The recent use of the DCMA by Mattel to suppress info about weak security in its cyber patrol software shows where it needs to be changed to give primary control of any reverse engineering and publication of such to the user, not the company distributing. Analysys and publication of such is a primary means of detecting, and influencing the publishers of products with security flaws to fix same. Consider the implications if Microsoft had used similar legal tactics under the current DMCA to harass discoverers and sue and remove information about windows internals and security problems from the web. The ability to examine and freely distribute info about weak security in any product must be protected for the benefit of society above the interests of those who seek to profit by keeping their product's problems from disclosure.