

## Exemption to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies

Mr. Charles Parent

Currently studying *Administration of computers networks* in Cegep of Saint-Hyacinthe, Quebec, Canada.

First, I would reconsider to take off the effect of the exemption because it would mainly affect application developers<sup>1</sup>. and the users<sup>2</sup>. of the device itself. Not only it is a growing community, but people depend of it because this is the way they do money or because they use the applications available for their jobs.

Second, you should think a little bit and tell yourself why should it be illegal? I mean, yes, for the independent developers, you do not have to pay the tax the proprietary company<sup>3</sup>. Imposes to the business, but I think everyone should do what they want with the products company offers. We are free to do what we want with the product we buy and it does create jobs and makes money runs. It isn't like if the proprietary company of the device has some difficulty to expand and live effectively because of that activity.

Thanks to take the time to read and judge this. Copyright is important for the society and the business but it should not be abuse or be more on the side of bigger companies. It's important to respect laws, but to use our brain too to judge the situation.

1. Independent developers who create and publish software or tweaks on the device to enable people to have a larger experience with it.
2. Users who use the software or tweak of the device for their jobs or the own uses the independent developers offer to them.
3. The proprietary company of the device like Apple™ or Android™ who get penalize by the independent developers who develop on their device. Is it a big penalty? Is it really that bad for the company? Or it's more bad for the society to support them?