

1: Includes the reply commenter's name
Ben Frede

2: Includes an identification of a particular class of works to which the reply comment is responsive
class 5, smartphones and tablets

3: Numbers each particular class of works being addressed in the reply comment
Class number in square bracket []

4: Provides a summary of the argument for each class addressed

I am for extending the exemption making alternate smartphone OS NOT illegal, and I feel this should be extended to tablets too

5: Provides particular factual support or legal argument for each class of works opposed or supported in the reply comment.

[5] If I purchase a computer, whether it is a desktop, laptop, tablet, or pocket sized computer (phone) it only makes sense that I should be able to install and run any legal operating system available. I bought a laptop that came with windows installed and I reformatted and installed linux because it fit my needs better and the feature set and capabilities were better. It only makes sense that I should be able to do the same thing with another computing device that I purchased, my iPhone. Imagine how much money and how much of a monopoly Microsoft would have if it were illegal to install any operating system other than Windows on the PCs that were sold. I don't even understand why this is a debate at all. The choices are as clear as a sheet of glass. If the phone service providers want people to use their software they should play by the same rules as everyone else in this capitalistic society and make superior software to their competitors.