



# Codification Guide

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

A Cumulative Codification Guide covering the current month appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

## 7 CFR

4..... 1985

## 14 CFR

40..... 1987  
 41..... 1987  
 42..... 1987  
 60..... 1987  
 507..... 1987  
 600 (11 documents)..... 1988-1991  
 601 (14 documents)..... 1988-1992  
 602..... 1992

## PROPOSED RULES:

602..... 1993

## 47 CFR

1..... 1985

## PROPOSED RULES:

3..... 1993

## 49 CFR

139..... 1986

---

### Announcement

## CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(As of January 1, 1960)

The following Supplements are now available:

Title 7, Parts 1-50..... \$0.45

Title 26, Parts 170-221..... 2.25

Previously announced: Title 3 (\$0.60); Titles 4-5 (\$1.00); Title 7, Parts 51-52 (\$0.45); Parts 53-209 (\$0.40); Title 8 (\$0.40); Title 32, Parts 700-799 (\$1.00); Title 36, Revised (\$3.00); Title 46, Parts 146-149, Revised (\$6.00)

Order from the Superintendent of Documents,  
 Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

---



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

# Rules and Regulations

## Title 7—AGRICULTURE

### Subtitle A—Office of the Secretary of Agriculture

#### PART 4—CLAIMS AGAINST INDEMNITY FUND UNDER PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION COUNTY COMMITTEES

##### Sec.

- 4.1 Identification of fund.
- 4.2 Claims by producers for losses sustained from erroneous destruction of crops.
- 4.3 Filing of claims.
- 4.4 Recoveries.

**AUTHORITY:** §§ 4.1 to 4.4 issued under sec. 375, 52 Stat. 66 as amended, 7 U.S.C. 1375; sec. 403, 61 Stat. 932, 7 U.S.C. 1153; sec. 124, 70 Stat. 198, 7 U.S.C. 1812; Comp. Gen. Decision A-44002, Nov. 1, 1938.

##### § 4.1 Identification of fund.

A general Indemnity Fund is maintained in the Treasury of the United States for indemnifying certain losses arising through discharge of Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation (hereinafter referred to as ASC) county committee responsibilities.

##### § 4.2 Claims by producers for losses sustained from erroneous destruction of crops.

Losses sustained by producers from the destruction of crops under acreage allotment, marketing quota, sugar proportionate share or soil bank programs as a result of reliance on erroneous written information furnished by ASC committeemen or employees of ASC committees may be indemnified therefor under the following conditions:

(a) The destruction was caused by reliance in good faith by the farm operator on a notice of measured acreage which was in fact erroneous;

(b) Neither the farm operator nor any producer on the farm had actual knowledge of the error in time to arrange for remeasurement in accordance with applicable regulations;

(c) The incorrect notice was the result of an error by an ASC committeeman or an employee of an ASC committee in reporting, computing, or recording an acreage for the farm;

(d) The extent of the error was such that the farm operator could not reasonably be expected to question the acreage of which he was erroneously notified;

(e) There was no fraud, deceit, error, or failure to cooperate on the part of any producer on the farm which contributed to the erroneous determination of acreage;

(f) The claim is made to the ASC county committee within 90 days of the date of destruction; provided, however, the ASC county committee may request the ASC State committee to obtain an extension from the Deputy Administra-

tor, Production Adjustment, Commodity Stabilization Service, Washington, D.C., of the period during which the claim may be made if:

(1) There is evidence that the claim would have been eligible for filing if it had been made within the 90-day period; and

(2) The claimant did not become aware of the erroneous destruction in time to file within the 90-day period;

(g) The amount of indemnification to be paid the producer shall be recommended by the county committee to reflect the loss of net income from the crop erroneously destroyed. In determining such amount, the ASC county committee shall take into consideration:

(1) The actual yield per acre on the acreage actually harvested and the proceeds from the harvested acreage;

(2) The estimated costs which would have been incurred by the producer in producing, harvesting and marketing the crop on the acreage destroyed had the crop on such acreage been harvested and marketed;

(3) The net return from any replacement crop grown and marketed; and

(4) If sugar crops are destroyed, the approximate amount of Sugar Act payments which would have been made if such acreage had been carried to harvest.

##### § 4.3 Filing of claims.

(a) *Who must file.* Claim must be made to the ASC county committee by the interested party or his duly authorized agent. If the original claimant(s) has died, the claim must be made by the successor(s) in interest to the deceased claimant(s).

(b) *How to file.* The party making the claim must file a statement of facts describing: (1) The circumstances under which the loss occurred; (2) the extent of the loss suffered; and (3) the method used in determining the extent of the loss. The claim shall be filed with the ASC county committee in the county in which such loss occurred. Since payment from the Indemnity Fund is proper only where there has been negligence or error, either willful or not, on the part of ASC committeemen or employees of ASC committees, it is necessary that this fact be clearly indicated either in the claim itself or in an accompanying ASC committee explanation.

(c) *Processing claims.* Claims will be transmitted by the ASC county committee, through administrative channels, to the Claims Division, General Accounting Office, Washington 25, D.C., for final determination and settlement. The ASC county committee shall attach a recommendation that the claim be honored or denied. In addition, where appropriate a supplemental statement concerning the claim shall be included by the ASC committee.

##### § 4.4 Recoveries.

The existence of the Indemnity Fund does not impair the right or lessen the

obligation of the Government or private persons to utilize all provisions of law to recover from the responsible person(s) the amount of any loss which was caused by his gross negligence or fraudulent action.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 2d day of March 1960.

WALTER C. BERGER,  
*Administrator,*  
*Commodity Stabilization Service.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2120; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

## Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

### Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[FCC 60-198]

#### PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

##### CONELRAD Authorizations

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 2d day of March 1960;

The Commission, having under consideration amendment of its Rules of Practice and Procedure to provide for CONELRAD authorizations which are issued from time to time under delegated authority contained in the Statement of Delegations; and

It appearing that CONELRAD authorizations to other than standard broadcast stations may be issued as provided by Commission rules; and

It further appearing that Executive Order 10312 provides that non-government radio stations may be silenced or required to be operated in a manner consistent with the needs of national security and defense; and

It further appearing that in connection with the CONELRAD program, it is necessary for the Commission to issue CONELRAD authorizations pursuant to § 2.407 of the Commission's rules and section 4 of Executive Order 10312; and

It further appearing that authority to issue certain CONELRAD authorizations is delegated to the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, upon concurrence of the Chief Engineer under the provisions of Order FCC 51-776; and

It further appearing that provision for issuing CONELRAD authorizations, and a statement of the conditions attached thereto, should be set forth in the Commission's procedural rules; and

It further appearing that the amendment adopted herein pertains to matters of procedure and hence that compliance with the public notice and procedural requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary; and

It further appearing that the amendments adopted herein are issued pur-

**Title 49—TRANSPORTATION**

**Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission**

**SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS**

[No. 10122]

**PART 139—STANDARD TIME ZONE BOUNDARIES**

**Standard Time Zone Investigation**

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 29th day of February A.D. 1960.

By order issued with the original report in this proceeding, dated October 24, 1918 (51 I.C.C. 273; 49 CFR Part 139), the Commission defined the limits of the time zones throughout the United States created by the act of Congress entitled "An Act to save daylight and to provide standard time for the United States" (The Standard Time Act), approved March 19, 1918 (40 Stat. 450; 15 U.S.C. 261-265); and modified said limits by order issued with the thirteenth supplemental report, dated January 11, 1927 (122 I.C.C. 122); and restated and re-defined said limits by order issued with the sixteenth supplemental report, dated May 19, 1928 (142 I.C.C. 279); and further modified said limits by orders issued with the twenty-first, twenty-seventh, and thirty-first supplemental reports, dated, respectively, August 14, 1936 (218 I.C.C. 221); August 25, 1947 (269 I.C.C. 57); and August 17, 1949 (276 I.C.C. 128).

Upon petitions filed by the City of Lexington and 20 other cities in eastern and central Kentucky and by other interests in the area, for modification of the outstanding orders so as to extend the United States Standard Eastern Zone to embrace an additional portion of Kentucky, this proceeding was reopened for further hearing.

Notice of proposed modification of the outstanding orders in this proceeding was given in 23 F.R. 4648, pursuant to section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S.C. 1003). Further hearing has been held and a full investigation of the matters involved has been made, and the said Division, on the date hereof, has made and filed its report, the thirty-fourth supplemental report in this proceeding, containing its

findings of fact and conclusions thereon, which said thirty-fourth supplemental report is hereby referred to and made a part hereof:

*It is ordered*, That the said order of October 24, 1918, as subsequently modified, and the corresponding sections of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR Part 139), are hereby amended as follows:

Section 139.3 *Boundary line between eastern and central zones* is amended as follows:

1. Paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) are amended to read:

(b) *Indiana-Ohio*. From the northeast corner of the State of Indiana southerly along the eastern boundary of Indiana and the western boundary of the State of Ohio to the Ohio River.

(c) *Kentucky*. From the southwest corner of the State of Ohio westerly and southerly along the thread of the Ohio River to the mouth of the Kentucky River; thence southerly along the thread of the Kentucky River to the north line of Franklin County; thence westerly along the north line of Franklin County to the northwest corner of that county; and thence in a generally southerly direction along the west or south lines of Franklin, Anderson, Mercer, Boyle, Lincoln, Pulaski, and McCreary Counties to the boundary between the States of Kentucky and Tennessee.

(d) *Tennessee*. From the southwest corner of McCreary County, Ky., westerly along the northern boundary of the State of Tennessee to the west line of Scott County, Tenn.; thence in a generally southerly direction along the west line of Scott County, the north and west lines of Morgan County, and the north line of Roane County to the north line of Rhea County; thence northwesterly along the north line of Rhea County; and thence southwesterly along the west lines of Rhea and Hamilton Counties to the boundary between the States of Tennessee and Georgia.

2. Paragraph (g) *Operating exceptions* is amended so as to cancel all existing operating exceptions of the railroads named in any of the tables below, except as specifically continued, and to authorize new exceptions, as follows:

Subparagraph (1) *Lines east of boundary excepted from eastern zone*:

Exceptions continued:

Railroad	From—	To—
Chesapeake & Ohio.....	Ohio-Indiana State line (west of Newkirk, Ohio).	Cheviot, Ohio.
Louisville & Nashville.....	Apalachicola River.....	River Junction, Fla.
Louisville & Nashville (formerly Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis).	West line of Hamilton County, Tenn.	Western limits of Chattanooga, Tenn.
Southern.....	Western limits of Chattanooga, Tenn.	Georgia-Alabama State line (southwest of Sulphur Springs, Ga.).
Do.....	Georgia-Alabama State line (west of Etna, Ga.).	Rome, Ga.
Do.....	Georgia-Alabama State line (west of Hooper, Ga.).	Western limits of Atlanta, Ga.

suant to authority contained in sections 1, 4(i), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 4 of Executive Order 10312;

*It is ordered*, That, effective March 21, 1960, Part 1 of the Commission's rules is amended by the addition of a new § 1.68 as set forth below.

Released: March 3, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

**§ 1.68 CONELRAD authorizations.**

(a) In connection with the program for the Control of Electromagnetic Radiation (CONELRAD), as provided for in Executive Order 10312, the Commission issues CONELRAD authorizations which require stations to operate in a manner consistent with the needs of national security and defense.

(b) Standard broadcast CONELRAD authorizations shall permit, in addition to operation during a CONELRAD Radio Alert or a CONELRAD Drill, operation during the experimental period of the stations concerned as provided by § 3.10 of this chapter, and shall permit operation only on a CONELRAD frequency, with an effective radiated power not in excess of 10 kilowatts: *Provided*, That no interference shall be caused to other stations maintaining a regular operating schedule within the experimental period unless the licensees of such other stations have previously consented thereto: *And provided further*, That none of the transmissions made shall be identified.

(c) FM broadcast station CONELRAD authorizations shall permit such stations to operate with their licensed power and on their licensed frequency in the State Emergency Defense Network (FM) of the state in which the FM station is located, to provide a CONELRAD alerting capability, and to aid in the restoration of normal communication facilities after an emergency.

(d) Remote Pickup broadcast station CONELRAD authorizations shall permit such stations to operate in a prescribed manner on their licensed frequency and with normal power in the State Remote Pickup Broadcast Intercommunication Network for intercommunication, cue and control, and program purposes during or after an emergency.

(e) Other CONELRAD authorizations which may be issued shall be on such terms as may be designated therein.

(f) All CONELRAD authorizations shall be for such periods of time as covered by the station license of the stations concerned, subject, however, to being changed or cancelled at an earlier date in the discretion of the Commission without the necessity of a hearing.

(g) Unless cancelled, CONELRAD renewal authorizations will be issued together with the station's renewal license.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

[F.R. Doc. 60-2128; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

New exceptions added:

Railroad	From—	To—
Chesapeake & Ohio and Louisville & Nashville. Louisville & Nashville.....	West line of Franklin County, Ky. (east of Hatton [Gath], Ky.). Kentucky River (west of Worthville, Ky.).	North yard limits of Lexington, Ky. Latonia, Ky.
Do.....	Western line of Boyle County, Ky. (east of Gravel Switch, Ky.). West line of Hamilton County, Tenn. (west of Hooker, Ga.).	Lancaster, Ky., and north yard limits of Sinks, Ky. Western limits of Chattanooga, Tenn.
Louisville & Nashville (formerly Nashville, Chattanooga & St. Louis). Southern.....	West line of Anderson County, Ky. (west of Avenstoke, Ky.).	North yard limits of Lexington, Ky., and S. J. Tower (north of Danville, Ky.). Emory Gap, Tenn.
Tennessee Central.....	North line of Roane County, Tenn. (west of Rockwood, Tenn.).	

Subparagraph (2) Lines west of boundary included in eastern zone:  
Exceptions continued:

Railroad	From—	To—
Central of Georgia.....	Georgia-Alabama State line (west of Hilton, Ga.).	Dothan, Ala.
Tennessee, Alabama & Georgia.....	Georgia-Alabama State line (southwest of Menlo, Ga.).	Gadsden, Ala.

New exceptions added: None.

It is further ordered, That the changes and additions required hereby, except those authorized temporarily in the next succeeding paragraph, shall become effective at 2 o'clock ante meridian central standard time, April 3, 1960.

It is further ordered, That, effective at 2 o'clock ante meridian central standard time on the Sunday following the date hereof, until such time as the change in the time-zone boundary herein provided becomes effective, the line of respondent Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company, between Ashland and Lexington, Ky., shall, for operating purposes only, be excluded from the existing limits of the United States standard central time zone and included within the limits of the United States standard eastern time zone, subject to the usual condition that the respondent will in its published advertisements, train schedules, bulletin boards in stations, and in other like ways, show the arrival and departure of its trains on the line in question in terms of central standard time: *Provided*, That this paragraph shall cease to be in force if and when the change in the time-zone boundary provided by the other paragraphs of this order becomes effective.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order be given to the public by depositing a copy thereof in the office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

(40 Stat. 451-452, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 261-265)

By the Commission, division 3.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2118; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 29, 1960.

E. R. QUESADA,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2090; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

[Reg. Docket No. 300, Amdt. 60-17]

PART 60—AIR TRAFFIC RULES

Modification of Basic Visual Flight Rule Minimums Chart

This amendment changes Footnote 1 of the Basic VFR Minimums Chart, included in Part 60 of the Civil Air Regulations, in order to conform to the relevant provisions of § 60.31 of the regulations applicable to the visibility minimums for helicopter operations in a control zone.

The chart summarizes the visibility minimums in Part 60, which contains air traffic rules and certain weather minimums for flight. Section 60.31 provides an exception from the 3 mile minimum flight visibility requirements for helicopters operated within control zones, at or below 700 feet and at reduced speeds consistent with safety. When this provision was adopted on August 7, 1958, the chart was not changed to reflect this exception for helicopter flights within a control zone.

Since this amendment is clarifying in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Footnote 1 of the Basic VFR Minimums Chart in Part 60 of the Civil Air Regulations (14 CFR Part 60) is amended to read as follows:

<sup>1</sup> If traffic conditions permit, Air Traffic Control will issue an air traffic clearance for flight within a control zone when the weather conditions are less than above. However, no person shall operate an aircraft VFR, other than a helicopter, irrespective of any clearance, unless the visibility is 1 mile. All flights shall remain clear of clouds.

This amendment shall become effective upon its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Secs. 313(a), 307, 601, 72 Stat. 752, 749, 775; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348, 1421)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

E. R. QUESADA,  
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2091; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS

[Reg. Docket No. 299; Amdt. 111]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Boeing 707 Aircraft

Investigation of failures in the nose gear outer cylinder of Boeing 707 aircraft has established that cracks are

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

[Reg. Docket No. 65, Amdt. 1 to Reg. No. SR-436]

PART 40—SCHEDULED INTERSTATE AIR CARRIER CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES

PART 41—CERTIFICATION AND OPERATION RULES FOR SCHEDULED AIR CARRIER OPERATIONS OUTSIDE THE CONTINENTAL LIMITS OF THE UNITED STATES

PART 42—IRREGULAR AIR CARRIER AND OFF-ROUTE RULES

Special Civil Air Regulation; Airborne Weather Radar Equipment Requirements for Airplanes Carrying Passengers

The word "radar" was inadvertently omitted after the words "airborne weather" in the first sentence of section 2(a) of Special Civil Air Regulation No. SR-436 (24 F.R. 167), promulgated on January 7, 1960. The omission is hereby corrected.

This amendment imposes no additional burden on any person and compliance with the notice, procedure and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary and not required.

In consideration of the foregoing, the first sentence of § 2(a) of Special Civil Air Regulation No. SR-436 is hereby amended by inserting between the words "weather" and "is," the word "radar."

This amendment shall become effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 604, 605; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 778; 49 U.S.C. 1354, 1421, 1424, 1425)

likely to occur in the trunnion area and the area below the towing collar. Since safety is affected by this type of failure, it is necessary to require inspection and replacement of defective parts. In the interest of safety the Administrator finds that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing § 507.10(a), (14 CFR Part 507), is hereby amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**BOEING.** Applies to all Model 707 aircraft. Compliance required as indicated.

Due to failures found in the nose landing gear outer cylinder as a result of initial defects, the following inspections are required unless already accomplished:

(a) Conduct a daily visual inspection with a 10-power magnifying glass for cracks in the outer surface of the nose gear outer cylinder in the upper barrel area of the trunnion, and in the area below the towing collar. Outer cylinders with cracks appearing on the outer surface must be replaced prior to further flight. Further inspection is not required on replacement part. This inspection must be continued until item (b) is accomplished.

(b) Within 20 calendar days, inspect the areas described in (a) with probe type ultrasonic shear wave equipment or equivalent. Outer cylinders with cracks on the outer surface or with crack indications that exceed 1 inch in length must be replaced prior to further flight. Cylinders with crack indications 1 inch or less in length may be retained in service, provided:

(1) A daily visual inspection as in (a) is continued and the ultrasonic inspections or equivalent are repeated every 20 landings.

(2) A dye penetrant inspection or equivalent of the interior surface of the outer cylinder is accomplished within 550 hours time in service and every 550 hours time in service thereafter.

(c) If no cracks or crack indications are found by inspections (a) and (b), the nose landing gear may revert to normal inspection procedures and periods except for accomplishing (d).

(d) Conduct a dye penetrant inspection or equivalent for cracks on the interior surface of all nose gear outer cylinders within the next 3,000 hours time in service. Outer cylinders with cracks on the interior surface that exceed 1 inch in length or that extend to the outer surface must be replaced prior to further flight. Cylinders with cracks 1 inch or less in length on the interior surface may be retained in service provided the cracks do not extend to the outer surface and the cylinders are inspected in accordance with (b)(1) and (b)(2).

(Boeing Wire Service Bulletin No. 739 pertains to the above subject.)

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

JAMES T. PYLE,  
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2092; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:45 a.m.]

## SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-9]

[Amdt. 241]

### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

[Amdt. 284]

#### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

##### Revocation of a Segment of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Reporting Points and Modification of Control Area Extension

On December 10, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9994) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the segment of Amber Federal airway No. 7, and its associated control areas between Daytona Beach, Fla., and Florence, S.C., and also the Brunswick, Ga., radio marker beacon, the Savannah, Ga., radio range station, and the Charleston, S.C., radio range station as reporting points. In addition, the Jacksonville, Fla., control area extension would be redescribed.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons set forth in the notice, §§ 600.107 (24 F.R. 10495), 601.107 (24 F.R. 10544); 601.4107 (24 F.R. 10593); 601.1005 (24 F.R. 10547) are amended as follows:

1. Section 600.107 *Amber Federal airway No. 7 (Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*:

(a) In the caption delete "*(Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*," and substitute therefor "*(Miami, Fla., to Daytona Beach, Fla., and Florence, S.C., to United States-Canadian Border)*."

(b) In the text delete "Daytona Beach, Fla., radio range station; Jacksonville, Fla., radio range station; Savannah, Ga., RR; the INT of a line bearing 021° from the Savannah RR with a line bearing 260° from the Charleston RR; Charleston, S.C., RR; the INT of a line bearing 035° from the Charleston RR with a line bearing 178° from the Florence RR; Florence, S.C., RR; the intersection of the north course of the Florence, S.C., radio

range" and substitute therefor "to the Daytona Beach, Fla., RR. From the Florence, S.C., RR via the INT of the N course of the Florence, S.C., RR".

2. In the caption of § 601.107 *Amber Federal airway No. 7 control areas (Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*, delete "*(Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*," and substitute therefor "*(Miami, Fla., to Daytona Beach, Fla., and Florence, S.C., to United States-Canadian Border)*."

3. Section 601.4107 *Amber Federal airway No. 7 (Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*:

(a) In the caption delete "*(Miami, Fla., to United States-Canadian Border)*," and substitute therefor "*(Miami, Fla., to Daytona Beach, Fla., and Florence, S.C., to United States-Canadian Border)*."

(b) In the text delete "Brunswick, Ga., radio marker beacon; Savannah, Ga., radio range station; Charleston, S.C., radio range station;"

4. In the text of § 601.1005 *Control area extension (Jacksonville, Fla.)*, delete "Amber Federal airway No. 7" and substitute therefor "VOR Federal airway No. 3".

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2096; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-46]

[Amdt. 245]

### PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS

[Amdt. 287]

#### PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS

##### Revocation of Federal Airway and Associated Control Areas

On December 10, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9995) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke in its entirety Blue Federal airway No. 64 and its associated control areas which extend from Wink, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and

due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons set forth in the notice, Parts 600 (24 F.R. 10487) and 601 (24 F.R. 10530) are hereby amended and set forth below:

1. Section 600.664 *Blue Federal airway No. 64 (Wink, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

2. Section 601.664 *Blue Federal airway No. 64 control areas (Wink, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

3. Section 601.4664 *Blue Federal airway No. 64 (Wink, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2097; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-48]

[Amdt. 230]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS**

[Amdt. 273]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Designated Reporting Points**

On December 10, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9995) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke in its entirety Blue Federal airway No. 68 and its associated control areas from Midland, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex. Coincident with this action, Section 601.4668, relating to the associated reporting points for this airway will be revoked.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for reasons set forth in the notice, Part 600 (24 F.R. 10487) and Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) are amended as follows:

1. Section 600.668 *Blue Federal airway No. 68 (Midland, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

2. Section 601.668 *Blue Federal airway No. 68 control areas (Midland, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

3. Section 601.4668 *Blue Federal airway No. 68 (Midland, Tex., to Hobbs, N. Mex.)* is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2098; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-70]

[Amdt. 243]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS**

[Amdt. 286]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Designated Reporting Points**

On December 10, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9996) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency propose to revoke in its entirety Red Federal airway No. 74, and its associated control areas, from Biloxi, Miss., to Brookley AFB, Ala. Coincident with this action, § 601.4274, relating to the associated reporting points for this airway will be revoked.

No adverse comments were received regarding these amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for reasons set forth in the notice, Part 600 (24 F.R. 10487), Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) are amended as follows:

1. Section 600.274 *Red Federal airway No. 74 (Biloxi, Miss., to Brookley AFB, Ala.)* is revoked.

2. Section 601.274 *Red Federal airway No. 74 control areas (Biloxi, Miss., to Brookley AFB, Ala.)* is revoked.

3. Section 601.4274 *Red Federal airway No. 74 (Biloxi, Miss., to Brookley, AFB, Ala.)* is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F. R. Doc. 60-2099; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-FW-71]

[Amdt. 242]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS**

[Amdt. 285]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Revocation of Federal Airway, Associated Control Areas and Designated Reporting Point**

On December 12, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 10079) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke in its entirety Blue Federal airway No. 55, and its associated control areas, from Crestview, Fla., to Montgomery, Ala.

No adverse comments were received regarding these amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for reasons set forth in the notice, Part 600 (24 F.R. 10487) and Part 601 (24 F.R. 10530) are amended as follows:

1. Section 600.655 *Blue Federal airway No. 55 (Crestview, Fla., to Montgomery, Ala.)* is revoked.

2. Section 601.655 *Blue Federal airway No. 55 control areas (Crestview, Fla., to Montgomery, Ala.)* is revoked.

3. Section 601.4655 *Blue Federal airway No. 55 (Crestview, Fla., to Montgomery, Ala.)* is revoked.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2100; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-84]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL A R E A S, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS****Modification of Amendments; Change  
of Effective Date**

On November 26, 1959, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9509) Amendment No. 95 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 107 to Part 601. These amendments, to be effective March 10, 1960, extended VOR Federal airway No. 54 and its associated control areas from Fort Mill, N.C., to Pinehurst, N.C. A segment of this extension to Victor 54 coincided with a redesignated segment of VOR Federal airway No. 194 for approximately 60 miles northeast of Fort Mill. The redesignation of Victor 194, contained in Docket 59-WA-103 (24 F.R. 9509) is based on the commissioning of a new VOR near Liberty, N.C.

The commissioning date of the Liberty VOR has been rescheduled. The effective date of Docket 59-WA-103 concerning the redesignation of Victor 194 has been changed to June 30, 1960. Therefore, since a segment of the extension of Victor 54 was planned to overlie a portion of Victor 194, it is necessary to postpone the effective date of the above-mentioned amendments to June 30, 1960, in order that these actions will become effective concurrently.

Since this action does not impose a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedures Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator § 24 F.R. 4530), effective immediately Amendment No. 95 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 107 to Part 601 are hereby modified as follows:

Delete "effective 0001 e.s.t. March 10, 1960" and substitute therefor "effective 0001 e.s.t. June 30, 1960."

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2101; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-97]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL A R E A S, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS****Modification of Amendments; Change  
of Effective Date**

On December 30, 1959, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 10949) Amendment No. 111 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 134 to Part 601. These amendments, to be effective March 10, 1960, modified VOR Federal airway No. 157 and its associated control areas between Wilmington, N.C., and Rocky Mount, N.C., concurrently with the commissioning of a VOR near Kinston, N.C.

The commissioning date of the Kinston VOR has been rescheduled. Therefore, it is necessary to postpone the effective date of the above-mentioned amendment until June 2, 1960.

Since this action does not impose a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), effective immediately Amendment No. 111 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 134 to Part 601 are hereby modified as follows:

Delete "effective 0001 e.s.t. March 10, 1960" and substitute therefor "effective 0001 e.s.t. June 2, 1960."

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2102; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-103]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL A R E A S, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS****Modification of Amendments; Change  
of Effective Date**

On November 26, 1959, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R.

9509) Amendment No. 90 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 102 to Part 601. These amendments, to be effective March 10, 1960, modified VOR Federal airway No. 194 and associated control areas between Charlotte, N.C., and Raleigh, N.C., and between Cofield, N.C., and Norfolk, Va., concurrently with the commissioning of a VOR near Liberty, N.C.

The commissioning date of the Liberty VOR has been rescheduled. Therefore, it is necessary to postpone the effective date of the above-mentioned amendments until June 30, 1960.

Since this action does not impose a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), effective immediately Amendment No. 90 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 102 to Part 601 are hereby modified as follows:

Delete "effective 0001 e.s.t. March 10, 1960." and substitute therefor "effective 0001 e.s.t. June 30, 1960."

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2103; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-196]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS****PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL A R E A S, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS****Modification of Amendments; Change  
of Effective Date**

On February 2, 1960, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 860) Amendment No. 85 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 94 to Part 601. These amendments, to be effective March 10, 1960, modified VOR Federal airway No. 188, and its associated control areas between Jefferson, Ohio, and Williamsport, Pa., concurrently with the commissioning of a VOR near Slate Run, Pa. In addition, the North Bend intersection was revoked.

The commissioning date of the Slate Run VOR has been rescheduled. Therefore, it is necessary to postpone the effective date of the above-mentioned amendments until June 2, 1960.

Since this action does not impose a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), effective immediately Amendment No. 85 to Part 600 and Amendment 94 to Part 601 are hereby modified as follows:

Delete "effective 0001 e.s.t. March 10, 1960" and substitute therefor "effective 0001 e.s.t. June 2, 1960".

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2105; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-219]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS**

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS**

**Modification of Amendments; Change  
of Effective Date**

On January 26, 1960, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (25 F.R. 632) Amendment No. 164 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 196 to Part 601. These amendments, to be effective March 10, 1960, modified VOR Federal airway No. 12, and its associated control areas between Pittsburgh, Pa., and Harrisburg, Pa. This modification revoked the north alternate of Victor 12 between Pittsburgh and Johnstown, Pa., and redesignated the south alternate of Victor 12 between Johnstown and Harrisburg, concurrently with the commissioning of a new VOR near St. Thomas, Pa. In addition, the New Alexandria Intersection was revoked as a designated reporting point.

The commissioning date of the St. Thomas VOR has been rescheduled. Therefore, it is necessary to postpone the effective date of the above-mentioned amendments until May 5, 1960.

Since this action does not impose a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to

me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), effective immediately Amendment No. 164 to Part 600 and Amendment No. 196 to Part 601 are hereby modified as follows:

Delete "effective 0001 e.s.t. March 10, 1960." and substitute therefor "effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960."

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2106; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-292]

[Amdt. 225]

**PART 600—DESIGNATION OF  
FEDERAL AIRWAYS**

[Amdt. 266]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS**

**Modification of Federal Airway and  
Associated Control Areas; Designa-  
tion of Reporting Point**

On November 18, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9312) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to extend VOR Federal airway No. 55 from Green Bay, Wis., to Eau Claire, Wis., via a VOR installed near Stevens Point, Wis.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), and for the reasons set forth in the notice, §§ 600.6055 (24 F.R. 10512), 601.6055 (24 F.R. 10599), and 601.7001 (24 F.R. 10606) are hereby amended and set forth below:

1. Section 600.6055 VOR Federal airway No. 55 (Dayton, Ohio, to Green Bay, Wis.):

(a) In the caption delete "(Dayton, Ohio, to Green Bay, Wis.)" and substitute therefor "(Dayton, Ohio, to Eau Claire, Wis.)."

(b) In the text delete "to the Green Bay, Wis., VOR." and substitute therefor "Green Bay, Wis., VORTAC; Stevens

Point, Wis., VOR; INT of the Stevens Point, VOR 281° T and the Eau Claire VOR 107° T radials; to the Eau Claire, Wis., VOR."

2. In the caption of § 601.6055 VOR Federal airway No. 55 control areas (Dayton, Ohio, to Green Bay, Wis.), delete "(Dayton, Ohio, to Green Bay, Wis.)" and substitute therefor "(Dayton, Ohio, to Eau Claire, Wis.)."

3. In the text of § 601.7001 Domestic VOR reporting points, add: "Stevens Point, Wis., VOR."

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 2, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2107; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-29; Amdt. 256]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE  
CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA,  
CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL  
ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND  
POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEG-  
MENTS**

**Modification of Control Area  
Extension**

On November 24, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9431) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed a modification of the Riverside, Calif., control area extension.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530), § 601.1068 (24 F.R. 10550) is hereby amended and set forth below:

§ 601.1068 Control area extension (Riverside, Calif.).

That airspace S of March AFB bounded on the E by VOR Federal airway No. 117, on the S and SE by VOR Federal airway No. 208, on the W by VOR Federal airway No. 23 and Restricted Area (R-294), on the NW by VOR Federal airway No. 8 and on the N by VOR Federal airway No. 16.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2094; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-LA-69; Amdt. 257]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Revocation of Reporting Point**

The purpose of this amendment to § 601.7001 of the regulations of the Administrator is to revoke the Saltair, Utah, domestic VOR reporting point.

The Saltair VOR reporting point is designated as the intersection of the Salt Lake City, Utah, VOR 265° True and the Ogden, Utah, VOR 194° True radials. The Federal Aviation Agency is revoking this reporting point as it is no longer needed for air traffic management in the Salt Lake City terminal area.

Since this amendment eliminates a burden on the public, compliance with the notice, and public procedure provisions of Section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) § 601.7001 (24 F.R. 10608) is amended as follows:

In the text of § 601.7001 *Domestic VOR reporting points*, delete "Saltair Intersection: The intersection of the Salt Lake City, Utah, omnirange 265° True and the Ogden, Utah, omnirange 194° True radials."

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2095; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-147, Amdt. 278]

**PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS**

**Modification of Control Area Extension**

On November 10, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9169) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to modify the Brownsville, Tex., control area extension.

No comment was received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons set forth in the notice, the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and set forth below:

The text of § 601.1004 *Control area extension (Brownsville, Tex.)*, is amended to read: "The airspace over the United States within a 40-mile radius of the Brownsville, Tex., VOR S of latitude 26°30'00" N.; within 5 miles either side of the Harlingen, Tex., VOR 013° T radial from the VOR to VOR Federal airway No. 68; and that airspace W of Victor 68 S of latitude 26°31'00" N., and E of longitude 98°00'00" W., excluding that portion overlying Corpus Christi Restricted Area (R-227)."

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2104; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-227; Amdt. 42]

**PART 602—ESTABLISHMENT OF CODED JET ROUTES AND NAVIGATIONAL AIDS IN THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA**

**Revocation of Coded Jet Route**

On November 13, 1959, a notice of proposed rule-making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (24 F.R. 9241) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering the revocation of L/MF jet route No. 65. This route presently extends from Phoenix, Ariz., to Red Bluff, Calif., and duplicates services provided by VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 65.

No comment was received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 4530) and for the reasons set forth in the Notice, the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and set forth below:

Section 602.165 *L/MF jet route No. 65 (Phoenix, Ariz., to Red Bluff, Calif.)* is revoked.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. May 5, 1960.

(Secs. 307(a), 313(a), 72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2093; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making

## FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[ 14 CFR Part 602 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-WA-23]

### CODED JET ROUTES

#### Extension

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 602.556 of the regulations of the Administrator, the substance of which is stated below.

VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 56 presently extends from Salt Lake City, Utah to Kremmling, Colo. Jet aircraft service is scheduled for inauguration between Salt Lake City and Denver, Colo., in September of 1960. Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Agency is considering extending Jet Route No. 56-V eastward to Denver. This would assist air traffic management and improve flight planning procedures by providing a single numbered jet route between Salt Lake City and Denver.

If this action is taken, VOR/VORTAC jet route No. 56 would extend from Salt Lake City, Utah, to Denver, Colo., via Kremmling, Colo.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 1, 1960.

D. D. THOMAS,  
Director, Bureau of  
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2089; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[ 47 CFR Part 3 ]

[Docket No. 13420; FCC 60-193]

### LICENSE PERIODS FOR CERTAIN BROADCAST STATIONS

#### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter.

2. The Commission has under consideration the above-enumerated provisions of its rules. Although relating to different broadcast services, these rules are identical in substance. The Standard Broadcast Rule provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

All standard broadcast licenses will be issued for a normal license period of three years. Licenses will be issued to expire at the hour of 3:00 a.m., e.s.t., in accordance with the following schedule and at three years thereafter.

3. As here applicable, section 307(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. sec. 307(d)), provides:

No license granted for the operation of a broadcasting station shall be for a longer term than three years. \* \* \* Upon the expiration of any license, upon application therefor, a renewal of such license may be granted from time to time for a term of not to exceed three years in the case of broadcasting licenses, \* \* \* if the Commission finds that public interest, convenience, and necessity would be served thereby.

4. The above provisions of the rules provide for a three-year term for initial licenses and subsequent renewals of three years each. In order to conform these implementing rules to the statute and to make clear that the Commission may, in appropriate circumstances, issue an initial license or a renewal of license for a lesser term, it is proposed to amend these rules in the manner set forth below.

5. Authority for the adoption of the proposed amendments is contained in sections 4(i), 303(r), 307(d), 308(a), and

309 (a) and (d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

6. Any interested party who is of the view that the proposed amendment should not be adopted, or should not be adopted in the form set forth herein, may file with the Commission on or before April 1, 1960, a written statement setting forth his comments. Comments supporting the proposed amendment may also be filed on or before the same date. Comments in reply to original comments may be filed within 10 days from the last day for reply to original comments. The Commission will consider all comments filed hereunder prior to taking final action in this matter provided that, notwithstanding the provisions of § 1.213 of the rules, the Commission will not be limited solely to the comments filed in this proceeding.

7. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.54 of the rules, an original and 14 copies of all written comments shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: March 2, 1960.

Released: March 3, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
Secretary.

1. Paragraph (a) of § 3.34 is amended to read as follows:

§ 3.34 Normal license period.

(a) Licenses for standard broadcast stations ordinarily will be issued for a period of three years and, when regularly renewed, at three year intervals thereafter; *Provided, however*, That, if the Commission finds that the public interest, convenience, and necessity will be served thereby, it may issue either an initial license or a renewal thereof for a lesser term. When regularly issued or renewed, licenses will be issued to expire at the hour of 3:00 a.m., e.s.t., in accordance with the following schedule, and at three year intervals thereafter.

2. Corresponding changes are made in the language of § 3.218(a) relating to the license period for FM Broadcast Stations.

3. Corresponding changes are made in the language of § 3.518(a) relating to the license period for Noncommercial Educational FM Broadcast Stations.

4. Corresponding changes are made in the language of § 3.630(a) relating to the license period for Television Broadcast Stations.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2127; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

# Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[Order No. 2508, Amdt. 38]

### BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

#### Delegation of Authority

FEBRUARY 29, 1960.

Section 30 of Order No. 2508, as amended (20 F.R. 3834, 5106; 21 F.R. 7027, 7655; 24 F.R. 272; 25 F.R. 436, 575, 729), is further amended by addition of two new subparagraphs to read as follows:

Sec. 30 *Authority under specific acts.*

(a) \* \* \*

(14) July 17, 1959 (Pub. Law 86-94; 73 Stat. 220).

(15) September 21, 1959 (Pub. Law 86-330; 73 Stat. 598).

ELMER F. BENNETT,

*Acting Secretary of the Interior.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2115; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION, DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY, AND OTHER INFORMATION

#### Delegation of Authority to the Chief of the Broadcast Bureau

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., the 2d day of March 1960;

The Commission, having under consideration amendment of its Statement of Organization, Delegations of Authority, and Other Information by the transfer of certain portions of the text of section 0.241(i) to Part 1 of the Commission's rules; and

It appearing that in a separate order adopted this date, Part 1 was amended to add a new § 1.68; and

It further appearing that the amendment herein ordered is procedural in nature and, therefore, that compliance with the requirements of sections 4 (a), (b) and (c) of the Administrative Procedure Act is not required;

*It is ordered*, That, pursuant to sections 1, 4(i), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and to be effective March 21, 1960, section 0.241(i) of the Commission's Statement of Organization, Delegations of Authority, and Other Information is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 0.241 *Matters delegated.*

(i) To issue, in accordance with recommendations of the Chief Engineer, CONELRAD authorizations in accord-

ance with sections 1.68 and 2.407 of the Commission's rules.

Released: March 3, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2129; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13417; FCC 60M-409]

#### ALF FORDE

##### Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of Alf Forde, 846 Warren Avenue, Ketchikan, Alaska, Docket No. 13417; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Radio Station WA4126 aboard the vessel "Delight".

*It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that Millard F. French will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on May 2, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2131; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13413; FCC 60M-406]

#### HENRY P. GROS

##### Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of Henry P. Gros, 2412 Tupelo, Morgan City, Louisiana, Docket No. 13413; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Radio Station WD-3187 aboard the vessel "Riverside III".

*It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that Walther W. Guenther will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on May 2, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2132; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12788 etc.; FCC 60M-413]

#### CHARLES J. LANPHIER

##### Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Charles J. Lanphier, Golden Valley, Minnesota, Docket No. 12788, File No. BP-11629, et al., Docket Nos. 12792, 12795, 12796, 12797, 12798, 12799, 12800, 12803, 12805,

12905, 12906, 12907; for construction permits.

*It is ordered*, This 2d day of March 1960, that further hearing (Group C only) in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on April 21, 1960.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2133; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13412; FCC 60M-405]

#### JOHN F. REDFIELD

##### Order Scheduling Hearing

In the matter of John F. Redfield, 8219 Eugene Circle, El Paso, Texas, Docket No. 13412; order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Citizens Radio Station 10W0973.

*It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that David I. Kraushaar will preside at the hearings in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on May 2, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2134; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13387, 13388; FCC 60M-404]

#### ALVARADO TELEVISION CO., INC. (KVOA-TV) AND OLD PUEBLO BROADCASTING CO. (KOLD-TV)

##### Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of Alvarado Television Co., Inc. (KVOA-TV), Tucson, Arizona, Docket No. 13387, File No. BPCT-2685; Old Pueblo Broadcasting Company (KOLD-TV), Tucson, Arizona, Docket No. 13388, File No. BPCT-2686; for construction permits to change existing facilities.

As a result of an agreement reached at a prehearing conference held this day in the above-entitled matter: *It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that the hearing in this matter now scheduled to commence on March 17, 1960, is continued until 10:00 a.m., March 24, 1960, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2030; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13332; FCC 60M-414]

**SUBURBAN BROADCASTERS**

**Order Continuing Hearing**

In re application of Patrick Henry, David D. Larsen, Steward B. Kett and James B. Glenn, Jr., d/b as Suburban Broadcasters, Elizabeth, New Jersey, Docket No. 13332, File No. BPH-2731; for construction permit.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a motion filed February 25, 1960, in the above-entitled proceeding by applicant Suburban Broadcasters requesting a rescheduling of dates for the exchange of exhibits and a continuance of the hearing and an opposition thereto filed February 26, 1960, by Metropolitan Broadcasting Corporation, the respondent therein, and oral argument having been heard thereon at the request of Metropolitan;

It appearing that the moving party represents it needs the additional time in order properly to prepare for the hearing, that the extensions herein specified do not appear to be unreasonable under the circumstances (considering the fact that the applicant's consulting engineer and the parties to the application are located at a great distance from Washington, D.C., and that a conscientious effort is to be made to simplify, by stipulation if possible, the applicant's engineering presentation), that this is not the kind of proceeding wherein other parties are likely to be prejudiced by the extensions to be granted, and that it does not appear that the relief to be granted would operate to disrupt or impede the expeditious dispatch of the Commission's business or be detrimental to the public interest;

It appearing further that the respondent's argument that the extensions requested are needed for the ulterior purpose of enabling the applicant to seek a new site (in view of the alleged unavailability of its present site) and later to enable it to seek leave to amend its application in order to specify a different site is not a valid basis, in the opinion of the Hearing Examiner, for denying relief to the applicant which otherwise appears not unreasonable, that the site availability question is presently being litigated before the Commission itself in a pending supplemental petition by the respondent for enlargement of the issues, and that the relief granted herein would be without prejudice to the consideration of any petition to amend the applicant may find it necessary to file in the future and oppositions thereto;

It appearing further that the parties during oral argument on the motion have waived the "four day rule" to permit the prompt issuance of this order and that the April 25th date for commencement of the hearing requested by the moving party conflicts with the Hearing Examiner's hearing schedule but that the parties, through Broadcast Bureau counsel, have advised the examiner orally that May 4, 1960, will

be a suitable date for commencement of the hearing as far as they are concerned, it being understood that the respondent in consenting to the latter date is in no sense waiving its exception to the ruling herein;

*It is ordered*, This 2d day of March 1960, that the request of Suburban Broadcasters "For Extension of Time to Exchange Exhibits and For a New Hearing Date" is granted to the extent that the date for the applicant to exchange exhibits in draft form is extended to March 31, 1960, the date for the exchange in final form is extended to April 21, 1960, and the hearing is continued to May 4, 1960.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2135; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13415, 13416; FCC 60M-403]

**TBC, INC., AND BAY VIDEO, INC.**

**Order Scheduling Hearing**

In re applications for TBC, Inc., Panama City, Florida, Docket No. 13415, File No. BPCT-2615; Bay Video, Inc., Panama City, Florida, Docket No. 13416, File No. BPCT-2635; for construction permits for new television broadcast stations (Channel 13).

*It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that Elizabeth C. Smith will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on April 21, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2136; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13414; FCC 60M-407]

**WDUL TELEVISION CORP. (WHYZ-TV)**

**Order Scheduling Hearing**

In re application of WDUL Television Corp. (WHYZ-TV), Duluth, Minnesota, Docket No. 13414, File No. BMPCT-5375, for modification of construction permit.

*It is ordered*, This 1st day of March 1960, that Herbert Sharfman will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on April 21, 1960, in Washington, D.C.

Released: March 2, 1960.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2137; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:50 a.m.]

**FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION**

[Docket No. G-20422]

**COLUMBIA GULF TRANSMISSION CO.**

**Notice of Application and Date of Hearing**

MARCH 1, 1960.

Take notice that on December 15, 1959, as supplemented on January 18, 1960, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Applicant) filed in Docket No. G-20422 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of field facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas which will be purchased from producers thereof in the general area of its existing transmission system from time to time during the calendar year 1960, at a total cost not in excess of \$2,500,000, with the total cost of any single project limited to \$500,000, all as more fully set forth in the application, as supplemented, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of this "budget-type" proposal is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for and connecting to its pipeline system new supplies of natural gas in various producing areas generally coextensive with said system.

The supplement filed January 18, 1960, by Applicant requests specific authority to construct and operate certain additions and modifications to its existing Meter Station No. 547 in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana, to receive natural gas produced by Hurt Oil and Gas Corp., et al., from the Valentine Field in said Parish at a total estimated cost of \$6,700.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on March 28, 1960, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however*, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 21, 1960. Failure of any party to

appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2109; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-14595 etc.]

### MOON GAS CO. ET AL.

#### Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing

MARCH 1, 1960.

Moon Gas Company, Docket No. G-14595; McCall Drilling Company, Inc.,

Docket No. G-14900; Timber Oil and Gas Development Association, Docket No. G-15063; Shell Oil Company, Docket No. G-17646; Roberts Oil & Gas Company, Docket No. G-18917; May-Pay Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. G-19227.

Take notice that each of the above Applicants has filed an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, for permission and approval to abandon service, as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in the respective applications, and any amendments thereto, which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The respective Applicants seek permission and approval to abandon service as indicated below:

Docket No.	Field and Location	Purchaser	Docket in which Sale was authorized
G-14595.....	Clay District, Ritchie County, W. Va.....	Hope Natural Gas Co.....	G-8642.
G-14900.....	D. M. Miller Farm, DeKalb District, Gilmer County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.....	G-4901.
G-15063.....	C. W. Kemp Lease, Murphy District, Ritchie County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.....	G-8100.
G-17646.....	Roy Whitten Lease, Langlie-Mattix Field, Lea County, N. Mex.	El Paso Natural Gas.....	Unauthorized.
G-18917.....	DeKalb District, Gilmer County, W. Va.....	Hope Natural Gas Co.....	G-5410.
G-19227.....	52-acre tract on Dog Run, Murphy District, Ritchie County, W. Va.	Hope Natural Gas Co.....	G-5571.

Each application herein, except in Docket No. G-17646, states that the volume of gas now available for delivery under the related gas sales contract has been depleted or has declined to a point where it is no longer economically feasible to continue operation.

The application in Docket No. G-17646 states that the casing-head gas from the Roy Whitten Lease was sold to El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) under an agreement dated May 27, 1956, which amended a prior contract dated May 1, 1949, as amended. The application further states that the well on the Roy Whitten Lease was reclassified as a gas well and that Applicant was obligated to sell the well to El Paso, which owned the gas rights. Applicant was authorized in Docket No. G-5111 to sell gas under the May 1, 1949 basic contract.

The Public convenience and necessity require that these matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on April 12, 1960 at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application. *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and

procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 25, 1960. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2110; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-10559 etc.]

### JAMES D. MADOLE ET AL.

#### Notice of Severance

FEBRUARY 29, 1960.

James D. Madole, et al., Docket No. G-10559, etc.; Butler-Johnson, Inc., Operator, Docket No. G-15883; J. R. Frankel, Docket No. G-18391.

Notice is hereby given that Docket Nos. G-15883 and G-18391 in the above-entitled proceedings which are scheduled for hearing on March 8, 1960, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., are hereby severed therefrom.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2108; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. RI60-92, etc.]

### OHIO OIL CO. ET AL.

#### Order Instituting Rate Investigation Consolidating Proceedings, and Fixing Date of Hearing

FEBRUARY 29, 1960.

The Ohio Oil Company, Docket No. RI60-92; the Ohio Oil Company, Docket Nos. G-12037, G-13465, G-13521, G-14010, G-16688, G-16672, G-17275, G-17986, G-19763; the Ohio Oil Company, et al., Docket No. G-12045; the Ohio Oil Company (Operator), et al., Docket Nos. G-13475, G-20185.

The above-entitled proceedings in Docket Nos. G-12037 through and including G-19763, and Docket Nos. G-12045, G-13475, and G-20185, involve increased rate proposals made by the Ohio Oil Company (Ohio Oil), individually, and as the Ohio Oil Co., et al. and as the Ohio Oil Company (Operator), et al.<sup>1</sup> The said proposed increased rates have been made subject to public hearing and suspended in accordance with the provisions of the Natural Gas Act. In order to facilitate such hearings and to dispose of the proceedings as promptly as possible, it is considered that such related proceedings should be heard on a consolidated record.

In addition to the questions concerning the lawfulness of Ohio Oil's proposed increased rates, in view of the large number of such proposals, other questions are raised concerning other rates and charges not subject to proceedings already ordered as aforesaid. It is appropriate, therefore, that a rate investigation be instituted and that such investigation be broad enough to cover all of Ohio Oil's rates and charges for sales subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. It appears that, upon the basis of data available to the Commission, the rates, charges, and classifications for or in connection with, the sale or transportation of natural gas by the Ohio Oil Company, both individually and in its representative capacities as aforementioned, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, the rules, regulations, practices, and contracts relating thereto may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds:

(1) The Ohio Oil Company is an independent producer of natural gas and is a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of the Natural Gas Act, being engaged in the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale for ultimate public consumption.

(2) It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that an investigation be instituted by the Commission, upon its own motion, into and concerning all rates, charges, or classifications demanded, ob-

<sup>1</sup>Ohio Oil's other proceedings in Docket Nos. G-15606, G-15607, and G-16252, involving questions of tax reimbursement, are not consolidated herein.

served, charged, or collected by The Ohio Oil Company in connection with any transportation or sale of natural gas, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and any rules, regulations, practices, or contracts affecting such rates, charges, or classifications.

(3) The hearings ordered in the aforementioned suspension orders, as well as Ohio Oil's rate investigation proceeding, should be consolidated for hearing as hereinafter provided.

The Commission orders:

(A) An investigation of The Ohio Oil Company is hereby instituted under the provisions of the Natural Gas Act for the purpose of enabling the Commission to determine whether, with respect to any transaction or sale of natural gas, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, made or proposed to be made by The Ohio Oil Company, any of the rates, charges, or classifications demanded, observed, charged, or collected, or any rules, regulations, practices, or contracts affecting such rates, charges, or classifications are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

(B) If the Commission, after a hearing has been had, shall find with respect to Ohio Oil that any of its rates, charges, classifications, rules, regulations, practices, or contracts, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, the Commission will thereupon determine and fix by order, or orders, just and reasonable rates, charges, classification, rules, regulations, practices, or contracts to be thereafter observed and in force.

(C) In accordance with the Commission's prior orders for hearing in each of the above-entitled proceedings and pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by the Natural Gas Act, including particularly sections 4, 5, 14, 15, and 16 thereof, and the Commission's rules and regulations (18 CFR Ch. I), the proceedings in the above-designated Docket Nos. G-12037 through and including G-19763, and Docket Nos. G-12045, G-13475, and G-20185, and the rate investigation proceeding hereby instituted in Docket No. RI60-92 are hereby consolidated for the purpose of hearing.

(D) The public hearing will commence on May 10, 1960, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved and the issues presented in the consolidated proceedings designated in paragraph (C) above.

(E) When the said hearing commences on May 10, 1960, Ohio Oil shall go forward first and complete the presentation of evidence in its direct cases under section 4 of the Natural Gas Act in these consolidated proceedings. The presiding examiner shall thereafter proceed as may be found appropriate under the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

(F) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37(f) of the Commission's rules

of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)).

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2111; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. G-18078 etc.]

### TEXACO, INC., ET AL.

#### Notice of Severance

MARCH 1, 1960.

Texaco, Inc., et al., Docket No. G-18078, etc.; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company, Docket No. G-18765; South Texas Natural Gas Gathering Company, Docket No. G-18907; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Docket No. G-18920; S. H. Howell, Docket No. G-18600.

On February 23, 1960, S. H. Howell filed a Notice of Withdrawal from the above captioned consolidated proceedings on the grounds that the proposed deliveries of gas to South Texas Natural Gas Gathering Company in Docket No. G-18600 are now covered by an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed in Docket No. G-20384 which has been consolidated into the above-mentioned proceedings for purposes of formal hearing.

Notice is hereby given that Docket No. G-18600 in the above-entitled consolidated proceeding which is scheduled for hearing on March 7, 1960, at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., is hereby severed therefrom.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2112; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-20270 etc.]

### UNITED FUEL GAS CO. ET AL.

#### Order Shortening Suspension Period

MARCH 1, 1960.

United Fuel Gas Company, Docket No. G-20270; Kentucky Gas Transmission Corporation, Docket No. G-20271; Atlantic Seaboard Corporation, Docket No. G-20272; The Ohio Fuel Gas Company, Docket No. G-20273; The Manufacturers Light and Heat Company, Docket No. G-20510; Home Gas Company, Docket No. G-20511.<sup>1</sup>

Upon reconsideration, it appears appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the suspension periods prescribed by the Commission orders issued December 4, 1959 in Docket Nos. G-20270, G-20271, G-20272, and G-20273, and the Commission orders issued December 31, 1959 in Docket Nos. G-20510 and G-20511, be shortened to April 5, 1960.

The Commission orders: The suspension periods prescribed by the Commission orders issued December 4, 1959

<sup>1</sup> This order does not provide for the consolidating for hearing or disposition of the separately docketed matters covered herein nor should it be so construed.

in Docket Nos. G-20270, G-20271, G-20272 and G-20273, and the Commission orders issued December 31, 1959 in Docket Nos. G-20510 and G-20511, are hereby shortened to April 5, 1960, and until such further time as the suspended tariff sheets are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

By the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2113; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-228]

### BORDER PIPE LINE CO. AND AMERICAN SMELTING AND REFINING CO.

#### Notice of Application To Further Amend Order Authorizing the Exportation of Natural Gas

MARCH 3, 1960.

Take notice that Border Pipe Line Company (Border), a Delaware corporation with principal legal office at Wilmington, Delaware and operating office at 1006 Main Street, Houston, Texas, and American Smelting and Refining Company (American), a New Jersey corporation with statutory office at 15 Exchange Place, Jersey City, New Jersey and principal office at 120 Broadway, New York, New York, collectively referred to as Petitioners, filed a joint application in Docket No. G-228 on November 6, 1959, as amended December 10, 1959, pursuant to section 16 of the Natural Gas Act (Act), for a further order amending the export authorization heretofore granted Petitioners in Docket No. G-228, pursuant to section 3 of Act, by order issued on October 10, 1942 as amended by orders issued on November 4, 1942, July 11, 1950 and May 10, 1956.

The amended order is requested so that Petitioners may continue the exportation of up to 14,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to the Republic of Mexico at a point near Laredo, Texas, for an additional five-year period beginning March 27, 1960. Petitioners' present authorization permitting export of up to 14,000 Mcf per day will expire on March 26, 1960 under the terms of the aforesaid order of October 10, 1942 as amended.

Presidential permits were issued separately to Border and American on September 29, 1942, authorizing the construction, operation and maintenance of export facilities heretofore described in this subject docket at the international boundary.

The aforesaid order of October 10, 1942, as amended grants authority to export pursuant to the terms of an agreement dated July 5, 1949, between Border and American. Border sells the gas to American on the United States side of the boundary and American transports the gas into Mexico for delivery to its Mexican subsidiaries, who use it for industrial purposes. Border states that it has no market for its gas in the United States.

Border is now purchasing gas for export in the Aguilares, Reiser and Retama

Fields in Webb County, Texas. Additional reserves were acquired by Border in the Cabezon Field, Webb County, Texas when it executed a July 9, 1959, gas purchase agreement with Texaco, Inc. This field is attached to Border's existing pipeline extending from the Aguilares Field. Border transports the gas from the fields to the boundary, all in Webb County, Texas.

The July 5, 1949 gas sales agreement, as amended March 28, 1950, March 15, 1956, May 28, 1956, June 19, 1956 and November 12, 1958, expires on March 26, 1960, unless American exercises an option to extend the contract to March 26, 1965. American has exercised that option. A further amendment to the contract, dated March 12, 1959, provides for continuation of the service to March 26, 1965, and also grants American another option to continue the service until March 26, 1970.

Petitioners request authority to continue the export of up to 14,000 Mcf per day only for the period ending March 26, 1965, under the terms of the contract of July 5, 1949, as amended on March 12, 1959. The same sources of supply in Webb County will be used for the export. The only apparent change is a change in rate to American. The present rate by Border to American is 12.5 cents per Mcf of 1000 Btu gas. Under the March 12, 1959, amendment, the rate for gas sold after March 26, 1960, will be 14 cents per Mcf until March 27, 1965. However, any new gas contracted for by Border after February 1, 1959, will be charged at the rate of 4 cents per Mcf plus the price Border pays for such new gas, but not in excess of a total of 20.5 cents per Mcf.

Petitioners state that the proposed extension of the export authorization will aid the Mexican economy and will have no adverse effect in the United States.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 21, 1960. The aforesaid application is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

MICHAEL J. FARRELL,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2122; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### POWER SITE CLASSIFICATION 349; PROJECT 2145

#### Finding of the Commission and Determination and Partial Vacation of Withdrawals Under Section 24 of the Federal Power Act; Amendment

MARCH 2, 1960.

In the matter of Lands Withdrawn in Power Site Classification No. 349 and Project No. 2145, Docket No. DA-169, Washington, Earl Barnhill, Boating Club of Wenatchee.

In the order Finding of The Commission and Determination and Partial Va-

cation of Withdrawals under section 24 of the Federal Power Act, issued February 15, 1960 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER February 20, 1960 (25 F.R.; p. 1536), in the third paragraph insert "dam of the" before the word "Rocky" in line thereof so that the paragraph shall read:

The above-described lands lie along the banks of the Columbia River just upstream from the dam of the Rocky Reach Hydroelectric Power Project under license as project No. 2145.

MICHAEL J. FARRELL,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2123; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### FIRST WISCONSIN BANKSHARES CORP.

#### Order Approving Application Under Bank Holding Company Act

In the matter of the application of First Wisconsin Bankshares Corporation (formerly Wisconsin Bankshares Corporation) for prior approval of acquisition of voting shares of Mayfair National Bank, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin.

There having come before the Board of Governors, pursuant to section 3(a) (2) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 USC 1843) and section 4(a) (2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 222.4(a) (2)), an application on behalf of First Wisconsin Bankshares Corporation (formerly Wisconsin Bankshares Corporation), whose principal office is in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, for the Board's prior approval of the acquisition of 2,950 of the 3,000 voting shares of a proposed bank, the Mayfair National Bank, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin; a Notice of Tentative Decision referring to a Tentative Statement on said applications having been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 5, 1959 (24 F.R. 9801); said notice having provided interested persons an opportunity, before issuance of the Board's Order, to file objections to and comments upon the facts stated and the reasons indicated in the Tentative Statement; and the time for filing such objections and comments having expired, and comments and objections having been duly considered:

*It is hereby ordered.* For the reasons set forth in the Board's Statement<sup>1</sup> of this date, that said application be and hereby is granted, and the acquisition by First Wisconsin Bankshares Corporation of 2,950 voting shares of the proposed bank, the Mayfair National Bank, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin, is hereby approved, provided that such acquisition is completed within three months from the date hereof.

<sup>1</sup> Filed as part of the original document. Copies available upon request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington 25, D.C., or to any Federal Reserve Bank.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 2d day of March, 1960.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] MERRITT SHERMAN,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2114; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority No. 377]

### SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

#### Delegation of Authority With Respect to Lease of Space at Stillwater, Oklahoma

1. Pursuant to authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, I hereby authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to renew Lease No. 12-01-400-000-3 which was entered into pursuant to Delegation of Authority No. 221, dated December 29, 1954 (20 F.R. 141), for the rental of space in Stillwater, Oklahoma, for the five year term beginning July 1, 1960 and ending June 30, 1965, in accordance with the provisions of the lease.

2. This authority is delegated subject to determination by the Secretary of Agriculture that no other suitable space can be obtained at a lesser rental.

3. The Secretary of Agriculture may redelegate this authority to any officer or employee of the Department of Agriculture.

4. This delegation of authority is effective immediately.

Dated: March 1, 1960.

FRANKLIN FLOETE,  
*Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 60-2124; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:49 a.m.]

## ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. PP-3]

### CONSUMERS PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT, POWER DEMONSTRATION REACTOR PROJECT

#### Hearing on Construction of Nuclear Facility

On March 12, 1959 (AEC Public Announcement B-42), the United States Atomic Energy Commission announced that with respect to certain Commission-owned reactor projects, interested persons will be granted an opportunity to participate in the consideration of the safety aspects of such reactor projects in accordance with procedures which would parallel those observed in licensing proceedings. The reactor projects to which this policy applies are Commission-owned demonstration reactor projects which are not located at Commission installations but which would be operated as integral parts of the power-gen-

eration facilities of operating utility systems, including the reactor for the Consumers Public Power District in the vicinity of Hallam, Nebr.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 161c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held at 10:30 a.m. on April 8, 1960, in the Auditorium of the Atomic Energy Commission Headquarters, Germantown, Md., to consider certain issues involved in the construction and operation of the 240 megawatt (thermal) reactor to be constructed for the Consumers Public Power District in Lancaster County, Nebraska, about 1½ miles north of the village of Hallam and about 19 miles south of Lincoln, Nebr.

The issues to be considered at the hearing will be the following:

1. Whether there is information sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that a nuclear reactor of the general type proposed can be constructed and operated at the proposed location without undue risk to the health and safety of the public;

2. Whether there is reasonable assurance that technical information required to complete the safety analysis of this facility will be supplied;

3. Whether North American Aviation, Inc., Peter Kiewit and Sons Company, and Bechtel Corporation are technically qualified to carry out their responsibility in the design and construction of the reactor; and

4. Whether construction of the reactor will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Petitions for leave to intervene must be received in the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or in the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., not later than thirty days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER or, in the event of a postponement of the hearing, at such time as the Presiding Officer may direct.

Papers required to be filed with the Atomic Energy Commission in this proceeding shall be filed by mailing to the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., or may be filed in person at the Office of the Secretary, Atomic Energy Commission, Germantown, Maryland, or at the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Presiding Officer, parties shall file twenty copies of each such paper with the Atomic Energy Commission and where service of papers is required on other parties shall serve five copies on each.

Notice is hereby given that the reports of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards dated July 25, 1959, and February 8, 1960, and the Preliminary Safeguards Report and supplements thereto prepared by the Atomic International Division of North American Aviation, Inc., are available for public inspection in the Atomic Energy Commission's Public Document Room.

Copies of the Reports of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards may be obtained by request to the Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C.

The provisions of Subpart G of the Commission's rules of practice shall apply to the same extent as if the proceeding concerned licensing and licensees.

Answers to this notice shall be filed in the manner prescribed in § 2.736 of the Commission's rules of practice on or before March 23, 1960.

Samuel W. Jensch, Esq., is designated as the Presiding Officer to conduct the hearing and to render a decision pursuant to § 2.751(a) of the Commission's rules of practice.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 4th day of March 1960.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. E. HOLLINGSWORTH,  
Acting General Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2167; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 9:01 a.m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-4015]

### CONSOLIDATED DEVELOPMENT CORP.

#### Order Summarily Suspending Trading

MARCH 2, 1960.

In the matter of trading on the American Stock Exchange in the common stock, Par Value 20 cents per share of Consolidated Development Corporation (formerly known as Consolidated Cuban Petroleum Corporation), File No. 1-4015.

The common stock, par value 20 cents per share of Consolidated Development Corporation (formerly known as Consolidated Cuban Petroleum Corporation), being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily

suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, March 3, 1960, to March 12, 1960, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBois,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2119; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960; 8:48 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

MARCH 3, 1960.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

#### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 36060: *Substituted service—CRI&P for Burlington-Cartage, Inc.* Filed by Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 219), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between Chicago (Burr Oak), Ill., and Council Bluffs, Iowa, on traffic originating at or destined to points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 126 to Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, tariff MF-I.C.C. 223.

FSA No. 36061: *Substituted service—CRI&P for Mid-Continent Freight Lines, Inc.* Filed by Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 220), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars (1) between Chicago (Burr Oak), Ill., on the one hand, and Dallas, Fort Worth, Tex., Kansas City (Armourdale), Wichita, Kans., and Oklahoma City, Okla., on the other, (2) between Kansas City (Armourdale), Kans., on the one hand, and Dallas, Fort Worth, Tex., Wichita, Kans., and Oklahoma City, Okla., on the other, and (3) between Moline, Ill., on the one hand, and Kansas City (Armourdale), Wichita, Kans., and St. Paul (Inver Grove), Minn., on the other.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariffs: Supplement 126 to Middlewest Motor Freight Bureau tariff, MF-I.C.C. 223.

FSA No. 36063: *Gravel—Dickason Pit, Ind., to Bryce, Ill.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 9), for interested rail carriers. Rates on screened gravel, in carloads from Dickason Pit, Ind., to Bryce, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 128 to Chicago & Eastern Illinois Railroad Company tariff I.C.C. 144.

## AGGREGATE-OF-INTERMEDIATES

FSA No. 36962: *Grains—From and to points in WTL territory.* Filed jointly by The Canadian National Railway Company (No. 6), the Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range Railway Company (No. 9), the Duluth, Winnipeg and Pacific Railway Company (No. 6), the Great Northern Railway Company (No. 1066), the Minneapolis, St. Paul & Sault Ste. Marie Railroad Company (No. 88), the Northern Pacific Railway Company (No. 112), for interested rail carriers. Rates on rye, wheat and flaxseed, in carloads from specified points in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin to Duluth, Minneapolis, Minnesota Transfer, St. Paul, Minn., and Superior, Wis., and points taking same rates.

Grounds for relief: Maintenance of through one-factor rates from named origins to destinations beyond named destinations not depressed by same competitive conditions as rates to named destinations.

Tariffs: Supplement 35 to Canadian National Railway Company tariff, I.C.C. W-658 and schedules of other carriers named above and listed in the application.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2116; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

[Notice 274]

### MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 3, 1960.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 62988. By order of February 29, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Fred Vydra and Fred J. Vydra, a partnership, doing business as Vydra Movers, Berwyn, Illinois, of Certificates in Nos. MC 78528, and MC

78528 Sub 1, issued March 21, 1941, and March 27, 1942, respectively, to Robert Vydra and Fred Vydra, a partnership, doing business as Vydra Brothers, Berwyn, Illinois, authorizing the transportation of household goods, as defined by the Commission, between points in the Chicago, Ill., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin; and between points in Cook County, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, Iowa, and Missouri. Bernard G. Colby, One North La Salle Street, Chicago 2, Illinois, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 62357. By order of February 24, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Fleming's Express, Inc., New York, New York, of a Certificate in No. MC 108466 Sub 1, issued on March 13, 1956, to Belmont Trucking Company, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, authorizing the transportation of specified commodities from, to, and between, points in New Hampshire, Maine, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York and New Jersey. Irving Klein, 280 Broadway, New York 7, New York, for applicants.

No. MC-FC 63006. By order of March 1, 1960, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Wiley M. Whittaker, Portland, Oregon, of Certificate No. MC 114095 issued March 25, 1954, to Western Express, Inc., Portland, Oregon, authorizing the transportation of shingles and shakes, wooden, over irregular routes, from points in Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap, Mason, Grays Harbor, Snohomish, Whatcom, Skagit, King, Pierce, Thurston, Lewis, Pacific Wahkiacum, Cowlitz, Skamania, and Clark Counties, Wash., and those in Clatsop, Columbia, Multnomah, Tillamook, Washington, Clackamas, Yamhill, Polk, Marion, Lincoln, Benton, Linn, Lane, Coos, Douglas, Curry, Josephine, Klamath, and Jackson Counties, Oreg., to points in California. Seymour L. Coblenz, 614 Corbett Building, Portland 4, Oregon, for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2117; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:48 a.m.]

## OFFICE OF CIVIL AND DEFENSE MOBILIZATION

GEOFFREY BAKER

### Appointee's Statement of Business Interests

The following statement lists the names of concerns required by subsection 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

No change since previous report, published August 13, 1959 (24 F.R. 6601).

Dated: February 1, 1960.

GEOFFREY BAKER.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2085; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

HAROLD S. BLACKMAN

### Appointee's Statement of Business Interests

The following statement lists the names of concerns required by subsection 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

No change since last submission of statement published August 13, 1959 (24 F.R. 6601).

Dated: February 1, 1960.

HAROLD S. BLACKMAN.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2086; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

JAMES F. BROWNLEE

### Appointee's Statement of Business Interests

The following statement lists the names of concerns required by subsection 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

Purchased: 100 shares Polaroid Corporation.

Sold: 1,000 shares Occidental Petroleum. Contributed: 100 shares Amerada Petroleum Corp., 100 shares American Chicle Co., 100 shares American Express Co., 100 shares General Foods Corp., 100 shares Pillsbury Mills Inc., 100 shares Spencer Chemical, 100 shares Standard Oil N.J.

This amends statement published August 20, 1959 (24 F.R. 6791).

Dated: February 1, 1960.

JAMES F. BROWNLEE.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2087; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

JOSEPH D. KEENAN

### Appointee's Statement of Business Interests

The following statement lists the names of concerns required by subsection 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended.

Hupp Co.

This amends statement published August 13, 1959 (24 F.R. 6602).

Dated: February 1, 1960.

JOSEPH D. KEENAN.

[F.R. Doc. 60-2088; Filed, Mar. 7, 1960;  
8:45 a.m.]

**CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—MARCH**

A numerical list of parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during March. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

<b>3 CFR</b>	Page	<b>14 CFR—Continued</b>	Page	<b>32 CFR</b>	Page
<b>EXECUTIVE ORDERS:</b>		507.....	1818, 1908, 1987	1.....	1778
Feb. 18, 1870.....	1949	600.....	1819, 1938-1940, 1988-1991	2.....	1783
Mar. 26, 1881.....	1949	601.....	1819, 1938-1940, 1988-1992	3.....	1784
June 8, 1901.....	1867	602.....	1992	5.....	1784
4208.....	1949	608.....	1868	6.....	1784
10868.....	1927	609.....	1868	7.....	1784
<b>5 CFR</b>		<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		8.....	1786
6.....	1928	507.....	1839, 1883	9.....	1786
24.....	1743	514.....	1884	10.....	1787
325.....	1928	600.....	1801-1804, 1839, 1956-1965	16.....	1788
<b>6 CFR</b>		601.....	1802-1804, 1839, 1840, 1960-1965	17.....	1789
331.....	1905	602.....	1804, 1805, 1966, 1993	65a.....	1789
421.....	1815	<b>16 CFR</b>		888.....	1944
443.....	1816	13.....	1819, 1820, 1873, 1875	<b>33 CFR</b>	
485.....	1867, 1868	<b>17 CFR</b>		202.....	1910
<b>7 CFR</b>		211.....	1940	205.....	1910
4.....	1985	250.....	1942	<b>36 CFR</b>	
352.....	1929	270.....	1865	7.....	1947
362.....	1934	<b>18 CFR</b>		<b>39 CFR</b>	
718.....	1743	154.....	1943	43.....	1947
719.....	1816	<b>19 CFR</b>		45.....	1947
850.....	1905, 1906	10.....	1820	94.....	1947
914.....	1935	<b>21 CFR</b>		96.....	1947
922.....	1908	27.....	1770	168.....	1948
933.....	1936	120.....	1943	<b>42 CFR</b>	
946.....	1747	121.....	1772, 1944	75.....	1911
953.....	1817, 1937	146a.....	1909	<b>43 CFR</b>	
954.....	1756	281.....	1820	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
965.....	1763	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		192.....	1877
987.....	1817	120.....	1956	<b>PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:</b>	
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		121.....	1800, 1801, 1884, 1913	808.....	1885, 1950
53.....	1913	<b>22 CFR</b>		1312.....	1867
902.....	1838	121.....	1821	2058.....	1867
904.....	1879	122.....	1823	2059.....	1867
972.....	1791	123.....	1824	2060.....	1949
990.....	1879	124.....	1827	2061.....	1949
996.....	1879	125.....	1827	2062.....	1950
999.....	1879	126.....	1828	<b>46 CFR</b>	
1019.....	1879	127.....	1829	172.....	1912
1021.....	1954	128.....	1829	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
1065.....	1955	<b>25 CFR</b>		201-380.....	1955
<b>9 CFR</b>		48.....	1829	<b>47 CFR</b>	
73.....	1873	124.....	1831	1.....	1950, 1985
131.....	1937, 1938	<b>26 (1954) CFR</b>		13.....	1950
155.....	1763	1.....	1833, 1954	16.....	1834
<b>12 CFR</b>		48.....	1773	17.....	1952
204.....	1818	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
<b>14 CFR</b>		1.....	1954	3.....	1967, 1993
40.....	1987	<b>30 CFR</b>		<b>49 CFR</b>	
41.....	1987	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		139.....	1986
42.....	1987	25.....	1877	145.....	1837
60.....	1764, 1987	<b>31 CFR</b>		186.....	1837
302.....	1868	500.....	1910		
375.....	1767				
501.....	1938				

