

**CLOSED  
CIVIL  
CASE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 05-61956-Civ- ALTONAGA/Turnoff



TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.

Plaintiff,

vs.

PAN OCEAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,  
SAMAR MUNIR, and SYED M. HUSSAIN

Defendants.

---

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Plaintiff TracFone Wireless, Inc. ("TracFone"), filed a Complaint on December 27, 2005 asserting that Defendants Pan Ocean Communications, Inc., Samar Munir, and Syed M. Hussain (collectively "the Pan Ocean Defendants") are purchasing TracFone prepaid wireless telephones from retail stores such as Wal-Mart, Target or Sam's Club, hacking into and erasing or disabling the TracFone proprietary prepaid software that enables consumers to access TracFone's prepaid wireless service, and then reselling the wireless telephones as new for use on other wireless carriers' networks/systems. Based on that conduct, the Complaint asserts claims against the Pan Ocean Defendants for federal trademark infringement and unfair competition under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, injury to business reputation and dilution of mark under Fla. Stat. § 495.151 *et seq.*, unfair competition and deceptive trade practices under Fla. Stat. § 501.204 *et seq.*, circumvention of technological measures that control access to proprietary software under 17 U.S.C. § 1201 *et seq.*, trafficking in services that circumvent technological measures protecting proprietary software under 17 U.S.C. § 1201 *et*

61  
b b

*seq.*, tortious interference with business relationships and prospective advantage, and tortious interference with the business relationship between TracFone and Nokia Corporation (“Nokia”). On January 13, 2006, TracFone filed a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (Docket No. 10) and a Motion for Expedited Discovery (Docket No. 12) with supporting declarations and exhibits and accompanying memoranda of law. The Pan Ocean Defendants have denied TracFone’s allegations. This Court having considered the Complaint, declarations and exhibits, motions, memoranda of law, and further evidence submitted therewith, and the parties’ stipulation regarding entry of this order, it is hereby:

**ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over all the parties and all of the claims for federal trademark infringement and unfair competition under the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*, injury to business reputation and dilution of mark under Fla. Stat. § 495.151 *et seq.*, unfair competition and deceptive trade practices under Fla. Stat. § 501.204 *et seq.*, circumvention of technological measures that control access to proprietary software under 17 U.S.C. § 1201 *et seq.*, trafficking in services that circumvent technological measures protecting proprietary software under 17 U.S.C. § 1201 *et seq.*, tortious interference with business relationships and prospective advantage, and tortious interference with business relationship between TracFone and Nokia, asserted in the above action.

2. Plaintiff owns all right, title, and interest in and to the trademarks TRACFONE and NET10 (the “TRACFONE Trademarks”).

3. The Pan Ocean Defendants understand and acknowledge that TracFone is the owner of the TRACFONE Trademarks, and recognize and acknowledge the validity of the TRACFONE Trademarks and that such TRACFONE Trademarks are distinctive, protectable,

famous, has acquired secondary meaning and is associated exclusively with TracFone. The Pan Ocean Defendants shall not challenge or in any way contest the validity or protectability of the TRACFONE Trademarks.

4. The Pan Ocean Defendants and any of their representatives, subsidiaries, related or affiliated entities, agents, officers, directors, servants, and employees, and any and all persons and entities in active concert and participation with them who receive notice of this order by personal service or otherwise, shall be and hereby are permanently enjoined from:

- i. purchasing and/or selling any wireless mobile phone that they know or should know bears the TRACFONE trademark, bears the NET10 trademark, bears any other trademark owned or used by TracFone, or any other model of wireless mobile phone sold or marketed by TracFone (“TracFone phones”);
- ii. engaging in the alteration or unlocking of any TracFone phones;
- iii. facilitating or in any way assisting other persons or entities that the Pan Ocean Defendants know or should know are engaged in altering or unlocking any TracFone phone;
- iv. knowingly using the TRACFONE trademark, the NET10 trademark, or any other mark owned or used by TracFone, or that is likely to cause confusion therewith, without authorization;
- v. knowingly misrepresenting any used products as new or in any way infringing on TracFone’s trademarks or knowingly misrepresenting that TracFone warrants the used and/or re-conditioned phones.


vi. This Court hereby retains jurisdiction over this matter and the parties to this action in order to punish any violation of the terms of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, *inter alia*, by a finding of contempt of court.

5. This Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction on Consent shall be binding on the Pan Ocean Defendants, their successors and assigns.

6. Each party waives its right to appeal from the entry of this Final Judgment.

7. The prevailing party in any proceeding to enforce compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall be entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees and costs incurred thereby.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in chambers at Miami, Florida, this 7 day of August, 2006.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HON. CECILIA M. ALTONAGA**  
**United States District Judge**

Copies to: James B. Baldinger, Counsel for Plaintiff  
A. George Gutierrez, Counsel for Defendants