Petition to Renew a Current Exemption
Under 17 U.S.C. § 1201

Please submit a separate petition for each current exemption for which renewal is sought.

**NOTE:** Use this form if you want to renew a current exemption without modification. If you are seeking to engage in activities not currently permitted by an existing exemption, including those that would require the expansion of a current exemption, you must submit a petition for a new exemption using the form available at https://www.copyright.gov/1201/2018/new-petition.pdf.

If you are seeking to expand a current exemption, we recommend that you submit both a petition to renew the current exemption without modification using this form, and, separately, a petition for a new exemption that identifies the current exemption, and addresses only those issues relevant to the proposed expansion of that exemption.

**Item A. Petitioners and Contact Information**

Please identify the petitioners and provide a means to contact the petitioners and/or their representatives, if any. The “petitioner” is the individual or entity seeking renewal.

Electronic Frontier Foundation  
815 Eddy Street  
San Francisco, CA 94109  
(415) 436-9333  
Mitchell L. Stoltz  
mitch@eff.org
**Item B. Identify Which Current Exemption Petitioners Seek to Renew**

Check the appropriate box below that corresponds with the current temporary exemption (see 37 C.F.R. § 201.40) the petitioners seek to renew. Please check only one box. If renewal of more than one exemption is sought, a separate petition must be submitted for each one.

**Literary Works:**
- Literary works distributed electronically (i.e., e-books), for use with assistive technologies for persons who are blind, visually impaired, or have print disabilities
- Literary works consisting of compilations of data generated by implanted medical devices and corresponding personal monitoring systems, to access personal data

**Computer Programs and Video Games:**
- Computer programs that operate cellphones, tablets, mobile hotspots, or wearable devices (e.g., smartwatches), to allow connection of a used device to an alternative wireless network (“unlocking”)
- Computer programs that operate smartphones, smart TVs, tablets, or other all-purpose mobile computing devices, to allow the device to interoperate with or to remove software applications (“jailbreaking”)
- Computer programs that control motorized land vehicles, including farm equipment, for purposes of diagnosis, repair, and modification of the vehicle
- Computer programs that operate devices and machines primarily designed for use by individual consumers (including voting machines), motorized land vehicles, or medical devices designed for implantation in patients and corresponding personal monitoring systems, for purposes of good-faith security research
- Computer programs that operate 3D printers, to allow use of alternative feedstock
- Video games for which outside server support has been discontinued, to allow individual play by gamers and preservation of games by libraries, archives, and museums (as well as necessary jailbreaking of console computer code for preservation uses only)

**Motion Pictures (including television programs and videos):**
- For educational uses by college and university instructors and students
- For educational uses by K-12 instructors and students
- For educational uses in massive open online courses (“MOOCs”)
- For educational uses in digital and literacy programs offered by libraries, museums, and other nonprofits
- For multimedia e-books offering film analysis
- For uses in documentary films
- For uses in noncommercial videos
**ITEM C. EXPLANATION OF NEED FOR RENEWAL**

Provide a brief explanation summarizing the continuing need and justification for renewing the exemption. The Office anticipates that petitioners may provide a paragraph or two detailing this information, but there is no page limit. While it is permissible to attach supporting documentary evidence as exhibits to this petition, it is not necessary. Below is a hypothetical example of the kind of explanation that the Office would regard as sufficient to support renewal of the unlocking exemption. The Office notes, however, that explanations can take many forms and may differ significantly based on the individual making the declaration and the exemption as issue.

I am a senior staff attorney at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a nonprofit organization that defends civil liberties in the digital world. Founded in 1990, EFF champions user privacy, free expression, and innovation. EFF has taken an active role in policy debates and litigation concerning Section 1201 of the DMCA since the law’s passage, and has participated in six of the seven triennial exemption rulemaking cycles.

In the 2015 rulemaking, I worked on a petition to permit circumvention of access controls on videogames and game consoles for the purpose of restoring functionality after the publisher ceases support. The Register granted a narrowed version of our petition. Through my work, I have personal knowledge that the need for this exemption persists. For example, the Museum of Art and Digital Entertainment (The MADE) in Oakland, California preserves, curates, and exhibits thousands of video games in playable form. Some of these games require communication with a server to enable local gameplay. For older games that are no longer supported by their publishers, the servers have long ceased to function. Thus, organizations like The MADE must modify these games to enable play without communicating with the original server.

Game publishers continue to threaten unofficial game restoration projects with legal action. If the exemption is not renewed, museums and archives will face legal uncertainty and will be discouraged from restoring and maintaining games that require circumvention to enable local gameplay. Crucial pieces of video game history may be lost. I am aware of no relevant changes to controlling law, or to the legal challenges facing video game museums and archives, since the 2015 rulemaking. Given the continued importance of game preservation and education, and the ongoing work by organizations such as The MADE, the exemption should be renewed.
**ITEM D. DECLARATION AND SIGNATURE**

The declaration is a sworn statement made under penalty of perjury, and must be signed by one of the petitioners named above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct:

1. Based on my own personal knowledge and experience, I have a good faith belief that but for the above-selected exemption’s continuation during the next triennial period (October 2018 – October 2021), technological measures controlling access to relevant copyrighted works are likely to diminish the ability of relevant users to make noninfringing uses of these works, and such users are likely to rely upon the above-selected exemption during the next triennial period.

2. To the best of my knowledge, there has not been any material change in the facts, law, or other circumstances set forth in the prior rulemaking record (available at https://www.copyright.gov/1201/2015) that originally demonstrated the need for the above-selected exemption, such that renewal of the exemption would not be justified.

3. To the best of my knowledge, the explanation provided in Item C above is true and correct, and supports the above statements.

**Name/Organization:**

*If the petitioner is an entity, this declaration must be signed by an individual at the organization having appropriate personal knowledge.*

Electronic Frontier Foundation

**Signature:**

*This declaration may be signed electronically (e.g., “/s/ John Smith”).*

/s/ Mitchell L. Stoltz

**Date:**

July 31, 2017