallahassee, Fla.

No. MC 131236, filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: ENGELMANN TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 180 Hoover Place, Centerport, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006 and Douglas Miller, Meadowbrook Bank Building, Malverne, N.Y. 11565. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plastic cups, bowls, and covers, and materials, supplies and equipment used in the manufacture and distribution of plastic cups, bowls and covers (except in bulk), between Huntington Station, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Maine, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under contract with Drew Chemical Corp., Boonton, N.J. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 131310, filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: H. J. GARRISON, 5432 South Park Avenue, Tacoma, Wash. 98409. Applicant's representative: John A. Rorem, 2624 South 38th Street, Tacoma, Wash. 98408. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Co-products consisting of shakes, shingles, ridge, and shing stock, from points in Clallam, Jefferson, and Grays Harbor Counties, Wash., to points in California. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 131312, filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: ROBERT KANE, doing business as MARKAN DELIVERY SERVICE, 118 West 34th Street, Wilmington, Del. 19802. Applicant's representative: Robert Kane (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Textiles, furnishing, and rugs, furnishings, and appliances and new carpets, from points in Delaware, to points in Cecil, Harford, Kent, Queen Anne, Talbot, Caroline, Dorchester, Wicomico, Somerset, and Worcester Counties, Md.; Delaware and Chester Counties, Pa.; Gloucester, Salem, and Cumberland Counties, N.J., and (2) exchange or return shipments with goods originated or delivered at above-destination points, to Delaware, under contract with Colonial Television & Appliance Co., Inc. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Wilmington, Del.

No. MC 130972 (Sub-No. 2), filed July 9, 1968. Applicant: MIDWEST INSTALLATION CO., INC., 1153 Fairgrove Industrial Boulevard, Maryland Heights, Mo. 63042. Applicant's representative: Sam L. Brooks (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Household appliances and installation thereof, from points in St. Louis and St. Louis County, Mo., to points in Illinois. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis or Jefferson City, Mo., or Springfield, Ill.

No. MC 129977 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 8, 1968. Applicant: HERMAN MANESS, doing business as HERMAN MANESS AUTO TRANSPORTERS, 1504 Highway 45 South, Jackson, Tenn. 38301. Applicant's representative: Dale Woodall, 900 Memphis Bank Building, Memphis, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: New automobiles (including Jeeps), and pickup trucks, from points in Arkansas, Alabama, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee. Note: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 130997 (Sub-No. 2), filed August 29, 1968. Applicant: BILLY GOINS and ROBERT A. GOINS, a partnership, doing business as GOINS TRUCKING, Post Office Drawer I, Childsbergh, Ala. 35044. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate, 2025 City Federal Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Talc (soapstone), in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Anoka, Benton, Chisago, Isanti, Kanabec, Mille Lacs, Pine, St. Louis, and Washington Counties, Minn. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.
NOTICES

Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia, and Virginia, under contract with Mars Sales Co., Inc. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.


MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 13534 (Sub-No. 26), filed August 20, 1968. Applicant: GLOBAL INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, Inc., 411 W. 44th St., New York, N.Y. Application: UNDER CONTRACT with The Dayton Co., 3650 E. 133rd St., South Gate, Calif., 90280. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage when moving in the same vehicle, with passengers, during the racing season at the Saratoga Race Track, between New Haven, Torrington, and Winsted, Conn., on the one hand, and, on the other, Saratoga Race Track, Saratoga, N.Y. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn., or New Haven, Conn.

No. MC 119919 (Sub-No. 9), filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: BLAINE ALFERT WILLEY, WILLEY'S CHAR- TER SERVICE, Box 29, Frostburg, Md. Application: UNDER CONTRACT with the Commission in 17 M.C.C. 497, used automobiles and unaccompanied baggage between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii. Notice: The purpose of this re-publication is to clarify the commodity description.

No. FF-350 (Clarification), GLOBAL INTERNATIONAL FORWARDING INC., 2351 W. 44th St., North Hollywood, Calif. Application: UNDER CONTRACT with the Commission in 17 M.C.C. 497, used automobiles and unaccompanied baggage between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii. Notice: The purpose of this re-publication is to clarify the commodity description.

WATER CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. W-78 (Sub-No. 9), THE VALLEY LINE COMPANY, ESTATE OF DANIEL I. PORTANOVA, doing business as PORTANOVA TRUCKING COMPANY, 32 Westwood Road, Trumbull, Conn. Applicant's representative: Thomas W. Murrett, 410 Asylum Street, Hartford, Conn. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and building materials, from points on railroad siding of the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Co. in Connecticut, to points in Connecticut, limited to shipments having as an incident thereto transport by rail. Notice: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Hartford or Waterbury, Conn.

NOTICES

14211

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

SEPTEMBER 16, 1968.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 121(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 279), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(j) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-35423. By order of August 30, 1968, the Transfer Board approved the lease to George Miller and Richard G. Payne, doing business as P & M Trucking Co., Galena Park, Tex., of Certificate of Registration No. MC-120571 (Sub-No. 1), issued August 22, 1968, to French Bros. Ltd., of Houston, Tex., evidencing a right to engage in interstate or foreign commerce, in the transportation of lumber products and ariels, and pipe, trenching machines, between points in Texas. Austin L. Hatches, 1102 Perry Brook's Building, Austin, Tex. 78701; attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-70706. By order of August 30, 1968, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Yellow Cab Co. of Philadelphia, Inc., Philadelphia, Pa., of the operating rights in certificates Nos. MC-93389 and MC-93396 (Sub-No. 4), issued July 9, 1954, and September 20, 1963, to Yellow Limousine Service, Inc., Philadelphia, Pa., authorizing the transportation of passengers and their baggage, over irregular routes, in charter operations, limited to the transportation of not more than six passengers, not including the driver, not children under the age of 10 years, in any one vehicle, between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in New Jersey, Delaware, and Maryland. Passengers and their baggage, over regular routes, limited to the transportation of not more than 11 passengers in any one vehicle, not including the driver, children under the age of 10 years, and not including children under 10 years of age who do not occupy a separate seat or seats, and, between the Philadelphia International Airport at Philadelphia, Pa., and Atlantic City, N.J., serving no intermediate points. S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005; attorney for transferor.

No. 183——8
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below Bogalusa, La., and the Victoria Channel, to and including Victoria, Tex.), the Gulf of Mexico east of Carrabelle, Fla., between Brownsville, Tex., and Tampa, Fla. (including Port Tampa and East Tampa, Fla.), and (b) between ports and points specified in (a) above, on the one hand, and on the other, ports and points on other waterways which applicant is presently authorized to serve as set forth in Sixth Amended Certificate and Order No. W-78, dated the 1st day of August 1968.

No. W-435 (Sub-No. 22), SAUSE BROS. OCEAN TOWING CO., Extension—San Francisco Bay, filed August 22, 1968. Applicant: SAUSE BROS. OCEAN TOWING CO., INC., 809 Terminal Sales Building, Portland, Ore. 97205. Applicant's representative: Mr. Robert G. Simpson, Pacific Building, Portland, Oreg. 97204. Application filed August 22, 1968, for a revised permit authorizing extension of its operations to include operation as a contract carrier, by water, in interstate or foreign commerce, in the transportation of (1) lumber and lumber products between all the ports in Oregon and Washington on the one hand, and, all ports on San Francisco Bay and tributary waterways, on the other; and (2) logs, between ports and points along San Francisco Bay and tributary waterways from Port Angeles, Wash., to San Francisco Bay, inclusive, except ports and points along the Columbia River above Astoria, Ore.

APPLICATION FOR BROKERAGE LICENSE

No. MC 130068, filed August 27, 1968. Applicant: CARL LEAVITT PALMER, doing business as PALMER SNOW BALL TOURS, 553 First Street, Manhattan Beach, Calif. For a license (BMC 5) to engage in operations as a broker at Manhattan Beach, Calif., in arranging for the transportation, in interstate or foreign commerce, of passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in charter and special operations, between California, Utah, Arizona, and Nevada.

No. MC 130069, filed August 28, 1968. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 4646, Savannah, Ga. 31402. Applicant's representative: Martin Sterenburg, 1819 H Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20006. For a license (BMC 4) to engage in operations as a broker at Savannah, Ga., in arranging for the transportation, in interstate or foreign commerce, of general commodities without exception, between ports and points not on the United States and Mexico, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States.

APPLICATION IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED

No. MC 42487 (Sub-No. 698), filed August 21, 1968. Applicant: DATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION OF DELAWARE, 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, Calif. 94025. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Lansfield (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between Kingman, Ariz., and junction U.S. Highways 89 and 95 (about 7 miles north of Wickenburg, Ariz.); from Kingman over U.S. Highway 95 to junction U.S. Highway 89, and return over the same route serving no intermediate points as an alternate route for operating convenience only, in connection with carrier's presently authorized regular route operations. Note: Applicant states that no duplicating authority is being sought.

No. MC 116858 (Sub-No. 7) (Correction), filed August 16, 1968, published Federal Register issue September 6, 1968, corrected and republished as corrected, this issue. Applicant: J & M CARRIERS CORP., 43-06 45th Road, Maspeth, N.Y. 11378. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 140 Cedar Street, New York, N.Y. 10006. Note: The purpose of this republication is to include the name of shipper, Travelnol Laboratories, Inc., of Morton Grove, Ill., with whom the carrier is under contract. The rest of the application remains unchanged.

MOTOR CARRIER OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 116653 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 3, 1968. Applicant: GORDON EDWARDS, 1303 South Avenue, Niagara Falls, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Clarence E. Rhoney, 55 16th Avenue, North Tonawanda, N.Y. 14120. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing or pleasure tours, limited to the transportation of not more than eight passengers in any one vehicle, but not including the driver thereof and not including children under 10 years of age who do not occupy a seat or seats, beginning and ending at Niagara Falls, N.Y., and Lewiston, N.Y., within 6 miles thereof, and extending to ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada located at Niagara Falls and Lewiston, N.Y. Note: Applicant presently holds the above sought authority restricted to the transportation of seven passengers in any one vehicle. Applicant states the sole purpose of this application is to increase carrying capacity from seven to eight passengers.

By the Commission.


[FR Doc. 68-11354; Filed, Sept. 18, 1968; 8:45 a.m.]
**NOTICES**

No. MC-FC-70745. Involving dual authority. By the order of August 30, 1968, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Daley & Wanzer, Inc., Hull, Mass., of a portion of the operating rights in certificate No. MC-12615 issued December 5, 1966, to Olney Van & Storage, Inc., Kansas City, Mo., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, as defined by the Commission between points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North and South Carolina, and Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. Robert J. Gallagher, 111 State Street, Boston, Mass. 02109; attorney for transferor, Donald E. Leonard, 14th and J Streets, Lincoln, Neb. 65008; attorney for transferee.

*Skell*  
H. Neil Carson, Secretary.
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