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Title 14—Aeronautics and Space
CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
[Aviationworthiness Docket No. 72-WE-20-AD, Amndt. 30-1910]
PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE
McDonnell-Douglas Model DC-8 Series Airplane

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive requiring inspections of McDonnell-Douglas DC-8 control column castings for cracks was published in 38 FR 888 on January 5, 1973.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking proceedings. Several comments were received and have been considered. One commentator stated that a number of technical errors in the AD, particularly since a failure would most likely be discovered during the preflight control check. Although total failures might be discovered in this procedure, the final AD has been revised accordingly.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to the Administrator, this amendment becomes effective May 7, 1973, as follows:

This amendment to Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is intended to provide for the detection of cracks and the prevention of failure of the control column, a serviceable part, or rework of the control column. The final AD has been revised accordingly.

This amendment becomes effective May 7, 1973.

In accordance with the instructions in McDonnell Douglas All Operator Letter 8-635 issued October 11, 1972, or later FAA-approved revisions, or equivalent inspection technique approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA western region, if cracks are found, remove and replace with a serviceable part, or rework in accordance with a method approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA western region.

The amendment becomes effective May 7, 1973.


Robert O. Blanchard,
Acting Director, FAA Western Region.

[FR Doc. 73-6259 Filed 4-4-73; 8:15 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-97-10]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending §71.161 of part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the St. Petersburg, Fla., transition area (38 FR 4111).

Because of changing requirements, the St. Petersburg, Fla., RBN is scheduled for decommissioning and therefore must be deleted from the description of the transition area. This alteration of the description is editorial in nature and thus does not constitute a substantive change imposing an additional burden on any person.

In view of the foregoing, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

Thus, the Federal Aviation Administration having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of St. Petersburg, Fla., adopts the airspace action hereinafter set forth, effective 0091 G.M.T. May 24, 1973:

1. Amend §71.161 of Part 71, Federal Aviation Regulations, by amending the description of the St. Petersburg, Fla., 700±foot floor transition area by deleting the phrase “Within 3.5 miles each side of a 340° bearing from the Ginter RBN…” from the text.

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 20, 1973.

Robert H. Stanton,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc. 73-6259 Filed 4-4-73; 8:15 am]

[Doctet No. 12558, Admt. 853]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the standard instrument approach procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA forms 3130, 8250-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rulemaking docket of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in amendment No. 37-699 (38 FR 6090).

SIAPs are available for examination at the rules docket at and the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.
SIAP's adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAP's may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ–405, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. 20591, or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $150 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for $30 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR–VOR/DME SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, VOR Runway 7L/R, Amdt. 9.**
- **Effective May 17, 1973:**

2. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following SDF–LOC–LDA SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, LOC (SC) Runway 6L, Amdt. 4.**

3. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, RNAV Runway 6L, Amdt. 1.**

4. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, ILS Runway 25L, Amdt. 1.**

5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, Radar–1, Amdt. 29, Canceled.**

6. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following Navigation Radar SIAP's, effective May 17, 1973:

- **Los Angeles, Calif.—Los Angeles International Airport, RNAV Runway 6L, Amdt. 1.**

In Docket No. 12646, amendment 855, to part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, published in the Federal Register dated Thursday, March 22, 1973, on page 7463, under § 97.23 effective May 3, 1973, it should read in part—**Mattoon–Charleston, Ill.—Coles County Memorial Airport, VOR Runway 6, Amdt. 5, effective date is May 10, 1973, vice May 3, 1973.**

In Docket No. 12630, amendment 855, to part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, published in the Federal Register dated Thursday, March 15, 1973, on page 6890, under § 97.23 effective May 5, 1973, disregard West Bend, Wis.—West Bend Municipal Airport, VOR Runway 31, Amdt. 4 * * * Amdt. 3 remains in effect.

(Secs. 307, 312, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1344, 1421, 1306; 14 CFR 1110; sec. 5(c) Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1555(c); 8 U.S.C. 602(a)(1))


JAMES F. RUDOLPH,
Director,
Flight Standards Service.

Notes: Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.26 (35 FR 5910) approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969.

[FR Doc.73–693 Filed 4–4–73; 8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices
CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—PROCEEDURES AND RULES OF PRACTICE

PART 4—MISCELLANEOUS RULES

Confidential Information

The Commission announces the following amendment to § 4.10(a) (5) of part 4 of chapter I of title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This amendment is effective on April 5, 1973.

The last sentence of § 4.10(a) (5) is hereby deleted, so that the section reads as follows:

§ 4.10 Confidential information.

(a) * * *

(5) Investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes except to the extent available by law to a private party. This exemption applies to all matters, including sources of information or complaints, in files or reports compiled for law enforcement or regulatory activities of the Commission, or relating to matters in litigation. The exemption covers, but is not limited to, information obtained by the Commission relating to alleged or possible violations of laws administered.
by the Commission, which information may be in many forms, including letters, reports, and interviews conducted by Commission personnel, memorandums, transcripts of testimony in nonpublic investigational hearings and documents, reports, and related materials during the course of investigation, and other forms. 


CHARLES A. TORIN,
Secretary.

FR Doc.73-6542 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am

[Docket No. C-2360]

PART 15—PROHIBITED TRADE
PRACTICES
ARA Services, Inc.

Subpart—Acquiring corporate stock or assets: § 13.3
Acquiring corporate stock or assets: § 13.3

Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 41)

In the Matter of ARA Services, Inc., a Corporation

Consent order requiring the Nation's largest wholesaler of periodicals and paperback books, located in Philadelphia, Pa., among other things to divest itself of certain acquisitions challenged as anticompetitive by the Commission. Respondent is further prohibited from acquiring any corporate stock or assets without prior Federal Trade Commission approval and required to cease coercing and intimidating its competitors.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance thereunder, is as follows:

I. It is ordered, That respondent, ARA Services, Inc. (hereafter ARA), a corporation, and its successors and assigns, shall divest all stocks, assets, properties, financing, and employees, successors and assigns, acquired by ARA as the result of its acquisitions of stock or assets of the following periodical and paperback book wholesaling operations:

1. Mid-Continent Reship of Rome, Ga.;
2. Illinois and Iowa News Agency of Davenport, Iowa;
3. A portion of its territory in the Los Angeles metropolitan area totaling net sales of at least $3 billion, as of at least $3 billion in 1972 fiscal year figures: Provided, however, That the sale of such territory shall include all assets necessary to establish a viable periodical and paperback book wholesale operation.

All said divestitures shall be to a party who will utilize said stocks, assets, properties, rights, privileges, and interests of whatsoever nature, tangible and intangible, acquired by ARA as the result of its acquisitions of stock or assets of the following periodical and paperback book wholesaling operations.

All said divestitures shall be absolute, shall be subject to prior approval by the Federal Trade Commission, and shall be accomplished no later than 1 year from the date of service of this order on respondent.

II. It is further ordered, That the divestiture required by paragraph I of this order shall not be effected directly or indirectly to any person who is an officer, director, employee, or agent of or otherwise under the control or influence of respondent, or who owns or controls directly or indirectly, more than 1 percent of the outstanding capital stock of respondents.

III. It is further ordered, That, within 60 days from the date of service of this order upon respondent, and every 30 days thereafter until all divestitures pursuant to this order are accomplished, respondent shall submit, in writing, to the Federal Trade Commission a report setting forth in detail the manner and form in which respondent intends to comply, is complying, or has complied with the order. All compliance reports shall include, among other things that are of time to time required, (a) the steps taken to accomplish the required divestiture; and, (b) copies of all documents, reports, memoranda, communications, and correspondence concerning or relating to the divestitures.

IV. It is further ordered, That respondent shall make no acquisition, directly or indirectly, of any concern, or any interest in any concern, engaged in periodical and paperback book wholesaling operations until the divestiture required by this order shall have been completed.

Pending completion of such divestiture respondent shall maintain and operate the business of wholesale distribution of periodical and paperback book wholesaling operations in the same manner and form as of the date the complaint herein issued, and shall not engage in any assets, properties, financing, business, or operations of such assets with its own, and shall take no steps to impair or otherwise adversely affect the economic, competitive and financial strength of any such operation.

V. It is further ordered, That, for a period of 10 years from the date of approval of the last divestiture required by this order, respondent shall, without prior Commission approval, cease and desist from acquiring, directly or indirectly, (1) Any concern, or any interest in any concern, engaged in any periodical and paperback book wholesale operation where the principal service area of such concern is located in California, District of Columbia, Hawaii, or Oklahoma; (2) any periodical and paperback book wholesale operation in the United States as of at least $3 billion in 1972, where such concern is 25 percent or more reship sales; (3) any city operation for the sale of periodicals and paperbacks at wholesale, including any reship distributors, where the principal service area of such concern is adjacent to, or in whole or in part competitive with the principal service area of city operation owned or controlled by respondent or any wholesaler of periodicals or paperback books, provided that prior approval shall not be required if at the time of any acquisition of a wholesaler of periodicals or paperback books respondent has previously and subsequent to the date of service of this order made sales or other divestitures (in addition to those divestitures enumerated in paragraph I of this order) to an eligible purchaser or purchasers of one or more of respondent's periodical and paperback book wholesaling operations accounting for a total annual volume of net wholesale sales at least equal to the annual volume of net wholesale sales of periodical and paperback books of the wholesaler and provided further that any acquisition for which prior Commission approval shall not be required shall be preceded by 60 days notice to the Federal Trade Commission. Said notice shall be accompanied by a complete merger report, describing the operation or operations to be acquired and the operations divested and the market values of each of the dollar asset size and gross and net dollar and unit sales of each such operation, the geographic area served by each, and such additional information as may be required by the Federal Trade Commission.

Provided, however, That nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as having application to, or limiting in any manner whatsoever, any other proceeding or investigation initiated by the Federal Trade Commission and that the Federal Trade Commission reserves the right to take further action including the issuance of a complaint with respect to transactions of the nature described in this paragraph in the event that it shall not at any time in the future believe that any of such transactions may violate any of the statutes administered by it.

VI. It is further ordered, That, respondent, ARA Services, Inc., a corporation, its officers, agents, representatives and employees, successors and assigns, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, shall not:

1. Exclude or attempt to exclude actual or potential competition for the sale of periodical and paperback publications by agreements or understanding, expressed or implied, between respondent and its competitors or potential competitors, or by threats, expressed or implied, made by respondent to its competitors or potential competitors.
2. Exclude or attempt to exclude actual or potential competition for the sale of periodical and paperback publications by attempting to influence publishers.
RULES AND REGULATIONS

and/or national distributors of periodicals and paperbacks not to supply their publications to its competitors or potential competitors.
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IX. It is further ordered, that respondent shall not repurchase any wholesale or retail distributor sold by it within 10 years preceding the date of approval of the last divestiture required by this order.

X. It is further ordered, That respondent shall notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change which may affect compliance obligations arising out of this order, such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any change in respondent, and that this order shall be binding upon any successor.

XI. It is further ordered, That respondent shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

XII. It is further ordered, That respondent shall within 60 days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this order.


By the Commission.

[SEAL]

CHARLES A. TOOM, Secretary.

[Filed Doc.73-6534 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 8867]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Consolidated Systems, Inc., et al.


1. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondent Consolidated Systems, Inc., is a trucking company; misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature of respondents' business.
PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Berkshire Handkerchief Co., Inc., et al.

Subpart—Importing, manufacturing, selling, or transporting flammable wear: § 13.1060 Importing, manufacturing, selling or transporting flammable wear.

(b) Representing, directly or by implication, that the balance of the cost of respondents' courses will not be paid until after the student has completed the course and obtained employment as a truckdriver; (c) Misrepresenting, in any manner, the terms or conditions under which payment may be made for respondents' courses.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 10 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning: (1) The identity of the respondents, (2) The number of product, fabric, or related material which gave rise to the complaint, (3) Any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said product, and effect the recall of said products from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) Any disposition of said products since October 14, 1970, (5) Any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said products into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products, and the results of such action, and (6) Any action taken or proposed to be taken to return said products to the foreign supplier from whom said products were purchased, and the results of such action. Such report shall further inform the Commission as to whether or not respondents have in inventory any product, fabric, or related material having a plain surface and made of cotton and acetate, nylon and acetate, rayon, cotton, or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of 2 ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric or related material having a raised fiber surface. Upon request, respondents shall submit samples of not less than 1 square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That the respondents notify the Commission at least 10 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.


By the Commission.

[Seal]

CHARLES A. TOWN, Secretary.
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notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and their affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business or employment in which they are engaged as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.


By the Commission.

[Seal]  CHARLES A. TORN, Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-6530 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2361]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Lace of France, Inc., and Otto Heller


In the Matter of Lace of France, Inc., a Corporation, and Otto Heller, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring a New York City seller and distributor of textile fiber products, among other things to cease manufacturing for sale, selling, importing, or distributing any product, fabric, or related material which fails to conform to an applicable standard of flammability or regulation issued under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents Lace of France, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers and Otto Heller, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from selling, offering for sale, in commerce, or importing into the United States, or introducing, delivering for introduction, transporting or causing to be transported, in commerce, or selling or delivering after sale or shipment in commerce, any product, fabric or related material; or manufacturing for sale, selling or offering for sale, any product made of fabric or related material which has been shipped or received in commerce as "commerce", "product", "fabric", or related material having a plain surface and form in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which product, fabric, or related material fails to conform to an applicable standard of flammability or regulation issued, amended or continued in effect, under the provisions of the aforesaid act.

It is ordered, That the respondents notify all of their customers who have purchased or to whom have been delivered the fabric which gave rise to the complaint, of the flammable nature of said fabric and effect the recall of said fabric from such customers.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein each process the fabric which gave rise to the complaint so as to bring it into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said fabric.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 10 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission an interim special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning: (1) The identity of the fabric which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the amount of said fabric in inventory, (3) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said fabric and effect the recall of said fabric from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) any disposition of said fabric since March 23, 1971, and (5) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said fabric into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said fabric and the results of such action. Such report shall further inform the Commission as to whether or not respondents have in inventory any product, fabric, or related material having a plain surface and form in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist contained herein.

Issued: March 6, 1973.

By the Commission.

[Seal]  CHARLES A. TORN, Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-6533 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2369]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Massry Importing Co., Ltd., et al.


In the Matter of Massry Importing Co., Ltd., a Corporation, and Louis Massry and Isaac Massry, Individually and as Officers of the Corporation

Consent order requiring a New York City manufacturer, seller, and distributor of merchandise including women's scarves, among other things to cease manufacturing for sale, selling, importing, or distributing any product, fabric, or related material which fails to conform to an applicable standard of flammability or regulation issued under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Massry Importing Co., Ltd., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Louis Massry and Isaac Massry individually and as officers of said corporation and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device do forthwith cease and desist from manufacturing for sale,
It is further ordered, That respondents notify all of their customers who have purchased or to whom have been delivered the products which gave rise to this complaint, of the flammable nature of said products and effect the recall of said products from such customers.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein either process the products which gave rise to the complaint so as to bring them into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products.

It is further ordered, That respondents herein shall, within 10 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning: (1) The identity of the products which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the identity of the purchasers of said products, (3) the amount of said products on hand and in the channels of commerce, (4) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said products and to process the products. Such report shall further inform the Commission as to whether or not respondents have in inventory any product, fabric, or related material having a plain and non-flammable surface and made of paper, silk, rayon, and acetate, nylon and acetate, rayon, cotton or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of 2 ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric, or related material having a raised fiber surface. Respondents shall submit samples of not less than 1 square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein either process the products which gave rise to the complaint so as to bring them into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.


By the Commission.

[SEAL]

CHARLES A. TOHN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 73-6322 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. C-2358]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Norcrest China Co. and Hide Nalto

Subpart—Importing, manufacturing, selling, or transporting flammable wear:

(1) interpret, or any disposition of said products from customers, and of the results thereof, (5) any disposition of said products since December 3, 1970, and (6) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said products into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended. It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any product, fabric, or related material having a plain and non-flammable surface and made of paper, silk, rayon and acetate, nylon and acetate, rayon, cotton, or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of 2 ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric, or related material having a raised fiber surface. Respondents shall submit samples of not less than 1 square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning: (1) The identity of the products which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the number of said products in inventory, (3) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said products and effect the recall of said products from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) any disposition of said products since May 1, 1971, and (5) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said products into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products.

It is further ordered, That respondents herein shall, within 10 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.


Consent order requiring a Portland, Oreg., importer and wholesaler of scarves, ceramics, and accessories, among other things, to cease selling, importing, or distributing any product, fabric, or related material having a plain surface and made of paper, silk, rayon and acetate, nylon and acetate, rayon, cotton, or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of 2 ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric, or related material having a raised fiber surface. Respondents shall submit samples of not less than 1 square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning: (1) The identity of the products which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the number of said products in inventory, (3) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said products and effect the recall of said products from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) any disposition of said products since May 1, 1971, and (5) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said products into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or other changes which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.
any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within 60 days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.


By the Commission.

[Seal]

CHARLES A. TORKIN, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 73-6351 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs
CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL
PART 1—REGULATIONS FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT AND THE FAIR PACKAGING AND LABELING ACT
SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS
PART 167—IN VITRO DIAGNOSTIC PRODUCTS FOR HUMAN USE
Labeling Requirements and Procedures for Development of Standards for In Vitro Diagnostic Products for Human Use; Correction
In F.R. Doc. 73-5057, appearing at page 7006 in the issue of Thursday, March 15, 1973, the reference in § 167.2(d) (1) (ix) on page 7100 reading "paragraphs (b) (2), (b) (3), (b) (4), (b) (5), and (b) (7) of this section" was corrected to read "subdivisions (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi) of this subparagraph".

WILLIAM P. RANDOLPH, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 73-6482 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

PART 2—ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS, PRACTICES, AND PROCEDURES
Subpart H—Delegations of Authority
Approval of Schools Providing Food-Processing Instruction
Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Sec.
701(a), 52 Stat. 1055; 21 U.S.C. 371(a)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120), part 2 is amended to include delegations of authority regarding approval of schools providing food-processing instruction.
Accordingly, § 2.121 is amended by adding paragraph (w), as follows:

§ 2.121 Delegations of authority from the Commissioner to other officers of the Administration.

(w) Delegations regarding approval of schools providing food-processing instruction.—The Director and Deputy Director of the Bureau of Foods are authorized to perform all of the functions of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs under § 128b.10 of this chapter regarding the approval of schools giving instruction in retort operations, processing systems operations, aeologic processing and packaging systems operations, and container closure inspections.

Effective date.—This order shall be effective on April 4, 1973.

(Sec. 701 (a), 52 Stat. 1055; 21 U.S.C. 371 (a))


WILLIAM P. RANDOLPH, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 73-6481 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

PART 8—COLOR ADDITIVES
Subpart H—Listing of Color Additives for Cosmetic Use Exempt From Certification

TITANIUM DIOXIDE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, based on a petition filed by Markel & Hill (presently Markel, Hill, and Byerley), counsel for the titanium dioxide group, Washington, D.C., and other relevant information, finds that titanium dioxide is safe and suitable for use as a color additive in or on cosmetics under the conditions prescribed in this order and that certification is not necessary for the protection of the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 706 (b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399-403; 21 U.S.C. 376 (b), (c), and (d)), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), part 8 is amended by adding a new section to subpart H, as follows:

§ 8.8001 Titanium dioxide.

(a) Identity and specifications.—The color additive titanium dioxide shall conform in identity and specifications to the requirements on § 8.316 (a) (1) and (b).

(b) Uses and restrictions.—The color additive titanium dioxide may be safely used in cosmetics, including cosmetics intended for use in the area of the eye, in amounts consistent with good manufacturing practice.

(c) Labeling requirements.—The color additive and any mixtures prepared therefrom intended solely or in part for coloring purposes shall bear, in addition to any other information required by law, labeling in accordance with the provisions of § 8.52.

(d) Exception from certification.—Certification of this color additive is not necessary for the protection of the public health, and therefore batches thereof are exempt from certification pursuant to section 706 (b) of the Act.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time on or before May 7, 1973, file with the hearing clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, room 6-06, 6000 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order, specify in particular the provisions of the order deemed objectionable, and state the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections shall state the issues for the hearing, shall be supported by grounds factually and legally sufficient to justify the relief sought, and shall include a detailed description and analysis of the factual information intended to be presented in support of the objections in the event that a hearing is held. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Six copies of all documents shall be filed. Received objections may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Effective date.—This order shall become effective on July 1, 1973, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections. Notice of the filing of objections or the lack thereof will be announced by publication in the Federal Register.

(Sec. 706 (b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399-403; 21 U.S.C. 376 (b), (c), and (d))


WILLIAM P. RANDOLPH, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[F.R. Doc. 73-6482 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

PART 8—COLOR ADDITIVES
Subpart H—Listing of Color Additives for Cosmetic Use Exempt From Certification

PYRHOMEYLITE

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, based on a petition filed by R. T. Vanderbilt Co., Inc., New York, N.Y., and other relevant information, finds that pyrhomeylite is safe and suitable for use as a color additive in or on cosmetics under the conditions prescribed in this order, and that certification is not necessary for the protection of the public health.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act ( secs. 706 (b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399-403; 21 U.S.C. 376 (b), (c), and (d)), and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), part 8 is amended by adding a new section to subpart H, as follows:

§ 8.8003 Pyrhomeylite.

(a) Identity and specifications.—The color additive pyrhomeylite shall conform
in identity and specifications to the require-
ments of § 360b(b), (c), and (d).

(c) Labeling requirements. — The labeling of the color additive and any mixtures prepared therefrom intended solely or in part for coloring purposes shall conform to all applicable requirements of law, including the requirements of § 332.

(d) Exemption from certification. — Certification of this color additive is not necessary for the protection of the public health and therefore batches thereof are exempt from the certification requirements of section 706(c) of the act.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time on or before May 1, 1973, file with the hearing clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, room 6-68, 6600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, written objections thereto. Objections shall show cause in fact why the order should not be made effective in whole or in part. Objections shall be supported by data factually and legally sufficient to justify the relief sought, and shall include a detailed description and analysis of the factual information intended to be presented in support of the objections in the event that a hearing is held. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Six copies of all documents shall be filed. Received objections may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Effective date. — This order shall become effective June 4, 1973, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections. Notice of the filing of objections or lack thereof will be announced by publication in the Federal Register.

(Sec. 706(b), (c), and (d), 74 Stat. 399-403; 21 U.S.C. 360(b), (c), and (d))


WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
For Compliance.

[RFR Doc.73-6484 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart A—Color Additives Permitted in Food and Drinking Water of Animals for the Treatment of Food-Producing Animals

Subpart B—Color Additives Permitted in Food and Drinking Water of Animals for the Treatment of Food-Producing Animals

Subpart C—Drugs

PART 135e—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

Thiabendazole

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (43-141V) filed by Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories, Division of Merck and Co., Inc., 720 Paragon Road, Piscataway, N.J. 08854, proposing the safe and effective use of thiabendazole in a premix in the manufacture of finished feeds as an anthelmintic for cattle. The application is approved.

This order also provides for recodification of the existing regulation concerning thiabendazole in feeds from part 121 into part 135e in accordance with § 3.517 (21 CFR 3.517).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Sec. 512(1)), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1)), in accordance with § 3.517, and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), parts 121 and 135e are amended as follows:

1. In part 121, § 121.250 is revised in the heading and in the text to read in full as follows:

§ 121.250 Thiabendazole; tolerances for residues.

Tolerances are established for residues of the fungicide thiabendazole as follows:

(a) High or intermediate toxicity residues in or on dried citrus pulp from the post-harvest application to the raw agricultural commodity citrus fruits.

(b) Three and a half parts per million in or on dried and/or dehydrated sugar beet pulp for livestock feed, such residues resulting from application to growing sugar beets.

(c) Thirty-three parts per million in or on dried apple pomace from post-harvest application to the raw agricultural commodity apples.

2. Part 135e is amended by adding the following new section:

§ 135e.26 Thiabendazole.

(a) Chemical name.—2-(4'-Thiazolyl)-benzimidazole.

(b) Specifications.—Conforms to N.F. XX specifications.

(c) Approvals.—In dry premix, levels of 22, 44, 66.1 percent. The 66.1 percent level is solely for the manufacture of cane molasses liquid supplement which is mixed in dry feeds; for sponsor see code No. 023 in § 135.501(c) of this chapter.

(d) Assay limits.—Finished feed containing not more than 7 percent thiabendazole: 85-115 percent of labeled amount. Finished feed containing 7 percent or more of thiabendazole: 50-110 percent of labeled amount.

3. Special considerations.—Maximum level permitted in a medicated supplement: 9.9 percent. Not to be used in feeds containing bentonite.

(f) Related tolerances.—See § 135.39 of this chapter.

(g) Conditions of use.

Principal ingredient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thiabendazole</td>
<td>3 grams per 100 lb. body weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for cattle: 3 gm. per 100 lb. body weight at a single dose may repeat once in 2 to 3 weeks; for feed shall contain within 3 days of slaughter; milk taken from treated cattle within 24 hours (6 milking) after the latest treatment must not be used for food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Thiabendazole</td>
<td>5 grams per 100 lb. body weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for cattle: 5 gm. per 100 lb. body weight at a single dose may repeat once in 2 to 3 weeks; for feed shall contain within 3 days of slaughter; milk taken from treated cattle within 24 hours (6 milking) after the latest treatment must not be used for food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Thiabendazole</td>
<td>3 grams per 100 lb. body weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for sheep and goats: 2 gm. per 100 lb. body weight.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for feed shall contain once in 2 to 3 weeks; for feed shall contain within 3 days of slaughter; milk taken from treated cattle within 24 hours (6 milking) after the latest treatment must not be used for food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Thiabendazole</td>
<td>3 grams per 100 lb. body weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for goats: 3 gm. per 100 lb. body weight at a single dose may repeat once in 2 to 3 weeks; for feed shall contain within 3 days of slaughter; milk taken from treated cattle within 24 hours (6 milking) after the latest treatment must not be used for food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Thiabendazole</td>
<td>45.4 mg per gram per (0.000-0.005) per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for sheep and goats: 0.068-0.04 mg per gram per (0.000-0.005) per cent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for feed shall contain within 3 days of slaughter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date. — This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.

(Sec. 512(1), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1))


FRED J. KINZEA, Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

[RFR Doc.73-600 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

ADDITIVES

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having evaluated data in a petition (PAP 1H261) filed by Olin Chemicals,
RULING AND REGULATIONS

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

March 24, 1972, the following correction is made:

In §121.2531, delete from paragraph (a) (2) the item di(2-ethylhexyl) azelate, since the use of this substance is contemplated in paragraph (a) (1) by incorporating substances listed under paragraph (a) (2) wherein the item is also listed.


WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart F—Food Additives Resulting From Contact With Containers or Equipment and Food Additives Otherwise Affecting Food

Subpart C—Sponsors of Approved Applications

Chloramphenicol Capsules, Veterinary

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (35-187V) filed by McKesson Laboratories, Bridgeport, Conn. 06602, proposing revised labeling for safe and effective use of chloramphenicol capsules for the treatment of dogs. The supplemental application is approved.

The firm is being assigned a code number and added to the list of sponsors in §135.501 (a) of part 135.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Sec. 512(c), 82 Stat. 347) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), parts 135 and 135c are amended as follows:

1. Section 135.501 (a) is amended by adding a new code number 091 as follows:

§ 135.501 Names, addresses, and code numbers of sponsors of approved applications.

Add the following:

Code No.: Firm name and address

091 McKesson Laboratories, Bridgeport, Conn. 06602.

2. Part 135c is amended in §135c.63 by adding a new paragraph (b) (3) as follows:

§ 135c.63 Chloramphenicol capsules, veterinary.

Add the following:

(b) * * *

(3) For chloramphenicol capsules containing 100 and 250 milligrams of chloramphenicol, see code No. 091 in §135.501 (c) of this chapter.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.

Sec. 512 (c), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b (1) .


C.D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director,
Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.
PART 135b—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR IMPLANTATION OR INJECTION

Sodium Pentobarbital Injection

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (4-536V) filed by Pfizer-McKesson, Washington Crossing, N.J. 08660, proposing revised labeling for the safe and effective use of sodium pentobarbital for the treatment of dogs, cats, and horses. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(1), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), the following new section is added to part 135b:

§ 135b.84 Sodium pentobarbital injection.

(a) Specifications. Sodium pentobarbital injection is sterile and contains in each milliliter 64.8 milligrams of sodium pentobarbital.

(b) Sponsor. See code No. 065 in § 135.501(c) of this chapter.

(c) Conditions of use. (1) The drug is indicated for use as a general anesthetic in dogs and cats. Although it may be used as a general surgical anesthetic for horses, it is not recommended for use in cats as a low dose to cause sedation and hypnosis and may be supplemented with a local anesthetic. It may also be used in dogs for the symptomatic treatment of strychnine poisoning.

(2) The drug is administered intravenously "to effect." For general surgical anesthesia, the usual dose is 11 to 13 milligrams per pound of body weight. For sedation, the usual dose is approximately 2 milligrams per pound of body weight. For relieving convulsive seizures in dogs, when caused by strychnine, the injection should be administered intravenously "to effect." The drug may be given intraperitoneally if desired. However, the results of such injections are less uniform. When given intraperitoneally, it is administered at the same dosage level as for intravenous administration. The dose must be reduced for animals showing undernourishment, toxemia, shock and similar conditions.

(3) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.

(1) Premix level 0.0345 percent clonidine and 0.0133 percent 3-nitro-4-hydroxyphenylarsonic acid for use in feedstuffs for use in dogs and cats at a maximum of 30 milliliters intravenously.

(2) Premix level 0.0345 percent clonidine and 0.0138 percent 3-nitro-4-hydroxyphenylarsonic acid for use in feedstuffs for use in dogs and cats at a maximum of 30 milliliters intravenously.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.
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part 135e is amended in §135e.54 in the table in paragraph (f) by revising the text in the “Indications for use” column for item 1 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount Limitations</th>
<th>Indications for use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dienhydroxy......</td>
<td>* * *</td>
<td>For the removal and control of mature, immature, and/or fourth stage larvae of the whipworm (Trichuris suis), nodular worms (Oxyurus sp.), large roundworm (Ascaris suum) and the thick stomach worm (Dracunculus medinensis) of the gastrointestinal tract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date.—This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.


PART 135e—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

Levamisole Hydrochloride (Equivalent)

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a supplemental new animal drug application (43-460V), filed by American Cyanamid Co., P.O. Box 400, Princeton, N.J. 08540, proposing an additional effective use for levamisole hydrochloride (equivalent) in swine feed. The supplemental application is approved.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(1), 29 Stat. 447; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), part 135e is amended in §135e.54 in paragraph (f) under the “Indications for Use” column for item 2, by changing the period at the end of the present text to a comma and adding to the present text the words “intestinal threadworms (Strongyloides ransomi).”

Effective date.—This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.

(Doc. 512(1), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(1))


FRED J. KINGMA, Acting Director, Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.

PART 146a—CERTIFICATION OF PENICILLIN AND PENICILLIN-CONTAINING DRUGS

§146a.16, 146a.17, 146a.18, and 146a-122 [Revoked]

3. Sections 146a.10, 146a.17, 146a.18, and 146a.122 are revoked.

4. The following new Part 149k is added to this chapter:

PART 149k—PHENETHICILLIN

Sec.

149k.1 Phenethicillin potassium.
149k.2—149k.10 [Revoked]
149k.11 Phenethicillin potassium tablets.
149k.12 Phenethicillin potassium for oral solution.


§149k.1 Phenethicillin potassium.

(a) Requirements for certification—

(1) Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity. Phenethicillin potassium is the DI-a-phenoxyethyl penicillin potassium salt. It is so purified and dried that:

(i) Its potency is not less than 1,338 units per milligram.
(ii) It passes the safety test.
(iii) Its loss on drying is not more than 1.5 percent.

(iv) Its pH in an aqueous solution of 5,000 units to 10,000 units per milliliter is not less than 4.0 and not more than 7.5.

(v) Its phenethicillin content is not less than 81.5 percent.
(vi) It contains not less than 55 percent and not more than 75 percent of L-phenethicillin potassium.

(vii) It is crystalline.

(viii) It passes the identity test.

(2) Labeling. In addition to the labeling requirements prescribed by §148.3(b) of this chapter, each package shall bear on its outside wrapper or container and the immediate container the following statement “For use in the manufacture of nonparenteral drugs only.”

(3) Requests for certification: samples. In addition to complying with the requirements of §146.2 of this chapter, each such request shall contain:

(i) Results of tests and assays on the batch for potency, safety, loss on drying, pH, phenethicillin content, L-phenethicillin potassium content, crystallinity, and identity.

(ii) Samples required: 10 packages, each containing approximately 500 milligrams.

(b) Tests and methods of assay—

(1) Total potency. Assay for total potency by either of the following methods; however, the results obtained from the iodometric assay shall be conclusive.

(i) Iodometric assay. Proceed as directed in §141.506 of this chapter.

(ii) Hydroxylamine colorimetric assay. Proceed as directed in §141.507 of this chapter.

(2) Safety. Proceed as directed in §141.15 of this chapter.
(3) Loss on drying. Proceed as directed in §141.501(b) of this chapter.

(4) pH. Proceed as directed in §141.503 of this chapter, using an aqueous solution containing 5,000 units to 10,000 units per milliliter.

(5) Phenethicillin content. Accurately weigh approximately 50 milligrams each of the sample and L-phenethicillin working standard into separate 100-milliliter volumetric flasks. Dissolve and dilute to volume with distilled water. Using a suitable spectrophotometer equipped with a 1-centimeter quartz cell, and distilled water as the blank, set the instrument to 0.1 unit of L-phenethicillin per milliliter. Prepare an accurately weighed portion of L-phenethicillin working standard in sufficient sterile distilled water to give a stock solution of convenient concentration. Further dilute an aliquot of the stock solution with sufficient 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 3), to obtain an activity estimated to be equivalent to 0.1 unit of L-phenethicillin per milliliter. Proceed as directed in §141.110 of this chapter, preparing the sample (D-phenethicillin working standard) for assay as follows: Dissolve an accurately weighed sample in sufficient sterile distilled water to give a stock solution of convenient concentration. Further dilute an aliquot of the stock solution with sufficient 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 3), to obtain an activity estimated to be equivalent to 0.1 unit of L-phenethicillin per milliliter.

(6) L-phenethicillin potassium content. (a) Microbiological assay of L-phenethicillin potassium equivalent (microbiological agar diffusion assay). Using the L-phenethicillin working standard, proceed as directed in §141.110 of this chapter, preparing the sample for assay as follows: DissOLVE AN ACCURATELY WEIGHTED SAMPLE IN SUFFICIENT STERILE DISTILLED WATER TO GIVE A STOCK SOLUTION OF CONVENIENT CONCENTRATION. FURTHER DILUTE AN ALIQUOT OF THE STOCK SOLUTION WITH SUFFICIENT 0.1M POTASSIUM PHOSPHATE BUFFER, pH 6.0 (SOLUTION 3), TO OBTAIN AN ACTIVITY ESTIMATED TO BE EQUIVALENT TO 0.1 UNIT OF L-PHENETHICILLIN PER MILLILITER.

(b) The batch: A minimum of 36 tablets.

(b) Test and methods of assay.—(1) Potency. Proceed as directed in §141.506 of this chapter, preparing the sample as follows: Place a representative number of tablets into a high-speed blender jar containing sufficient 1 percent potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 1), to give a stock solution of convenient concentration. Blend for 3 to 5 minutes. If necessary, further dilute an aliquot of the stock solution with solution 1 to obtain an assay solution containing 2,000 units per milliliter (estimated).

(2) Moisture. Proceed as directed in §141.502 of this chapter.

(3) Disintegration time. Proceed as directed in §141.540 of this chapter.

§149k.12 Phenethicillin potassium for oral solution.

(a) Requirements for certification.—

(1) Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity. Phenethicillin potassium for oral solution is composed of phenethicillin potassium, with or without one or more suitable and harmless coloring, flavoring, preservatives, and other substances, such as diluents, binders, lubricants, and the like, that do not affect the identity, strength, quality, and purity of the drug substance. Phenethicillin potassium solution is composed of phenethicillin potassium equivalent to 25 milligrams of phenethicillin, its potency is satisfactory if it contains not less than 99 percent and not more than 125 percent of the number of units or milligrams of phenethicillin that it is represented to contain. Its moisture content is not more than 1 percent. The phenethicillin potassium used conforms to the standards prescribed by §149k.1(a)(1).

(2) Labeling.—It shall be labeled in accordance with the requirements of §149.3 of this chapter.

(3) Requests for certification; samples. In addition to complying with the requirements of §149.3 of this chapter, each such request shall contain:

(i) Results of tests and analyses on:

(a) The phenethicillin potassium used in making the batch for potency, safety, loss on drying, and moisture content.

(b) The batch: A minimum of 5 immediate containers.

(b) Tests and methods of assay.—(1) Potency. Proceed as directed in §141.506 of this chapter, preparing the sample as follows: Reconstitute as directed in the labeling. Dilute an accurately measured representative aliquot with 1 percent potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 1), to the prescribed concentration.

(2) Moisture. Proceed as directed in §141.502 of this chapter.

Effective date.—This order shall become effective May 7, 1973.
Accordingly, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, with respect to providing for the certification of 500 milligram erythromycin stearate tablets.

The Commissioner has concluded that the data supplied by the manufacturer concerning the subject antibiotic drug is adequate to establish its safety and efficacy when used as directed in the labeling and that the regulations should be amended to provide for the certification of this drug.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), part 141 is amended by revising §141.508 to read as follows:

§141.508 Test for metal particles in ophthalmic ointments.

(a) Procedure. Extrude the contents of each of 10 tubes as completely as practicable into separate, clear, glass Petri dishes (60 millimeters in diameter), cover the dishes, and heat to 80° C. to 85° C. for at least 2 hours or until the ointment has melted completely and evenly in the dishes. A higher temperature of 100° C.±2° C. may be used if necessary to allow adequate settling of metal particles. Allow the ointment to cool to room temperature without agitation. Invert each Petri dish on the stage of a suitable microscope adjusted to furnish an eye-piece micrometer disc which has been calibrated at the magnification being used. In addition to the usual source of light, direct an illuminator from above the ointment at a 45° angle. Examine the entire bottom of the Petri dish for metal particles. By varying the intensity of the illuminator from above, such metal particles are recognized by their characteristic reflection of light. Count the total number of metal particles exceeding 50 microns in any single dimension.

(b) Exclusion. The batch is acceptable if (1) a total of not more than 50 such particles is found in 10 tubes; and (2) not more than one tube is found to contain more than eight such particles. If the batch fails the above test, repeat the test on 20 additional tubes of ointment. The total number of metal particles exceeding 50 microns in any single dimension from the 30 tubes tested shall not exceed 150, with not more than three tubes containing more than eight such particles.

Notice and public procedure and delayed effective date are not prerequisites to revision of this regulation because the specifications set forth have been adopted and adopted by manufacturers of the subject products since 1955 and such revision merely clarifies the existing policy.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on April 5, 1973.
to be made to the trunlon pins, bearings, and miscellaneous mechanical parts of these bridges. These regulations will begin on April 12, 1973, and end on May 26, 1973.

This rule is issued without notice of proposed rulemaking. The Coast Guard has found that good cause exists for taking this action on the basis that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay this work.

Accordingly, part 117 of title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by making the first paragraph of §117.535 paragraph (a) and adding a new paragraph (b) to read as follows:


(b) The drawings of the St. Claude Avenue and Florida Avenue Bridges need not open for the passage of vessels from April 12, 1973 through May 26, 1973.


W. M. BENKERT,  
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,  
Chief, Office of Marine Environment and Systems.

[FR Doc.73-5651 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation  
CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION  
SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS  
[S. O. 1969, Amst. 5]  
PART 1033—CAR SERVICE  
New York Dock Railway Authorized To Operate Over Trackage Abandoned by Bush Terminal Railroad Co.

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 30th day of March 1973.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1089 (37 FR 2877, 9118, 15950, 25356 and 25358, and 38 FR 2877), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That: §1033.1089 Service Order No. 1089 (New York Dock Railway authorized to operate over trackage abandoned by Bush Terminal Railroad Co.), Service Order No. 1089 be, and it is hereby, amended by substituting the following paragraph (e) for paragraph (e) of the existing regulations:

(e) Expiration date.—This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., April 30, 1973, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended by order of this Commission.

Effective date.—This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., March 31, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-5651 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries  
CHAPTER I—BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
PART 33—SPORT FISHING  
Bowdoin National Wildlife Refuge, Mont.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on April 5, 1973.

§33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing, for individual wildlife refuge areas.  

MONTANA  

BOWDOIN NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing by rod, reel, and pole, bow and arrow and the capturing of bait fish (Sec. 12(g), 397 U.S.C. 1-17(g)), by shooting and moving bison on Bowdoin National Wildlife Refuge, Phillips County, Mont., is permitted on a...
year-around basis, but only on areas designated by signs as open to fishing. These open areas are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, 7 miles east of Malta, Mont., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 10597 West Sixth Avenue, Denver, Colo. 80215. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through September 30, 1973.

JOHN R. FOSTER,
Refuge Manager, Bowdoin National Wildlife Refuge, Malta, Mont.
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PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Certain National Wildlife Refuges in Montana

The following special regulations are issued and are effective on April 5, 1973.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing, for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MONTANA

NATIONAL BISON RANGE

Sport fishing on the National Bison Range, Moiese, Mont., is only permitted along the portions of the Jocko River as posted. These open areas are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters, one-half mile east of Moiese, Mont. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through September 30, 1973.

NINEPIPE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE (HEADQUARTERS NATIONAL BISON RANGE, MOIESE, MONT.)

Sport fishing is permitted north end of Flathead Lake within the boundaries of the waterfowl production area. Fishing from shore is prohibited from March 1 to July 1. All islands at the mouth of Flathead River are closed to trespass except during the waterfowl hunting season. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1973.

Special regulations: Ninepipe National Wildlife Refuge.

1. Offshore islands are closed to fishing and trespass.
2. Use of boats is prohibited.
3. Vehicles must be parked at designated areas.
4. Motorized travel on the ice is prohibited.
5. No ice fishing shelters may be left overnight.

PALEO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE (HEADQUARTERS NATIONAL BISON RANGE, MOIESE, MONT.)

Sport fishing is closed on Pablo Reservoir during the migratory waterfowl hunting season. Ice fishing is permitted after the closing of the waterfowl hunting season. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through September 30, 1973.

Special regulations: Pablo National Wildlife Refuge.

1. Offshore islands are closed to fishing and trespass.
2. Use of boats is prohibited.
3. Vehicles must be parked at designated areas.
4. Motorized travel on the ice is prohibited.
5. No ice fishing shelters may be left overnight.

NORTHWEST MONTANA WATERFOWL PRODUCTION AREAS (HEADQUARTERS NATIONAL BISON RANGE, MOIESE, MONT.)

Sport fishing is permitted north end of Flathead Lake within the boundaries of the waterfowl production area. Fishing from shore is prohibited from March 1 to July 1. All islands at the mouth of Flathead River are closed to trespass except during the waterfowl hunting season. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1973.

Special regulations: Northwest Montana Waterfowl Production Areas.

1. Vehicle travel is permitted only on designated roads and parking areas.

UL BEND NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing with hook and line and bow and arrow on UL Bend National Wildlife Refuge, Phillips County, Mont., is permitted on a year-around basis on the entire refuge. Maps are available from refuge headquarters, 7 miles east of Malta, Mont., and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 10597 West Sixth Avenue, Denver, Colo. 80215. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 59, Code of Federal Regulations, part 33, and are effective through September 30, 1973.

JOHN R. FOSTER,
Refuge Manager, UL Bend National Wildlife Refuge, Malta, Mont.


[FR Doc.73–6492 Filed 4–4–73; 8:45 am]

Title 38—Pensions, Bonuses, and Veterans' Relief

CHAPTER I—VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

PART 3—ADJUDICATION

Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

DEFINITION OF "WIFE" AND "WIDOW"

On page 3202 of the Federal Register of February 3, 1973, there was published a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend § 3.807 to define "wife" and "widow" to include any husband or widower of a female veteran. Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed rule.

No written objections have been received and the proposed regulation is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

Effective date. This VA regulation is effective October 24, 1972.


By direction of the Administrator.

[SEAL]

FRED E. RHODES,
Deputy Administrator.

1. In § 3.807, paragraph (d) is amended to read as follows:

§ 3.807 Dependents' educational assistance; certification.

For the purposes of dependents, educational assistance under 38 U.S.C. chapter 35 (see § 21.3020 of this chapter), the child, wife, or widow of a veteran will have basic eligibility if the following conditions are met:

(d) Relationship.—(1) "Child" means the son or daughter of a veteran who
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PART II—VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION

Subpart D—Administration of Educational Benefits;
38 U.S.C. Chapters 34, 35, and 36

MEASUREMENT OF UNDERGRADUATE NONDEGREE COURSES

On page 4522 of the Federal Register of February 15, 1973, there was published a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend §21.4272 to permit measurement of undergraduate nondegree courses on a credit-hour basis in certain instances in which the highest degree offered by the school is “associate.” Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed regulation.

No written objections have been received and the proposed regulation is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.


By direction of the Administrator.

Fred B. Rhodes,
Deputy Administrator.

In §21.4272, paragraph (c) (5) is amended to read as follows:

§21.4272 Collegiate undergraduate; credit-hour basis.

(c) Nondegree courses.—The course is offered by either a member or nonmember of a nationally recognized accrediting association, and

(5) If the school is a member of a nationally recognized accrediting association, and certifies that credit for at least 40 percent of the subjects within the curriculum, desired to be measured on a credit-hour basis, is granted upon transfer to the element of the school which offers an associate or higher degree, and credit is awarded at full value, i.e., credit hour for credit hour toward national by recent of the requirements for an associate or higher degree, or

[FED REG 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

RULING "OF § 3.37, EXCEPT AS TO AGE AND MARRITAL STATUS.

2. Immediately following §3.307, the cross references are amended to read as follows:

Current References: Husband or widower. See §3.51. Discontinuance. See §3.60 (c). Election; concurrent benefits. See §3.607 Nonduplication. See §21.2023 of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 6656 Filed 4-4-73, 8:45 am]

2. In §301.45-2 Authorization to designate, and terminate designation of, regulated areas and suppressive or generally infested areas, and hazardous mobile home parks and recreational sites; and to exempt articles from certification, permit or other requirements.

(a) Regulated areas and suppressive or generally infested areas.—The Deputy Administrator shall list as regulated areas, in a supplemental regulation designated as §301.45-2a, each quarantined State, or each portion thereof in which gypsy moth or brown tail moth has been found or in which there is reason to believe that gypsy moth or browntail moth is present, or which it is deemed necessary to regulate because of their proximity to infection or their inseparability from quarantine enforcement from infected localities. The Deputy Administrator in the supplemental regulation, may designate any regulated area or portion thereof as a regulated area or a generally infested area in accordance with the definitions thereof in §301.45-1.

(b) Temporary designation of regulated areas and suppressive or generally infested areas.—The Deputy Administrator or an authorized inspector may temporarily designate any other premises in a quarantined State as a regulated area and may designate the regulated area or portions thereof as a suppressive or generally infested area, in accordance with the criteria specified in paragraph (a) of this section for listing such area, by serving written notice thereof on the owner or person in possession of such premises, and thereafter the interstate movement of regulated articles from such premises by any person having notice of the designation shall be subject to the applicable provisions of this subpart. Currently designated regulated areas or premises shall be added to the list in §301.45-2a if a basis then exists for their designation; otherwise the designation shall be terminated by the Deputy Administrator or an authorized inspector.

(1) In §301.45-2b Subpart F, paragraphs (c) and (d) are deleted.

(2) In §301.45-2b Subpart G, Section 301.45-2b (a) (2), (3) (2), and (5) are deleted.

These amendments delete the State of New Hampshire from the list of States quarantined because of brown tail moth. The State remains under gypsy moth quarantine and regulations. The Deputy Administrator shall list as regulated areas, in a supplemental regulation designated as §301.45-2a, each quarantined State, or each portion thereof in which gypsy moth or brown tail moth has been found or in which there is reason to believe that gypsy moth or browntail moth is present, or which it is deemed necessary to regulate because of their proximity to infection or their inseparability from quarantine enforcement from infected localities. The Deputy Administrator in the supplemental regulation, may designate any regulated area or portion thereof as a regulated area or a generally infested area in accordance with the definitions thereof in §301.45-1.

(b) Temporary designation of regulated areas and suppressive or generally infested areas.—The Deputy Administrator or an authorized inspector may temporarily designate any other premises in a quarantined State as a regulated area and may designate the regulated area or portions thereof as a suppressive or generally infested area, in accordance with the criteria specified in paragraph (a) of this section for listing such area, by serving written notice thereof on the owner or person in possession of such premises, and thereafter the interstate movement of regulated articles from such premises by any person having notice of the designation shall be subject to the applicable provisions of this subpart. Currently designated regulated areas or premises shall be added to the list in §301.45-2a if a basis then exists for their designation; otherwise the designation shall be terminated by the Deputy Administrator or an authorized inspector.

(1) In §301.45-2b Subpart F, paragraphs (c) and (d) are deleted.

(2) In §301.45-2b Subpart G, Section 301.45-2b (a) (2), (3) (2), and (5) are deleted.

These amendments delete the State of New Hampshire from the list of States quarantined because of brown tail moth. The State remains under gypsy moth quarantine and regulations. The Deputy
RULING AND REGULATIONS

2. In § 301.45-2a(b), the entire description for the State of New Hampshire is deleted.

(Secs. 8 and 9, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; sec. 105, 71 Stat. 33; 7 U.S.C. 161, 162, 160ee; 37 FR 26446, 26447; 7 CFR 301.45-2)

These amendments shall become effective April 5, 1973.

The amendment which deletes New Hampshire from the browntail moth regulated areas relieves certain restrictions presently imposed and it should be made effective promptly in order to be of maximum benefit to persons subject to the restrictions which are being relieved. The minor changes referred to above, as well as they impose restrictions, are necessary in order to prevent the dissemination of the gypsy moth and the browntail moth and should be made effective promptly to accomplish their purposes in the public interest. Therefore, under the administrative procedure provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found upon good cause that notice of rulemaking and other public procedures with respect to the amendments are impracticable and unnecessary, and good cause is found for making these amendments effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. These amendments will become effective March 30, 1973.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of March 1973.

LEO O. R. IVERSON, Deputy Administrator, Plant Protection and Quarantine Programs.

[FR Doc. 73-6580 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Navel Orange regulation

PART 507—NAVALE ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped from March 1973, on an average weekly basis, after giving due notice therefor, to handlers in all districts, and upon publication of the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time this section must become effective is sufficient to effectuate the declared policy of the act, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the committee has submitted its recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance
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with this section will not require any spe-
cial preparation on the part of persons
subject hereeto which cannot be com-
pleted on or before the effective date
hereof. Such committee meeting was held
on April 3, 1972.
(b) Order.—(1) The respective qual-
ties of Naval oranges grown in Arizona
and designated part of California which
may be handled during the period from
March 6, 1973, through April 12, 1973, are here-
by fixed as follows:
(i) District 1: 625,657 cartons;
(ii) District 2: 1,380,785 cartons;
(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.
(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District
1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as
when used in said amended marketing
agreement and order.
(Sees. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C.
601-674)
CHARLES R. BRADER,
Acting Deputy Director, Fruit
and Vegetable Division, Agri-
cultural Marketing Service.
[FR Doc.73-6723 Filed 4-4-73; 11:15 am]
PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN
IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART
OF CALIFORNIA
Expenses and Rate of Assessment and
Carryover of Unexpended Funds
This document fixes the expenses that
are reasonable and likely to be incurred
by the Valencia Orange Administrative
Committee, the local administrative
agency established pursuant to Market-
ing Order No. 908, for the administration
of the program during the 1972-73 fiscal
year. The document also fixes the rate of
assessment, per carton of Valencia
oranges handled, believed necessary to
secure the income for the period. In ad-
dition, part of the unexpended assess-
ment funds from the previous fiscal year
are carried over into the reserve fund to
be used for purposes specified in the
order.
On March 19, 1973, notice of proposed
rulemaking was published in the Federal
Register (38 FR 7234) regarding pro-
posed expenses and the related rate of
assessment for the period November 1,
1972, through October 31, 1973, and
carrier of unexpended funds from the period November 1, 1971, through Octo-
ber 31, 1972, pursuant to the marketing
agreement, as amended, and order No.
908, as amended (7 CFR part 908), regu-
lating the handling of Valencia oranges
grown in Arizona and designated part of
California. The notice provided that all
written data, views, or arguments in con-
nection with the proposals be submitted
by March 26, 1973. None were received.
This regulatory program is effective
under the Agricultural Marketing Agree-
ment Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C.
601-674). After consideration of all rele-
vant matters presented, including the pro-
sals set forth in such notice which
were submitted by the Valencia Orange
Administrative Committee (established
pursuant to said marketing agreement
and order), it is hereby found and de-
determined that:
§ 908.212 Expenses and rate of assess-
ment.
(a) Expenses.—Expenses that are rea-
sponsible and likely to be incurred by
the Valencia Orange Administrative
Committee during the period November
1972, through October 31, 1973, will
amount to $548.
(b) Rate of assessment.—The rate of
assessment for said period, payable by
each handler in accordance with § 908.41,
is fixed at $0.013 per carton of Valencia
oranges.
(c) Reserve.—Unexpected funds, in
excess of expenses incurred during the
tax year ended October 31, 1972, in the
amount of $10,000, are carried over as a
reserve in accordance with § 908.42 of
said marketing agreement and order.
It is hereby further found that good
cause exists for not postponing the effec-
tive date hereof until 30 days after pub-
lication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C.
553) in that: (1) The relevant provisions of said marketing agreement and this part require that the rate of
assessment herein fixed shall be applica-
tive to all assessable oranges handled
during the aforesaid period, (2) ship-
ments of Valencia oranges are currently
in progress, and (3) such period began
on November 1, 1972, and said rate of
assessment will automatically apply to
all such oranges beginning with such
date.
(Sees. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C.
601-674)
PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Ve-
etable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.
[FR Doc.73-6647 Filed 4-4-73; 8:15 am]
[Valencia Orange Reg. 425]
PART 909—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN
IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART
OF CALIFORNIA
Limitation on Handling
This regulation fixes the quantity of Cali-
daifornia-Arizona Valencia oranges that
may be shipped to fresh market during
the weekly regulation period April 6-12,
1973. It is issued pursuant to the Agri-
cultural Marketing Agreement Act of
1937, as amended, and marketing order
No. 908. The quantity of Valencia oranges
so fixed was arrived at after considera-
tion of the total available supply of Va-
enica oranges, the quantity of Valencia
oranges currently available for market,
the fresh market demand for Valencia
oranges, Valencia orange prices, and the
relationship of season average returns to
the parity price for Valencia oranges.
§ 908.725 Valencia Orange regulation
425.
(a) Findings.—(1) Pursuant to the
marketing agreement, as amended, and
order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR part
908), regulating the handling of Valencia
oranges grown in Arizona and designated
part of California, effective under the ap-
provable provisions of the Agricultural
Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as
amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), on the basis of the recommendations and
information submitted by the Valencia
Orange Administrative Committee, es-
tablished under the said amended mar-
ting agreement and order, and upon
other available information, it is hereby
found that the limitation of handling of
such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter
provided, will tend to effectuate the de-
cclared policy of the Act.
(2) The need for this section to limit
the respective quantities of Valencia
oranges that may be marketed from dis-
tricts 1, 2, and 3 during the ensuing week:
seems from the production and market-
ing situation confronting the Valencia
orange industry.
(i) The committee has submitted its
recommendation with respect to the quan-
ties of Valencia oranges that should be marketed during the next suc-
ceeding week. Such recommendation was
designed to provide equity of marketing
opportunity to handlers in all districts,
resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee
further reports that the fresh market de-
mand for Valencia oranges is fairly
strong. Prices, f.o.b. for Valencia oranges,
averaged $3.44 per carton on a sales vol-
ume of 228 cars compared with $3.28 per
carton on a sales volume of 212 cars for
the previous week. Track and rolling sup-
ples at 118 cars were up 19 cars from last
week.
(ii) Having considered the recommenda-
tion and information submitted by the
committee, and other available informa-
tion, the Secretary finds that the respec-
tive quantities of Valencia oranges which
may be handled should be fixed as here-
inafter set forth.
(iii) It is hereby further found that it
is impracticable and contrary to the pub-
lic interest to give preliminary notice,
engage in public rulemaking procedure,
and postpone the effective date of this
section until 30 days after publication
hereof In the Federal Register (5 U.S.C.
553) because the time intervening be-
 tween the date when information upon
which this section is based became avail-
able and the time when this section must
become effective in order to effectuate
the declared policy of the act is insuffi-
cient and a reasonable time is permitted
under the circumstances, for prepara-
tion for such effective time; and good
cause exists for making the provisions
hereof effective as hereinafter set forth.
This committee held an open meeting
during the current week, after giving due
notice thereof, to consider supply and
market conditions for Valencia oranges
and the need for regulation; interested
persons were afforded an opportunity to
submit information and views at this
meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly transmitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committees, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; It is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparations on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on April 3, 1973.

(b) Order.—(1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, which may be handled during the period April 6, 1972, through April 12, 1972, are hereby fixed as follows: (i) District 1: 63,690 cartons; (ii) District 2: 82,617 cartons; (iii) District 3: 375,000 cartons.

As used in this section, "banded," "district 1," "district 2," "district 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(See A.C. 827, 49 Stat. 61, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.)


CHARLES E. BRADER,
Acting Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 73-7273 Filed 4-4-73; 11:15 am]

CHAPTER XVII—FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER A—LOANS AND GRANTS PRIMARILY FOR REAL ESTATE PURPOSES


PART 1823—ASSOCIATION LOANS AND GRANTS—COMMUNITY FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT, CONSERVATION, UTILIZATION AND INFORMATION SERVICE

Subpart A—Loans and Grants for Community Domestic Water and Waste Disposal Systems

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Subpart A of Part 1823, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (37 FR 12036), §§ 1823.2, 1823.3, 1823.30, 1823.36, and appendix 1—§ 1823.3, are amended by revising the following paragraphs to incorporate certain provisions of the Rural Development Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-9419) and to make certain procedural changes as indicated: 1. Section 1823.2 (a), (c), and (d) are amended to define Indian Tribes as "associations," to delete the word "permanent" from the definition for rural resident, and to redefine a "rural area" from 5,500 to 10,000 population.

2. Section 1823.2 (d) has been added to include and define the term "project." This addition will redesignate paragraphs (1) through (g) to (1) through (r), respectively;

3. Section 1823.6 (a) (1) is deleted. The $4 million limitation for loan and grant principal indebtedness has been removed. Subparagraph (q) of this paragraph is redesignated as subparagraph (1) without change; § 1823.6 (a) (1) (ii) is amended to further define Federal limitations; § 1823.6 (c) has been amended to require that applications from communities or areas of 5,500 persons or less with inadequate central facilities be given priority for financial assistance; § 1823.20 has been amended to define Indian Tribes as "as-"corporate certain provisions of the Rural Development Act of 1972 and clarify an existing regulation.

This amendment is being published without giving notice of proposed rulemaking, such notice being unnecessary since the amendments merely implement the Rural Development Act of 1972 and clarify an existing regulation.

As amended, the revised paragraphs will read as follows:

Subpart A—Loans and Grants for Community Domestic Water and Waste Disposal Systems

§ 1823.2 Definitions.

(a) Association.—The term "association" includes municipalities, counties, other political subdivisions of a State; districts, public authorities and the like; cooperatives and corporations operated on a nonprofit basis; and Indian tribes on Federal and State reservations and other federally recognized Indian tribes, which have the legal power to engage in the activities authorized in this subpart.

(b) Rural area.—The term "rural" or "rural area" shall not include any area in any city or town which has a population in excess of 10,000 inhabitants according to the latest reliable population estimate.

(c) Project.—The term "project" shall include facilities providing central services and facilities serving individual properties or both.

(d) Amount.—(1) If any other Federal grants are made in connection with the proposed project, the amount of any FHA grant plus the amount of any other Federal grants may not exceed 50 percent, or the applicable percentage for sewage treatment facilities, of the development cost of the project. The notice of such Federal grants and other Federal grants are being made by the Department of Defense, EDA, or a Regional Economic Development Commission. In determining the Federal grant limitations, water treatment and waste collection facilities will be recognized as separate projects.

§ 1823.20 Applications.

Each applicant will make application on standard form 101, "Preliminary Application for Requesting Federal Assistance for Public Works and Facility-Type Projects," which will be forwarded to the State office in accordance with § 1823.30. Priority will be given to those communities or areas of 5,500 persons or less with inadequate central facilities. County supervisors will require any association or entity to file written notification of its intent to apply with appropriate clearances in accordance with subpart M of this part. When the county supervisor has been notified by FHA that it has assumed jurisdiction for the project, he will complete the applicable portion of form FHA 442.1, "Information for Use in Establishing Processing Schedule," and forward it to the State office. No further action will be taken toward processing such applications until notified by the State director. The date that FHA assumes jurisdiction will be considered as the application date. Applicants need not be legally organized to file standard form 101.

§ 1823.36 Handling preliminary inquiries for loan and grant assistance for water and sewer projects (standard form 101).

(b) Receiving and processing standard form 101, "Application-Federal Assistance for Public Works and Facility-Type Projects.

(1) Action by State office.

(a) Inquiries for grants to a nonpublic body in an area having no place, town, or village of more than 10,000 population and not located in an EDA qualified area.

(b) Inquiries will be referred to DHUD from areas which contain a community with a population of 2,500 or more.

APPENDIX § 1-1823.1 (REFERRED TO IN FHA OFFICES AS EXHIBIT J), FLASHING AND DEVELOPING COMMUNITY WATER AND WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITIES.

This appendix (consisting of §§ 1823.1 through 1823.9) outlines the policies for planning and developing community water and waste disposal facilities.

(c) Consistency with other development plans.—FHA financial assistance for a water or waste disposal facility will not be approved unless it is determined that the proposed project is not inconsistent with any plans for development of the area, including county, regional, or municipal plans, having jurisdiction for the area in which the rural community is located. Applicants will provide FHA with letters or certificates evidencing such consistency.
Section 1823.288 is clarified by amending it to allow a borrower association having not more than six members to use officers or directors on the appointed committees that certifies to the organization's accounts and records. This amendment is being published without giving notice of proposed rulemaking, such notice being unnecessary since the amendments merely implement the Rural Development Act of 1972 and clarify an existing regulation.

Effective date.—This revision shall become effective on April 5, 1973.

Dated: March 30, 1973,

J. R. Hanson,
Acting Deputy Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc.73-6549 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

PART 1823—ASSOCIATION LOANS AND GRANTS—COMMUNITY FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT, CONSERVATION, UTILIZATION

Subpart I—Processing Loans to Associations (Except for Domestic Water and Waste Disposal)

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Subpart I of Part 1823, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (35 FR 15091; 37 FR 14218), is amended to incorporate certain provisions of the Rural Development Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-419). Section 1823.283(a) (1) is amended to define Indian tribes as "associations"; § 1823.283(a) (4) is amended to change the population limit definition of a rural area from 5,500 to 10,000; § 1823.283 (1) is deleted to remove the $4 million total debt limitation on association loans.

§ 1823.288 Financial reports for organizations not required to submit an audit report.

Borrowers whose annual gross incomes for a full year of operation are less than $25,000 and not having an annual audit made by an independent public accountant, will within 60 days following the end of each fiscal year, furnish the FHA county supervisor with an annual report, consisting of a verification of the organization's balance sheet and statement of income and expense by a committee of the membership not including any officer, director, or employee. Such committees will be appointed by the borrower's governing body and will certify to its examination of the accounts and records. The final form FHA 442-2, "Statement of Income and Expense," for the year and the form FHA 442-3, "Balance Sheet," will be used. Borrowers having six or less members may use officers or directors on such committees.

Effective date.—This revision shall become effective on April 5, 1973.

J. R. Hanson,
Acting Deputy Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.


[FR Doc.73-6549 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service
[ 50 CFR Part 33 ]
PAHRANAGAT NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, NEVADA, ET AL.

Addition to List of Areas Open to Fishing


It has been determined that regulated sport fishing may be permitted as designated on the above refuges without detriment to the objectives for which the areas were established.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections, with respect to the proposed amendment, to the Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Washington, D.C. 20240, on or before May 7, 1973.

Accordingly, § 33.4, List of open areas; sport fishing, is amended by the following addition:

§ 33.4 List of open areas; sport fishing.
  * * * Nevada
  * * * Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Texas
  * * * Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Oregon
  * * * Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Washington
  * * * Conboy Lake National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Ridgefield National Wildlife Refuge

Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge

Addition to List of Areas Open to Fishing


It has been determined that regulated sport fishing may be permitted as designated on the above refuges without detriment to the objectives for which the areas were established.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections, with respect to the proposed amendment, to the Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Washington, D.C. 20240, on or before May 7, 1973.

Accordingly, § 33.4, List of open areas; sport fishing, is amended by the following addition:

§ 33.4 List of open areas; sport fishing.
  * * * Nevada
  * * * Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Texas
  * * * Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Oregon
  * * * Umatilla National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Washington
  * * * Conboy Lake National Wildlife Refuge
  * * * Ridgefield National Wildlife Refuge

Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Office of the Secretary
[ 29 CFR Part 15 ]
ADVISORY COMMITTEES
Establishment, Continuation, Operation and Termination

Pursuant to section 8(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App.), which requires each agency head to establish uniform guidelines and management controls for the agency's advisory committees, it is proposed to amend Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new part 15 to read as set forth below.

This new part 15 contains the Department of Labor's rules concerning the establishment, continuation, operation and termination of its advisory committees. It is proposed to amend Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new part 15 to read as set forth below.

The proposed new part 15 reads as follows:

PART 15—DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Sec.
15.1 Scope and purpose.
15.2 Establishment of advisory committees.
15.3 Filing of advisory committee charter.
15.4 Termination of advisory committees.
15.5 Renewal of advisory committees.
15.6 Application of the Freedom of Information Act to advisory committee functions.
15.7 Advisory committee meetings.
15.8 Departmental management of advisory committees.
15.9 Additional regulations and guidelines for advisory committees.
15.10 Definitions.

Authority: Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770 (5 U.S.C. App.), unless otherwise noted.

§ 15.1 Scope and purpose.

(a) This part contains the Department of Labor's regulations implementing section 8(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App.), which requires each agency head to establish uniform guidelines and management controls for the agency's advisory committees. These regulations supplement the Government-wide guidelines issued jointly by the Office of Management and Budget and the Department of Justice, and should be read in conjunction with them.

(b) The regulations provided under this part do not apply to statutorily created or established advisory committees of the Department, to the extent that such statutes have specific provisions different from those promulgated herein.

§ 15.2 Establishment of advisory committees.

(a) Guidelines for establishing advisory committees.—The guidelines in establishing advisory committees are as follows:

1. No advisory committee shall be established if its functions are being or could be performed by an agency or an existing committee.

2. The purpose of the advisory committee shall be clearly defined.

3. The membership of the advisory committee shall be balanced in terms of the points of view represented and the committee's functions.

4. There shall be appropriate safeguards to assure that an advisory committee's advice and recommendations will not be inappropriately influenced by any special interest; and

5. At least once each year, a report shall be prepared for each advisory committee, describing the committee's membership, functions, and actions.

(b) Advisory committees established by the Department not pursuant to specific statutory authority.—(1) Advisory committees established by the Department not pursuant to specific statutory authority may be created by the Secretary after consultation with the secretariat.

2. When the Secretary determines that such an advisory committee needs to be established, he shall notify the secretariat of his determination and shall inform the secretariat of the nature and purpose of the committee, the reasons why the committee is needed, and the inability of any existing agency or committee to perform the committee's functions.

3. After the secretariat has determined that establishment of such a committee is in conformance with the Act and has so informed the Secretary, the Secretary shall prepare a certification of the committee, stating the committee's nature and purpose, and that it is established in the public interest. That certification shall be published in the Federal Register.

(c) Advisory committees created pursuant to Presidential directive.—Advisory committees established by Presidential directive are those created pursuant to Executive order, Executive memorandum, or reorganization plan. The Secretary shall create such committees in accordance with the provisions of the Presidential directive and shall follow the provisions of this part to the extent
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they are not inconsistent with the directive.

(d) Advisory committees created pursuant to specific statutory authority.—The Secretary shall create advisory committees established pursuant to specific statutory authority in accordance with the provisions of the statute and shall follow the provisions of this part, to the extent they are not inconsistent with the statute: Provided, however, that the Secretary need not utilize the procedures described in paragraph (b) of this section.

(e) Advisory committees established by the Department to obtain advice or opinion.—In utilizing such committees, the Secretary shall follow the provisions of this part and the requirements of the act. Such committees, to the extent they are utilized by the Department, shall be considered, for the purposes of this part, to be advisory committees established by the Department.

§ 15.3 Filing of advisory committee charter.

(a) Filing charter with Secretary.—Before an advisory committee takes any action or conducts any business, a charter shall be filed with the Secretary, standing committees of Congress with legislative jurisdiction over the Department, and the Library of Congress.

(b) Charter information.—A charter shall contain the following information:

(1) The committee’s official designation;

(2) The committee’s objectives and scope of activity;

(3) The period of time necessary for the committee to carry out its purposes;

(4) The agency or official to whom the advisory committee reports;

(5) The agency responsible for providing necessary support;

(6) A description of the committee’s duties;

(7) The estimated number and frequency of committee meetings;

(8) The estimated annual operating costs in dollars and man-years;

(9) The committee’s termination date, if less than 2 years; and

(10) The date the charter is filed.

(c) Preparation and filing of initial charter.—Responsibility for preparation of the initial committee charter shall be with the head of the appropriate administration, bureau, or office of the Department, in cooperation with the committee management officer. The Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management shall have responsibility for assuring the appropriate filings of such charters.

§ 15.4 Termination of advisory committees.

(a) All nonstatutory advisory committees including those authorized, but not specifically created by statute, shall terminate no later than 2 years after their charters have been filed, unless renewed, as provided in §15.5.

(b) The charter of an advisory committee in existence on the date the act becomes effective (January 5, 1973) shall terminate no later than January 5, 1975, unless renewed, as provided in §15.5.

(c) Advisory committees specifically created by statute shall terminate as provided in the establishing statute.

§ 15.5 Renewal of advisory committees.

(a) Renewal of advisory committees not created pursuant to specific statutory authority—

(1) The Secretary may renew an advisory committee not created pursuant to specific statutory authority after consultation with the secretariat.

(2) When the Secretary determines that such an advisory committee should be renewed, he shall advise the secretariat within 60 days prior to the committee’s termination date and shall state the reasons for his determination.

(3) Upon concurrence of the secretariat, the Secretary shall publish notice of the renewal in the Federal Register and cause a new charter to be prepared and filed in accordance with the provisions of §15.3.

(b) Renewal of advisory committees established pursuant to specific statutory authority. The Secretary may renew advisory committees established pursuant to specific statutory authority through the filing of a new charter at appropriate 2-year intervals.

(c) No advisory committee shall take any action or conduct any business during the period of time between its termination date and the filing of its renewal charter.

§ 15.6 Application of the Freedom of Information Act to advisory committee functions.

(a) It is the intention of the Federal Advisory Committee Act that advisory committees be treated essentially as agencies, for the purpose of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and that they be subject to the same freedom of information as any other Federal agency. Therefore, all information concerning a secretarial meeting prepared for or by an advisory committee shall be available to the public to essentially the same extent as they are available to the public from agencies under 5 U.S.C. 552.

(b) Advisory committee meetings conducted in accordance with §15.7 may be closed to the public when discussing a matter that is of a 5 U.S.C. 552(b) nature, whether or not the discussion concerns a Federal advisory committee.

(c) No record, report, or other document prepared for or by an advisory committee may be withheld from the public unless the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel determines that the document is properly within the exceptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). No committee meeting, or portion thereof, may be closed to the public unless the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel determines in writing, prior to publication of the meeting in the Federal Register, that such a closing is within the exceptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

(d) In determining whether a document or a meeting is within the section 552(b) exception, the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel shall also consider the extent to which the free exchange of internal views and the effective operation of the committee or the Department would be hindered by opening the meeting or releasing the document. No meeting shall be closed, and no document withheld, under section 552(b) unless it involves the change of views among committee members and the committee or agency operations necessitates it.

§ 15.7 Advisory committee meetings.

(a) Initiation of meetings.—(1) Committee meetings may be called by:

(i) The head of the administration, bureau, or office most directly concerned with the committee’s activities, or his delegate;

(ii) The departmental officer or employee referred to in paragraph (a) (1) of this section, and the committee chairman, jointly; or

(iii) The committee chairman, with the advance approval of the officer or employee referred to in paragraph (a) (1) of this section.

(2) The Department’s committee management officer shall be promptly informed that a meeting has been called.

(b) Agenda.—Committee meetings shall be based on agendas approved by the officer or employee referred to in paragraph (a) (1) of this section. Such agenda shall note those items which may involve matters which have been determined by the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel as being within the exemptions to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b).

(c) Notice of meetings.—(1) Notice of advisory committee meetings shall be published in the Federal Register at least 7 days before the date of the meeting, irrespective of whether a particular meeting will be open to the public. Notice to interested persons shall also be provided in such other reasonable ways as are appropriate under the circumstances, such as press release or letter.
Responsibility for preparation of Federal Register and other appropriate notice shall be with the officer or employees referred to in paragraph (a) (1) of this section.

(2) Notice in the Federal Register shall state all pertinent information related to a meeting and shall be published at least 30 days prior to a meeting.

(d) Presence of departmental officer or employee at meetings.—No committee shall meet without the presence of the officer or employee referred to in paragraph (a) (1) of this section. At his option, the officer or employee may elect to chair the meeting.

(c) Minutes.—Detailed minutes shall be kept of all committee meetings and shall be certified by the chairman of the advisory committee as being accurate.

(g) Public participation in committee meetings.—All advisory committee meetings shall be open to the public, except when the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel determines, in writing, and states the reasons therefore prior to Federal Register notice, that a meeting, or any part thereof, is concerned with matters related to the exemptions provided in the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552 (d).

(h) Public participation in committee procedures.—Interested persons shall be permitted to file statements with advisory committees. Subject to reasonable committee procedures, interested persons may also be permitted to make oral statements on matters germane to the subjects under consideration at the committee meeting.

§ 15.8 Departmental management of advisory committees.

Consistent with the other provisions of this part, the Department’s advisory committee management officer shall:

(a) Exercise control and supervision over the establishment, procedures, and accomplishments of advisory committees established by the Department;

(b) Assemble and maintain the reports, records, and other papers of advisory committees, during their existence;

(c) Carry out, with the concurrence of the Associate Solicitor for Legislation and Legal Counsel, the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, as those provisions apply to advisory committees;

(d) Have available for public inspection and comment documents of advisory committees which are within the purview of the Freedom of Information Act; and

(e) When transcripts have been made of advisory committee meetings, provide for such transcripts to be made available to the public at actual cost of duplication, except where prohibited by contractual agreements entered into prior to January 5, 1973, the effective date of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

§ 15.9 Additional regulations and guidelines for advisory committees.

(a) The head of any administration, bureau, or office of the Department which may constitute an “agency” within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 551 (1) may, where necessary or appropriate, issue additional regulations or guidelines for advisory committees. Such regulations shall be consistent with the regulations promulgated under this part and shall be approved, in writing, by the Solicitor before issuance. The Solicitor shall also obtain any necessary governmental clearance before issuance.

(b) Variations from the provisions of this part which are consistent with the act and with OMB rules may be permitted when expressly approved by the Solicitor, in writing.

§ 15.10 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part:

(a) The term “Act” means the Federal Advisory Committee Act;

(b) The term “advisory committee” means any committee, board, commission, council, conference, panel, task force, or other similar group, or any subcommittees or subgroups thereof which:

(1) Established by statute or reorganization plan, or

(2) Established or utilized by the President, or

(3) Established or utilized by one or more agencies or officers of the Federal Government in the interest of obtaining advice or recommendations for the President or one or more agencies of the Federal Government, except that such term excludes:

(i) The Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, the Commission on Government Procurement, and (ii) any committee which is composed wholly of full-time officers or employees of the Federal Government;

(c) The term “agency” has the same meaning as in 5 U.S.C. 551 (1);

(d) The term “committee management officer” means the Department of Labor employee or his delegate, officially designated to perform the advisory committee management functions delineated in this part;

(e) The term “Department” means the Department of Labor;

(f) The term “OMB” means the Office of Management and Budget;

(g) The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Labor;

(h) The term “secretariat” means the OMB Committee Management Secretariat.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of March 1973.

PETER J. BRENNA, Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 73-5597 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
2. In subpart B by deleting the heading "MEASLES VIRUS VACCINE, INACTIVATED," and by deleting under that heading §§ 273.1009 through 273.1065 in their entirety.

Any interested person may file a written appearance electing whether or not to avail himself of an opportunity for a hearing, and a written notice of the biologic products regulations should not be so amended. Failure of any interested person to file a written appearance of election on or before May 7, 1973, will constitute an election by him not to avail himself of the opportunity for a hearing.

If no person elects to avail himself of the opportunity for a hearing, the Commissioner without further notice will enter a final order amending 21 CFR part 273 as indicated.

If any interested person elects to avail himself of the opportunity for a hearing, he must file, on or before May 7, 1973, a written appearance requesting the hearing, giving the reasons why the biologic products regulations should not be so amended. Notice of such appeal shall not rest upon mere allegations or denials, but must set forth the specific facts showing that a genuine and substantial issue of fact exists. If review of the data submitted by any interested person in a request for a hearing, together with the reasoning and factual analysis in a request for a hearing, warrants the conclusion that no genuine issue of fact exists, the Commissioner will publish an order amending the regulations as indicated and will state his findings and conclusions on such data.

If a hearing is requested and justified by the objections, the issues will be defined, an Administrative Law Judge will be named, and he shall issue, as soon as practicable after May 7, 1973, a written notice of the time and place at which the hearing will commence. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to appear at the hearing, file briefs, present evidence, cross-examine witnesses, submit suggested findings of fact, and otherwise participate as a party. The hearing contemplated by this notice will be open to the public except that any portion of the hearing that concerns a secret will not be open to the public, unless the respondent specifies otherwise in his appearance.

Requests for a hearing should be filed (preferably in quintuplicate) with the hearing clerk, Food and Drug Administration, room 6-88, 5800 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852. Received requests and documents may be seen in that office during regular business hours, Monday through Friday.


WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

PROPOSED RULES

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 60259]

DOWNTY ROTOL PROPELLERS

Proposed Airworthiness Directive

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Dowty Rotol type (c) R.209/4-40-4.5/2 propellers. There have been reports of cracks in full width casehardened rollers in the bottom (C.F.) race on Dowty Rotol type (c) R.209/4-40-4.5/2 propellers that could result in excess vibration and eventual propeller failure. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other propellers of the same type design, the proposed airworthiness directive would require replacement of sets of rollers after each report of significant propeller induced vibration inflight, repetitive replacement of broken rollers and proper preload in bearing assemblies, and replacement of propeller blades and blade-retaining bolts, if necessary, until through hardened sets of rollers are installed on Dowty Rotol type (c) R.209/4-40-4.5/2 propellers.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, attention: Rules Docket, AIC-23, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. All communications received on or before May 7, 1973, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments as in the rules docket for examination by interested persons.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Dowty Rotol Propellers, Applies to Dowty Rotol type (c) R.209/4-40-4.5/2 propellers installed on, but not necessarily limited to, Nihon model 72-11 and 73-11A corps aircraft equipped with Rolls-Royce Dart model 942 series engines.

Compliance is required as indicated.

To prevent propeller failure and cracking of full width casehardened rollers in the bottom (C.F.) race of Dowty Rotol type (c) R.209/4-40-4.5/2 blades, the following:

(a) For propellers having blade bearing assemblies that incorporate modification No. (c) VP2762 (SBBL-781) or modification No. (c) VP32314 (SBBL-708), comply with paragraphs (b) and (c)—

(1) Before further flight, after each report of significant propeller-induced vibration in flight, except that the airplane may be flown in accordance with FAR § 21.191 to a base where the repair can be performed.

(2) If initial compliance is not required by paragraph (a)(1), within the next 500 hours' time in service on blade bearing bottom (C.F.) race rollers, whichever occurs later.

(b) Replace sets of rollers specified in paragraph (a) in aircraft subject to Dowty Rotol Service Bulletin No. 61-642-8, Revision 2, dated December 20, 1972, or on FAA-approved equivalent—

(1) With new part of the same part number and thereafter continue to replace sets of rollers specified through (a) in accordance with paragraph (a)(1) and at intervals not to exceed 2,000 hours' time in service.

(c) With case hardened sets of rollers which incorporate modification (c) VP2762 (SBBL-781) or modification (c) VP32314 (SBBL-708).

(a) At each set of roller replacement required by paragraphs (a) and (b), determine the number of breeze rollers and the propellers in each bearing assembly in accordance with Dowty Rotol Service Bulletin No. 61-642-8, Revision 2, dated December 20, 1972, or on FAA-approved equivalent. If 10 or more rollers are found to be broken or if the propellers in each bearing assembly are less than 0.003 inches, before further flights remove the associated propeller blade and blade-retaining bolt from service, mark them in a manner that will prevent their further use, and replace them with parts of the same part number or FAA-approved equivalent.

(b) The replacement of sets of rollers required by paragraphs (a) and (b) and the inspections required by paragraph (c) may be discontinued when the Administrator has been notified by Dowty Rotol Service via Aircraft owner or FAA-approved equivalent.—

(c) Dowty Rotol Service Bulletin No. 61-642-8, Revision 2, dated December 20, 1972, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1353(c)).


JAMES F. RUDOLPH,
Director, Flight Standards Service.
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[FR Doc.73-6597 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am] [14 CFR Part 71] [Aircraft Docket No. 73-W-1] TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Crescent City, Calif., transition area by expanding the 1,200-foot floor pass height above that airspace within 9.5 miles southwest and 4.5 miles northeast of the ILS localizer northwest course, extending to 25 miles northwest of the threshold of Runway 11.
The proposed alteration of the transition area is needed to provide controlled airspace for a procedure turn and final approach course for a new instrument approach procedure to Jack McNamara Field, Crescent City, Calif.

As part of this proposal relates to the navigable airspace outside the United States, this notice is submitted in accordance with the standards and recommended practices of the Convention on International Civil Aviation which pertain to the establishment of air navigation facilities and services necessary to promoting the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Their purpose is to ensure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to improve the safety and efficiency of air operations.

In accordance with article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, Chicago, 1944, state aircraft are exempt from the provisions of annex 11 and its standards and recommended practices. As a contracting state, the United States, as agreed by article 3(c) that its state aircraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

If the proposal contained in this docket is adopted, the 1,200-foot portion of the Crescent City, Calif., transition area (38 FR 435) would be amended by adding:

** * ** and within 0.6 miles southwest and 4.6 miles northeast of the ILS northwest course, extending from the threshold of Runway 11 to 25 miles northwest.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Western Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 1500 Aviation Boulevard, P.O. Box 52007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, Calif. 90009. All communications received on or before May 7, 1973, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket Room, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 307(a) and 1101 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1510), Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).


H. B. HESTROM, Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.73-6622 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-NW-1]

TRANSITION AREA
Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the description of the Medford, Ore., transition area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Northwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Wash. 98108. All communications received on or before May 7, 1973, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made at the discretion of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action:

In § 71.181 (38 FR 435) the description of the Medford, Ore., transition area is amended to read:

MEDFORD, OR.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7 miles northwest and 6 miles southwest of the Medford OMLC localizer course extending from 3 miles northwest of the Pumpo LOM, latitude 43° 27'00.8" N., longitude 123° 04'54.1" W., to a point 24 miles northwest of the OM, that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 23-mile radius of the Medford VORTAC; that airspace extending from the 23-mile radius area bounded on the north by latitude 43°38'00" N., on the east by the arc of a 40-mile radius circle centered on the Klamath Falls, Oreg. VORTAC, on the south by latitude 43°04'00" N., and on the southwest by the southwest edge of V-3SW; that airspace north of Medford within 16 miles west and 11 miles east of the Medford VORTAC 300° radial extending from 25 to 65 miles north of the VORTAC, and that airspace extending upward from 2,500 feet MSL within an 8-mile radius of the Medford VORTAC 311° radial, extending from the 23-mile radius area to V-27.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), and 1510), Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).


C. B. WALK, Jr.,
Director, Northwest Region.

[FR Doc.73-6623 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-NW-1]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA
Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amendments to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the description of the Lewiston, Idaho, control zone and transition area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Northwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Wash. 98103. All communications received on or before May 7, 1973, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made at the discretion of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration.

The alteration to the transition area will provide controlled airspace for the holding pattern area for the Medford-Jackson County Airport ILS Runway 14 approach procedure.
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[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 73-CE-1]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate an East Stroudsburg, Pa., transition area over Stroudsburg-Pecono Airport, East Stroudsburg, Pa.

The air traffic control tower at the Stroudsburg-Pecono Airport, East Stroudsburg, Pa., is being closed by the Federal Aviation Administration.

Any data or views presented during the comment period will be carefully considered before action is taken. Written comments may be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of section 606 of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1656(a)).


C. B. WALK, Jr.,
Director, Northwest Region.

[FR Doc.73-6224 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
6.5 miles north and 6 miles south of the Stillwater, N.J., VORTAC 290* and 100* radial, extending from 10.5 miles west to 1.5 miles east of the railroad tracks along the perimeter within the Mount Pocono, Pa., transition area.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1972 (49 U.S.C. 1349) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1973.

R. M. Brown,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 164]

FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT

Rules of Practice Governing Hearings Arising From Refusals To Register, Cancellations of Registrations, Changes of Classifications and Suspensions of Registrations

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of sections 3, 6, and 25 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended by the Federal Environmental Pesticide Control Act of 1972 (86 Stat. 919, 984, and 997), that it is proposed to amend and revise Part 164 of Chapter 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as amended May 11, 1972 (37 FR 9476), to read as set forth below. Any person may file comments on this proposal on or before May 7, 1973. Such comments should be filed in duplicate and addressed to Mrs. Betty J. Billings, Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 3902, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460. All written submissions filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the office of the hearing clerk during regular business hours, 8 a.m.-4:30 p.m.

It is proposed that these rules, when adopted in final form, will govern all refusal to register, cancellation, change of classification and suspension hearings under this part. In developing these rules the agency has taken into account prior experience under this part, pertinent judicial decisions, and comments in response to earlier rules, proposed and adopted under this part.


WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS,
Administrator.

The intent of these proposed rules is to broaden and refine present hearing procedures to encompass and conform to the provisions of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended by the Federal Environmental Pesticide Control Act of 1972, Public Law 92-518. That Act allows hearings not provided for in prior law when the Administrator determines to change the classification of a pesticide, to hold a hearing on classification or cancellation or to issue an emergency order of suspension. In addition, hearings on cancellation or suspension of registration as provided prior law are retained. Suspension hearings are redesignated as “Expedited Hearings.” Rules for commencing the cancellation and classification as well as the Administrator's hearing process have been drawn in some detail to insure that all parties adversely affected by decisions of the Administrator and seeking adjudication under this part are afforded a clear avenue for proceeding. Prehearing procedures, including discovery, have been broadened for use at the Administrative Law Judge's discretion in order to fully illuminate issues and insure the production of a full record at hearing. Discovery procedures include adoption of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for general use in all but certain specified areas. In addition, for purposes of determination of questions of scientific fact, the convening of a committee of the National Academy of Sciences, which, under the above law, provides for public hearing, has been made under this act act part of the hearing procedure, commenced under these proposed rules at the prehearing discovery stage. Finally, rules for use of the subpoena power have been added.

Rules governing the procedures of the hearing itself are not materially changed. The decision of the Administrative Law Judge has been designated an "initial decision" which will become final unless appealed by the parties or reviewed by the Administrator on his own motion. Provision has been made for "accelerated decisions" by the Administrative Law Judge prior to hearing which become final unless appealed or reviewed. These provisions are intended to afford greater efficiency in the conduct and resolution of hearing matters without affecting opportunity for review. Detailed provisions are made for review of interlocutory orders and initial decisions. Provision is made for expedited hearings in the case of nonemergency and emergency suspensions of registration. Proposed rules suggest procedures which ensure due process and maximum review, while allowing for the most expeditious presentation of facts and a "recommended" decision by the Administrative Law Judge.

The rules in this part upon adoption in final form shall apply to remaining phases of any proceedings underway to the extent practicable and fair, provided that once commenced or passed, any phase of a proceeding which might have been conducted differently under the rules in this part shall not be affected.

For this purpose the advisory committee proceeding and Administrator's determination thereafter, pleading, prehearing discovery, the hearing, posthearing objections and briefs, final and interlocutory proceedings shall each constitute a separate phase.

Part 164 of chapter 1, title 40 is revised as set forth below.

PEEDATED HEARINGS. Rules for conducting hearings, including discovery, have been broadened for use at the Administrative Law Judge's discretion in order to fully illuminate issues and insure the production of a full record at hearing. Discovery procedures include adoption of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for general use in all but certain specified areas. In addition, for purposes of determination of questions of scientific fact, the convening of a committee of the National Academy of Sciences, which, under the above law, provides for public hearing, has been made under this act part of the hearing procedure, commenced under these proposed rules at the prehearing discovery stage. Finally, rules for use of the subpoena power have been added.

Rules governing the procedures of the hearing itself are not materially changed. The decision of the Administrative Law Judge has been designated an "initial decision" which will become final unless appealed by the parties or reviewed by the Administrator on his own motion. Provision has been made for "accelerated decisions" by the Administrative Law Judge prior to hearing which become final unless appealed or reviewed. These provisions are intended to afford greater efficiency in the conduct and resolution of hearing matters without affecting opportunity for review. Detailed provisions are made for review of interlocutory orders and initial decisions. Provision is made for expedited hearings in the case of nonemergency and emergency suspensions of registration. Proposed rules suggest procedures which ensure due process and maximum review, while allowing for the most expeditious presentation of facts and a "recommended" decision by the Administrative Law Judge.

The rules in this part upon adoption in final form shall apply to remaining phases of any proceedings underway to the extent practicable and fair, provided that once commenced or passed, any phase of a proceeding which might have been conducted differently under the rules in this part shall not be affected.

For this purpose the advisory committee proceeding and Administrator's determination thereafter, pleading, prehearing discovery, the hearing, posthearing objections and briefs, final and interlocutory proceedings shall each constitute a separate phase.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 38, NO. 65—THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 1973
§164.1 Number of words.

As used in this part, words in the singular form shall be deemed to import the plural, and vice versa, as the case may require.

§164.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part, the following terms shall be construed, as listed below:


(b) The term "Administrative Law Judge" appointed pursuant to the provisions of the Act, on the request of the Agency, or any officer or employee of the Agency, to whom authority has been delegated, to conduct proceedings under the provisions of the Act; the provisions of subpart C of this part shall govern proceedings conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

(c) The term "Applicant" means any person who has made application to register a pesticide pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

(d) The term "Administrative Procedure Act" means the Act entitled "The Administrative Procedure Act, as amended by 86 Stat. 979, 984, and 997.

(e) The term "Register" means the Federal Register, VOL 38, No. 65-

(f) The term "Subpart A--General" means the rules in this part, whether amendments to the Federal Register, VOL 38, No. 65-

§164.3 Scope and applicability of this part.

The provisions of subpart B of this part shall govern proceedings concerning petitions for suspension of registrations or changes of classifications under the provisions of the Act; the provisions of subpart C of this part shall govern suspension proceedings conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

§164.4 Arrangements for examining Agency records, transcripts, orders, and decisions.

(a) Reporting of orders, decisions, and other signed documents.—All orders, decisions, or other signed documents required to be published in the Federal Register, VOL 38, No. 65-

(b) Establishment of an Agency record. If such filings are made or signed by the Administrator, the said record shall be made available to the public for reasonable inspection during Agency business hours.

(c) All orders, decisions, or other documents made or signed by the Administrator shall be filed with the hearing clerk by the Administrator, in a hearing held in the United States Code.

§164.5 Filing and service.

(a) All documents or papers required or authorized to be filed, shall be filed with the hearing clerk, except as provided otherwise in this part.

(b) Each document filed, other than papers accompanying a proceeding, shall contain the registra-
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(c) In addition to copies served on all other parties, each party shall file with the original and two copies of all papers filed.

§ 164.6 Time.

(a) 'Computation.—In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by these rules, except as otherwise provided, the day of the act, event, or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be included in computing the time allowed for the filing of any document or paper, except that when such time expires on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, such period shall be extended to include the next following business day.

(b) Enlargement.—When by these rules or by order of the Administrative Law Judge, Presiding Officer, or the Administrator an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the Administrative Law Judge (before his initial decision is filed), or the Presiding Officer (before his recommended decision is filed), or the Administrator (after the Administrative Law Judge's initial decision or the Presiding Officer's recommended decision is filed), for cause shown may at any time in his discretion (1) void without motion and ex parte order the time mentioned if it is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order, or (2) on motion made after the expiration of the specified period, permit the act to be done where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect. In this connection, consideration shall be given to the fact that, under the provisions of the law, the Administrator must issue his order not later than 90 days after the completion of the hearing, unless all parties agree by stipulation to extend this period of time pursuant to § 164.103.

(o) Additional time after service by mail.—A prescribed period of time within which a party is required or permitted to do an act is extended from the time of service, except that when the service is made by mail 3 days shall be added to the prescribed period. Such addition for service by mail shall not apply in the case of a request for hearings or responding to a notice of intent to hold a hearing, in which cases statutory filing times will run from the date of the return receipt pursuant to § 164.8.

§ 164.7 Ex parte discussion of proceeding.

At no stage of a proceeding between its commencement and issuance of the final order shall the Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge discuss ex parte the merits of the proceeding with any party or with any person who has been connected with the preparation or presentation of the proceeding as an investigator or expert capacity, or with any representative of such person: Provided, however, That the Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge may discuss the merits of the case with any such party or parties to the proceeding, or their representatives, have been given reasonable notice and opportunity to be present. Any memorandum or other communication addressed to the Administrator, the Administrative Law Judge or the Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge must be made in the proceeding. The Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge shall cause any such communication to be filed with the hearing clerk and served upon all other parties to the proceeding who will be given the opportunity to file a reply thereto.

§ 164.8 Publication.

All notices of intention to cancel a registration, all notices of intention to change a classification, and all denials of registrations, all together with the reasons (including the factual basis) therefor, and all notices of intention by the Administrator to hold a hearing shall be sent to the registrant or applicant, by certified or registered mail (return receipt requested), and published by appropriate announcement in the Federal Register by the Administrator. The notice of the hearing shall be published in the Federal Register a notice of the public hearing as provided by §164.80 et seq. Said notice of public hearing shall designate the place where the hearing will be held and specify the time when the hearing will commence. The hearing shall convene at the place and time announced in the notice, unless amended by a subsequent notice published in the Federal Register, but therefore it may be moved to a different place and may be continued from day to day or recessed to a later day without other notice than announcement thereof at the hearing.

Subpart B—General Rules of Practice Concerning Proceedings (Other Than Expedited Hearings)

COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDING

§ 164.20 Commencement of proceeding.

(a) A proceeding shall be commenced whenever a hearing is requested by any person adversely affected by a notice of the Administrator of his refusal to register or of his intent to cancel the registration or to change the classification of a pesticide. A proceeding shall likewise be commenced whenever the Administrator decides to call a hearing to determine whether or not the registration of a pesticide should be canceled or its classification changed. Such request or notice shall be timely filed with the hearing clerk, and the matter shall be docketed and assigned an "I&P" and "R" docket number.

(b) If a request for a hearing is filed, the person filing the request shall, at the same time, file a document stating his objections to the Administrator's denial of registration or notice of intention and the reasons therefor (including the factual basis). If a notice of intent to hold a hearing is filed, by the Administrator, he shall, at the same time, file a statement of the reasons therefor (including the factual basis). Notice of the filing of any such objections or statement of issues shall be given to the public by appropriate announcement in the Federal Register by the Administrator.

(d) Upon the filing of any objections or statement of issues, the proceeding shall be referred to the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

The Chief Administrative Law Judge shall refer the proceeding to himself or another Administrative Law Judge who shall thereafter be in charge of all further matters concerning the proceeding, except as otherwise provided or by order of the Administrator or Judicial Officer.

§ 164.21 Contents of a denial of registration, notice of intention to cancel a registration, or notice of intent to change a classification.

(a) Contents.—The denial of registration or a notice of intention to cancel a registration or to change a classification shall be accompanied by the reasons (including the factual basis) for the action.

(b) Amendments to contents of denial and notice.—Such documents under this section may be amended or enlarged by the Administrator at any time prior to the commencement of the public hearing. If the Administrative Law Judge determines that additional time is necessary to permit a party to prepare for matters raised by such amendments, the commencement of the hearing shall be delayed for an appropriate period.

§ 164.22 Contents of document setting forth objections.

(a) Concise statement required.—Any document containing objections to an order of the Administrator of his refusal to register, or his intent to cancel the registration, or change the classification of a pesticide, shall clearly and concisely set forth such objections and the basis for each objection; including relevant allegations of fact concerning the pesticide under consideration. The document shall indicate the registration number of the pesticide if applicable.

(b) Amendments to objections by leave.—Objections may be amended at any time prior to the commencement of the public hearing by leave of the Administrative Law Judge or by written consent of all adverse parties. The Administrative Law Judge shall freely grant such leave when justice so requires. If the Administrative Law Judge determines that additional time is necessary to permit a party to prepare for matters raised by amendments to objections, the commencement of the hearing shall be delayed for an appropriate period.

(c) Amendments to objections as a matter of right.—Objections shall be amended as a matter of right when the Administrator amends his notice of intent to cancel a registration, change a
classification, or by his refusal to register a pesticide.

§ 164.23 Contents of the statement of issues to accompany notice of intent to hold a hearing.

(a) Concise statement required.—The statement of issues by the Administrator shall set a time in which any person wishing to participate in the hearing shall file a written response to the statement of issues as provided by § 164.24. The statement of issues shall include questions as to which evidence shall be taken at the hearing. Those questions may include questions concerning whether a pesticide's registration should be canceled or its classification changed, whether a pesticide's registration should be amended or by the Administrator at any time prior to the commencement of the public hearing. If the Administrator determines that additional time is necessary to permit a party to prepare for matters raised by amendments or enlargements to the statement of issues, the commencement of the hearing shall be delayed for an appropriate period.

§ 164.24 Filing copies of notification of intent to cancel registration.

After a copy of the document setting forth the objections and requesting a public hearing is filed with the hearing clerk, the hearing clerk shall serve a copy of the document on the respondent by the Office of the General Counsel of the Agency. The respondent shall, by counsel, thereupon file with the hearing clerk a copy of the appropriate notice of intention to change the classification, or the registration refusal order.

§ 164.25 Response to the Administrator's notice of intention to hold a hearing.

Any person wishing to participate in any proceeding commenced pursuant to any notice by the Administrator of intention to hold a hearing, shall file with the hearing clerk, within the time set by the Administrator in the notice (in no case less than 30 days from the date of the notice), a written response to the statement of issues which shall include the position and interest of such person with respect thereto.
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§ 164.30 Appearances.

(a) Representatives.—Parties may appear in person or by counsel or other representative. Persons who appear as counsel or in a representative capacity must comply with the standards of ethical conduct required of practitioners before the courts of the United States.

(b) Failure to appear.—If any party to the proceeding after being duly notified, fails to appear or fails to give notice thereof that he wishes to participate in the public hearing, at any prehearing, he shall be deemed to have waived the right to participate in the proceeding unless otherwise provided for by order of the Administrative Law Judge.

§ 164.31 Intervention.

(a) Pleading.—Any person may file a motion for leave to intervene in a hearing conducted under this subpart. A motion must set forth the grounds for the proposed intervention and the position and interest of the movant in the proceeding.

(b) When filed.—A motion for leave to intervene in a hearing must ordinarily be filed prior to the commencement of the first prehearing conference. Any motion filed after that time must contain, in addition to the motion set forth in paragraph (a) of this section, a statement of good cause for the failure to file the motion prior to the commencement of the prehearing conference and shall be granted only upon a finding (1) that extraordinary circumstances justify the granting of the motion, or (2) that the intervenor shall be bound by agreements, arrangements, and other matters previously made in the proceeding.

(c) Disposition.—Leave to intervene will be freely granted but only insofar as such leave raises matters which are pertinent to and do not unreasonably broaden the issues already presented. If leave is granted, the movant shall thereby become a party with the full status of the original parties to the proceeding. If leave is denied, the movant may request that the ruling be certified to the Administrator, pursuant to § 164.100 for a speedy appeal.

(d) Motions to dismiss or stay.—Motions to dismiss or stay proceedings on the basis of a plea in bar are not available.

(e) Absence or change of the Administrative Law Judge.—In the case of the absence of the Administrative Law Judge, or his inability to act, or his removal by disqualification or withdrawal, the powers and duties to be performed by him under this part in connection with a hearing assigned to him may, without abatement of the proceeding unless otherwise directed by the Administrator, be assigned to another Administrative Law Judge or other qualified person so designated to act by the Administrator.

(f) Hearing Clerk.—The Chief Administrative Law Judge shall supervise the hearing clerk in the performance of his duties.

PREHEARING PROCEDURES

§ 164.32 Consolidation.

The Administrative Law Judge, by motion or sua sponte, may consolidate two or more proceedings docketed under this subpart whenever it appears that this will avoid or simplify consideration of the issues. Consolidation shall not affect the right of any party to raise issues that could have been raised if consolidation had not occurred. At the conclusion of proceedings consolidated under this section, the Administrative Law Judge shall issue one decision under § 164.90 unless proceedings have been dismissed pursuant to § 164.91.

§ 164.40 Qualifications and duties of Administrative Law Judge.

(a) Qualifications.—The Administrative Law Judge shall have the qualifications required by statute for any matter in connection with a proceeding where he has a financial interest in any of the parties or a relationship with a party that would make it otherwise inappropriate for him to act.

(b) Disqualification of the Administrative Law Judge.—(1) Any party may, by motion made to the Administrative Law Judge, as soon as practicable, request that he disqualify himself and withdraw from the proceeding. The Administrative Law Judge shall then rule upon the motion and, upon request of the movant, shall certify an adverse decision to the Administrator.

(2) The Administrative Law Judge may at any time withdraw from any proceeding in which he deems himself disqualified for any reason.

§ 164.50 Prehearing conference.

(a) Purpose of the prehearing conference.—Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d) of this section, the Administrative Law Judge shall, prior to the commencement of the hearing and for the purpose of expediting the hearing, file with the hearing clerk an order for a prehearing conference. More than one such conference may be held. Such order or orders shall direct the parties or their counsel to appear at a specified time and place to consider:

(1) The simplification of issues;

(2) The necessity or desirability of amendments to the objections or statements of issues, or any document filed in response thereto;
(3) The possibility of obtaining stipulations of fact and documents which will avoid unnecessary proof and avoid unnecessary delay;
(4) Matters of which official notice may be taken;
(5) The limitation of the number of expert and other witnesses;
(6) Procedure at the hearing;
(7) The use of verified written statements in lieu of oral testimony;
(8) The intent of any party to request a scientific advisory committee as defined in §164.2(f);
(9) The issuance of subpoenas duces tecum for discovery and examination of scientific fact and documents which will be held prior to the public hearing, except as herein provided, the Administrative Law Judge shall determine the intent of any party to request that questions of scientific fact be referred to a committee of the National Academy of Sciences to be designated by the Administrative Law Judge.

The use of verified written statements in lieu of oral direct testimony; the preparation of questions - On determining an affirmative intent, the Administrative Law Judge shall direct all parties to file and serve, within a time period subject to his discretion, proposed questions of scientific fact accompanied by reasons supporting their submission to said committee. Within 10 days of the service of such proposed questions, together with their supporting reasons, any party may respond in writing to the proposed submission of the questions to the said committee. The Administrative Law Judge shall determine whether or not a referred question presented for decision as herein provided, the Administrative Law Judge may order, upon motion or sua sponte, the taking of discovery by any party of any other party or of any nonparty witness, as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(c) Procedure.-(1) Any party to the proceeding desiring to take discovery shall make a motion or motions therefor. Such a motion shall set forth (i) the circumstances warranting the taking of the discovery, (ii) the nature of the information expected to be discovered and (iii) the time when and the place where it will be taken.

(2) The Administrative Law Judge may deny any motion to take discovery which he finds to be unjustified. If the Administrative Law Judge determines the motion to be justified, he shall issue an order and appropriate subpoenas, if necessary, for the taking of such discovery together with the conditions and terms thereof.

(d) Depositions upon oral questions.-The Administrative Law Judge shall order depositions upon oral questions only upon a showing of good cause and upon a finding that (1) the information sought cannot be accomplished by alternative methods, or (2) there is a substantial reason to believe that relevant and probative evidence may otherwise not be preserved for presentation at the hearing.

§164.60 Motions.

(a) General. - All motions except those made orally during the course of a public hearing shall be in writing, except as otherwise provided by this part, shall state with particularity the grounds therefor, shall set forth the relief or order sought, and shall be filed with the hearing clerk and served on all parties.

(b) Response to motions. - Within 10 days after service of any motion filed pursuant to this part, unless such other time as may be fixed by the Administrative Law Judge, any party may serve and file a response to the motion. The movant shall, if requested by the Administrative Law Judge at the prehearing phase of the proceedings, there be, as determined by the Administrative Law Judge, full disclosure of all evidence or testimony relevant and material to the issues. The court rules of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply, where practicable, to all proceedings under this subpart except that noticing of discovery without order does not apply.
Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge, serve and file reply papers within the time set by the request.

(c) Decision.—The Administrative Law Judge shall rule upon all motions filed or made prior to the filing of his initial or accelerated decision at any time on every motion where the movant has stated that no party objects to the granting of such motion. Otherwise, such decision shall await the opposing papers. The Administrator or his designee shall rule upon all motions filed after the filing of the initial or accelerated decision. Oral argument of motions will be permitted only in the presence of the Administrative Law Judge or Administrator, or his designee, deems it necessary.

SUBPENA AND WITNESS FEES
§ 164.70 Subpenas.

(a) Issuance of subpenas.—The attendance of witnesses or the production of documentary evidence may, by subpena, be required at any designated place of hearing or place of discovery. Subpenas may be issued by the Administrative Law Judge sua sponte or upon a showing by an applicant that the evidence sought is reasonable, relevant and material to the scope of the issues involved in the hearing. The Administrative Law Judge shall be guided by the principles of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in making any order for the production of a witness or the content of the documents produced.

(b) Motion for subpena duces tecum.—Subpenas for the production of documentary evidence issued by the Administrative Law Judge sua sponte, shall be issued only upon a written motion. Such motion shall specify, as exactly as possible, the documents desired and shall show the relevancy and materiality of their production.

(c) Service of subpenas.—Subpenas shall be served as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

§ 164.71 Fees of witnesses.

Witnesses summoned before the Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge, serve and file reply papers within the time set by the request.

(b) On all issues arising in connection with the hearing, the ultimate burden of persuasion shall rest with the proponent of the rule or debate thereof unless the Administrative Law Judge, with the consent of all parties, orders that such argument not be transcribed. The ruling of the Administrative Law Judge on any objection may be transcribed in the transcript. An automatic exception to that ruling will follow.

(d) Exhibits.—Except where the Administrative Law Judge finds that the furnishing of copies is impracticable, a copy of each exhibit filed with the Administrative Law Judge shall be furnished to each other party. A true copy of an exhibit may, in the discretion of the Administrative Law Judge, be substituted for the original.

(e) Official notice.—Official notice may be taken of such matters as are judicially noticed in the Federal courts: Provided, however, That the parties shall be given adequate opportunity to show that such facts are erroneously noticed.

(f) Offer of proof.—If the evidence is deemed inadmissible, the party offering such evidence may make an offer of proof, which shall be included in the transcript. The offer of proof excluded oral testimony shall consist of a brief statement describing the nature of the evidence excluded. If the evidence consists of a document or exhibits, it shall be inserted in the record in toto. In the event the Administrator decides that the Administrative Law Judge’s ruling in excluding the evidence was erroneous and prejudicial, the hearing may be reopened to permit the taking of such evidence, or, where appropriate, the Administrator may evaluate the evidence and proceed to a final decision.

(g) Verified statements.—With the approval of the Administrative Law Judge, a witness may insert into the record, as his testimony, statements of fact or opinion prepared by him, or written answers to interrogatories of counsel, or may submit as an exhibit his prepared statement, provided that such statements or answers must include a certificate of the writer or author of such statement or answer that he was present at the event that is the subject of such statement or answer and that, to the best of his knowledge, the statements or answers were true and correct. Approval of the Administrative Law Judge for the original.

The Hearings
§ 164.80 Order of proceeding and burden of proof.

(a) At the hearing, the proponent of cancellation or change in classification shall have the burden of going forward to present an affirmative case for the cancellation or change in classification of the registration, unless otherwise ordered by the Administrative Law Judge. In the case of the denial of an application for registration, the applicant shall have the burden of going forward.

(b) On any other party, other than the proponent of the rule or debate thereof, shall have the burden of going forward to present an affirmative case for the cancellation or change in classification of the registration, unless otherwise ordered by the Administrative Law Judge. In the case of the denial of an application for registration, the applicant shall have the burden of going forward.

(c) Decision.—The Administrative Law Judge shall rule upon all motions filed or made prior to the filing of his initial or accelerated decision at any time on every motion where the movant has stated that no party objects to the granting of such motion. Otherwise, such decision shall await the opposing papers. The Administrator or his designee shall rule upon all motions filed after the filing of the initial or accelerated decision. Oral argument of motions will be permitted only in the presence of the Administrative Law Judge or Administrator, or his designee, deems it necessary.

Subpenas and Witness Fees
§ 164.70 Subpenas.

(a) Issuance of subpenas.—The attendance of witnesses or the production of documentary evidence may, by subpena, be required at any designated place of hearing or place of discovery. Subpenas may be issued by the Administrative Law Judge sua sponte or upon a showing by an applicant that the evidence sought is reasonable, relevant and material to the scope of the issues involved in the hearing. The Administrative Law Judge shall be guided by the principles of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in making any order for the production of a witness or the content of the documents produced.

(b) Motion for subpena duces tecum.—Subpenas for the production of documentary evidence issued by the Administrative Law Judge sua sponte, shall be issued only upon a written motion. Such motion shall specify, as exactly as possible, the documents desired and shall show the relevancy and materiality of their production.

(c) Service of subpenas.—Subpenas shall be served as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

§ 164.71 Fees of witnesses.

Witnesses summoned before the Administrator, his designee, or the Administrative Law Judge, serve and file reply papers within the time set by the request.

(b) On all issues arising in connection with the hearing, the ultimate burden of persuasion shall rest with the proponent of the rule or debate thereof unless the Administrative Law Judge, with the consent of all parties, orders that such argument not be transcribed. The ruling of the Administrative Law Judge on any objection may be transcribed in the transcript. An automatic exception to that ruling will follow.

(d) Exhibits.—Except where the Administrative Law Judge finds that the furnishing of copies is impracticable, a copy of each exhibit filed with the Administrative Law Judge shall be furnished to each other party. A true copy of an exhibit may, in the discretion of the Administrative Law Judge, be substituted for the original.

(e) Official notice.—Official notice may be taken of such matters as are judicially noticed in the Federal courts: Provided, however, That the parties shall be given adequate opportunity to show that such facts are erroneously noticed.

(f) Offer of proof.—If the evidence is deemed inadmissible, the party offering such evidence may make an offer of proof, which shall be included in the transcript. The offer of proof excluded oral testimony shall consist of a brief statement describing the nature of the evidence excluded. If the evidence consists of a document or exhibits, it shall be inserted in the record in toto. In the event the Administrator decides that the Administrative Law Judge’s ruling in excluding the evidence was erroneous and prejudicial, the hearing may be reopened to permit the taking of such evidence, or, where appropriate, the Administrator may evaluate the evidence and proceed to a final decision.

(g) Verified statements.—With the approval of the Administrative Law Judge, a witness may insert into the record, as his testimony, statements of fact or opinion prepared by him, or written answers to interrogatories of counsel, or may submit as an exhibit his prepared statement, provided that such statements or answers must include a certificate of the writer or author of such statement or answer that he was present at the event that is the subject of such statement or answer and that, to the best of his knowledge, the statements or answers were true and correct. Approval of the Administrative Law Judge for the original.

The Hearings
§ 164.80 Order of proceeding and burden of proof.

(a) At the hearing, the proponent of cancellation or change in classification shall have the burden of going forward to present an affirmative case for the cancellation or change in classification of the registration, unless otherwise ordered by the Administrative Law Judge. In the case of the denial of an application for registration, the applicant shall have the burden of going forward.

(b) On any other party, other than the proponent of the rule or debate thereof, shall have the burden of going forward to present an affirmative case for the cancellation or change in classification of the registration, unless otherwise ordered by the Administrative Law Judge. In the case of the denial of an application for registration, the applicant shall have the burden of going forward.

(c) Decision.—The Administrative Law Judge shall rule upon all motions filed or made prior to the filing of his initial or accelerated decision at any time on every motion where the movant has stated that no party objects to the granting of such motion. Otherwise, such decision shall await the opposing papers. The Administrator or his designee shall rule upon all motions filed after the filing of the initial or accelerated decision. Oral argument of motions will be permitted only in the presence of the Administrative Law Judge or Administrator, or his designee, deems it necessary.
particulars as he shall specify and (2) that the exhibits accompanying the transcript are all the exhibits introduced at the hearing, with such exceptions as he shall specify and any other party shall be attached to each of the copies of the transcript.

**INITIAL OR ACCELERATED DECISION**

§ 164.90 Initial decision.

(a) **Proposed findings of fact, conclusions, and order.**—Within 20 days after the last evidence is taken in a hearing, each party may file with the hearing clerk proposed orders, findings of fact, and conclusions of law based solely on the record, and a brief in support thereof. Within 10 days thereafter, each party may file a reply brief. The Administrative Law Judge may, in his discretion, extend the total time period for filing any briefs for an additional 30 days. In such instances, briefs and replies shall be due at such time as the Administrative Law Judge may fix by order. The hearing shall be deemed closed at the conclusion of the briefing period.

(b) **Initial decision.**—The Administrative Law Judge shall, within 25 days after the close of the hearing, evaluate the record before him, and prepare, and file his initial decision with the hearing clerk. A copy of the initial decision shall be served upon each of the parties, and the hearing clerk shall immediately transmit a copy to the Administrator. The initial decision shall become the decision of the Administrator without further proceedings unless an appeal is taken from it or the Administrator orders review of it, pursuant to § 164.101.

§ 164.91 Accelerated decision.

(a) The Administrative Law Judge, in his discretion, may at any time render an accelerated decision in favor of respondent as to all or any portion of the proceeding, including dismissal without further hearing or upon such limited additional evidence as he may receive, under any of the following conditions:

1. Un timely or insufficient objections filed pursuant to § 164.20;
2. Failure to comply with discovery orders;
3. Failure to comply with prehearing orders;
4. Failure to appear or to proceed at prehearing conferences;
5. Failure to appear at the hearing;
6. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted or direct or collateral estoppel.

[Remaining text continues as a legal description of the accelerated decision process, including the Administrator's role in determining exceptions, certifications, and appeals.]

**APPEALS**

§ 164.100 Appeals from or review of interlocutory orders or rulings.

Except as provided herein, appeals as a matter of right shall lie to the Administrator only from an initial or accelerated decision of the Administrative Law Judge. Appeals from other orders or rulings shall, except as provided in this section, lie to the Initial or Accelerated Order of the Administrative Law Judge, except in the case of an order or ruling by a party's representative. The Administrative Law Judge may certify an order or ruling for appeal to the Administrator when: (a) the order or ruling involves more than one of the following: an exception to the administrative law judge's decision, an order or ruling for appeal in a case involving a question of law or policy about which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion; and (b) either (1) an immediate appeal from the order and ruling will materially advance the ultimate termination of the proceeding or (2) review after the final judgment is issued will be inadequate or ineffective. The Administrative Law Judge shall certify orders or rulings for appeal only upon the request of a party. If the Administrator determines that certification was improvidently granted, or takes no action within thirty (30) days of the certification, the appeal shall be deemed dismissed. When an order or ruling is not certified, the appeal shall be deemed to have waived such oral argument.

§ 164.101 Appeals from or review of initial decisions.

(a) **Exceptions and request for oral argument.**—(1) Within 20 days after filing of the Administrative Law Judge's initial decision, each party may take exception to any matter set forth in such decision or to any adverse order or ruling by which he objected during the hearing and may appeal such exceptions to the Administrator for decision by filing them in writing with the hearing clerk, including a section containing corrected findings of fact, conclusions, orders, or rulings. Within the same period of time each party filing exceptions shall file with the hearing clerk a brief concerning each of the exceptions being appealed. The party shall include in its brief page references to the relevant portions of the record and to the Administrative Law Judge's recommended findings.

(2) Within 7 days of the service of exceptions and brief under paragraph (a) of this section, any other party or amicus curiae may file and serve a brief responding thereto, with appropriate page references to the relevant portions of the record, if applicable.

(c) Ordinarily, the appeal from an accelerated decision will be decided on the basis of the submission of briefs, but the Administrator may allow additional briefs and oral argument.

§ 164.102 Appeals from accelerated decisions.

(a) Within 20 days after filing of an accelerated decision by the Administrative Law Judge, any party may file exceptions and a supporting brief with the hearing clerk, stating with particularity the grounds upon which he asserts that the decision is incorrect. The party shall include in its brief page references to the relevant portions of the record, if applicable.

(b) Within 7 days of the service of exceptions and brief under paragraph (a) of this section, any other party or amicus curiae may file and serve a brief responding thereto, with appropriate page references to the relevant portions of the record, if applicable.

(c) Ordinarily, the appeal from an accelerated decision will be decided on the basis of the submission of briefs, but the Administrator may allow additional briefs and oral argument.

§ 164.103 Final order on appeal or review.

Within 30 days after the close of the hearing, unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the Administrator shall, on appeal or review from an initial or accelerated order of the Administrator Law Judge, issue his final decision and order, including his rulings on all exceptions filed by the parties; such final order may accept or reject all or part of the initial or accelerated decision of the Administrator Law Judge even if acceptable to the parties.
PROPOSED RULES

§ 164.110 Motion for reopening hearings; for rehearing; for reargument of any proceeding; or for reconsideration of order.

(a) Filing; service.—A motion for reopening the hearing to take further evidence; for rehearing or reargument of any proceeding or for reconsideration of the order, must be made by motion to the Administrator filed with the hearing clerk. Every such motion must state specifically the grounds relied upon.

(b) Motion to reopen hearings.—A motion to reopen a hearing to take further evidence may be filed by the proponent of the order of suspension or by the registrant in the event that time permits. Upon the issuance of the Administrator's final order. Every such motion shall state briefly the nature and purpose of the evidence to be adduced, shall show that such evidence is not merely cumulative, and shall set forth a good reason why such evidence was not adduced at a hearing.

(c) Motions to rehear or reargue proceedings, or to reconsider orders.—A motion to rehear or reargue the proceeding or to reconsider the order shall be filed within 10 days after the date of service of the order. The motion must state specifically the matters claimed to have been erroneously decided and alleged errors must be briefly stated.

§ 164.111 Procedure for disposition of motions.

Within 7 days following the service of any motion provided for in § 164.110, any other party to the proceeding may file with the hearing clerk an answer thereto. As soon as practicable thereafter, the Administrator shall announce his decision whether to grant or to deny the motion. Unless the Administrator determines otherwise, operation of the order shall not be stayed pending the decision to grant or to deny the motion. In the event that any such motion is granted by the Administrator, the applicable rules of practice, as set out elsewhere herein, shall be followed.

Subpart C—General Rules of Practice for Expedited Hearings

§ 164.120 Notification.

(a) Whenever the Administrator determines necessary to prevent an imminent hazard during the time required for cancellation or change in classification proceedings, but that the hazardous condition constitutes an emergency, he shall notify the registrant of his intention to suspend registration of the pesticide at issue.

(b) Such notice shall include findings pertaining to the existence of imminent hazard and shall either be personally served on the registrant or be sent to the registrant by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, and filed with the hearing clerk.

§ 164.121 Expedited hearing.

(a) Request.—(1) An expedited hearing shall be held whenever the Administrator has received from the registrant a timely request for such hearing in response to the Administrator's notice of intention to suspend.

(b) Filing; service.—A request for an expedited hearing is timely if made in writing or by telegram and filed with the office of the hearing clerk within 5 days of the registrant's receipt of the notice of intention to suspend.

(c) A request for an expedited hearing, the registrant shall also file a document setting forth objections to the Administrator's notice of intention to suspend, together with detailed findings pertaining to the question of imminent hazard. Such objections shall conform to the requirements of § 164.21.

(d) Presiding officer.—(1) An expedited hearing shall be conducted by a presiding officer appointed by the Administrator, and such officer need not be an Administrative Law Judge.

(2) The presiding officer shall not have the authority to make an initial decision on the merits but shall make a recommended decision only.

(e) The issue.—The expedited hearing shall address the issues of whether an imminent hazard exists.

(f) Time of hearing.—The hearing shall commence within 5 days after the date of filing of the request. The hearing will be conducted in the office of the hearing clerk unless the registrant and respondent agree that it shall commence at a later time. As soon as possible, the presiding officer shall publish in the Federal Register notice of such hearing.

§ 164.123 Emergency order.

(a) Whenever the Administrator determines that an emergency exists, that does not permit him to hold a hearing before suspension, he may issue a suspension order in advance of notification to the registrant.

(b) The Administrator shall immediately notify the registrant of the suspension order. The registrant may then request a hearing in accordance with §§ 164.121 and 164.122, but the suspension order shall remain in effect during the hearing and until the Administrator determines otherwise.

[FR Doc. 73-6027 Filed 4-7-73; 8:15 am]
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GRANTING OF RELIEF

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 925(c), the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding 1 year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

Alejos, Frederick, 2415 Bahama Drive, Apartment 11, Dallas, Tex., convicted on September 3, 1965, in the Criminal District Court of Dallas County, Tex., and on July 28, 1966, in the Criminal District Court of Dallas County, Tex.

Bartell, Joseph P., 94-08 60th Street, Jamaica, N.Y., convicted on October 14, 1952, in the Superior Court, Richmond County, N.Y.

Bennett, Ray A., 6991 Eas University Avenue, Gainesville, Fla., convicted on January 31, 1949, in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, Jacksonville Division.

Bryant, Michael A., Route 6, Box 492, Winchester, Va., convicted on October 12, 1970, in the Circuit Court of Augusta County, Va.

Buddo, Stanley, 5394 Hovander Road, Ferndale, Wash., convicted on September 22, 1954, in the Superior Court of Washington in and for Grays Harbor County.

Callaway, Donald D., Route No. 1, Warrens, W. Va., convicted on September 27, 1971, in the Monroe County Court, State of Wisconsin.

Conway, Timothy J., Box 346, Clarion, Pa., convicted on May 25, 1969, in the Court of Common Pleas, Clarion County, Pa.


De Moss, David E., 621 Harvard Avenue East, Apartment 3, Seattle, Wash., convicted on November 15, 1958, in the Kitsap County, Wash., Superior Court, and on November 9, 1959, in the Kitsap County, Wash., Superior Court.

Farnsworth, Larry C., P.O. Box 181, Savery, Wash., convicted on February 6, 1959, in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for Cowlitz County.

Fish, Myron A., 405 Chestor Pike, Darby, Pa., convicted on April 23, 1916, in the Supreme Court in and for Erie County, N.Y.

Hayman, Nelson E., 1611 Tyler, Detroit, Mich., convicted on February 10, 1934, in the Fourth Judicial District Court of the State of Minnesota.

Hoyle, Floyd E., 2551 Castleberry Lane, Las Vegas, Nev., convicted on January 5, 1959, in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for King County.

Kelly, Paul E., 265 Baroux Street, Apartment 11, St. Paul, Minn., convicted on June 29, 1949, in the Ramsey County, Minn., District Court, Second Judicial District.

Landown, Harold J., Hartville, Mo., convicted on June 10, 1963, in the Circuit Court of Wright County, Mo.

Lounberty, Frank E., 910-12th Street, Nebraska, Convicted on June 16, 1971, in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Iowa, Des Moines, Iowa.

Lucas, Gene J., 1923 West Calvary Road, Duluth, Minn., convicted on November 29, 1950, in the District Court of Larpansa County, Larpansa, Tex., convicted on February 3, 1954, in the District Court, St. Louis County, Duluth, Minn., and on January 29, 1957, in Stearns County Court, St. Cloud, Minn.

Mayfield, Milton B., 4848 5th Street, San Diego, Calif., convicted on December 10, 1951, in the Superior Court, San Diego, Calif.

Miley, Wayne, 1140-A South San Tomas Expressway, Campbell, Calif., convicted on May 16, 1966, in the Superior Court, Santa Clara County, Calif.


Parrish, John P., 2218 Belle Haven Road, Alexandria, Va., convicted on February 28, 1957, in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division.

Payne, Stuart L., 923 Glenwood Road, Glendale, Calif., convicted on May 24, 1951, by a general court-martial convened by headquarters, Scott Air Force Base, Ill.

Quattrini, Alfred, 301 W. 12th St., Des Moines, Iowa, convicted on November 3, 1926, in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan.

St. Pierre, Clifford E., P.O. Box 762, Palmetto, Fla., convicted on April 2, 1951, in the county court for the county of Delaware, N.Y.

Samsom, Joseph L., 2200 Rosewood, Austin, Tex., convicted on February 18, 1956, in the 147th Judicial District Court of Travis County, Tex.

Saquella, Michael, 62 Matthew Drive, Fairport, N.Y., convicted on October 10, 1939, in the Genesee County Court, Batavia, N.Y.

Scheob, Kenneth A., 408 Bennett Street, Milford, Mich., convicted on December 8, 1909, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the county of Los Angeles.

Spence, Alexander, 3811 Fosco Street, Pittsburgh, Pa., convicted on January 23, 1955, in the Court of Cuyahoga County, Cleveland, Ohio.

Tyler, Charles F., general delivery, Taterville, Ky., convicted on December 23, 1956, in the Allegany County Court, Belmont, N.Y.

Whitette, James H., 60 Pionier Avenue, Caribou, Maine, convicted on July 3, 1947, in the District Court of Tahoe County of Kauai, territory of Hawaii.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of March 1973.

[SEAL] REX D. DAVIES,
Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc 73-6603 Filed 4-4-73; 8:15 am]

Comptroller of the Currency

INSURED BANKS
Joint Call for Report of Condition

Cross Reference: For a document regarding joint call for report of condition of insured banks, see FR Doc. 73-8580, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, infra.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

ARMY MILITARY POLICE SCHOOL BOARD OF VISITORS

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting.

The U.S. Army Military Police School Board of Visitors will meet at 0815 hours, April 24, 1973, at Gullion Hall, corner of Academic Avenue and 40th Street, Fort Gordon, Ga. Committees will work in three conference rooms, Building, 38701, 38801, and 40701 on April 24 and 25, 1973. The meeting will conclude with the presentation of committee reports to the School Commandant, 1510-1630 hours, April 25, 1973, in Gullion Hall.

Specific agenda as follows:
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TUESDAY, APRIL 24, 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Responsible officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0630-0630</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Gallivan Hall</td>
<td>Mr. General Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0630-0630</td>
<td>School orientation and discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonel Fort Sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0640-1000</td>
<td>Systems engineering briefing</td>
<td>Hild 3505,</td>
<td>Colonel Hildt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0640-1000</td>
<td>Elective program briefing</td>
<td>conference room</td>
<td>Colonel Burtz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0640-1000</td>
<td>Faculty development briefing</td>
<td>Hild 6040,</td>
<td>Dr. Vandemark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Presentation of committee meeting</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Responsible officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1010-1030</td>
<td>Gallivan Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Brandyman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Committees will work on problems which have been presented to them in their assigned conference rooms and other areas of the school during the remainder of the day and on Apr. 23, 1973.

The meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

Any additional information concerning the meeting may be obtained from Colonel Zane V. Kortum, MFC, Commandant, U.S. Army Military Police School, Fort Gordon, Ga., 494-780-2015.

R. B. Betlaph
Special Advisor to TAG.


[FR Doc. 73-6502 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

Modification of Classification Arizona 3470

To Permit Grant of Right-of-Way; Correction

The land description appearing in published notice 38 FR 7477 of the issue of March 22, 1973, is hereby corrected to read:

Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona T. 15 S., R. 13 E., Sec. 4, the west 60 feet of lots 25 and 40 except the north 150 feet of lot 25.


Joe T. Fallaw, State Director.

[FR Doc. 73-6491 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

National Park Service

[Order No. 1]

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, CHANNEL ISLANDS NATIONAL MONUMENT

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution of Purchase Orders for Supplies, Equipment, or Services

Section 1. Administrative officer. — The administrative officer may issue purchase orders not in excess of $2,000 for supplies, equipment, or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

Sec. 2. Redelegation. The authority delegated in section 1 above may not be redelegated.


Dated February 27, 1973.

DONALD M. ROBINSON,
Superintendent, Channel Islands National Monument.

[FR Doc. 73-6490 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

ORDER NO. 5

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER ET AL.,
COULEE DAM NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution of Contracts for Construction, Supplies, Equipment or Services

Section 1. Administrative officer. — The administrative officer may execute and approve contracts not in excess of $25,000 for construction, supplies, equipment, and services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

Sec. 2. Redelegation. The authority delegated in section 1 above may not be redelegated.


Dated February 27, 1973.

[FR Doc. 73-6489 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Order No. 1]

[Order No. 5]
NOTICES

[Order No. 3]

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, PINNACLES NATIONAL MONUMENT

Delegation of Authority Regarding Execution of Purchase Orders for Supplies, Equipment, or Services

Section 1. Administrative assistant.—The administrative assistant may issue purchase orders not in excess of $500 for supplies, equipment, or services in conformity with applicable regulations and statutory authority and subject to availability of appropriated funds.

Section 2. Redelegation.—The authority delegated in section 1 above may not be redelegated.

Section 3. Revocation.—This order supersedes Order No. 2 published May 22, 1968.

March 25, 1973;

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,

PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF MANDATORY SAFETY STANDARD

Petition for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 801(c) (1970), Peabody Coal Co., has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.703 to its Matanzas No. 3 mine near Centerlown, Ky.

Petitioner asks that this standard be modified because of access roads, which vary in length from 3,000 feet to 3 miles, are very narrow due to the steep slope of the mountain. The Petitioner states that access roads can be safely maintained by the use of gravel and salt. Also, grading equipment is used to push snow and mud over the outer edge of the road.

Petitioner contends that the application of the mandatory standard would result in a diminution of safety to miners in the affected areas because the use of berms would not permit safe maintenance of the road. In fall, winter, and spring frequent thaws and freezes occur and berms would trap runoff water creating hazardous travel conditions. Petitioner also asserts that the use of berms would eliminate many possible passing areas for coal trucks and cars thus creating a hazard for loaded coal trucks. Petitioner further states that the banks of the roads are on fill material and will not support rail.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,
March 25, 1973;

PEABODY COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 801(c) (1970), Peabody Coal Co., has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.703 to its Matanzas No. 3 mine near Centerlown, Ky.

Petitioner asks that this standard be modified because of access roads, which vary in length from 3,000 feet to 3 miles, are very narrow due to the steep slope of the mountain. The Petitioner states that access roads can be safely maintained by the use of gravel and salt. Also, grading equipment is used to push snow and mud over the outer edge of the road.

Petitioner contends that the application of the mandatory standard would result in a diminution of safety to miners in the affected areas because the use of berms would not permit safe maintenance of the road. In fall, winter, and spring frequent thaws and freezes occur and berms would trap runoff water creating hazardous travel conditions. Petitioner also asserts that the use of berms would eliminate many possible passing areas for coal trucks and cars thus creating a hazard for loaded coal trucks. Petitioner further states that the banks of the roads are on fill material and will not support rail.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,
March 25, 1973;

PEABODY COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 801(c) (1970), Peabody Coal Co., has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.703 to its Matanzas No. 3 mine near Centerlown, Ky.

Petitioner asks that this standard be modified because of access roads, which vary in length from 3,000 feet to 3 miles, are very narrow due to the steep slope of the mountain. The Petitioner states that access roads can be safely maintained by the use of gravel and salt. Also, grading equipment is used to push snow and mud over the outer edge of the road.

Petitioner contends that the application of the mandatory standard would result in a diminution of safety to miners in the affected areas because the use of berms would not permit safe maintenance of the road. In fall, winter, and spring frequent thaws and freezes occur and berms would trap runoff water creating hazardous travel conditions. Petitioner also asserts that the use of berms would eliminate many possible passing areas for coal trucks and cars thus creating a hazard for loaded coal trucks. Petitioner further states that the banks of the roads are on fill material and will not support rail.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,
March 25, 1973;

PEABODY COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 801(c) (1970), Peabody Coal Co., has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.703 to its Matanzas No. 3 mine near Centerlown, Ky.

Petitioner asks that this standard be modified because of access roads, which vary in length from 3,000 feet to 3 miles, are very narrow due to the steep slope of the mountain. The Petitioner states that access roads can be safely maintained by the use of gravel and salt. Also, grading equipment is used to push snow and mud over the outer edge of the road.

Petitioner contends that the application of the mandatory standard would result in a diminution of safety to miners in the affected areas because the use of berms would not permit safe maintenance of the road. In fall, winter, and spring frequent thaws and freezes occur and berms would trap runoff water creating hazardous travel conditions. Petitioner also asserts that the use of berms would eliminate many possible passing areas for coal trucks and cars thus creating a hazard for loaded coal trucks. Petitioner further states that the banks of the roads are on fill material and will not support rail.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,
March 25, 1973;

PEABODY COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. 801(c) (1970), Peabody Coal Co., has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.703 to its Matanzas No. 3 mine near Centerlown, Ky.

Petitioner asks that this standard be modified because of access roads, which vary in length from 3,000 feet to 3 miles, are very narrow due to the steep slope of the mountain. The Petitioner states that access roads can be safely maintained by the use of gravel and salt. Also, grading equipment is used to push snow and mud over the outer edge of the road.

Petitioner contends that the application of the mandatory standard would result in a diminution of safety to miners in the affected areas because the use of berms would not permit safe maintenance of the road. In fall, winter, and spring frequent thaws and freezes occur and berms would trap runoff water creating hazardous travel conditions. Petitioner also asserts that the use of berms would eliminate many possible passing areas for coal trucks and cars thus creating a hazard for loaded coal trucks. Petitioner further states that the banks of the roads are on fill material and will not support rail.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals,
As an alternate method petitioner proposes to meet all requirements of 30 CFR 77.800 which includes protection for stationary equipment by circuit breaker or fuses of the correct type and capacity and in addition petitioner will use a grounding resistor of proper rating to limit the circuits in protection as the circuit external to the resistor to less than 100 volts under fault conditions.

Also petitioner states that it will employ instantaneous tripping to protect against tripping and grounded phase relaying to cause the circuit breaker to trip on ground faults of less than 15 amperes and will apply a potential transformer connected across the grounding resistor to open the circuit breaker if the grounding resistor fails.

Petitioner avers that the alternate system will guarantee the miners no less protection and in fact will provide more protection than the use of a ground check circuit. Petitioner further contends that the application of 30 CFR 77.803 actually diminishes the safety afforded by circuit breakers when applied to stationary equipment.

Petitioner requests that the Bureau of Mines be enjoined from applying 30 CFR 77.803 to high-voltage circuits supplying stationary equipment. In the alternative petitioner requests an order modifying application of 30 CFR 77.803 to the extent that it not be required to remove the grounding resistor from the circuits in question as such a change would greatly increase the hazard to the miners and asks that its proposed alternative be accepted.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.


[FR Doc.73-6514 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

Docket No. M-73-37

UNITED STATES FUEL CO.
Petition for Modification of Application of
Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 3 U.S.C. 811(e) (1970), the United States Fuel Co. has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1403-10(b) to its King Mine at Hiawatha, Utah.

30 CFR 75.1403-10(b) reads as follows:

(b) Cars on main haulage roads shall not be pushed, except where necessary to push cars from sidetracks located near the working section to the producing entries or rooms, where necessary to clear switches and sidetracks, and on the approach to cages, slopes, and surface inclines.

In support of its request for modification of a mandatory safety standard, petitioner makes the following statements:

At King Mine a surface incline of 6,000 feet connects the portal with the preparation plant. Empty mine cars are hoisted to the portal by a double drum hoist as the loads are lowered to the preparation plant. A 16-ton locomotive pushes the empty trip (16 cars) to second west portal, a distance of approximately 1,469 feet, and then pulls a 15 car trip of loads to the portal, where [etc] they are connected to the hoist rope for lowering to the preparation plant, thus completing the cycle. Thirty car trips are taken into the mine from second west portal by locomotive. All men and material are taken into the mine through other, far removed, portals. No men are assigned to work in the area between these two portals during production shifts. The track through these two partitions is well installed and maintained by nonproduction miners and problem of shortening and breaking which they have no coal to run. There have been no accidents in this area which could be related to pushing empty trips since the present track layout was installed in 1962.

30 CFR 75.1403-10 would allow pushing loads out to the portal, precipitated this view in our opinion, so far more hazardous than pulling the loads out and pushing empties in for the following reasons:

Motorman have no实际控制 of the trip in the portal area from a standpoint of stopping the trip and blocking the trip above a steep surface incline while the locomotive is uncoupled and while the rope is attached to the trip. [etc] It would be easily possible to injure rope damage if motorman pushed loads too far, or if a derailment should occur. It would be very difficult to discern the holding rope from the empty trip without the locomotive being hoisted onto the opposite end of trip to push up the slack.

We submit that pushing 15 car trips from portal landing to second west portal is a safe procedure as evidenced and documented by 21 years of safe operation and that any other procedure and/or injecting more personnel into a very simple and safe operation would contribute no greater degree of safety.

Current plans at this King Mine include phasing out King I haulage with all locomotives and mine cars. This will be accomplished after new portals are surfaced and conveyors installed or approximately 1 year from this date.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before May 7, 1973. Such request or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Va. 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES M. DAY,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.


[FR Doc.73-6515 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Forest Service
KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST MULTIPLE-USE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

The Kootenai National Forest Multiple-Use Advisory Committee will meet at 2 p.m., May 11, 1973, at 120 West Fourth Street, Libby, Mont. 59923.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the following suggested agenda items:

1. Public involvement and multiple-use emphases on planning units.
2. Reforestation—timber stand improvement program.
3. Selective and clear cut logging and related slash burning.
5. Recreational use and off-road vehicle use regulations.
6. Road building and closures.
7. Constraints affecting allowable sell and impact of Lincoln County funds by continuing environmental requirements.
8. Public Law 82-453 and the need to continue the Forest Service's program.
9. The Multiple-Use Program.

The meeting will be open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Committee before or after the meeting.

The Committee will utilize the following rules for public participation:

To the extent that time permits, members of the public may make oral statements on agenda items following completion of discussion of the agenda by the Advisory Committee.

JOHN V. PUCKETT,
Acting Forest Supervisor.


[FR Doc.73-6576 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

MALHEUR NATIONAL FOREST GRAZING ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Meeting

The Malheur National Forest Grazing Advisory Board will meet at 7 p.m., April 10, 1973, in the Malheur Forest conference room at 139 Northeast Dayton, John Day, Oreg.

The purpose of this meeting is to review and make recommendations on grazing applications received for temporary grazing permits on the Murders Creek, Fawn Springs, and Rattlesnake Cattle and Horse Allotments; and to consider the complaints of the permittees on the Long Creek Allotment concerning Malheur Forest policy relating to the administration of the grazing permit.

The meeting will be open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the board before or after the meeting.

The board has established the following rules for public participation: Public members may speak up at any time during the meeting unless announced otherwise by the president of the board after the meeting convenes.


A. G. ORD,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc.73-6577 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 38, NO. 65—THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 1973
SALMON RIVER BREAKS PRIMITIVE AREA
PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Cancellation of Meeting

The Salmon River Breaks Primitive Area Public Advisory Committee meeting scheduled for April 27, 1973, has been canceled. Amendment of data from public meetings. The meeting will be rescheduled at a later date.


O. I. DANIELS, Forest Supervisor, Bitterroot National Forest.

[FR Doc.73-6576 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

WALLOWA-WHITMAN NATIONAL FOREST.
MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

The Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Multiple Use Advisory Committee will meet at 9:30 a.m., Friday, May 11, 1973, at the La Grande Range and Wildlife Habitat Laboratory, Gekeler Lane and C Avenue, La Grande, Ore.

The purpose of this meeting is the annual spring meeting of the Advisory Committee to consider broad questions of policy, programs, and procedures affecting the administration of the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify Forest Supervisor John L. Rogers, Box 907, Baker, Ore. 97814. Telephone 523-6591. Written statements may be filed with the committee before or after the meeting.

J. L. ROGERS, Forest Supervisor.


[FR Doc.73-6578 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Bureau of Standards

AUTOMATED MERCHANDISE AND PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION CODES

Notice of Solicitation of Proposals and Comments Regarding Adoption by Retail and Grocery Industries

Correction

In FR Doc. 73-6323, appearing on page 864 of the issue for Monday, April 2, 1973, the first line of the last numbered paragraph of the second column which now reads "5. Technical information on the automatic" should read "5. Indication of the form your participants should be deleted:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NAVAL BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

Notice of Application for Economic Hardship Exemption

Notice is hereby given that the following applicant has filed an application for an economic hardship exemption pursuant to section 101(c) of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-522), and § 216.13 of the interim regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (37 FR 26177).

Naval Biomedical Research Laboratory, Naval Supply Center, Oakland, Calif. 94625, to collect during April, May, and June 1973 bacterial and tissue samples from a marine mammal of unknown, dead, or stillborn fetuses of California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) on each of three trips to San Miguel Island.

The applicant states that:

(a) The purpose of the research will be to study the occurrence of Leptospira species of bacteria in sea lions, which bacteria have been isolated from aborted fetuses and implicated as the etiological agent causing sepsis in hundreds of young adult sea lions, and which bacteria are known to cause abortion in terrestrial animals and to infect man;

(b) It is critical to the laboratory's study and obligations under its research contract to confirm and extend its findings this year during April, May, and June when the fetauses will be available;

(c) Only aborted, stillborn, or dead fetuses would be collected, and no adult animals or viable pups would be sampled;

(d) Samples would be taken from the aborted, stillborn, or dead fetuses by swabbing noses, throats, and rectums for virus and bacteria isolations. Tissue would be collected and frozen for further toxicological tests, in addition to being fixed for histopathological studies;

(e) Every attempt will be made not to disturb the living animal population, using a field team of four to six people with considerable experience in this work;

(f) If the laboratory is not able to continue its program of research it would create a severe economic hardship for many of its staff who are specifically funded for this research;

(g) Six staff members working on this program would have to be fired or shifted, if possible, to other programs;

(h) If the laboratory's team were disbanded it would take at least another year to assemble the expertise to bring the study to a successful conclusion.

The economic loss would not necessarily be confined to this particular research program since the finding of certain agents in sea lions has a potentially major economic impact. Both the Leptospira species and virus isolate are potentially dangerous to domestic animals. This is particularly true of the virus which is indistinguishable from a virus which devastated the swine population in California from 1930-50. Such an epizootic would have an economic impact on a national scale. The laboratory's research is at a point where it is critical to confirm the nature of this virus in marine mammals; how it is transmitted within and to the herd; the nature of its pathogenicity for marine mammals; and its incidence as a potential threat to domestic food-producing animals.

Documents submitted in connection with this application are available for inspection in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235. Confidential financial documents and trade secrets will not be available.

All factual statements and opinions contained in this notice, with respect to the application, are those supplied by the applicant and do not necessarily reflect the findings or opinions of the National Marine Fisheries Service.


ROBERT W. SCHUMING, Acting Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[F.R Doc.73-6680 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

Office of Import Programs

COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY ET AL.

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles; Correction

In the notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles issued in the Federal Register of Thursday, March 15, 1973, the following docket should be deleted:

Docket No. 73-00083-33-49140. Applicant: William and Mary, Department of Pathology, Division of Surgical Pathology, 550 East 59th Street, Chicago, Ill. 60637. Article: Electron Microscope, model EM 201. Date of denial without prejudice to resubmission: November 7, 1972.

E. BLANKENHEIM, Acting Director, Office of Import Programs.

[FR Doc.73-6650 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Digital Precision Density Meters

The following is a consolidated decision on applications for duty-free entry of digital precision density meters pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-561, 80 Stat. 897) and the regulations issued thereunder as amended (37 FR 3892 at seq.). (See especially § 701.11(c).)

A copy of the record pertaining to each of the applications in this consolidated decision is available for public review during ordinary business hours of the Department of Commerce, at the Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C.

Docket No. 73-00028-01-19000. Applicant: The Johns Hopkins University, 34th and Charles Streets, Baltimore, Md. 21218. Article: Digital precision density meter. Manufacturer: Anton Paar KG, Austria. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to measure the density of viscous proteins from muscles such as myosin, paramyosin, F-actin and their subunits in order to calculate...
precise partial specific volumes. This information will provide added precision to the determination of molecular weights in the ultracentrifuge and is necessary for the size and shape studies of proteins of muscle. The article will also be used for monitoring the salt gradient of the preparative columns used for purifying myosin and other proteins from muscle. In addition the article is intended to be used for training in various techniques, including methods of enzyme purification, differentiation and gradient centrifugation and statistical analysis of data. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 13, 1972. Advice submitted by Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: March 15, 1973.

Docket No. 73-00088-33-19000. Applicant: Duke University Medical Center, Department of Biochemistry, Durham, N.C. 27710. Article: Digital precision density meter, DMA-10. Manufacturer: Anton Paar KG, Austria. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to measure the densities of solutions containing proteins of biomedical importance and from this information the effective density of the protein itself (partial specific volume) will be calculated. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 27, 1972. Advice submitted by Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: March 15, 1973.

Docket No. 73-00083-01-19000. Applicant: California State University, 1400 South 10th Street, Richmond, Calif. 94804. Article: Digital precision density meter, model DMA-02C. Manufacturer: Anton Paar KG, Austria. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used to determine precisely partial specific volume in making determination of molecular weight of biopolymers by sedimentation equilibrium. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: July 31, 1972. Advice submitted by Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: March 15, 1973.

Notices

Tufts University et al.


The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to section 6 (c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-789). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to any of the articles as these articles are intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States, is being manufactured in the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used. The Department of Commerce has no information as to the foreign articles, for such purposes as these articles are intended to be used, which is being manufactured in the United States. We, therefore, find that the article is intended to be used for biochemical experiments and assays on very small parts of animal tissues. In addition the equipment will be used for the recording of electrical signals from isolated brain preparations or from single cells from the central nervous system of animals. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: June 12, 1973.

Docket No. 73-00415-33-46070. Applicant: Wayne State University, Department of Dermatology, Research Medical Sciences, 318 Canfield, Detroit, Mich. 48201. Article: Electron microscope, model SSM-2. Manufacturer: Hitachi Electron Corporation, Japan. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for observation of human skin in normal and pathologic conditions. In addition the article will be used for teaching a course in electron microscopic techniques for residents and students in dermatology. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: March 6, 1973.
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NOTICES

Food and Drug Administration

TOPICAL FLUORIDE SOLUTIONS, PASTES, AND GELS PROMOTED OR SOLD FOR PROFESSIONAL USE

Safety and Efficacy Review; Request for Data and Information

On January 30, 1973, a notice was published in the Federal Register (38 FR 2761) requesting data and information to support the claims for over-the-counter (OTC) drug products containing dentifrices and dental care agents except mouthwashes and oral antiseptics. The information was requested as part of the review currently being undertaken by the Food and Drug Administration of all OTC drug products currently marketed in the United States. To determine whether they are safe and effective and not misbranded for their labeled indications. Included in the list of products on which comments were requested were topical fluoride solutions, pastes, and gels.

There are basically two types of fluoride products in this category which are currently marketed: those which are used as prescription products, and those which are used as prescription solutions, gels, pastes, and rinses by dentists. The January 30, 1973, notice was not intended to encompass these latter products, which include:

A. Solutions containing:
1. Sodium fluoride, 3 percent.
2. Acidulated phosphate-fluoride, 2 percent.
3. Stannous fluoride, 8 percent.
4. Gels containing acidulated phosphate-fluoride, 2 or 1.5 percent.
5. Pastes containing stannous fluoride, 65 grams plus 100 grams of pumice.
6. Rinses containing fluorides.
7. Other topical fluoride products or concentrations.

In a letter to known distributors of such prescription products, dated July 21, 1972, the FDA stated its awareness that many of these preparations are extensively marketed and that these products are regarded as new drugs for which safety and effectiveness for their intended uses has not been established pursuant to the new drug provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Adequate data has not been submitted to substantiate the safety and effectiveness of all formulations currently marketed. The letter therefore stated that the FDA intended to undertake a review of these prescription drugs with the assistance of dental experts to determine their safety and effectiveness for their recommended conditions of use.

In order to expedite this review and to establish the appropriate conditions for marketing these prescription drugs, and to assure that they may be marketed safely and effectively and that they are not misbranded, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs invites the early submission of unpublished data and other information pertinent to the labeling and formulation of such preparations. The submission of published data is not required. To be considered, eight copies of the data must be submitted, indexed, and on standard size paper (approximately 8½ by 11 inches). All submissions must be in the format described below:

1. Drug trade name and established name.
2. Instructions submitted to the user, label(s), and all labeling (preferably mounted and filed with the other data—facsimile labeling is acceptable in lieu of actual container labeling).
3. A statement setting forth the complete formulation of the product.
4. Copies of unpublished data pertinent to a determination of the safety and effectiveness of the product.

Submissions should be conspicuously marked and forwarded to: Food and Drug Administration, Bureau of Drugs,
20410, Attention: Housing Policy Review

10(a) of Public Law 92-463, effective

Information Act (section

Department of Housing and Ur-

Secretary for Policy Development and Re-

submitted in writing on or before May 1,

grams are necessary to achieve the ap-

Provisions of Housing and housing finance.

should be in housing and housing finance.

Should be in housing and housing finance.

38, 1973, in room

202, HUD Building, 451 Seventh Street

policy revisions.


WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.73-6479 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Office of the Secretary
(Docket No. N-73-149)

REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAMS

Notice of Request for Comments and Information

Notice is hereby given that HUD is conducting a review and evaluation of departmental programs to determine:

(a) The current role of Government in housing and housing finance.

(b) What role of Government should be in housing and housing finance.

(c) What changes in policy and programs are necessary to achieve the appropriate role of Government in housing and housing finance.

The Department is soliciting from all interested organizations and individuals any comments or information which they consider to be pertinent to the study. All such comments and information must be submitted in writing or on or before May 1, 1973, and addressed to the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410. Attention: Housing Policy Review Team, room 4102. All comments and information submitted will be subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (section 552 of Title 5, United States Code).


JAMES T. LYNCH,
Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

[FR Doc.73-6629 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

Notice of Open Meeting

This is to give notice pursuant to Public Law 92-463, section 10(a), approved February 5, 1972, Great Lakes Pilotage Advisory Committee will conduct an open meeting on April 25, 1973, in conference room 2075, Federal Building, 1240 East Ninth Street, Cleveland, Ohio, beginning at 10 a.m.

Members of this Advisory Committee are:

(1) Capt. Ernest A. Clothier, President, American Pilots Association.
(2) Dr. Eric Schenker, Professor of Economics and Associate Director, Center for Great Lakes Studies.
(3) Mr. Richard L. Schultz, Executive Director of the Cleveland-Guyahoga County Port Authority.

The summarized agenda for the April 25, 1973, meeting consists of:

(1) Committee administrative matters.
(2) Current pilotage operational matters.
(3) Great Lakes Pilotage Draft Staff Report.

The Great Lakes Pilotage Advisory Committee was established by the Great Lakes Pilotage Act (Public Law 88-555) to provide advice and consultation with respect to proposed pilotage regulations and policies.

The public may file statements with the committee and comments may be presented before the committee provided advance approval has been obtained.

Further information may be obtained by writing Chief, Ports and Waterways Planning Staff, Office of Marine Environment and Systems, U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20590, or by calling 202-267-1755.


W. M. BERNSTEIN,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
Chief, Office of Marine Environmen and Systems.

[FR Doc.73-6628 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
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SOUTHERN CAPITAL TV, INC.

Notice of Petition for and Grant of Review

On February 26, 1973, the Federal Aviation Administration, southern region, issued a Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation under Aeronautical Study No. 72-SO-1627-0E. The determination concerns a proposal by Southern Capital TV, Inc., Tallahassee, Fla., to erect a television antenna mast at latitude 30°34'29" N., longitude 84°12'05" W. The overall height of the structure, including all appurtenances and lighting, would be 1,004 feet above ground level and 1,149 feet above mean sea level.

The Department of Transportation of the State of Florida has petitioned the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration for a discretionary review of the determination. The petition sets forth the following considerations as its basis for the review:

1. The proposed structure would exceed the standards for determining obstructions to air navigation as set forth in part 77, subpart C, of the Federal Aviation Regulations, § 77.23(a) and 77.23(a)(3) and its hazardous effect cannot be eliminated by the proposed procedural adjustments.

2. The proposed structure would require revision to minimum flight altitudes as they concern a segment of Federal Airway V193 and standard instrument approach procedures for Tallahassee Municipal Airport and Tallahassee Commercial Airport and that such adjustment will adversely affect instrument flight rules (IFR) traffic.

3. Visual flight rules (VFR) traffic would be seriously compromised for the reason that the proposed structure would be located in east-west and north-south VFR routes with high density traffic.

4. The establishment of antenna farm areas under Federal Aviation regulations, part 77, subpart F, has been forgotten.

Pursuant to the authority in § 77.37 (c), which has been delegated to me (30 FR 13023), the petition for discretionary review is hereby granted. The review
NOTICES

will be conducted on the basis of written materials pursuant to Federal Aviation Regulations, § 77.37(c) (1).

Interested persons may, on or before May 7, 1973, submit aeronautical information relevant to the question as to whether or not the proposed television antenna structure would have an adverse effect on the safe and efficient use of airspace by aircraft. Each submission must contain sufficient detail to establish a clear understanding of the reason for any claim. Submissions should be in triplicate and addressed to the Chief, Airspace Obstruction and Airports Branch, AAT-240, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Pending final disposition of the petition, the "Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation" issued by the southern region under Aeronautical Study No. 72-80-1627-0E is not and will not be final.


Raymond G. Belanger, Acting Director, Air Traffic Service.

[FR Doc. 73-6521 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR MINORITY ENTERPRISE

CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Public Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776), notice is hereby given that a public meeting of the Capital Development Committee of the Advisory Council for Minority Enterprise will be held Friday, April 13, in Room 4833 of the Department of Commerce Building, 14th Street, and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The meeting will convene at 10 a.m. and will be open to the public. Any member of the public who wishes to do so may file a written statement with the committee before or after the meeting. Such statements may be filed at suite 310, 1000 Vermont Avenue NW, telephone number 202-567-2841.

Interested persons may make oral statements at the meeting to the extent that the time available for the meeting permits.

The purpose of the meeting will be to consider recommendations of task forces established at the Capital Development Committee's December 5, 1972 meeting.

John C. Topping, Jr.,
Staff Director and Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 73-6629 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Documents Nos. 50-673, 50-674]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Notice of Special Prehearing Conference

In the matter of the Commonwealth Edison Co. (La Salle County Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2).

Take notice, on March 20, 1973, in a special prehearing conference, the next conference was scheduled for April 16, 1973, in Washington, D.C. The Board subsequently recognized that it would not have adequate time to properly consider the intervenor's motion to compel and the applicant's response prior to that date; therefore, the special prehearing conference previously scheduled on April 16 is now rescheduled for 10 a.m., local time, on April 25, 1973, at the Postal Rate Commission, 2000 L Street NW., Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20268.

The rescheduling of the prehearing conference does not change the due dates of various documents and will be open to the public. Any member of the public have been included as appendixes to the parties agreed to submit at the prehearing conference of March 20, 1973.

It is so ordered.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 2d day of April 1973.

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

ELIZABETH R. BOWERS, Chairman.

[FR Doc. 73-6521 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Documents Nos. 50-673 and 50-674]

PUBLIX SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS CO.

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2.

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission's Regulations in appendix D to 10 CFR part 310, it is hereby given that the final environmental statement prepared by the Commission's Directorate of Licensing, related to the continuation of the provision of construction permits and issuance of operating licenses for the operation of Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, is available for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and in The Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, N.J. 08079. The final environmental statement is also being made available at the division of State and regional planning, Department of Community Affairs, P.O. Box 1978, Trenton, N.J. 08628, and at the Wilmington Metropolitan Area Planning and Coordinating Council, 4613 Robert Kirkwood Highway, Wilmington, Del. 19805.

The notice of availability of the draft environmental statement was published in the Federal Register on October 31, 1972 (37 FR 23198). The comments received from Federal, State and local officials and interested members of the public have been included as appendices to the final environmental statement. Single copies of the final environmental statement may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Attention: Deputy Director for Reactor Projects, Directorate of Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 30th day of March 1973.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

JEROME CANTHEL
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 73-6521 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD
[Order 73-3-133]

ASIATIC FORWARDERS, INC.

Order Extending Temporary Relief To Perform Household Goods Services for Department of Defense

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 26th day of March 1973.

From time to time, at the request of the Department of Defense (DOD), the Board has granted temporary relief from provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (14 U.S.C.) to permit unauthorized indirect air carriers to transport by air household goods of Department of Defense personnel. The relief is to expire 180 days after the Board's decision in the "Household Goods Air Freight Forwarder Investigation," docket 20812, became final or, as to each individual company, upon Board disposition of such company's application for air freight forwarder authority.

By application filed December 31, 1968, Domestic Air Express (DAX), Asiatic Forwarders et al., requested approval, under section 408 of the Act, of control relationships resulting from acquisition of the acquisition was subject to the condition that DAX would not withdraw its selection of Asiatic Forwarders to transport household goods for DOD personnel.

Subsequently, control relationships resulting from acquisition by DAX of Intra Mar Shipping Corp. (Intra Mar), holder of an international air freight forwarder authority, were approved. By letter dated May 16, 1969, counsel for Asiatic Forwarders requested withdrawal of its application for air freight forwarder authority.

On March 17, 1972, Asiatic Forwarders filed a new application for air freight forwarder authority. Following issuance of the Board's decision in the "Household Goods Air Freight Forwarder Investigation," docket 20812, as amended, Britco, International Forwarders, et al., filed an opposition to the issuance of the Board's policy against multiple authorizations and that an authorization would not be granted as long as it continued to be an affiliate of DAX. In addition, British Airports Authority advised of the Board's policy against multiple authorizations.

On the other hand, the Board is not disposed to issue specialized air freight forwarder licenses restricted in scope as to geography or commodity. While the tailoring of an operating authorization in the manner suggested by Asiatic Forwarders may serve the particular needs of DAX and its parent company, it would serve no overall regulatory purpose. On the contrary, it would fragmentize the operating authority and would not be conducive to the orderly administration of the Board's scheme for licensing air freight forwarders.

All circumstances considered, the Board determined that the public interest will best be served by extending temporarily the relief under which Asiatic Forwarders is permitted to transport by air household goods of DOD personnel, as to permit disposal of their affairs as to permit disposition of Asiatic Forwarders' application in a manner fully consistent with established Board policy against multiple authorizations. We will extend the relief for 2 years from the date of this order and defer processing Asiatic Forwarders' application for air freight forwarder authority to await further clarification of the continuing operations of Asiatic Forwarders and DAX. Our proposed extension of interim relief will also give the bankruptcy court the widest latitude in fashioning an appropriate solution to DAX's corporate difficulties.

No substantive harm should result from the relief granted herein. While it permits an overlap of operating authority, it is for a temporary period; and DAX's facilities are so limited as to preclude any extensive household goods operations. On the other hand, our action will allow the continuation of important public benefits, including the provision of a valuable public service for personnel of DOD. Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That pursuant to sections 101(3) and 204 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, Asiatic Forwarders, Inc., is hereby relieved from the provisions of title IV of the act to the extent

2. That the application is still in force.

3. That the application is still in force.

4. Other than the geographic scope delineated by parts 259 and 237 of the Economic Regulations, Cfr. Household Goods Air Freight Forwarder Investigation, docket 20812, as amended, Asiatic Forwarders, Inc., is hereby relieved from the provisions of title IV of the act to the extent
necessary to transport by air used household goods of personnel of DOD upon tender by that Department: 2. That the relief granted herein to Asiatic Forwarders shall terminate 2 years from the service date of this order: 3. That, in the event DAX is discharged from bankruptcy or severs its control of Asiatic Forwarders during the term of the relief granted herein, Asiatic Forwarders shall refund such discharge or severance within 30 days of such occurrence; and 4. That this order may be amended or revoked at any time in the discretion of the Board without hearing.

This order will be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[Seal] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND, Secretary.

[FED Doc 73-6590 Filed 4-4-73 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Regarding Passenger Matters

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 30th day of March 1973.

Agreements have been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and part 261 of the Board’s economic regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers embodied in the resolutions of joint Traffic Conferences 3/1 and Traffic Conference 3 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The subject agreements, as designated by the above CAB agreement numbers, were adopted at London in March 1973.

The agreements would extend the present fares in the U.S.-North/Central and South Pacific markets and within the area comprised of Asia, Australia, and Australasia which are scheduled to expire on March 31, 1973, through April 30, 1973, on the 30th day of March 1973.

In general, the agreements propose upward adjustments in dollar-specified fares for transportation from the Western Hemisphere to the area comprised of Asia, Australia, and Australasia via the Pacific, as well as currency adjustments in certain passenger fares and cargo rates within Asia and cargo rates over the South Atlantic. The agreement is a result of the evaluation of the U.S. dollar on February 12, 1973, and reflects adjustments intended to maintain an appropriate equilibrium among currencies whose relative values, one to another, have fluctuated in recent weeks and to avoid carrier revenue losses due to such fluctuations.

Accordingly, it is ordered, that: Agreement CAB 23594, 23595, and 23596, as set forth above, are hereby approved: Provided, That approval is subject, where applicable, to conditions previously imposed by the Board. This order will be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[Seal] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND, Secretary.

[FED Doc 73-6590 Filed 4-4-73 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Order Relating to Passenger Fares, Cargo Rates, and Currency Matters

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 30th day of March 1973.

Agreements have been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and part 261 of the Board’s economic regulations, between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreements were adopted for April 1, 1973, effectiveness at the Composite Currency Conference at London on March 31, 1973, and have been assigned CAB agreement no. 23595.

In general, the agreements propose upward adjustments in dollar-specified fares for transportation from the Western Hemisphere to the area comprised of Asia, Australia, and Australasia via the Pacific, as well as currency adjustments in certain passenger fares and cargo rates within Asia and cargo rates over the South Atlantic. The agreement is a result of the evaluation of the U.S. dollar on February 12, 1973, and reflects adjustments intended to maintain an appropriate equilibrium among currencies whose relative values, one to another, have fluctuated in recent weeks and to avoid carrier revenue losses due to such fluctuations.

Insofar as the agreement applies directly in air transportation as defined by the Act, Transpacific passenger fares for westbound-originating travel would be increased by 5 percent. Eastbound travel originating in certain countries in Asia whose currencies have declined in unit value would be subject to the same percentage increase. Passenger fares and cargo rates from Guam and American Samoa to Far East points would also be increased 5 percent. The new fares established pursuant to the agreement would be effective through April 30, 1973, in the case of fares over the Pacific, and May 14, in the case of fares within the Pacific area, where new fare agreements for each area are scheduled to become effective. The cargo rate increases would be effective through September 30, 1973, when the current worldwide cargo rate agreement expires.

Finally, the agreement proposes two new resolutions establishing special rules for currency adjustments pending further IATA conference action to establish new IATA exchange rates or otherwise adjust fares to prevent revenue losses from further currency fluctuations. Generally, the proposed rules, which will apply to the new fares/rates established by the subject agreement, are similar to the provisions of the currently applicable IATA resolution 021. When payment is not restricted solely to the local currency of the country of sale, the resolutions specify rules for currency conversion to the effect that the price paid will reflect the full extent of the currency realignments. These resolutions also include procedures for the adjustment of fares/rates in local (nonsale) currencies when such local currency deprecates in relation to the U.S. dollar or the pound sterling by more than 2½ percent from the parities existing on February 12, 1973.

Bangladesh, Cambodia, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Korea, Laos, Nepal, Philippines, Thailand, and Vietnam.

1 The South Atlantic cargo rate agreement is for effect Apr. 15, 1973.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 38, NO. 65—THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 1973

Pan American World Airways, Inc. (Pan American) and Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA) have submitted statements in support of the proposed increases in Transpacific fares. The carriers maintain that the primary reason for the increases is to ensure that countries with appreciated currencies do not incur de facto fare reductions with an attendant economic penalty to their national carriers. Insofar as the currency realignments affect the U.S. carriers, they maintain that the costs of operating in the Far East have increased significantly due to the devaluation of the dollar in relation to local currencies, and that an increase in revenue is necessary to offset these increased costs. The carriers assert that the increase in Transpacific revenue due to the 5-percent adjustment will, for the most part, do no more to meet the added costs of doing business. The carriers also point out that the 5-percent increase is well below the relative effective depreciation of the dollar, which varies by amounts ranging to over six times the case in the case of the Japanese yen. Finally, the carriers claim that the increase is clearly justified in light of the fact that they are continuing to experience inadequate returns on Pacific operations.

As the U.S. dollar is the basic currency for the sale of air transportation across the Atlantic and Pacific, it seems clear that carriers operating those routes would suffer substantial injury in the absence of some increase in dollar-specified fares for the reasons put forth by the carriers. Those foreign air carriers, the bulk of whose expenses are incurred in relatively higher-valued foreign currencies, would also be affected, in a number of cases even more adversely than U.S. carriers. The precise impact in particular markets depends upon the exchange relationship between the dollar and the local national currency and a determination of expenses earned and expenses incurred in particular countries. When particular currencies float so also does the net impact on particular carriers.

We need not focus here on the argument that the fare increases are justified by the carriers' historical and current rate of return positions in the Pacific; increases to adjust for currency realignments should be specifically related to the impact of those currency adjustments. However, there is no way to adjust dollar rates and fares to match precisely the impact of devaluation on each carrier, and at the same time maintain the uniform fare structure among carriers which is a practical competitive necessity. It must, of course, be noted that the IATA currency adjustment clauses from official actions and policies of this government, and others, with respect to currency exchange rates in foreign trade generally and that it does not in fact reflect the full 10-percent official devaluation of the dollar. As we have previously indicated, carriers should not be precluded from fare or rate adjustments to offset increases flowing from changes in exchange rates, provided the carrier is not in an excess-earnings position. The 5-percent upward adjustment agreed upon does not appear out of line with the expected impact of currency adjustments on the carriers as a group, and accordingly we will approve the agreements.

The Board, acting pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), and 412 of the Act, makes the following findings:

1. It is not found that the following resolutions, incorporated in agreement CAB 23606 as indicated, are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act:

   - Agreement CAB 23606, R-1 and R-2, be and hereby is approved, provided that:
     - With respect to resolutions 02IL and 0211L, the event that actions pursuant to such resolutions result in revision of a basic specified or constructed fare or rate, such new basic fare or rate shall be filed with the Board as an agreement under section 415 of the act and approved by the Board prior to being placed in effect;

   - 2. Agreement CAB 23606, R-3 through R-6, be and hereby is approved.

   - This order will be published in the Federal Register by the Civil Aeronautics Board.

   - (Docket No. 24488; Order 73-3-116)

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION
Order Relating to South Atlantic Rates

Issued under delegated authority on March 28, 1973, an agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the act) and part 261 of the Board's economic regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Conferences 1-2 and 1-2-3 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement, which has been assigned the CAB agreement No. 22389, was adopted at meetings held in London in March 1973.

For the most part, the resolutions incorporated in the subject agreement relate to rates and provisions which either are not applicable or are not directly applicable in air transportation as defined by the act and, therefore, are of primary interest to other governments. The agreement would establish fare levels to apply over the South Atlantic between South America and Europe/Asia and Africa and Asia for a 1-year period generally effective May 1, 1973. Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's regulations, 14 CFR, 385.14:

1. It is not found that the following resolutions are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the act:
Notices

Revocation of Authority to Make a Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Commerce to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy Director, Bureau of International Commerce, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business, Office of the Director.

United States Civil Service Commission,

[SEAL] James C. Spy, Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-6559 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Revocation of Authority to Make a Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy Under Secretary for Regional Affairs, Office of the Under Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

United States Civil Service Commission,

[SEAL] James C. Spy, Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-6562 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Grant of Authority to Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Department of the Treasury to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy Director, Bureau of International Commerce, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance, Domestic and International Business Administration, Office of the Director.

United States Civil Service Commission,

[SEAL] James C. Spy, Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-6561 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Revocation of Authority to Make a Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Commerce to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy Director, Bureau of International Commerce, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Domestic and International Business, Office of the Director.

United States Civil Service Commission,

[SEAL] James C. Spy, Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-6561 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]
Office of Revenues Sharing, Office of Deputy Secretary.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.
[FR Doc.73-6565 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Revocation of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.29 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.29), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Environmental Protection Agency to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Chief Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[FR Doc.73-6565 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
Grant of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.29 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.29), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the National Labor Relations Board to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Chief Counsel to Board Member, Board Members' Offices.

[FR Doc.73-6558 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
Grant of Authority To Make a Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.29 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.29), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Office of Management and Budget to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Legislative Liaison Officer, Office of the Director.

[FR Doc.73-6558 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
BENTAZON
Notice of Establishment of Temporary Tolerance

BASF Wyandotte Corp., 100 Cherry Hill Road, P.O. Box 181, Faralynn, N.J., 07054, submitted a petition (PP 3G1330) requesting establishment of a temporary tolerance for residues of the herbicide bentazon (O-propyl-1H-1,2-benzothiazolin-4-(6) sulfoximine) in or on the raw agricultural commodity soybeans at 0.05 part per million. It has been determined that the requested temporary tolerance is safe and necessary to protect the public health. It is therefore established as requested on condition that the herbicide be used in accordance with the temporary permit being issued concurrently and which provides for distribution under the BASF Wyandotte Corp. name. This temporary tolerance expires March 30, 1974. This action is taken pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 408(j), 86 Stat. 516; 21 U.S.C. 346a(j)), the authority transferred to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (35 FR 16520), and the authority delegated by the Administrator to the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs (36 FR 9038).


HENRY J. KOPF,
Deputy Assistant Administrator-for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc.73-6576 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
INSURED BANKS
Joint Call for Report of Condition

Pursuant to the provisions of section 7(a)(3) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, each insured bank is required to make a report of condition as of the close of business March 28, 1973, to the appropriate agency designated herein, within 10 days after notice that such report shall be made: Provided, That if such reporting date is a nonbusiness day for any bank, the preceding business day shall be its reporting date.

Each national bank and each bank in the District of Columbia shall make its original report of condition on Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and shall send a signed and attested copy thereof to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Each insured state bank which is a member of the Federal Reserve System, except a bank in the District of Columbia, shall make its original report of condition on Federal Reserve form 105—Call 207; and shall send the same to the Comptroller of the Currency and shall send a signed and attested copy thereof to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Each insured state bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System, except a bank in the District of Columbia and a mutual savings bank, shall make its original report of condition and one copy thereof on FDIC form 84—Call No. 103, and shall send the same to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

The original report of condition required to be furnished hereunder to the Comptroller of the Currency and the copy thereof required to be furnished to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for Preparation of Consolidated Reports of Condition by State Member Banks of the Federal Reserve System," dated February 1972. The original report of condition and the copy thereof required to be furnished hereunder to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall be prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the Preparation of Reports of Condition by State Member Banks of the Federal Reserve System," dated December 1970, and any amendments thereto.

Each insured mutual savings bank not a member of the Federal Reserve System shall make its original report of condition and one copy thereof on FDIC Form 64 (Savings), prepared in accordance with "Instructions for the Preparation of Reports of Condition by State Member Banks Not Members of the Federal Reserve System," dated December 1971, and any amendments thereto, and shall send the same to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

FRANK WILKE,
Chairman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.73-6563 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
NATIONAL POWER SURVEY COORDINATING COMMITTEE
Notice of Meeting and Agenda

Agenda, for a meeting of the Coordinating Committee to be held at the Federal Power Commission, 444 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., on April 16, 1973, at 1:30 p.m. in room 2043.

1. Call to order by FPC Coordinating Representative.
2. Objectives and purposes of meeting.
3. Introductory remarks—Mr. Sharon Hazen.
4. Progress statements by Technical Advisory Committee chairman.

3 Filed as part of original document.
NOTICES

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY, EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting and Agenda

Agenda, for a meeting of the Executive Advisory Committee to be held at the Federal Power Commission Offices, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., on April 17, 1973, 9:30 a.m., hearing room A.

1. Call to order and opening remarks by FPC Chairman John N. Nason.
2. Objectives and purposes of meeting.
3. Comments by EAC Chairman Sharon Harris.
4. Statements of key issues by chairman of Technical Advisory Committees:
   a. Power Supply—Mr. F. Hebb, Jr.
   b. Fuels—Mr. Paul Martinka
   c. Transportation—Mr. Gordon R. Corey
   d. Economics—Dr. H. Guyford Stever
   e. Conservation of Energy—Dr. Bruce Netschert
   f. Other business.
3. Adjournment.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or, if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

KENNETH F. PLUM, Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-6625 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL POWER SURVEY, EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting and Agenda

Agenda, for a meeting of the Executive Advisory Committee to be held at the Federal Power Commission Offices, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., on April 17, 1973, 9:30 a.m., hearing room A.

1. Call to order and opening remarks by FPC Chairman John N. Nason.
2. Objectives and purposes of meeting.
3. Comments by EAC Chairman Sharon Harris.
4. Statements of key issues by chairman of Technical Advisory Committees:
   a. Power Supply—Mr. F. Hebb, Jr.
   b. Fuels—Mr. Paul Martinka
   c. Transportation—Mr. Gordon R. Corey
   d. Economics—Dr. H. Guyford Stever
   e. Conservation of Energy—Dr. Bruce Netschert
   f. Other business.
3. Adjournment.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or, if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

KENNETH F. PLUM, Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-6625 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

KILROY PROPERTIES INC.

Notice of Application


Take notice that on March 16, 1973, Kilroy Properties Inc. (Applicant), 720 First City National Bank Building, Houston, Tex. 77002, filed in docket No. C173-620 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to United Gas Pipe Line Co., from the Lake Hatch Field, Terrebonne Parish, La., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that it commenced the sale of natural gas on March 5, 1973, within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.29) and it proposes to continue said sale for 1 year from the end of the 60-day emergency period within the contemplation of § 157.29 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.70). Applicant proposes to sell approximately 1,000 Mcf of gas per day at 45 cents per Mcf at 14.7 lb/in²; subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment.

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with references to said application should on or before April 13, 1973, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in subparagraph 2(g) (3) of the Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is timely filed, or if the certificate is required hereunder, if the Commission on its own re-
The Board concludes that consummation of the proposal would not significantly eliminate existing or potential competition. To the extent that Bank under applicant's operation can increase its competitive effectiveness, other banks which obtain a portion of their deposits from Harrisonville may grow at a somewhat slower rate than otherwise might be expected. However, the Board concludes that the presence of numerous banking alternatives would have no significant adverse effects on any of these banks.

Considerations relating to the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of applicant, its subsidiary banks, and Bank are satisfactory and consistent with approval of the application. While it appears that major banking needs in the area are presently being met, considerations relating to the convenience and need of the communities to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

In connection with this application, two protesting banks petitioned the Board to conduct a formal hearing on the application pursuant to the Board's rules of procedure (12 CFR 222.3(g), (2,3)) or to allow protestants to present views orally before the Board. On February 15, 1973, the protesting banks requested the Board to act on the basis that, since the Comptroller of the Currency did not recommend denial of the application, no formal proceeding is necessary by the act. Further, it appeared to the Board that there were sufficient facts on the record for the Board to make an informed judgment on the issue and that an oral proceeding was unnecessary. However, protestants were afforded a 2-week period to submit additional material for the Board's consideration in connection with its decision on the application.

In addition to raising competitive concerns, the protesting banks contend that the acquisition of Bank by applicant would be in violation of the branch banking restrictions of the State of Missouri. The Board has repeatedly stated that a State's restrictive branch Banking Act unreasonably applies to bank holding company operations, and the Board has found in this case, based upon the record, that Bank will not be operated in such a manner that it and any banking subsidiary of applicant could be characterized as being engaged in unitary operations. The Board, therefore, concludes that Bank will not constitute a branch office of any banking subsidiary of applicant.

It is the Board's judgment that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest, and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The decision shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the effective date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective March 30, 1973.

[SEAL] 
TITIAN SMITH, 
Secretary of the Board.

COUNCIL GROVE BANC SHARES, INC. 
Formation of Bank Holding Company

Council Grove Bancshares, Inc., Council Grove, Kans., has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 79 percent or more of the voting shares of Council Grove National Bank, Council Grove, Iowa. The factors considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Board on or before April 15, 1973.


[SEAL] 
CHESTER B. FELDBERG, 
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

FIRST BANCORP., INC.
Order Granting Approval of Acquisition of Bank

First Bancorp, Inc., Corsicana, Tex., a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval, under section 3(a) (3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (3)), to acquire the successor by merger to Citizens State Bank, Malakoff, Tex. (Bank). The successor bank to Bank holds no significance except as a means to facilitate the acquisition of the voting shares of Bank. Accordingly, the proposed acquisition is treated herein as a proposed acquisition of the shares of Bank.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and none have been timely received. The Board has considered the application in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act.

Applicant controls one bank, First National Bank of Corsicana, and received permission from the Board on March 13, 1973, to acquire all of the outstanding shares of Citizens National Bank in Corsicana.
Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in the activities of making secured and unsecured loans under the Revised Statutes, title 17, chapter 10 of the Small Loan Laws of the State of New Jersey. Such activities have been approved by the Board in § 225.4(a) of regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consumption of the proposal can “reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices.” Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than April 25, 1973.


THE FORT WORTH NATIONAL CORP.

Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

The Fort Worth National Corp., Fort Worth, Tex., a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board’s approval under section 3(a) (3) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (3)) to acquire all of the voting shares of Exchange Bank & Trust Co., Dallas, Tex.

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and none has been timely received. The Board ordered the application in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant controls five banks with aggregate deposits of about $673 million, representing 2.2 percent of the total deposits of commercial banks in the State. Applicant ranks as the sixth largest bank holding company and seventh largest banking organization in Texas and the largest in the Fort Worth banking market, where it controls approximately 29.7 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in that market. (All banking data as of June 30, 1972, and reflect bank holding company formations and acquisitions approved by the Board through Feb. 28, 1973.) In addition, applicant controls between

Bank of Fort Worth, Riverside State Bank and Tarrant State Bank, all located in Fort Worth, are deemed subsidiaries for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

Applicant’s banking subsidiaries and Bank, since they are located in different banking markets and also due to the fact that Applicant owns 24 percent of the voting shares of Bank. This latter factor militates against the probability of future substantial competition developing between Applicant’s banking subsidiaries and Bank. These factors lend support for the application should be approved.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective March 30, 1973.

[SEAL] Chester B. Feldberg, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6286 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

FIRST VALLEY CORP.

Proposed Acquisition of First Valley Life Insurance Co.

First Valley Corp., Bethlehem, Pa., has applied, pursuant to section 4(c) (8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c) (8)) and § 225.4(b) (2) of the Board’s regulation Y, for permission to acquire voting shares of First Valley Life Insurance Co., Phoenix, Ariz., a proposed de novo corporation. Notice of the application was published on November 29, 1972, in four newspapers circulated in communities where applicant’s offices are located.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in the activities of underwriting as reinsurer, of credit life and disability insurance directly related to extensions of credit by applicant’s subsidiary bank, First Valley Bank, Bethlehem, Pa. Such activities have been approved by the Board in § 225.4(a) of regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consumption of the proposal can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices. Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than April 25, 1973.


[SEAL] Chester B. Feldberg, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6286 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]
24.4 percent and 24.9 percent of the voting shares of two other banks located in the Fort Worth market, holding aggregate deposits of $69.5 million. Applicant also owns 5 percent of the shares of First National Bank, Paducah, Tex. Upon conversion of the certificate of incorporation (cost $105.7 million in deposits), applicant’s share of commercial bank deposits in the State would increase by only 0.4 percentage points and it would become the sixth largest banking organization in the State. 

Consummation of the proposal herein would constitute applicant’s initial entry into the Dallas banking market.

Bank is located about 7 miles northwest of downtown Dallas, and as the sixth largest among 120 banking organizations serving the Dallas banking market, controls about 1.7 percent of total commercial bank deposits in that market. Applicant, headquartered in the adjacent Fort Worth market, has its closest subsidiary located approximately 37 miles southwest of Bank. It appears that no meaningful competition exists between any of applicant’s subsidiary banks and Bank.

In view of applicant’s intention to expand into major markets across the State, the existing ratio of persons per banking office and bank deposits per capita in the Dallas market, it would appear that further expansions are attractive for entry de novo by applicant or through acquisition of a bank smaller than Bank. However, acquisition of Bank by applicant should have a beneficial effect on competition among commercial banks in the Dallas area as the proposed acquisition will permit Bank to draw needed financial, technical, and management resources strength from applicant. As a consequence, Bank’s competitive posture in the Dallas market should be significantly improved. The area’s five largest banking organizations control approximately 72 percent of total area deposits and the introduction of an external competitive force into this concentrated market is likely to increase the vigor of present competition.

There are few modest-sized banks in the Dallas market which are not already involved in bank holding company ownership. Approval of this application would eliminate Bank as a possible lead bank in a regional holding company. However, applicant’s limited financial and managerial resources, its potential as a lead bank is uncertain. Moreover, on the facts of record, including the distance involved and the Texas law prohibiting branching, concentration of the proposal herein is unlikely to foreclose any significant potential competition between Bank and any of applicant’s subsidiary or affiliate banks. The Board concludes, on the basis of the record before it, that concentration of the proposed transaction will not have any adverse effect on competition in any relevant area or may, in fact, serve to stimulate competition in the Dallas banking market.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of applicant and its subsidiaries appear satisfactory. The financial condition and managerial control are not materially different from those in applicant’s other subsidiaries. Applicant’s financial condition has stabilized in recent years; however, as a subsidiary of applicant, Bank’s prospects for future growth and service as a meaningful competitor in the Dallas area would be significantly improved. The expected strengthening of Bank’s financial position and management lend weight to approval of the application.

Although the banking needs of the residents of the Dallas area are adequately served by existing institutions, provision of applicant’s broad range of financial services through a more convenient source to these sophisticated services to the increasing number of customers locating in Bank’s immediate service area. Addition of these services should contribute positively to the convenience and needs of the communities served by Bank. These considerations are consistent with approval of this application.

Applicant owns directly three principal nonbanking subsidiaries acquired between June 30, 1968, and December 31, 1970. First National Financial Corp., Fort Worth, Tex., engages in the mortgage banking business and is the second largest mortgage firm in Fort Worth in terms of its mortgage servicing business. It is the only mortgage servicing office in Dallas, Bank, with a mortgage portfolio of around $8 million, is not a significant competitor in the Dallas mortgage market, nor is Foster Financial. Thus, the two organizations would appear to have a negligible effect on competition for mortgage loans in the Dallas SMSA. Accordingly, it is the Board’s conclusion that approval would not adversely affect mortgage banking competition in the Dallas area.

Foster Financial Corp. and its subsidiary, Westcliff Co., are engaged in land development, which is not a permitted activity under § 225.4(a) of regulation Y. (See 1972 Federal Reserve Bulletin 429.) In approving this application, the Board took into consideration applicant’s commitment to divest such activity within a 2-year period.

Applicant’s banking and nonbanking activities remain subject to Board review, and the Board retains the authority to require Applicant to modify or terminate its nonbanking activities or holdings if the Board at any time determines that the combination of Applicant’s banking and nonbanking activities is likely to have adverse effects on the public interests.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be consummated (a) before the 30th calendar day following the effective date of this order or (b) later than 3 months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause shown by the Board or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas pursuant to delegation authority.

By order of the Board of Governors, effective March 30, 1973.

[SEAL] TYPHA SMITH, Secretary of the Board.

SARATOGA BANKSHARES

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Saratoga Bankshares, Saratoga, Wyo., has applied for the Board’s approval under section 3(c)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors’ qualifying shares) of Saratoga State Bank, Saratoga, Wyo. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to file comments on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve bank, to be received not later than April 17, 1973.


[SEAL] CHESTER B. FELDZER, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

THIRD NATIONAL CORP.

Proposed Acquisition of John W. Murphee Co.

Third National Corp., Nashville, Tenn., has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(6) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(6)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board’s regulation Y, for permission to acquire voting shares of the successor by merger to John W. Murphee Co., Nashville, Tenn. Notice of the application was published on January 25, 1973, in The Nashville Tennessean, a newspaper circulated in Nashville, Tenn.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in activities of a mortgage company, including the making or acquiring for its own account, or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit; servicing loans and other extensions of credit for any person; and to act as agent or broker in the sale of mortgage reinsurance, credit life, accident, and health insurance. Applicant states that its mortgage servicing activities will be consistent with those of a homeowner’s insurance policy with respect to a residence mortgaged to said company. Applicant states that these activities are among the activities that have been specified by the Board in

* Voting for this section: Vice Chairman Burns and Governor Sheehan.

* Applicant’s other principal nonbanking interests include a savings and loan association which is direct under an order of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in a mortgage company which is also subject to 10 year “grandfather” privileges at this time.
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§ 225.4(a) of regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

John W. Murphree Co., has been engaged in real estate development activities, but applicant states that by the time the merger is consummated, John W. Murphree Co. will have liquidated all its interest in the real estate development activities.

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices. Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, St. Louis, Mo., and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Any request for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than April 24, 1973.


[Seal] CHESTER B. FELDBERG, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6538 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

UNIVERSITY BANCSHARES CO.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

University Bancshares Co., Stillwater, Okla., has applied for the Board’s approval under section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of up to 100 percent of the voting shares of University Bank (formerly University National Bank), Stillwater, Okla. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve bank, to be received not later than April 17, 1973.


[Seal] CHESTER B. FELDBERG, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6637 Filed 4-7; 8:45 am]

WINTERS NATIONAL CORP.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Winters National Corp., Dayton, Ohio, has applied for the Board’s approval under section 3(a) (1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a) (1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors’ qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to the Winters National Bank & Trust Co. of Dayton, Dayton, Ohio. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit his views in writing to the Reserve bank, to be received not later than April 17, 1973.


[Seal] CHESTER B. FELDBERG, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6638 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

WORCESTER BANCORP, INC.

Proposed Acquisition of Empire Group, Inc.

Worcester Bancorp, Inc., Worcester, Mass., has applied pursuant to section 4(c) (8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c) (8)) and § 225.4 (b) (2) of the Board’s Regulation Y for permission to acquire all of the voting shares of the below-listed companies. The purpose of this meeting is to receive and consider the application.

Applicant has applied separately for Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Applicant has applied separately under section 4(e) (13) of the Bank Holding Company Act to acquire a Canadian company that engages in making second mortgage loans on residential real estate and is not engaged in activities within the United States.

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposals can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices. Any request for a hearing on these questions should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The applications may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than April 24, 1973.


[Seal] CHESTER B. FELDBERG, Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.73-6659 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

PUBLIC PROGRAMS PANEL

Notice of Closed Meeting; Correction of Previous Notice


Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Public Programs Panel will be held in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1973, at 8:45 a.m. to consider applications for possible grant funding. The purpose of the meeting is to review museum program proposals that have been submitted to the endowment for possible grant funding. Based on section b (d) and (e) of 5 U.S.C. 552, the meeting will not be open to public participation. It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer Mr. John W. Jordan, 200 15th Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-3031.

John W. Jordan
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.73-6490 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
RESEARCH GRANTS PANEL
Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will take place in Washington, D.C., on April 16-17, 1973.

The purpose of this meeting is to review research grant proposals that have been submitted to the Panel for possible grant funding.

Based on section b (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552, the meeting will not be open to public participation. It is suggested that those desiring more specific recommendations concerning support for research in electronics and analysis contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20550, or call area code 202-382-2031.

JOHN W. JORDAN,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
ADVISORY PANEL FOR ELECTRICAL SCIENCES AND ANALYSIS
Agenda and Notice for Meeting
Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Advisory Panel for Electrical Sciences and Analysis will be held at 9 a.m. on April 17, 1973, and at 9 a.m. on April 20, 1973, in room 232, 1800 G Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20550. The purpose of this panel is to provide advice and recommendations concerning support for research in electrical sciences and analysis. The agenda for this meeting shall include:

APRIL 17 SESSION
A.M.
9:00... Welcome—Section Head, Electrical Sciences and Analysis Section.
9:05... Introductory remarks—Panel Chairman.
9:15... Discussion of research opportunities in automated technology—Panel Chairman.
10:00... Discussion of Pattern Analysis and Processing—Panel Chairman; recess of full panel for reassembly into working groups to discuss high impact biomedical research opportunities (specific room numbers to be announced).
12:00... Break for lunch.
P.M.
1:00... Assembly of full panel (room 338)—presentations of working groups' conclusions on high impact biomedical research opportunities.
2:30... Discussion of large-scale biomedical screening research opportunities.
3:30... Discussion of mechanism for promoting interaction of university, industry and medical research in biomedical engineering—Panel Chairman.
4:30... Closing summary—Panel Chairman.

The meeting shall be open to the public. Individuals who may wish to attend should notify the Electrical Sciences and Analysis Section by phone (202-382-2561) or by mail (room 232, 1800 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20550), not later than close of business on April 16, 1973.

For further information concerning this panel, contact Dr. Mohammed S. Ghawi, Section Head, Electrical Sciences and Analysis Section, Division of Engineering, room 342, 1800 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20550. Summary minutes relative to this meeting may be obtained by contacting the Management Analysis Office, room 170, 1800 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20550.

T. E. JENKINS,
Assistant Director
for Administration.


OFFICE OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

TENNESSEE
Amendment to Notice of Major Disaster
Notice of major disaster for the State of Tennessee, dated March 23, 1973, and published March 29, 1973 (38 FR 8197), is hereby amended to include the following counties among those counties determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of March 21, 1973:

The Counties of


DARRELL M. TRENTH, Acting Director, Office of Emergency Preparedness.
The $4,150,000 equity contribution to Columbia of West Virginia, includes $8,000 shares of common stock, $25 par value, in the aggregate of $2,560,000 to finance net cash required for construction, and a cash capital contribution in the aggregate amount of $1,950,000 to offset Columbia of West Virginia's anticipated net loss from operations. Regard- ing Columbia of West Virginia, Columbia also proposes, in addition to the advance and the common stock investment shown in the proposed open account advances, the cash capital contribution of $1,950,000, (1) to forgive interest coming due and payable through March 31, 1974, in an amount of up to $1,950,000, on all of that subsidiary's indebtedness; and (2) to defer payment of installment debt maturities due from that subsidiary until the year following the last installment maturity due under each issue of said installment debt. The filing indicates that the present proposals for financing Columbia of West Virginia, through March 31, 1974, reflect the fact that Columbia of West Virginia, incurred a sizable net loss in the years 1971 and 1972, that a further loss is estimated for 1973, and that until extraordinary cost increases can be recouped through rate increases or otherwise, it is anticipated that Columbia of West Virginia, will continue to have sizable operating deficits.

The subsidiary companies will use the proceeds from the issue and sale of their notes and common stock along with internally generated funds to finance their respective construction programs, which, in the aggregate, are estimated for 1973 to require net capital expenditures of $230,744,000. The proceeds of the open account advances will be used by the subsidiary companies to finance the purchase of winter service gas, current inventories, and other short-term seasonal purposes.

The installment notes will be accelerated no later than March 31, 1974, will be dated when issued, will, except in the case of Columbia LNG, be payable in equal annual installments on March 31, of each of the years 1973-1989, inclusive, and may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium. The installment notes issued by Columbia LNG for financing the Green Springs, Ohio, reformer gas facility, in the amount of $14,260,000, will be due in 10 equal annual installments on April 1st of each of the years 1975 to 1984, inclusive.

Columbia LNG installment notes for the Cove Point, Md., storage and regasification facility, in the amount of $4,850,000, will be due in 20 equal annual installments on October 1st of each of the years 1977 to 1996, inclusive. Interest on all of the notes will accrue from the date of issue and is to be paid semi-annually on the unpaid principal balance. The interest rate will be the actual cost of money to Columbia with respect to its last sale of debentures prior to the issuance of said notes increased by an amount necessary in order that the interest rate be a multiple one-tenth of 1 percent.

The proposed open account advances will be made by Columbia from time to time during 1973 and will be paid by the subsidiary companies in three equal installments on February 28, March 29, and April 30, 1974. The open account advances will initially bear interest at the prime commercial bank rate in effect at the time of making each advance. The interest charges will be adjusted, after the storage financing period, to the effective interest cost Columbia achieves on its short-term borrowing for this purpose.

The expenses to be paid by Columbia and by the subsidiary companies in connection with the proposed transactions are estimated at $5,700, including legal fees of $5,000.

The application-declaration states that the following State commissions have jurisdiction over certain of the proposed transactions: The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, Commonwealth of Virginia State Corporation Commission, and the Kentucky Public Service Commission. It is also stated that the orders of said commissions will be filed with this Commission by amendment. No other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, is stated to have jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than April 25, 1973, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the filing which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. Any such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant-declarants at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the Commission. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as amended or as it may be further amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in rule 53 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.
[FR Doc.73-6509 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.
Order Suspending Trading

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.10 par value, of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from March 31, 1973, through April 9, 1973.

By the Commission:

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-6509 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

CRYSTALOGRAPHY CORP.
Order Suspending Trading

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.01 par value, and all other securities of Crystalography Corp., being
The Applicant was and remains owned by Messrs. Bothamley and Salzman. Donald I. Reifler, General Manager, of CFC Management Corp. (the Applicant), filed an application pursuant to section 6(e) of the Act for an order exempting Applicant from the requirement of section 15(a) of the Act prohibiting a person from serving as investment adviser to an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Act), as amended, on file with the Commission on May 31, 1971, and subsequently, a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Applicant is presently owned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Shares Held</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G. Merrill Bothamley</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Jepson, Jr.</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert C. Salzman</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisette L. Reifler</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald L. Fenske</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Murillo, Jr.</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former CEM Shareholder</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>653,413</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, pursuant to an agreement entered into by CFC Management Corp. (the Applicant) on November 17, 1972, to retain the amount of $58,156 evidenced by a promissory note

On December 13, 1972, CFC sold 166,100 shares of common stock to Michael C. Coen for $229,000.

On December 18, 1972, Mr. Jepson acquired 83,050 shares of common stock of CFC from Mr. Reifler for $300,000. On that same date Mr. Reifler transferred to the Applicant 83,050 shares of common stock to his former wife.

On December 18, 1972, Mr. Jepson sold 8,507 shares of CFC common stock to each of Mr. Salzman and Ben Murillo, Jr., in each case for $1,352 each. At that time Mr. Murillo was an officer of the Fund, Applicant, and CFC. Subsequent thereto Mr. Murillo resigned as an officer of the Fund and Applicant.

The outstanding common stock of CFC is presently owned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Shares Held</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G. Merrill Bothamley</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Jepson, Jr.</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert C. Salzman</td>
<td>165,100</td>
<td>25.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisette L. Reifler</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald L. Fenske</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Murillo, Jr.</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former CEM Shareholder</td>
<td>165,000</td>
<td>25.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>653,413</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicant requests an exemption under section 6(c) of the Act to the effect that if the transactions in the securities of CFC subsequent to October 15, 1971, or any of them, may be deemed to constitute an assignment of the Advisory Contract with the FMC, such assignment would not have been approved by the vote of a majority (as defined in the Act) of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund, subject to the conditions that (I) Applicant use its best efforts to cause the Fund to hold its annual meeting of stockholders as soon as practicable (preliminary proxy materials pertaining to such meeting having been filed with the Commission on January 18, 1973), at which meeting stockholder approval of a new advisory contract between the Fund and Applicant will be requested; (II) from December 18, 1972, to and through the close of business on the date of said annual meeting of stockholders of the Fund, Applicant fulfill its obligations to the Fund under the Advisory Contract at the rates of compensation payable to Applicant for the period referred to (b) the fee payable to Applicant by the Fund (1 percent of the average annual net assets, payable quarterly); and (III) the right of the FMC to obtain the amount received by it from the Fund in accordance with and during the period referred to in the preceding condition (II) shall be subject to a stockholder ratification of such retention by FMC at the forthcoming annual meeting of Fund stockholders and if the retention of such amount by FMC is not ratified by the vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Fund, FMC shall immediately pay such amount to the Fund. Because Applicant's costs to perform its obligations under the Advisory Contract exceed the amount therein requested, Applicant thereunder, satisfaction of condition (II) above by Applicant has not and will not result in any greater or lesser cost to the Fund through the date of said annual meeting.

Applicant states that it does not concede that an assignment of the advisory contract has necessarily occurred. However, because of uncertainties with respect to the scope of the definition of assignment in section 6(c)(4) of the Act, Applicant believes the issuance of the requested exemptive order would be consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors by enabling Applicant to continue to fulfill its obligations to the Fund under the advisory contract, as desired by the fund, without any additional cost to the stockholders of the fund.

Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person from any provision of the Act to the extent necessary.
Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than April 19, 1973, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service, by affidavit (or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate), shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by rule 6-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the matter herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the information stated in the Application, unless an order for hearing upon said proposal shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission’s own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FED Doc. 73-6504 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

LOGOS DEVELOPMENT CORP.
Order Amending Order Suspending Trading

The Commission having determined to amend its order of March 2, 1973, summarily suspending trading in the securities of Logos Development Corp., for the period February 24, 1973, through March 15, 1973;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from March 6, 1973, through March 15, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FED Doc. 73-6506 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

NOTICES

[FED Doc. 73-6501]

LOGOS DEVELOPMENT CORP.
Order Amending Order Suspending Trading

The Commission having determined to amend its order of March 2, 1973, summarily suspending trading in the securities of Logos Development Corp., for the period March 5, 1973, through March 15, 1973;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from March 6, 1973, through March 15, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FED Doc. 73-6505 Filed 4-4-73;9:45 am]

TRANSECNBANK DEPOSITORY RECEIPT AND FUNDING CORP.
Order Suspending Trading

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, $0.01 par value, and all other securities of Transbanc Depository Receipt and Funding Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period from March 28, 1973, through April 5, 1973.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] RONALD F. HUNT, Secretary.

[FED Doc. 73-6516 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
[Notice of Disaster Loan Area 997]

ALABAMA

Notice of Disaster Relief Loan Availability

As a result of the President's declaration of the State of Alabama as a major disaster area following severe flooding which began on or about March 14, 1973, applications for disaster relief loans will be accepted by the Small Business Administration from flood victims in Colbert, Franklin, Jackson, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone, Madison, Marion, Morgan, and Winston Counties. Applications may be filed at:

Small Business Administration, Regional Office, 1401 Peachtree NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30309, and at such temporary offices as are established. Such addresses will be announced locally.

Applications for disaster loans under this announcement must be filed not later than May 30, 1973.


THOMAS S. KLEFFE, Administrator.

[FR Doc. 73-6517 Filed 4-4-73;9:40 am]

[Notice of Disaster Loan Area 998]

MISSISSIPPI

Notice of Disaster Relief Loan Availability

As a result of the President's declaration of the State of Mississippi as a
NOTICES

major disaster area following heavy rains and flooding which began on or about March 14, 1973, applications for disaster relief loans will be accepted from flood victims in Alcorn, Bolivar, Clay, Grenada, Humphreys, Itawamba, Leflore, Lee, Lowndes, Monroe, Sunflower, Tallahatchie, Tippah, Union, Warren, Washington, and Yazoo Counties.

Applications may be filed at the:
Small Business Administration, Regional Office, 1401 Peachtree NE, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

And at such temporary offices as are established. Such addresses will be announced locally.

Applications for disaster loans under this announcement must be filed not later than May 30, 1973.


THOMAS S. KLEFFE, Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-6517 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

MAXIMUM INTEREST RATES

Charge on Guaranteed Loans

Notice is hereby given that the Small Business Administration has established as the maximum interest rate per annum that participating lending institutions may charge on guaranteed loans (except revolving line of credit) approved on or after April 1, 1973, pursuant to section 7(a) of the Small Business Act, as amended, section 402 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended, and section 502 of the Small Business Investment Act, as amended, the following interest rate: 9 3/4 percent per annum. On immediate participation loans approved on or after April 1, 1973, the maximum interest rate shall be 8 1/2 percent per annum. Said maximum interest rates shall remain in effect until further amendment or revision.

This notice implements the notification of maximum interest rates as provided in section 120.3(b) (2) (vi) of part 120 (36 FR 21529).

Effective date: April 1, 1973.

THOMAS S. KLEFFE, Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-6545 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

BERNIE SHOE CO.

Notice of Certification of Eligibility of Workers To Apply for Adjustment Assistance

Under date of December 29, 1972, the U.S. Tariff Commission made a report of the results of its investigation (TEA-W-162) under section 301(c) (2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 884) in response to a petition for determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance on behalf of the workers of Bernie Shoe Co., Haverhill, Mass. In this report, the Commission, being equally divided, made no finding with respect to whether conditions like or directly competitive with the footwear for women produced by the Bernie Shoe Co., are, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements with the United States in such increased quantities as to cause or threaten to cause unemployment or underemployment of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof.

Upon receipt of the President's notification, the Department, through the Director of the Office of Foreign Economic Policy, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, instituted an investigation.

Following this, the Director made a recommendation to me relating to the matter of certification (Notice of Delegation of Authority and Notice of Investigation, 34 FR 18342; 37 FR 2472; 38 FR 3734; 29 CFR part 90). In the recommendation she noted that concessions like or directly competitive with women's footwear produced by Bernie Shoe Co. have been substantially reduced. Despite style changes and improved facilities and technology to increase production efficiency, company sales to major accounts continued to decline. Bernie Sho e Co. is not completely successful in maintaining an advantage over foreign competitors. As a result, production, employment, and hours worked declined. Reductions in employment levels and underemployment directly related to increased import competition began in October 1968 and have persisted to date. After due consideration I make the following certification:

All hourly and piecework workers of Bernie Shoe Company, Haverhill, Mass., who became or will become unemployed or underemployed after March 26, 1971, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title III, Chapter 3, of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of March 1973.

JOEL SEGALL, Deputy Under Secretary for International Affairs.

[FR Doc.73-6585 Filed 4-4-73;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF


An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers to carry more or decreased in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

A protest to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with § 1100.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15
days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

FSA No. MC-35899—permits empty freight trailers, from, to, and between points in southwestern and southern territories—filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent (No. B-389), for interested rail carriers. Rates on single empty freight trailers, new or used, consisting of van, tank, flatbed, dump, or platform trailers, as described in the application, between points in southwestern territory, also Natchez, Miss., and Memphis, Tenn., also between points in southwestern territory, also Natchez, Miss., and Memphis, Tenn., on the one hand, and points in Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska on the other.

Grounds for relief—rate relationship, short-line distance formula and grouping.

Tariffs—Supplement 17 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 5031, and five other schedules named in the application. Rates are published to become effective on April 30, 1973.

By the Commission.

[Seal] Robert L. Oswald, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 73-6883 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]

[Notice No. 246]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER / PROCEEDINGS

Synopsis of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 C.F.R. part 1122), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission’s special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before April 30, 1973. Pursuant to section 17(3) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.


No. MC-FC-74326. By order of March 15, 1973, the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Romans Drywall Express, Inc., Omaha, Nebr., of that portion of the operating rights in certificate No. MC-68539 issued May 19, 1964, to Romans Motor Freight, Inc., Ord, Nebr., authorizing the transportation of (1) plasterboard, plaster, and plaster products, from Fort Dodge, Iowa, and points within 10 miles thereof, to points in Nebraska, and (2) plasterboard, plaster products, and metal lath, clips, nails, and miscellaneous building materials used with, or in the installation of, plasterboard and plaster products, from Blue Rapids and Medicine Lodge, Kans., to points in Nebraska, except Ashland, Auburn, Beatrice, Blue Springs, Boys Town, Cortland, Eagle, Greenwood, Grieve, Joliet, La Platte, Marysville, Nebraska City, Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Pickrell, Plattsmouth, Princeton, Ralston, Union, Waverly, Waco, Wymore, and Wyoming; Donald L. Stern, 539 Unvar Building, Omaha, Neb. 68106, attorney for applicants.

The following applications (except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant (on applications filed after March 27, 1972) states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application), are governed by Special Rule 1100.247 of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR, as amended), published in the Federal Register issue of April 20, 1966, effective May 20, 1966. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application in the Federal Register. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules shall be filed in accordance with section 247(2) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protest shall be in written form only, and any written protest filed in accordance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served upon each party of record that is currently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(2)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(1) of the Commission's rules of practice further provides that each applicant shall, if protests to its application have been filed, and within 60 days of the date of this publication, notify the Commission in writing (1) that it is ready to proceed and prosecute the application, or (2) that it wishes to withdraw the application, failure in which the application will be dismissed by the Commission.

Further processing steps (whether modified procedure, oral hearing, or other procedures) will be determined generally in accordance with the Commission's general policy statement concerning motor carrier licensing procedures, published in the Federal Register issue of March 5, 1966. This assignment will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the Federal Register of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.


No. MC 19227 (Sub-No. 178) (Clarification), filed December 22, 1972, published in the Federal Register, January 11, 1973, and annotated this Issue. Applicant: LEONARD BROS. TRUCKING CO., INC., 3255 North 20th Street, Phoenix, Ariz. 85016. Applicant's representative: William O. Turney, 2001 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20001. NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to request authority with the authority it presently holds in MC-19227 (Sub-Nos. 74, 127, and 143). The rest of the application remains as previously published.

No. MC 25709 (Sub-No. 237), filed February 13, 1973, published in the Federal Register, March 5, 1973, and annotated this Issue. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., 502 East Bridges Avenue, Auburndale, FL 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same as applicant). Authority sought to operate as common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Table sauces, flavoring compounds, food sauce mixes, food ingredients for processed dinners, edible flour, dessert preparations, milk and cocoa compounds including malted milk, food stabilizers and emulsifiers, salad dressing preparations, and powdered whey, from the plant sites, warehouses, distributors, suppliers of Kraftco Corp., at points in Minnesota and Wisconsin, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. NOTE: Common control or other ownership may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority is necessary and is its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Tampa, Fla.

No. MC 25356 (Sub-No. 70) (Clarification) filed January 3, 1973, published in the Federal Register Issue of February 23, 1973, and republished, in part, in this issue. Applicant: POPELKA TRUCKING CO., doing business as THE WAGGONERS, Post Office Box 290, Livingston, Mont. 59047. Applicant's representative: Jacob F. Bills, 1108 16th Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20550. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and forest and wood products, from points in Flathead county, Montana, and those requiring special equipment), (A) between Atlanta and Calhoun, Ga., from Atlanta over Georgia Highway 3 to Junction U.S. Highway 74, thence over U.S. Highway 74 to junction Georgia Highway 52C, thence over Georgia Highway 52C to Junction U.S. Highway 76, thence over U.S. Highway 76 to Jackson Georgia Highway 3 at or near Dalton (also over U.S. Highway 76 to Dalton), thence over Georgia Highway 3 to Calhoun, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of White, Fairmount, Ranger, Oakman, Ramhurst, and Dalton, but not serving any intermediate points between Atlanta and Cartersville, including Cartersville, but performing pickup and delivery service between Dalton and points within the highway mileage radius of 10 miles of Dalton (except at Tunnel Hill and Sugar Valley), serving Eton as an off-route point and serving Tucker- Stone Mountain Industrial District and/ or Stone Mountain Industrial Park, as currently regulated by Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20550.
NOTICES

Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the commission, and those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite of the Southwestern Co., at or near Franklin Tenn., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 77118 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 5, 1973. Applicant: SPOKANE TRANSPORT & STORAGE CO., a corporation, 117 North Napa Street, Spokane, Wash. 99202. Applicant's representative: George R. LaBlissemore, 1424 Washington Building, Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except commodities in bulk, those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite of the Southwestern Co., at or near Franklin Tenn., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 72716 (Sub-No. 219) filed December 12, 1973. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., 830 North 33rd Street, Post Office Box 11086, Birmingham, Ala. 35202. Applicant's representative: Claude T. Clark, Post Office Box 11086, Birmingham, Ala. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Clay and clay products (except commodities in bulk), between points in Idaho and all off-route points in Idaho within 5 miles of the specified highways. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Spokane, Wash.

No. MC 72400 (Sub-No. 30), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: BEAUFORT TRANSFER CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 102, Gerald, Mo. 63037. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kriel, Post Office Box 634, Springfield, Va. 22150. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the commission, and those requiring special equipment), between Linn County, near Atlanta, and Six Flags Over Arizona, Phoenix, Ariz.; from Linn County, near Atlanta, to the plantsite of the Southwestern Co., at or near Franklin Tenn., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations. Note: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Jefferson City, Mo., thence over U.S. Highway 64 to Kingdom City, Mo., thence over Interstate Highway 70 to St. Louis, Mo., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points on U.S. Highways 64 and 54, and those on Interstate Highway 70 west of Florence, Mo., and serving no off-route points.

No. MC 83241 (Sub-No. 109), filed February 13, 1973. Applicant: HUNT TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1177 T-East Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univec Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pipe and conduit (other than iron and steel), and fittings, parts and attachments thereof, from the plantsite of the Union Pacific Railroad Co., located at or near Riverton, Ohio, to points in Ohio, Iowa, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, Washington, Oregon, and California, and the return of reflected or damaged material from points in the above-named destination States, to the plantsite of the Union Pacific Railroad Co., located at or near Riverton, Ohio. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority and the requested authority but indicates tacking possibilities may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 94201 (Sub-No. 137), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: BOWMAN TRANSPORTATION, INC., Post Office Box 1794, Atlanta, Ga. 30316. Applicant's representative: Maurice F. Bishop, 501-09 Frank Nelson Building, Birmingham, Ala. 35203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the commission, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Albany, Ga., and Rock Hill, S.C., from Albany over Georgia Highway 257 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence over U.S. Highway 41 to Junction Georgia Highway 27, thence over Georgia Highway 27 to Hawkinsville, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 26 to Junction U.S. Highway 80, thence over U.S. Highway 80 to Dublin, Ga., thence over U.S. Highway 80 to Savannah, Ga., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points on U.S. Highways 80, 257, and 41.
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off-route points, in connection with existing authority, without the right to deliver or to originate or to interchange freight at Atlanta;

(B) Between Cartersville, Ga., and Dalton, Ga., over Georgia Highway 53 (U.S. Highway 41), as an alternate route for operating convenience only, with no service at any point now authorized to be served, and serving Morrow, Georgia Industrial District and for near Dalton, Ga., as an off-route point, without the right to deliver or to originate or to interchange freight at Atlanta, and restricted to the transportation of traffic moving to or from points served direct by applicant, and serving the Great Southwest Industrial Park located in Fulton County, near Atlanta, and Six Flags Over Georgia Amusement Park located in Cobb County at or near Interstate Highway 20, as off-route points; and

(C) between Calhoun and Plainville, Ga., from Calhoun over Georgia Highway 53 to junction unnumbered county road approximately 11 miles southwest of Calhoun, thence over unnumbered county road approximately 1 1/2 miles to Plainville, and over the same route, serving no intermediate points. Irregular route: Jute burlap and empty cores, in truckload and less-truckload quantities, between Dalton, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points within 100 miles of Dalton. Note: Applicant has purchased the registered authority of Cherokee Motor Lines, Inc., and by the application convert said authority to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. Applicant states it proposes to tack the above irregular route authority with its existing authority under MC 39568 authorizing transportation between Chattanooga and 15 air miles thereof. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chattanooga or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 41098 (Sub-No. 38), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: GLOBAL VAN LINES, INC., Number One Global Way, Anaheim, Calif. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, in containers, in mixed loads with household goods, as defined by the Commission. (1) between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Tulsa, Okla.; (2) between Tulsa, Okla., and Mid-Continent International Airport, Kansas City, Mo., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by air in the service of Trans World Airlines, Inc.

Note: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.
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319 to junction U.S. Highway 221, thence over U.S. Highway 221 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Augusta, Ga., then over North Carolina Highway 55 to junction South Carolina Highway 121, thence over South Carolina Highway 121 to Rock Hill, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in South Carolina, North Carolina and South Carolina without restriction; (2) between Swainsboro, Ga., and Jacksonboro, S.C.; from Swainsboro over U.S. Highway 49 to Twin City, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 23 to Miller, Ga., thence over Georgia Highway 21 to Sylvan; thence over U.S. Highway 301 to junction South Carolina Highway 641, thence over South Carolina Highway 641 to junction South Carolina Highway 64, thence over South Carolina Highway 64 to Jacksonboro, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Georgia and South Carolina without restriction; (3) between Fair Play, S.C., and Hayesville, N.C.; from Fair Play over South Carolina Highway 7 to Jackson; thence over South Carolina Highway 24, thence over South Carolina Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 76, thence over U.S. Highway 76 to Jackson; thence over South Carolina Highway 69 to the Georgia-North Carolina State boundary line, thence over North Carolina Highway 69 to Hayesville, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in South Carolina and serving all intermediate and off-route points in North Carolina restricted to the transportation of traffic moving between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina; (4) between Clayton, Ga., and Franklin, N.C.; from Clayton over U.S. Highway 25 and 441 to Franklin, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in North Carolina restricted to the transportation of traffic moving between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina; (5) between Fayetteville and Charlotte, N.C.; from Fayetteville over U.S. Highway 70 to Laurinburg, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in North Carolina restricted to the transportation of traffic moving between points in South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina; (6) between Rome, Ga., and Cleveland, Tenn.; from Rome over Georgia Highway 53 to junction U.S. Highway 41, thence over U.S. Highway 41 to junction Georgia Highway 42; thence over Georgia Highway 42 to junction Tennessee Highway 71 to the Georgia-Tennessee State boundary line, thence over Tennessee Highway 60 to Cleveland, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Tennessee, without restriction, and serving all intermediate and off-route points in Tennessee restricted to the transportation of traffic moving between points in Tennessee and, on the other, Cedar Town, Lindale, Mount Berry, Rome, or Summerville, Ga.; (7) between Leesburg and Ardmore, Ala.; from Leesburg over U.S. Highway 411 to junction Alabama Highway 68; thence over Alabama Highway 68 to junction Alabama Highway 75, thence over Alabama Highway 75 to Albertville, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 411 to Albertville, Ala., thence over Alabama Highway 63 to Ardmore, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate or off-route points; and (8) between Piedmont, Ala., and Chattanooga, Tenn.; from Piedmont over Alabama Highway 9 to Centre, Ala., thence over Alabama Highway 68 to the Georgia-Georgia State boundary line, thence over Georgia Highway 114 to Summerville, Ga., thence over U.S. Highway 27 to Chattanooga, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate or off-route points. NO.: Applicant states that the request for authorization is designed to add the above-described routes to its regular route authority granted by the Commission on July 15, 1971 in a docket entitled: S.C. 290602. (Sub-No. 86). Applicant further states that it is presently authorized to provide all of the service requested herein under its existing certificates, but seeks service over these two points in an area within one mile of each other. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 94930 (Sub-No. 327), filed February 8, 1973. Applicant: TRANSIT HOMES, INC., 1680 Haywood Road, Greenville, S.C. 29602. Applicant's representative: Mitchell King, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commissioner for transportation (intended for distribution to ultimate consumers); one 40-foot trailer designed to carry liquid cargo: (1) Anhydrous ammonia in bulk, in tank vehicles, from terminal site and loading facilities located on the ammonia pipeline of Gulf Central Pipeline Co., located at or near Algona and Iowa Falls, Iowa, to points in Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Illinois, and Missouri, and (2) asphalt, emulsified asphalt, road oil, and residual fuel oil in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the terminal site of Jekro Oil, located at or near Omaha, Nebraska, to points in Iowa, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Minnesota. NO.: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 106339 (Sub-No. 644), filed February 12, 1973, Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER TRANSPORT CORP., Third to Keesauqua Way, Post Office Box 855, Des Moines, Iowa 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabricz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movement, and from loading, dollying, and unloading facilities located in Washington County, N.Y., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NO.: Common control and dual operations may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot or will not be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 106365 (Sub-No. 11), filed August 23, 1972. Applicant: R. B. TAYLOR, JR., NED R. TAYLOR AND ALEX TAYLOR, a partnership, doing business as TAYLOR TRUCK LINE, 402 South Clark, Charleston, M.S. 36931. Applicant's representative: H. L. Tull, 711 Deposit Guaranty National Bank Building, Post Office Box 22828, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commissioner for transportation (intended for distribution to ultimate consumers); one 40-foot trailer designed to carry liquid cargo: (1) between Memphis, Tenn., and Greenville, Miss.; from Memphis, Tenn., over U.S. Highway 61 to the junction of U.S. Highway 82, thence over U.S. Highway 82 to Greenville, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points of Shreveport, and, for a point near Greenville, Miss., and the junction of U.S. Highway 82 to its junction with Mississippi Highway 7, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Moorhead and Inverness, Miss.; and (2) between Ruleville and Indianola, Miss.; from Ruleville over U.S. Highway 49W to Indianola, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. NO.: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn., or Greenville, Miss.

No. MC 107458 (Sub-No. 822), filed September 6, 1973. Applicant: R. C. GIBSON, INC., 1680 Fourth Avenue, Newport, Minn. 55055. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 18th Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Bentonite clay, from Burnett and Duluth, Minn., to points in Wisconsin and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan; and mixed

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 38, NO. 65—THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 1973
NOTICES

acid, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Milwaukee, Wis., to points in Illinois; (3) flour, in bulk, from Minneapolis, Minn., to points in North Dakota; (4) methanol, in bulk, from Pine Bend and Minneapolis, Minn., to points in North Dakota and South Dakota; and (5) modified soybean oil, in bulk, from the warehouse facilities of Swift and Company and destined to points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 107678 (Sub-No. 47), filed February 20, 1973. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORP, Post Office Box 385, Third and Knowles Way, Des Moines, IA 50304. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabrizi (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except commodities in bulk), from plantsite and storage facilities of Swift and Company and destined to points in Wyoming, Montana, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Washington, and California. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Neb., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111170 (Sub-No. 200), filed February 12, 1973. Applicant: WHEEL-LINES, INC., Post Office Box 1718, El Paso, Tex. Applicant's representative: Tom E. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Synthetic resins, in bulk, from Jacksonville, Ark., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. Applicant further states no duplicating authority sought. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 112769 (Sub-No. 5), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: CHEMICAL TRANSPORT, INC., 1705 South Harding Street, Indianapolis, Ind. 46221. Applicant's representative: Robert W. Loper, 1009 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chrome ore, in bulk, in pressure differential equipment, from Willmot, Del., to points in Pennsylvania. NOTE: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Persons interested in the tacking possibilities are cautioned that failure to oppose the application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112822 (Sub-No. 263), filed February 29, 1973. Applicant: BRAY LINES INC, Post Office Box 1191, 1401 North Little Street, Cushing, Okla. 74423. Applicant's representative: K. Charles Elliott (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid wax, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from (1) Fenton City, Okla., to points in Ohio and Michigan, and (2) from the plantsite of Frontier Iron Plant at West Lake Charles, La., to points in Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Houston or Dallas, Tex.
NOTICES
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No. MC 112963 (Sub-No. 35), filed Febru-
ary 2, 1973. Applicant: ROY BROS., Inc., 764 Boston Road, Fishburlr, Mass. 01886. Applicant’s representative: Leon-
ard E. Murphy (same address as appi-
cant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, (except commodities in bulk), in tank vehicles, from the Penn-Central Railroad Terminal at Boston, Mass., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, and New York, restricted to traffic having a prior movement by rail. Note: Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority but indicates that it has no present intention to tack and therefore does not identify the points or territories which can be served through tacking. Fares and rates are not restricted. 


No. MC 113852 (Sub-No. 379), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75225. Applicant’s representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Detroit, Mich., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Washington. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 379) filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75225. Applicant’s representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the New York, N.Y., Philadelphia, Pa., and Baltimore, Md., commercial zone as defined by the Commission and points in New Jersey, to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Louisiana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Washington. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116459 (Sub-No. 49), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: RUSS TRANSPORT, INC., Post Office Box 4022, Chattanooga, Tenn. 37405. Applicant’s representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, Suite 501, 1730 M Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fuel oil, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Savannah, Ga., to points in Tennesee. Note: Common control may be involved. Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Nashville, Tenn., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 244), filed February 21, 1973. Applicant: MCDONALD TRUCKING, Inc., 10910 South Street, Versailles, Ohio 45380. Applicant’s representative: H. M. Richter (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned and bottled foodstuffs, from Lafayette and New Iberia, La., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Iowa, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. Note: The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned there to.

No. MC 118468 (Sub-No. 33) (Correction), filed September 27, 1972, published in the Federal Register, issues of October 27, 1972, and January 26, 1973, as amateur radio transmitter issue. Applicant: UMTIM TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 916 South Jackson, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant’s representative: J. Max Shiels, 152 South 14th Street, Post Office Box 62038, Lincoln, Neb. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Commodities and other materials, supplied and equipped, in the manufacture, sale, distribution, and installation of same, between points in Cook County, Ill., and Lake County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Wisconsin, Illinois, and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, restricted to traffic originating or terminating at the plantsite and warehouse facilities utilized by the Consolidated Cement Company, its divisions and affiliates, under contract with United States Gypsum Co., its divisions, under contract with the United States Gypsum Co. Note: Applicant holds common carrier authority under MC 124913 and sub-authority thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. The purpose of this republication is to correct the restricted language to indicate traffic "originating or terminating" at the named facilities in lieu of traffic "originating and terminating" at the named facilities which was inadvertently previously published in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.
NOTICES

MC 118928 (Sub-No. 4), in lieu of No. MC 118622 (Sub-No. 4), which was published in error. The rest of the notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 118922 (Sub-No. 8), filed February 27, 1973. Applicant: CARTER TRUCKING CO., INC., Cleveland Avenue, Locust Grove, Ga. 30248. Applicant's representative: William Addams, Suite 212, 5239 Roswell Road NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30342. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Lawn mowers, snow blowers, tillers, and compost shredders, grinders and parts therefor, from toplined, as McDonough Power Equipment, Inc., at or near Metropolis, Ill., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Tennessee, South Carolina, North Carolina, Mississippi, Louisiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Delaware, Michigan, Minnesota, Iowa, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York, Nebraska, North Dakota, Texas, Missouri, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Indiana, and Maryland; and (2) raw materials when used for the manufacturing of lawn mowers, snow blowers, tillers, and compost grinders and parts, from points in the above-named states to Metropolis, Ill., under contract with McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 119422 (Sub-No. 53) (Correction), filed February 8, 1973, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 22, 1973, and republished, as corrected this issue. Applicant: EE-JAY MOTOR TRANSPORTS, INC., 15th and Lincoln Streets, East St. Louis, Ill. 62204. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1201 Ambassador Building, St. Louis, Mo. 63101. NOTE: The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned thereto, as shown above. In lieu of No. MC 119202 (Sub-No. 53), which was in error. The rest of the notice remains as previously published.

No. MC 116631 (Sub-No. 20), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: DEIOMA TRUCKING CO., a corporation, Post Office Box L, Mt. Union, Union County, Ohio 44601. Applicant's representative: James E. Wilson, 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Avenue, and 15th Street Colvin, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Glass, as defined in appendix IX to the report in Description of Motor Carrier's Articles and facilities, 61 MCO 209, 287, and 288, from Boston, Mass., New York, N.Y., Philadelphia, Pa., Chicago, Ill., and Detroit, Mich., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. NOTE: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 119656 (Sub-No. 13) (Amendment), filed January 18, 1973, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 1, 1973, and republished, as amended, this issue. Applicant: NORTH EXPRESS INC., 219 East Main Street, Winamac, Ind. 46996. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, 900 Circle Tower, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles and steel springs (except in bulk), (1) from Winamac, Ind., to points in Minnesota, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, Missouri, Iowa, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, Oklahoma, Kansas, North Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin; and (2) from Reynolds, Ind., to points in Minnesota, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, Missouri, Iowa, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, Oklahoma, Kansas, North Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin; and (3) from Reynolds, Ind., to points in Chicago, Ill., to points in Minnesota. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or at points in Indiana.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 250), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: LIOON SPECIALIZED HAULER INC., Post Office Box L, Madisonville, Ky. 42431. Applicant's representative: Ronald E. Butler (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Doors, laminated flooring planks, blocks, and tile, laminated stair treads and risers, with hardware and accessories necessary for the installation thereof, from Winamac and Reynolds, Ind., to points in Minnesota, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, Missouri, Iowa, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, Oklahoma, Kansas, North Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin; and (2) from Reynolds, Ind., to points in Chicago, Ill., to points in Minnesota. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or at points in Indiana.

No. MC 125112 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: HOCKMAN'S MOTOR EXPRESS INC., 300 Broad Street, Terre Haute, Ill. 17521. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graft, 497 North Fourth Street, Crawfordsville, Ind. 47101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Pajamas, nightgowns, and sleeping garments, from Mount Aetna, Pa., to New York, N.Y.; (2) returned shipments of pajamas, nightgowns, and sleeping garments, from New York, N.Y., to Mount Aetna, Pa.; (3) empty containers, thread, trimmings, cotton, rayon and silk piece goods and machinery, machinery parts, supplies, and other materials used in the manufacture of shirts, pajamas, sleeping garments, underwear and dresses, (a) from Bart, Pa., to Elizabethtown, N.C.; and (b) from Boyertown, Pa., to Hemingway, S.C.; and (4) shirts, pajamas, sleeping garments, underwear and dresses, (a) from Elizabethtown, N.C., to Bart, Pa.; and (b) from Hemingway, S.C., to Boyertown, Pa. Authority shall not be tacked to or joined with any other authority presently held by carrier for the purpose of performing a through service or to points other than those authorized. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Harrisburg, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125411 (Sub-No. 12), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: JOHN F. O'FLYNN, East Street, Alton, Ill. 62002. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, Suite 1502, 411 North Seventh Street, St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles (except such articles because of size and weight require the use of special equipment), from Brownsville, Texas, to El Paso, Texas, to points in California, and points in Arizona. Application may result in an unrestricted grant of authority. Applicant states that the requested authority can be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at El Paso, Texas, or at points in California.
U.S. Highway 63 and on south of Interstate Highway 80. Nore: Applicant states that the requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority.

If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis or Kansas City, Mo.


Applicant: BULK HAULERS, INC., 540-1010 Floyd Boulevard, P.O. Box 98, Greensboro, N.C., 27401. Applicant's representative: Joseph W. Harvey. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing-houses, between the points or territories shown in the previous publication. The rest of the notice of filing remains as previously published.

No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 125), filed February 28, 1973. Applicant: HAGEN, INC., 4120 Floyd Boulevard, P.O. Box 98, Greensboro, N.C., 27401. Applicant's representative: Robert M. Pearce. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat and meat by-products, and those commodities used in the manufacture, production, and accessory articles, structural steel, and fabric steel items, and parts thereof, between the points or territories shown in the previous publication. The rest of the notice of filing remains as previously published.

No. MC 127834 (Sub-No. 89), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: CHEROKEE HAULING & RIGGING, INC., 540-42 Merritt Avenue, Nashville, Tenn. Applicant's representative: Levi Adams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles, between points in Virginia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama, Kentucky, and West Virginia.

No. MC 128784 (Sub-No. 85), filed February 22, 1973. Applicant: CHEROKEE HAULING & RIGGING, INC., 540-42 Merritt Avenue, Nashville, Tenn. Applicant's representative: Levi Adams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles, structural steel, and fabric steel items, and parts thereof, between the points or territories shown in the previous publication. The rest of the notice of filing remains as previously published.

No. MC 129032 (Sub-No. 11), filed February 12, 1973. Applicant: TOM INMAN TRUCKING, INC., 6015 South 49th West Avenue, Tulsa, Okla., 74107. Applicant's representative: Willburn L. Williamson, 299 National Foundation Life Building, 3535 Northwest 36th Street, Oklahoma City, Okla., 73112. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquor, wine, and spirits, except beer, and malt beverages, from Louisville, Owensboro, Bardstown, and Frankfort, Ky.; Louisville, Ohio, Kentucky, and Illinois; to Dallas, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, and North Dakota.

No. MC 130820 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 15, 1973. Applicant: BERNARD HEIZIMAN doing business as INTERSTATE FREIGHT DISTRIBUTORS, 3301 Leands Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif., 90038. Applicant's representative: Earnest D. Salt, 6179 Havasu Circle, Buena Park, Calif., 90621. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commissioner, and commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, between points in California. Restrictions: Restricted to the transportation of property by for, and under contract with, So. Cal. Freight Dis. Inc., a contributing Agency which has received in pool lots, from out-of-state origins, and, acting as a shipper's agent, provides in connection therewith for the
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breaking bulk, segregation and distribution service. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 138348, filed February 12, 1973. Applicant: MERLE SHURSON doing business as SHURSON TRUCKING CO., New Richmond, Minn., 55012. Applicant's representative: Samuel R. Rosenein, 201 North Fifth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55403. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, restricted to traffic having a prior rail movement, from Waseca, Minn., to points in Minnesota. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 138491 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: A & D RENTALS, INC., Upper Jersey Avenue, Box 52, North Brunswick, N.J. 08902. Applicant's representative: Maxwell A. Howells, 111 North Broadway, Box 214, K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Bulk beverages, between Pittsburgh, Pa., and points in Rhode Island, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Middlesex, Somerset, Hunterdon, Union, Mercer, Morris, Monmouth, Essex and Union Counties, N.J. Restriction: The operations authorized above are limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract, or contract, with High Grade Beverage, Delaware Valley Distributors, Inc., the W. H. Cawley Co., L. A. Picistolli, Inc., Joseph Pintore Co., and Rutgers' Distributors, Inc. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J.

No. MC 135653 (Sub-No. 4), filed February 26, 1973. Applicant: GLENN E. TREP doing business as SPECIAL SERVICE, 1314 East Broad Street, Suite 1660, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Applicant's representative: Paul F. Berry, 83 East Broad Street, Suite 1660, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Salt and salt products, other than in bulk; and (2) materials and supplies, other than in bulk, used in the agricultural, water treatment, food processing, wholesale grocery, and institutional supply industries in mixed shipments with salt and salt products, other than in bulk, from Akron, Ohio, to points in Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania. Nox: Applicant presently holds contract carrier authority under MC 107654 and sub thereto, therefore dual operations may be involved. Applicant further states that its requested authority cannot be tacked with its existing authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 136098 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 8, 1973. Applicant: RELIABLE MOVING & STORAGE, INC., Highway 30, High Ridge, Mo. 63049. Applicant's representative: J. A. Robertson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Major household appliances, crated, from High Ridge, Minn., to Belvidere, Ill., under contract with K-Mart Stores, Inc. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 136762 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 23, 1973. Applicant: TRYON MOVING & STORAGE, INC., 2383 Lee Avenue Extension, Post Office Box 2370, Sanford, N.C. 27330. Applicant's representative: Ralph M. Moored, Post Office Box 2246, Raleigh, N.C. 27602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Operated, new plastic railroad box cars and related necessary, to be attached to such casework, from points in Lee County, N.C., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Georgia, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New York, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. Nox: Applicant states that the authority requested can be obtained to transport its goods. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 136954 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 22, 1973. Applicant: GILBERT TRUCKING, INC., 419 West Fourth Street, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Robert D. Gisvold, 100 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Electrical conduit pipe and supplies, from Maspeth and Hicksville, N.Y.; Baltimore, Md.; Moundsville, W.Va.; Wheatland, Pa.; Philadelphia, Pa. and Bellevue and Niles, Ohio, to points in Eau Claire, Marshfield, and Hudson, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn.; and Watertown, S. Dak.; (2) Electrical conduit pipe and cable, from Maspeth and Yonkers, N.Y., to points in Eau Claire, Marshfield, and Hudson, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., and Watertown, S. Dak.; (3) Plumbing fixtures and supplies, from Perrysville, Ohio, and Kohler, Wis., to points in Eau Claire, Marshfield, and Hudson, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., and Watertown, S. Dak.; (4) Lighting fixtures, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Eau Claire, Marshfield, and Hudson, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., and Watertown, S. Dak.; and (5) Heating and air conditioners, from Hazelwood, Mo., to points in Eau Claire, Marshfield, and Hudson, Wis.; Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., and Watertown, S. Dak., all under contract with J. H. Larson Electrical Co. of Minneapolis, Minn. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 138774 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: FLEETailles BEST EXPRESS, INC., 1655 West 14600 South, Riverton, UT 84065. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 1522 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal and poultry feeds, supplements and additives, from points in South Dakota, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, California, Arizona, Oregon, Washington, Colorado, Illinois, Arkansas, and Minnesota, to points in Utah and Idaho. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 138800 (Sub-No. 6) (Clarification), filed February 5, 1973, published in the Federal Register issue of March 22, 1973, and annotated this issue. Applicant: ARTHUR H. KUTZ, FREE DELIVERY, Stephens City, VA 22655. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Greguer, Suite 523, 816 Easley Street, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Applicant states that the request for authority in No. MC 138800 (Sub-No. 6) seeks to convert its presently authorized common carrier permits in No. MC 138615 (Sub-No. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7) to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. The rest of the application remains as previously published.

No. MC 138885 (Sub-No. 2), filed February 16, 1973. Applicant: PARTS DELIVERY SERVICE CO., a corporation, 12505 Merrick Drive, St. Louis, Mo. 63141. Applicant's representative: B. W. LaTourrette, Jr., 611 Olive Street, Suite 1850, St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Automotive parts and accessories, between the GM parts warehouse at Lower Lake Road and Edwardsdale, Alton, Godfrey, Belleville, Collinsville, and Wood River, Ill. Nox: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., Jefferson City, Mo., or Springfield, Ill.

No. MC 138472, filed February 9, 1973. Applicant: GEORGE LITZER, doing business as LITZER SERVICE, 817 Main Street, Belgium, Wisconsin, 53009. Applicant's representative: George Litzer (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wrecked, damaged or disabled motor vehicles, moved by tow-truck or wrecker equipment, and replacement motor vehicles or parts dispatched to relieve wrecked, damaged or disabled motor vehicles, between points in a territory described as follows: From Milwaukee, Wis., along Interstate Highway 94 to Junction Wisconsin Highway 28, thence along Wisconsin Highway 28 to Junction U.S. Highway 81, thence along U.S. Highway 41 to Junction U.S. Highway 10 near Appleton, Wis., thence along U.S. Highway 10 to Junction
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U.S. Highway 141, thence along U.S. Highway 141 to Manitowoc, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 138480, filed February 22, 1973. Applicant: CENTRAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 1101 Ripley Street, Silver Spring, Md. 20910. Applicant's representative: S. Harrison Kahn, Suite 783, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between points in Baltimore, Howard, Montgomery, Anne Arundel, and Prince Georges Counties, Md., that part of Frederick County, Md., and on east of U.S. Highway 15, those in the District of Columbia, those in Arlington and Fairfax Counties, Va., those in those parts of Prince William and Loudoun Counties, Va., on an east of U.S. Highway 15, and Alexandria and Falls Church, Va., (including points on the specified highway and boundary lines in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia), restricted against the transportation of any package or article weighing more than 50 pounds or exceeding 108 inches in length and girth combined and each package or article shall be considered as a separate and distinct shipment, and further restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 150 pounds from one consignor to one consignee at one location on any one day, and the service provided in the transportation of packages or articles herein shall be accomplished on the same day upon which the package or article is tendered for transportation. Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

WATER CARRIER APPLICATIONS

No. W-536 (Sub-No. 12) (HENNEPIN TOWING COMPANY EXTENSION—FLORIDA PORTS), filed March 21, 1973. Applicant: HENNEPIN TOWING, CO., a corporation, 7055 Normandale Road, Minneapolis, Minn. 55435. Applicant's representative: William F. King, Suite 301, Tavern Square, 421 King Street, Alexandria, Va. 22314. By application filed March 21, 1973, applicant seeks a revision of its Amended Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. W-536 so as to add its present operating authority, the authority to perform operations as a common carrier, in interstate or foreign commerce, by self-propelled barges with the use of separate towing vessels in the transportation of commodities generally: Between the ports of Port Everglades, Ft. Lauderdale, and Miami, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, ports and points along the Mississippi River and the Mississippi River Gulf Outlet Channel below and including Baton Rouge, La. Applicant desires to give notice that it intends to tack or join the above authority with its existing authority or any which may be issued in its pending application in W-536 (Sub-No. 12) for the purpose of providing a through service.

No. W-1069 (Sub-No. 1) (GULF ATLANTIC TRANSPORT CORPORATION COMMON CARRIER APPLICATION), filed March 19, 1973. Applicant: GULF ATLANTIC TRANSPORT CORP., Post Office Box 4008, Jacksonville, Fla. 32201. Applicant's representative: William F. King, Suite 301, Tavern Square, 421 King Street, Alexandria, Va. 22314. By application filed March 19, 1973, applicant seeks authority to operate as a common carrier by water in interstate or foreign commerce: (1) by towing vessels in the movement of LASH (lighter-aboard-ship) barges and barges of similar design, loaded or empty, having a prior or subsequent movement by ocean carrier, between ports and points along the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from Baltimore, Md., to Miami, Fla., inclusive, by way of the Atlantic Ocean and/or the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway; and (2) by nonself-propelled barges with the use of separated towing vessels, in the transportation of general commodities in containers or in trailers, having a prior or subsequent movement by ocean carrier, and (b) of empty containers, trailers, and chassis, between ports and points along the Atlantic Coast and tributary waterways and the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from Baltimore, Md., to Miami, by way of the Atlantic Ocean and/or the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway.

NOTICES

No. W-1266 (Correction), MARINE EXPLORATION CO., INC., CONTRACT CARRIER APPLICATION, filed February 23, 1973, published in the Federal Register issue of March 22, 1973, and republished, as corrected this issue. Applicant: MARINE EXPLORATION CO., INC., 1101 Tavern Square, 421 Seventh Street, South, Lethbridge, AB, Canada T1J 3Z6. Applicant's representative: Reginald M. Hayden, Jr., Suite 304, Shaw Maritime Building, Miami, Fla. 33132. Application of Marine Exploration Co., Inc., filed February 23, 1973, for a permit to institute a new operation as a contract carrier by water, in interstate or foreign commerce in the transportation of general commodities, between Gulf and East Coast ports of the United States, on an indefinite and unscheduled basis. Note: The purpose of this republication is to broaden the territorial scope of the authority sought herein.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 138494 (Correction), filed December 20, 1972, published Federal Register, issue of March 8, 1973, as MC 138313, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: NORTHERN BUS LINES LTD., 1416 Third Avenue South, Lethbridge, AB, Canada T1J 3Z6. Applicant's representative: B. P. Offset, Suite 204, 324 Seventh Street, South, Lethbridge, AB, Canada T1J 3Z6. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in special and charter operations, in round-trip sightseeing or pleasure tours, beginning and ending at points of entry on the United States-Canada boundary line and extending to points in the United States (including Alaska but excluding Hawaii). Note: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont. The purpose of this republication is to show the correct docket number assigned thereto in lieu of MC 138313, which was shown in error.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

Over-the-Counter Drugs

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
OVER-THE-COUNTER DRUGS

Proposed General Conditions for OTC Drugs Listed as Generally Recognized as Safe and Effective, but Not Misbranded

In the Federal Register of May 11, 1972 (37 FR 9464), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs established procedures for classification of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs under subpart D of part 130 (21 CFR part 130). The Commissioner is publishing in this issue of the Federal Register the first proposed monograph (21 CFR 130.305) under these new procedures. The monograph is proposed for OTC antacid products.

In considering this first monograph, the Commissioner has concluded that there are several general conditions applicable to OTC drugs that are more appropriately established through a single regulation, rather than repeated in each monograph. The Commissioner therefore proposed to establish these general conditions, which will be applicable to every OTC drug subject to a monograph established under subpart D of part 130.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 21 Stat. 1042-1044 as amended, 1050-1053 as amended, 1085-1086 as amended by 70 Stat. 910 and 72 Stat. 946; 21 U.S.C. 321, 352, 355, 371) and the Administrative Procedure Act (secs. 4, 5, 10, 60 Stat. 238 and 239, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner proposes to amend part 130 by adding a new §130.302 to read as follows:

§130.302 General conditions.

An over-the-counter (OTC) drug listed in this subpart is generally recognized as safe and effective and is not misbranded if it meets each of the conditions contained in this section and each of the conditions contained in an applicable monograph. Any product which fails to conform to each of the conditions contained in this section and in an applicable monograph is liable to regulation as...

§130.302 General conditions.

An over-the-counter (OTC) drug listed in this subpart is generally recognized as safe and effective and is not misbranded if it meets each of the conditions contained in this section and each of the conditions contained in an applicable monograph. Any product which fails to conform to each of the conditions contained in this section and in an applicable monograph is liable to regulation as...

(a) The product is manufactured in compliance with current good manufacturing practices, as established by Part 130 of this chapter.

(b) The manufacturer(s) in which the drug product is manufactured is registered, and the drug product is listed, in compliance with Part 130 of this chapter. It is recommended that the number assigned to the product pursuant to Part 130 of this chapter appear on all drug labels and in all drug labeling. If this number is used, it should be in the manner set forth in Part 130 of this chapter.

(c) The product is labeled in compliance with Chapter V of the act and §1.100 et seq. of this chapter. For purposes of §1.102a(b) of this chapter, the statement of identity of the product shall be the term or phrase used in the applicable monograph established in this subpart.

(d) The advertising for the product prescribes recommends, or suggests its use only under the conditions stated in the labeling.

(e) The product contains only safe and suitable inactive ingredients which are harmless in the amounts administered and do not interfere with the effectiveness of the product or with the prescribes, recommends, or suggests its tests or assays to determine if the product meets its professed standards of identity, strength, quality, or purity. Color additives may be used only in accordance with section 706 of the act and Parts 8 and 9 of this chapter.

(f) The product is packed in a container that is suitable and not reactive, additive, or absorptive to an extent that significantly affects the identity, strength, quality, or purity of the product.

In accordance with §130.301(a)(3), all data and information submitted with respect to OTC antacid drugs must be...
In addition to the Panel members and liaison representatives, the Panel utilized the advice of four consultants:

P. M. Berman, M.D.,
J. B. Risner, M.D.,
J. S. Fordtran, M.D.,
J. S. Fordtran, M.D.

The following individuals were given an opportunity to appear before the Panel to express their views either at their own or the Panel’s request:

G. Beckford, M.D.
B. Brennan, Esq.
R. Brogle, Ph. D.
D. Carter, M.D.
A. Cooke, M.D.
T. Fand, Ph. D.
W. Feinsto, Sc. D.
A. Fixagan, M.D.
D. Johnson, Esq.
E. Kimmur, M.D.

No other person requested an opportunity to appear before the Panel.

SUBMISSION OF DATA AND INFORMATION

Pursuant to the two notices published in the FEDERAL REGISTER requesting the submission of data and information on antacid drugs, the following firms made submissions relating to the indicated products:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ayerst Laboratories, New York, N.Y.</td>
<td>Rippan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beecham, South Clifton, N.J.</td>
<td>ENO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattanooga Laboratories, Chattanooga, Tenn.</td>
<td>Formula.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fugi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>Gel-Us-Drug.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PROPOSED RULES

I. Conditions under which antacid products are generally recognized as safe and effective and are not misbranded.

A. Effectiveness standard. OTC antacid products should be evaluated with respect to their acid neutralizing properties and neutralizing capacity by one set of criteria irrespective of whether these products are used to alleviate the symptoms of minor upper gastrointestinal complaints or major disorders such as peptic ulcers. OTC products marketed as antacids should be evaluated by the following standard in vitro test:

**MEASUREMENT OF NEUTRALIZING CAPACITY OF ANTACIDS**

**MATERIALS**

Antacid, 0.1 N HCI; 1.0 N HCI, standardizing buffer pH 4.0 (0.05 M potassium hydrogen phthalate), pH meter, magnetic stirrer, magnetic stirring bars (25 mm. long, 9 mm. diameter), 100 ml.

**BEAKERS** (45 mm. inside diameter), 50 ml. buret, buret stand, 50 ml. pipet calibrated to deliver, device for comminuting tablets, 12- and 16-mesh sieves, equipment for controlling temperature.

**PROCEDURE**

1. The test should be conducted at 37°C.

2. Standardize the pH meter at pH 4.0 with standardizing buffer and at pH 1.1 with 0.1 N HCl.

3. Place empty 100 ml. beaker on stirrer, add stirring bar, center bar in beaker; adjust rotation rate to 100 rpm, and start timer setting that produces this rotation rate. Turn off stirrer.

4. Add one unit dose of antacid and 50 ml. 0.1 N HCl to beaker. Acid or antacid may be added first. If antacid is in tablet form, it may be added as whole tablets or as particles except that if label states that tablets are to be swallowed whole, whole tablets should be used in the test. Particles should be prepared from ground tablets taking particles that pass a 12-mesh sieve and are held by a 16-mesh sieve. If particles are used, the weight of particles should equal the weight of a unit dose.

5. Immediately after adding acid and antacid, turn on stirrer to speed settings determined in step 3.

6. Stir for exactly 10 minutes.

7. Read and record pH.

8. If pH is 3.5 or greater, proceed; if pH is below 3.5, stop test.

9. If pH at Step 7 is 3.5 or greater, add 1.0 N HCl from buret to bring pH to 3.5. Continue to add 1.0 N HCl at the rate required to hold pH at 3.5.

10. Exactly 5 minutes after beginning addition of 1.0 N HCl (15 minutes after adding antacid) read and record ml of 1.0 N HCl used.

11. Calculation: 5 meq (in 50 ml 0.1 N HCl used in first 10 min.) = number of ml 1.0 N HCl added during period 10 to 15 min. = meq acid neutralized in 15 minutes.

**CONDITIONS**

1. If pH is 3.5 or greater at end of initial 10-minute period, product may be labeled antacid.

2. If antacid passes Criterion 1, neutralizing capacity as calculated in Step 11 must be stated in package insert of ethically promoted products. The neutralizing capacity should be expressed per unit dose recommended on the label, or per minimum unit dose if more than one dose is suggested.

The formulation and/or mode of administration of certain products (e.g., in chewing gum form) may require modification of this in vitro test. In vivo tests such as the one proposed here can give an index of the magnitude of an ingredient's or product's capacity to neutralize gastric acid. Other factors involved in in vivo efficacy, such as rate of gastric emptying, rate of secretion of acid by the stomach, and degree of mixing of antacid with gastric contents, are highly variable and cannot be usefully simulated in in vitro tests.
PROPOSED RULES

P. H. in vitro acid neutralizing properties. Theoretical considerations predict neutralizing capacity of a product. The conditions of the proposed test were selected with the following considerations in mind.

Minimum acid neutralizing capacity of 5 mEq. The fasting stomach of patients with duodenal ulcer contains about 3 mEq. of acid at any given moment (residual content) and secretes about 0.13 mEq./min. or about 2 mEq. in 15 minutes. Control subjects have values about half those of duodenal ulcer subjects. Theoretical considerations predict and actual observations show that antacids are generally much less than 50 percent efficient in realizing in vivo their in vitro acid neutralizing properties. Therefore, for an antacid to combine with the gastric acid that maintain an elevated pH for 15 minutes in a normal subject would require, on the average, 5 mEq. of antacid (assuming 50 percent efficiency).

pH endpoint of 3.5. A commonly used laboratory endpoint for antacids is pH 4, selected because peptic activity is reduced by more than 80 percent at this pH. Since many antacids-in common use that are apparent evidence for suggesting symptomatic relief have little buffering action at pH 4 (particularly aluminum-containing compounds) the endpoint pH 3.5 was selected. Further studies are needed to pinpoint the pH that must be achieved to produce relief of upper gastrointestinal symptoms that may be susceptible to relief by antacids.

Fifteen-minute duration of test. The rate of reaction of antacid with acid is not an index of duration of action in vivo. Specifically, a slow rate of in vivo elevation of pH will be prolonged. When an antacid is taken orally in antacid products, in ordinary doses, such as 15 ml. of a liquid preparation, the elevation of pH of gastric contents extends beyond 15 minutes in less than 40 percent of the subjects even when a preparation with high acid neutralizing capacity is used. This short duration of action is attributable to the rapid emptying of antacid from the stomach. Most of the antacid has left the stomach 15 minutes after ingestion, and therefore any acid neutralizing properties that take longer than 15 minutes to be manifest will not be effective.

The in vitro test is recommended as a means of introducing a reasonable, standardized procedure in what appears to be a chaotic situation at present. Modification of the test may be anticipated, perhaps after discussion and evaluation by an appropriate and widely representative committee of experts. Presently available in vivo tests are themselves subject to multiple sources of error and variability, so that no one of them can be designated as optimum. Therefore, in vivo tests are not recommended at this time since their routine implementation would require very laborious procedure, notable improvement in the information so obtained.

Although the application of an in vitro test as the sole standard of effectiveness for OTC antacids appears reasonable and practical for the moment, this single standard need not be perpetuated indefinitely. The Panel, therefore, recommends that this Panel advise an appropriate advisory group to develop within a reasonable period, such as 5 years, an in vivo standard of antacid effectiveness to be applicable, in addition to the in vivo test, to both OTC and prescription products.

CITATIONS

(1) Fordtran, J. S.; Morawski, S. G.; Richardson, C. T.; "Clinical Pharmacology of Antacid Therapy" (Draft of paper being submitted for publication).

B. Active ingredients. The Panel concludes that any ingredient listed in this category or products combining two or more such ingredients may be considered safe and effective when taken orally. The Panel recommends that these moieties of an ingredient be included in this category for which no maximum intake has been specified is unlikely because of the self-limiting factors exerted by bulk, palatability, or laxation.

The active ingredients with potential acid neutralizing properties are:

- Aluminum carbonate.
- Aluminum hydroxide.
- Aluminum phosphate.
- Bismuth carbonate.
- Bismuth subcarbonate.
- Bismuth subgallate.
- Calcium carbonate.
- Calcium phosphate.
- Citric acid (as citrate salt or general citrate salt).
- Dihydroxyaluminum aminoacetate.
- Dihydroxyaluminum chloride.
- Dihydroxyaluminum sodium carbonate.
- Glycine (as aminoacetate)
- Magnesium ammonium phosphate.
- Magnesium carbonate.
- Magnesium chloride.
- Magnesium hydroxide.
- Magnesium lactate.
- Magnesium trisilicate.
- Milk of magnesia.
- Sodium bicarbonate.
- Sodium carbonate.
- Sodium potassium tartrate.
- Tartaric acid (as tartrate salt or general tartrate salt).

Comment.—In evaluating the active ingredients for inclusion into one of the three categories in this report, the Panel determined that the above active ingredients should be be included in this category based on the evidence presently available. Additional scientific evidence is necessary to define with precision the use and limitations of these ingredients. Ideally, to support categorical statements of safety and efficacy, the kinds of data suggested in the appendix should be developed.

1. Aluminum. The Panel concludes aluminum to be safe in amounts usually taken orally in antacid products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific limitation at this time.

Comment. In man, less than 1 mg. aluminum per day appears in urine when aluminum hydroxide is taken. In experimental animals, huge doses of aluminum hydroxide cause the organ contents of aluminum only slightly. No animal toxicity has been observed after oral administration of aluminum, other than that attributable to the obstructive effects of the material ingested.

In man, the only reported adverse effects, intestinal obstruction by masses of aluminum hydroxide and blood, and hypochromic anemia, are secondary consequences of intraintestinal sequestration.
PROPOSED RULES

of phosphate, are substantially infrequent and a warning is not necessary. In general, it appears that sequestration of phosphate by aluminum is a possible danger only if the patient is on a very low phosphate intake, has chronic diarrhea, or has an intrinsic disorder affecting calcium and/or phosphorus metabolism.

Aluminum compounds, it has been shown experimentally in man, interfere with the absorption of tetracycline, and, based on animal studies, they may theoretically interfere with the absorption of many other important drugs such as antiarrhythmics, barbiturates, warfarin, quinidine, and its stereo isomer quinidine. The evidence, however, is fragmentary and conflicting. In addition, antacids other than aluminum compounds may also interfere with tetracycline absorption. Under these conditions, a warning on the label about possible interference with the absorption of prescription drugs is not justified at this time for OTC antacids. Ethical labeling, however, should indicate that aluminum-containing antacids may interfere with the absorption of other drugs.

Comment. Alkalosis (i.e., increase in plasma pH outside the normal range) is not regarded by the Panel to be a danger at suggested maximum levels. Goldsenhoven found little change in plasma pH upon oral administration of large doses of sodium bicarbonate (up to 24 mEq/kg/day for periods up to 3 weeks) although plasma bicarbonate levels tended to rise with increasing doses. Adequate data are not available on the effects of prolonged high bicarbonate intake. Relman found, in dogs, that respiratory compensation of metabolic alkalosis increases the renal bicarbonate threshold, tending to perpetuate the elevation of extracellular bicarbonate concentration. Pak-Poy and Wrong found that in certain patients with renal disease, bicarbonate excetration was reduced at given urine pH, suggesting that such patients may be more prone to alkalosis at given bicarbonate intake. The Panel and a consultant, A. S. Relman found, however, that if the maximum daily dose is used for prolonged periods, alkaline of the urine with urinary stone formation is a potential hazard.
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2. Bicarbonate. The Panel concludes that the maximum daily intake of bicarbonate ion for an adult should be 200 mEq/day for those under 60 years of age and 100 mEq/day for those older.

Comment. Alkalosis (i.e., increase in plasma pH outside the normal range) is not regarded by the Panel to be a danger at suggested maximum levels. Goldsenhoven found little change in plasma pH upon oral administration of large doses of sodium bicarbonate (up to 24 mEq/kg/day for periods up to 3 weeks) although plasma bicarbonate levels tended to rise with increasing doses. Adequate data are not available on the effects of prolonged high bicarbonate intake. Relman found, in dogs, that respiratory compensation of metabolic alkalosis increases the renal bicarbonate threshold, tending to perpetuate the elevation of extracellular bicarbonate concentration. Pak-Poy and Wrong found that in certain patients with renal disease, bicarbonate excetration was reduced at given urine pH, suggesting that such patients may be more prone to alkalosis at given bicarbonate intake. The Panel and a consultant, A. S. Relman found, however, that if the maximum daily dose is used for prolonged periods, alkaline of the urine with urinary stone formation is a potential hazard.
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3. Bismuth salts and subsalts. The Panel concludes that the maximum daily intake of bismuth subcarbonate is given as 1 gram and the 4-gram amount is based on the assumption that the dose might be taken four times daily.
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4. Calcium. The Panel recommends that not more than 160-mg of calcium carbonate (1-gram carbonate) be taken per day. This recommendation is based on the fact that hypercalcemia in response to calcium ingestion is not rare in the population, and that hence the danger of renal stone formation has to be considered in determining the intake of calcium-containing antacids.

Comment. Calcium-containing antacids such as calcium carbonate stimulate gastrointestinal secretions with peptic ulcer and probably in normal subjects. After single doses of such antacids, rates of acid secretion may reach levels of 2 to 4 times the basal rate and these elevations may last 1 to 3 hours, long after the antacid action has ended. The increase in acid secretion can at least in part be accounted for by elevation of plasma gastrin levels, but the increase is not clearly correlated with elevation of plasma levels of ionized calcium. The Panel knows of no studies of the effects of extended daily use (e.g., 1 week or longer) on the interrelationships of basal acid secretion, ionized calcium concentration, and gastrin secretion. The Panel concludes that the maximum daily dose of calcium-containing antacids because of any possible stimulating effect on gastric secretion, but as more information becomes available such restrictions may prove to be advisable. Some experts at present believe that calcium-containing compounds should not be used as antacids.
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5. Citrates. The Panel concludes that the citrate ion to be safe orally in amounts usually taken orally (e.g., 5 grams per day) does not seem to be safe at increased levels such as 10 grams per day. Since there is no reliable information as to the upper limits of a safe dose, this level is adopted as the maximum safe dosage at this time.
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amino acids stimulate gastric secretion and this stimulation may persist after the effective gastric acid does. Ingestion of large amounts of individual amino acids or of imbalanced mixtures of amino acids can produce toxic effects in animals.
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(5) Magnesium. Absorption of magnesium from the intestine is not complete and is unlikely to cause systemic toxicity unless renal insufficiency is present.

(6) Hyperkalemia is a symptom of potassium excess. It may be caused by increased intake of potassium or impaired renal function.

(7) IRIS. The Panel concludes that potassium levels are controlled by normal persons up to 150 meq of potassium per maximum daily dose. However, the cathartic dose is higher than the dose recommended for magnesium-containing antacid products. Absorbed magnesium rapidly enters the cells and is excreted, so that hypermagnesemia is difficult to achieve by the oral route in the presence of normal renal function. However, hypermagnesemia may occur with severe renal impairment.

(8) Staphylococcal infections may occur as a consequence of inadequate antibiotic therapy.

(9) SI. The Panel concludes that the evidence is insufficient to warrant the imposition of a specific maximum daily intake of antacid products containing less than 25 meq of potassium per maximum daily dose.

Comment. Hyperkalemia as a consequence of ingestion of potassium is rare. As much as 30–60 meq per day of potassium is frequently given as a nutrient. A liter of orange juice contains about 50 meq of potassium.

(10) Sodium. The Panel concludes that the maximum safe daily dosage of sodium-containing antacids is 200 meq of sodium for persons under 60 years of age, and 100 meq for persons 60 years of age or older. The label on antacid products containing more than 5 meq of sodium per maximum recommended daily dose should state: "Do not use this product if you are on a sodium restricted diet except under the advice and supervision of a physician." All OTC antacids containing more than 0.2 meq of sodium in one unit dosage should show on the label the sodium content, expressed per tablet, per unit volume used for expressing dose, or per packet or packet component.

Comment. There is extensive literature on the relationship of sodium intake to hypertension, and it is generally accepted that sodium intake is one of several factors in its pathophysiology. In experimental animals, salt may precipitate marked hypertension in the presence of certain endocrine and/or renal disturbances. Even in the absence of abnormalities, blood pressure increases with sodium intake. However, in the presence of normal renal function, the rise in pressure is modest.

Guyton states that the doubling of salt and water intake raises the mean blood pressure in man by 10 mmHg. Prior and Evans studied a genetically homogeneous population scattered among three Polynesian islands. They concluded that high salt intake contributed to differences in blood pressure, but the relationship is complicated by other factors.

Apart from hypertension, edema may develop in persons with occult heart failure or renal disease with high salt intake. Since the prevalence of these conditions increases with age, it is advisable to place a more severe limit on sodium dosage for persons over 60 years of age.

Panel consultants concurred that sodium intake greater than 100 meq per day might be deleterious to elderly patients or patients with cardiovascular disease. They also agreed that sodium intake up to 200 meq per day would be safe in younger persons with normal cardiovascular status.

A limit of 200 meq, per day as antacid would allow additional sodium in medicinal form approximately equal to the usual daily intake in the American diet.
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Krop and Gold reported chronic renal changes with age in the renal function of traditionally used agents, that the maximum daily tartrate concentration of traditionally used agents is 1.232-239, 173:995-998, 1960.


Comment. More information is needed concerning the overall influence of tartrates on the renal failure of variable amounts of tartaric acid and/or other chelators. It has been reported to its renal effects resemble those caused by other chelators. The addition to an antacid product does not correctly apply to the product in question.

1. Various types of burning distress felt in the upper abdomen retrosternally or in the throat may be related to the regurgitation of acid gastric contents into the esophagus, or to other mechanisms in which a reasonable possibility exists that gastric acid is involved. The Panel concludes that antacid products are used to alleviate not only the symptoms of minor upper gastrointestinal complaints but also major disorders such as peptic ulcer, gastritis, and peptic esophagitis. The ethical labeling of OTC products should be reviewed by the Food and Drug Administration in light of the conclusions and recommendations of this report.

2. The label of every OTC antacid should declare the quantitative composition for all active ingredients.

3. This composition must be given per tablet, per capsule, or other solid dosage form, per unit volume of liquid used in expressing dose, per packet, or per packet combination.

4. If the maximum daily dose of a given antacid is used daily for more than 2 weeks, a physician should be consulted.

5. On the effect of tartrates on the heartburn, "sour stomach," and "acid indigestion." These symptoms probably are related to gastric acid, although the evidence is far from conclusive. The mechanism of heartburn is generally believed to be regurgitation of acid gastric contents into the esophagus.

6. OTC antacid products are used to alleviate not only the symptoms of minor upper gastrointestinal complaints but also major disorders such as peptic ulcer, gastritis, and peptic esophagitis. The ethical labeling of OTC products should be reviewed by the Food and Drug Administration in light of the conclusions and recommendations of this report.

7. A variance from any labeling requirement defined by this report should be permitted by the Food and Drug Administration only when the application for variance is accompanied by credible scientific evidence that the product does not correctly apply to the product in question.

8. Drugs combining antacid and other active ingredients. The Panel concludes that there is no valid scientific evidence that the addition to an OTC antacid of an active ingredient that is neither an antacid nor a corrective for an antacid side effect, will contribute to the product's safety and effectiveness for use in antacid therapy alone. The addition of nonantacid or noncorrective ingredients may, in fact, reduce the safety or effectiveness of the antacid product.
PROPOSED RULES

If antacid combinations are to be allowed, the use of the combination of an antacid and an active ingredient that is neither an antacid nor a corrective for an antacid indication should be limited to those individuals who concurrently have symptoms which require for their relief the pharmacologic action of both the antacid and nonantacid ingredients. This distinction should be clearly stated on the product label.

1. The Panel concludes that it is rational to combine an antacid with an analgesic if the individual who uses the product has concurrent symptoms which require the relief provided by both types of active ingredients. The indication section of the labeling should state clearly that the combination should be used for heartburn and/or acid indigestion and/or sour stomach only when these symptoms are accompanied by indications for an analgesic. Such a product is not appropriate for peptic ulcer and related disorders. Any analgesic ingredient that is generally recognized as safe and effective (see analgesic Monograph) may be used as the analgesic ingredient. No labeling claim for the laxative effect would be truthful, because the amount of nonantacid laxative ingredient present should not cause laxation, but would mask the constipating effect of the antacid.

Comment. Any other combination of antacid with nonantacid active ingredients should be permitted by the Food and Drug Administration only after it is shown that the conditions for a combination drug set out in the regulations have been met. The Panel is unaware of any conditions which meet these conditions at the present time.

II. Conditions under which antacid products are not generally recognized as safe and effective or are misbranded. The use of antacids under the following conditions is unsupported by scientific data, and in many instances by sound theoretical reasoning. The Panel concludes that the ingredients labeling, and combination drugs involved should be removed from the market until scientific testing supports their use.

A. Active ingredients. No active ingredients for which data were submitted to the Panel and that is not included in Category I or Category II has, in the Panel’s opinion, been shown by adequate and reliable scientific evidence to be safe and effective.

B. Labeling. The Panel concludes that it is not truthful and accurate to make claims or to use indications on the package label that may directly affect “nervous or emotional disturbances,” “excessive smoking,” “food intolerance,” “consumption of alcoholic beverages,” “acidosis,” “nervous tension,” “cold symptoms,” and “morning sickness of pregnancy” since the relationship of such phenomena to gastric acidity is both unproven and unlikely.

C. Drugs combining antacid and other active ingredients. 1. Although the Panel is cognizant of the validity of combining an antacid with aspirin for the purpose of preventing or controlling the postprandial emptying of gastric contents, it concludes that fixed antacid-aspirin combinations are irrational for antacid use alone and therefore should not be labeled or marketed. Only if both are OTC antacids sometimes indiscriminately used, which may lead to aspirin toxicity with such combinations, but aspirin also has a potential for damaging the gastrointestinal mucosa by the topical action of breaking the mucosal barrier or by other mechanisms.

In experiments in man and animals unbuffered aspirin causes greater visible gastric mucosal damage and more gastrointestinal blood loss than strongly buffered aspirin in solution, which causes little or none of the typical signs of damage to the stomach. However, the actual clinical condition of major gastrointestinal hemorrhage associated with aspirin ingestion has been seen with both unbuffered and buffered aspirin in solution. There is inadequate evidence to establish whether the risk of clinically major gastrointestinal hemorrhage is less with strongly buffered aspirin in solution than with unbuffered aspirin. Because of this uncertainty and the lack of evidence of effectiveness of sulcyrate for antacid indications, benefit-risk considerations dictate that such a product not be indicated solely for antacid purposes.
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2. The Panel concludes that it is not safe and effective concurrent therapy to add an anticholinergic ingredient to an OTC antacid product, because optimal use of antacids and anticholinergic drugs requires independent adjustment of dosages of each drug, because the addition of an anticholinergic drug in a concentration large enough to have detectable pharmacologic effects would result in a compound too toxic for use in self-medication. The Panel is cognizant of the validity of combining anticholinergic ingredients for the treatment of concurrent symptoms, it concludes that fixed antacid-aspirin combinations are irrational for antacid use alone and therefore should not be labeled or marketed. Only if both are OTC antacids sometimes indiscriminately used, which may lead to aspirin toxicity with such combinations, but aspirin also has a potential for damaging the gastrointestinal mucosa by the topical action of breaking the mucosal barrier or by other mechanisms.

The same conclusions apply to combinations of antacids with sedative-hypnotic ingredients.

3. The Panel concludes that it is not rational concurrent therapy for a significant portion of the target population for the label to claim that a combination product has the benefits of an analgesic and an antacid when the same conclusions apply to combinations of antacids with sedative-hypnotic ingredients.

The Panel recognizes that there are active antacid ingredients that may be effective as laxatives at higher doses than those used for antacid action. The Panel understands that the question whether such uses are appropriate will be reviewed by the Laxative Panel, and, for this reason, takes no position on use of these ingredients as laxatives.

4. The Panel is not aware of any study showing that the addition of an antipeptic agent to an antacid product increases the product’s efficacy as an antacid or is otherwise effective as a means of managing upper gastrointestinal symptoms. All antacids are antipeptic in the sense that peptic activity is reduced as pH increases and pepsin is irreversibly inactivated at pH’s above 7. No claim for antipeptic activity can be considered truthful and accurate unless the data are substantiated both by scientifically valid in vitro tests showing that the antipeptic action is substantially greater than that of an antacid with a low pH (such as sodium bicarbonate), and it is proved by studies that the antipeptic activity is clinically meaningful and therefore contributes to the product’s effectiveness.

5. The Panel concludes that the addition of proteolytic agents or bile or bile salts to antacid products is unsafe. Since pepsin is presumably involved in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer, the addition of pepsin to antacid products may be potentially harmful. Since bile and bile salts can damage gastric mucosa, and since they may be involved in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer, these substances should not be permitted in antacid products.

6. The Panel concludes that the addition of an antemetic to an antacid product is not rational therapy for a significant portion of the target population.
III. Conditions for Which the Available Data Are Insufficient to Permit Final Classification at This Time

A. Claimed Active Ingredients. The Panel concludes that adequate and reliable scientific evidence is not available at this time to permit such a classification of the active ingredients listed below. These ingredients have either no or negligible antacid action and there is inadequate evidence for their effectiveness for their nonantacid action in the relief of upper gastrointestinal symptoms or in their adjuvant or corrective properties. The Panel believes it reasonable to provide 2 years for the development and review of such evidence. Marketing need not cease during this time if adequate testing is undertaken provided any product that claims to be an antacid (i.e., neutralize stomach acid) meets the general in vitro antacid effectiveness standard. (See monograph.) If adequate effectiveness data are not obtained within 2 years, however, these ingredients listed in this category should no longer be permitted, even in a product that meets the general in vitro antacid effectiveness standard, because of a lack of evidence that these ingredients make a meaningful contribution to the claimed effects.

Active ingredients:
Alginic acid.
Attapulgite, activated (absorbent).
Charcoal.
Gastric mucin.
Kaolin.
Methylcellulose.
Pectin.
Simethicone.
Carboxymethylcellulose.

1. Alginic acid. Although the ingestion of alginic acid-containing products may produce a layer of material floating on top of the gastric contents, the Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to demonstrate the effectiveness of this characteristic. The studies are fragmentary, uncontrolled, and few in number. No evidence is presented as to reproducibility of results. There is insufficient evidence to determine if containing antacid products, even if they produce a floating layer on top of the gastric contents, are clinically beneficial. Indeed, such data as there are indicates that these products do not increase the pH of gastric contents as a whole. Since regurgitation of gastric contents is particularly apt to occur when patients are lying down rather than in the supine position, alginic acid-containing products may be less beneficial than a standard antacid which is more likely to increase the pH throughout the gastric contents.

The Panel concludes alginic acid to be safe in amounts usually taken orally (e.g., 4 grams per day) in antacid products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

2. Simeathicone. Although it is reasonably certain that surfactants affect their surface action, cause small gas bubbles to coalesce and form larger ones, whether such a change in size of gas bubbles is clinically beneficial has not been clearly demonstrated. Controlled studies submitted by industry do report a lessening of postoperative gas pains and amounts of gaseous accumulation as judged by X-ray. However, studies with respect to gas accumulation under ordinary conditions of life under which OTC products are limited and not well controlled. Finally, it is far from certain that many of the sensations of "gases" of which patients complain are actually produced by accumulations of gas.

The Panel concludes simethicone to be safe in amounts usually taken orally (e.g., 350 mg to 500 mg per day) in antacid products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

3. Carboxymethylcellulose. The Panel concludes carboxymethylcellulose to be safe in amounts usually taken orally (e.g., 3 grams per day) in antacid products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

4. Methylene blue. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of this ingredient in the treatment of gas accumulation.

B. Amino Acid Products. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

C. Cation Exchange Resins. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

D. Enzymes. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

E. Gastric Mucin. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

F. Pectin. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

G. Sugar-Free Antacids. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

H. Aromatized Antacids. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

I. Mattress Antacids. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

J. Antacids for Children. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.

K. Antacids for Geriatric Patients. The Panel concludes that present evidence is insufficient to prove the effectiveness of these products, and believes it unnecessary to impose a specific dosage limitation at this time.
draw conclusions as to the cause or 
intermediation of such symptoms, a 
clusion that the medical profession 
is incapable of drawing at this time. 
Therefore, those claims and indications 
that link these symptoms to acidity or 
"hyperacidity" should not be permitted 
unless supported by statistically valid clinical trials obtained within 2 years.

Comment. This section refers to 
claims that the symptoms listed are re- 
lated to acidity. Once it is demon- 
strated that such symptoms and gas- 
tric acidity are related, antacids could 
logically be, recommended for such 
symptoms of.

3. The Panel concludes that the evi- 
dence currently available is inadequate 
to support the claim that such Proper- 
ties as "floating," "coating," "defoam- 
ing," "decrement," "carminative" con- 
tribute to the relief of upper gastro-
intestinal symptoms. The continued use 
of such claims, or ones closely allied to 
them, requires additional studies both 
to confirm the claimed specific action 
and to demonstrate clinical significance. 
These studies should also be completed 
within 3 years.

INACTIVE INGREDIENTS

A wide variety of pharmaceutical 
necessaries and excipients are used to 
manufacture antacid products. Examples 
are fillers, tablet lubricants and binders, 
disintegrating agents, colorants, flavor-
ing agents, preservatives, suspending 
agents, and sweeteners. Except for lac-
tose and talc, the Panel did not consider 
the status of these inactive ingredients.

Although the Panel has not consid-
ered these ingredients, it is the view of 
the Panel that their safety and the advis-
ability of listing them on the label be 
reviewed by an appropriate body. Since 
these materials are used in the formu-
lation of many drugs other than antacids, 
it is not appropriate that they be dealt 
with specifically and solely in relation to 
antacids.

1. Lactose. Although lactose is used in 
OTC antacids as an inactive ingre- 
dient, concern has been expressed that 
the lactose content of some prod-
ucts may be sufficient to cause untoward 
effects in persons who are lactase defi-
cient. Most patients who have lactase 
deficiency are only partially deficient 
and can tolerate a glass of milk daily, 
I.e., 10 grams of lactose. The Panel there-
fore concludes that the maximum daily 
dose of lactose in an antacid product 
should be limited to 5 grams.

Comment. Five grams of lactose is 
the amount present in one-half glass of milk. 
Although studies indicate that about 20 percent of Caucasians and 80 percent of non-Caucasians have some degree of lactose intolerance because of 
lacke defi ciency, only a small percent-
age of those who are lactase deficient 
cannot tolerate the amount of lactose 
here suggested.
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Letter solicited by Panel chairman 
from Bayless, T. M., included in the pub-
lic file.

2. Talc. Because of the known carci-
genetic effects of asbestos, and because some 
tales have been inherently contaminated 
with asbestos, the Panel is concerned 
about the inclusion of talc in some antac-
ids preparations. The use and nature of 
talc in a variety of pharmaceutical prepar-
ations warrants study by the Food and 
Drug Administration.

DATA PERTINENT FOR ANTACID INGREDIENT 
EVALUATION

CLINICAL TOXICOLOGICAL DATA

A. Minimal lethal dose in man, by 
single oral ingestion.

B. Maximal tolerated dose in man, by 
single oral ingestion.

C. Minimal lethal dose in man, taken 
in divided doses at intervals stated or 
implanted on or constructible from product 
label.

D. Maximal tolerated dose in man, 
taken in divided doses at intervals stated 
or implied on or constructible from product 
label.

E. Chronic toxicity in man, espe-
cially with respect to renal function and 
pathology, bone pathology, and any pa-
thologies suggested from experiments in 
animals.

F. If there are insufficient human data, 
similar experimental data on omnivorous 
primates or other suitable species are 
needed.

ABSORPTION, FATE, DISTRIBUTION, 
AND EXCRETION

A. The percent of absorption in man 
of various oral doses, determined by mod-
ern methods.

B. The percent of renal excretion in 
man with various oral doses, determined 
by modern methods.

C. The metabolic fate in man of ab-
sorbed but unexcreted drug.

D. The fate of unabsorbed drug in 
man, determined by modern methods.

E. The net bioavailability of the drug 
in man.

F. The ion(s) associated with fecally 
excreted drug and/or its unabsorbed intra-
luminal biotransformation products.

G. The ion(s) associated with renally 
excreted drug and/or its renal excreted 
biotransformation product.

EFFECTS

A. Effects or oral drug on intragastric, 
intraintestinal, and gastrointestinal mu-
cosal ion concentration.

B. Effects of oral drug on absorption of 
sions.

C. Effects of oral drug on renal ex-
cretion of ions.

D. Effects of oral drug on blood ion 
concentration.

E. Effects of oral drug on absorption of 
phosphate.

F. Effects of oral drug on renal ex-
cretion of phosphate.

G. Effects of oral drug on absorption of 
actively transported substances.

H. Effects of oral drug on absorption of 
essential nutrients.

I. Effects of oral drug on absorption of 
other drugs.

J. Effects of oral drug on secretion of 
gastrointestinal enzymes and bile.

K. Acute and chronic effects of drug 
on urinary pH and bicarbonate.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of 
the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic 
Act (secs. 201, 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 
1040-42 as amended, 1055-56 as amended 
by 20 Stat. 919 and 920; 21 U.S.C. 321, 322, 355, 371) and the Ad-
ministrative Procedure Act (secs. 4, 5, 
10, 60 Stat. 238 and 243 as amended; 5 
U.S.C. 553, 554, 702, 703, 704) and under 
section 5 of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic 
Act (Sec. 202(a) (1), the Commissioner of Food and 
Drugs proposes that Subpart D of Part 130 be amended, pursuant to the re-
commendations of the Advisory Review 
Panel on Over-the-Counter Antacid 
Drugs, by adding a new § 130.305, effect-
ive 6 months after publication of the 
final Monograph in the Federal Register, 
to read as follows:

§ 130.305 Antacids.

An over-the-counter antacid product 
in a form suitable for oral administra-
tion is generally recognized as safe and 
effective and is not misbranded if it meets 
each of the following conditions and each 
of the general conditions established 
in § 130.302.
(a) Active Ingredient(s). The active ingre-
dient(s) of the product consist(s) of one or more of the ingredients permit-
ted in paragraphs (2) through (14) with 
in any maximum daily dosage limit estab-
lished, each ingredient is included at a 
level that contributes at least 25 per-
cent of the total acid neutralizing capa-
city of the product, and the finished 
product has a pH of 3.5 or greater at the 
end of the initial 10-minute period as 
measured by the method established in 
paragraph (1) of this paragraph. To 
meet the 25-percent requirement, four 
times the amount of each ingredient 
present in a unit dose of a product con-
taining two or more ingredients must 
must meet the requirements of the acid 
neutralizing test. This stipulation need not 
apply to an antacid ingredient specifi-
cally added as a corrective to prevent a 
labeling or exposition.
(1) The neutralizing capacity of the 
product shall be measured in the follow-
ing way:
(i) Materials.

(ii) Antacid.

(iii) 0.1 N HCl.

(iv) 1.0 N HCl.

>v) Standardizing buffer pH 4.0 (0.05 
M potassium hydrogen phthalate).

(g) pH meter.

(h) Magnetic stirrer.

(i) Magnetic stirring bars (25 mm. 
long, 9 mm. diameter).

(j) 100 ml. beakers (45 mm. inside 
diameter).

(k) 50 ml. buret.

(l) Magnetic stirrer stand.

(m) Tablet comminuting device.

(2) Temperature controlling equip-
ment.

(2) 12 and 16 standard mesh sieves.

(2) Procedure.

(a) Control temperature at 37° C.

(b) Standardize pH meter at pH 4.0 
with standardizing buffer and at pH 1.1 
with 0.1 N HCl.
PROPOSED RULES

(c) Place empty beaker on stirrer, add stirring bar, determine setting for stirring at 240 r.p.m. throughout.
(d) Add one unit dose of antacid and 50 ml. of 0.1 N HCl to beaker. Acid in antacid may be added first. If antacid is in tablet form, it may be added as whole tablets or as particles except that if label states that tablets are to be swallowed whole, whole tablets should be used in the test. Particles should be prepared from ground tablets taking particles that pass a 12 standard mesh sieve and are held by a 16 standard mesh sieve. If particles are used, the weight of particles should equal the weight of a unit dose.
(e) Stir for exactly 10 minutes at 240 r.p.m.
(f) Read and record pH.
(g) If pH is 3.5 or greater, proceed; if pH is below 3.5, stop test.
(h) If pH in paragraph (g) of this section is 3.5 or greater, add 1.0 N HCl from buret to bring pH to 3.5. Continue to add 1.0 N HCl at the rate required to hold pH at 3.5.
(i) Exactly 5 minutes after beginning addition of 1.0 N HCl (15 minutes after adding antacid) read and record ml. of 1.0 N HCl used.
(j) Calculation: 5 mEq. (in 50 ml. of 0.1 N HCl used in 1st 10 min.) ÷ number of ml. of 1.0 N HCl added during period 10 to 15 min. = mEq. acid neutralized in 15 min..
(iii) The formulation and/or mode of administration of certain products (e.g., in chewing gum form) may require modification of this in vitro test.
(2) Aluminum-containing active ingredients.
(i) Aluminum carbonate.
(ii) Aluminum hydroxide (as aluminum hydroxide-hexahydrate stabilized polymer, aluminum hydroxide-magnesium carbonate coiffed gel, aluminum hydroxide-magnesium silicate coiffed gel, aluminum hydroxide sucrose powder hydrated).
(iii) Dihydroxyaluminum aminoacetate and dihydroxyaluminum aminoacetic acid.
(iv) Aluminum phosphate, maximum daily dosage limit 12.5 grams.
(v) Dihydroxyaluminum sodium carbonate.
(3) Bicarbonate-containing active ingredients. Bicarbonate ion, maximum daily dosage limit 200 mEq., for persons up to 60 years old and 100 mEq. for persons 60 years or older.
(4) Bismuth-containing active ingredients.
(i) Bismuth aluminate.
(ii) Bismuth carbonate.
(iii) Bismuth subcarbonate.
(iv) Bismuth subgallate.
(v) Bismuth subnitrate.
(5) Calcium-containing active ingredients. Calcium, as carbonate or phosphate, maximum daily dosage limit 160 mEq. calcium (e.g., 8 grams calcium carbonate).
(6) Citrate-containing active ingredients. Citrate ion, as citric acid or salt, maximum daily dosage limit 8 grams.
(7) Glycine (aminooacetic acid).
(8) Magnesium-containing active ingredients.
(i) Hydrate magnesium aluminate activated sulfate.
(ii) Magnesium aluminosilicate.
(iii) Magnesium aluminosilicate.
(iv) Magnesium carbonate.
(v) Magnesium glycinate.
(vi) Magnesium hydroxide.
(vii) Magnesium trisilicate.
(viii) Magnesium trisilicate.
(9) Milk solids, dried.
(10) Phosphate-containing active ingredients.
(i) Aluminum phosphate, maximum daily dosage limit 8 grams.
(ii) Mono or dibasic calcium salt, maximum daily dosage limit 2 grams.
(iii) Tricalcium phosphate, maximum daily dosage limit 24 grams.
(11) Potassium-containing active ingredients.
(i) Sodium bicarbonate or carbonate, maximum daily dosage limit 200 mEq. of sodium for persons up to 60 years old and 100 mEq. of sodium for persons 60 years or older, and 200 mEq of bicarbonate ion for persons 60 years or older.
(ii) Starch.
(iii) Sulfates.
(iv) Magnesium stearate.
(v) Tartaric-containing active ingredients. Tartrate acid or its salts, maximum daily dosage limit 200 mEq. (15 grams) or smaller.
(j) Indications. The labeling of the product contains the following indications:
(1) "Do not take more than ______ (maximum recommended daily dosage, broken down by age groups if appropriate, expressed in units such as tablets or teaspoonfuls) in a 24-hour period except under the advice and supervision of a physician." 
(2) "Do not use the maximum dosage of this antacid for more than 2 weeks except under the advice and supervision of a physician."
(3) For products which cause constipation in 5 percent or more of persons who take the maximum recommended dosage: "May cause constipation."
(4) For products which cause laxation in 5 percent or more of persons who take the maximum recommended dosage: "May have laxative effect."
(5) For products containing more than 55 mEq. of magnesium in the recommended daily dosage: "Do not use this product except under the advice and supervision of a physician if you have kidney disease."
(6) For products containing more than 5 mEq. sodium in the maximum recommended daily dose: "Do not use this product except under the advice and supervision of a physician if you are on a sodium restricted diet.
(7) For products containing more than 25 mEq. potassium in the maximum recommended daily dose: "Do not use this product except under the advice and supervision of a physician if you have kidney disease."
(d) Directions for use. The labeling of the product contains the recommended dosage per time interval, broken down by age groups if appropriate, followed by "except under the advice and supervision of a physician."
(e) Statement of active ingredients.
(1) The labeling of the product contains the quantitative amount of each active ingredient, expressed in terms of the dosage unit stated in the directions for use (e.g., tablet, teaspoonful). 
(2) The labeling of the product contains the sodium content per dosage unit (e.g., tablet, teaspoonful) if it is 0.2 mEq. (5 mg) or higher.
(f) Ethical labeling. The labeling of the product provided to physicians (but not to the general public):
(1) Shall contain the neutralizing capacity of the product, as calculated in paragraph (a) (1) (ii) (g), expressed in terms of the dosage recommended per minimum time interval or, if the labeling recommends more than one dosage, in terms of the minimum dosage recommended per minimum time interval.
(2) Shall, if the product is an aluminum or kaolin-containing antacid, contain a warning that absorption of other drugs may be interfered with by the aluminum or kaolin in the product.
(3) May contain as additional indications peppie ulcer, gastritis, and peptic ulcer disease.
(g) Combination with nonantacid active ingredients.
(1) An antacid may contain any generally recognized safe and effective nonantacid laxative ingredient (see laxative Monograph) to correct for constipation caused by the antacid. No labeling mention of the laxative ingredient or claim of laxative effect may be used for such a product.
(2) An antacid may contain any generally recognized safe and effective analgesic ingredient(s) (see analgesic monograph) if it is indicated for use solely for the concurrent symptoms involved (e.g., headache and acid indigestion).
(h) Inactive ingredients. The amount of lactose in a maximum daily dosage may not exceed 5 g, per day.
Interested persons are invited to submit their comments in writing (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal on or before June 4, 1973. Such comments should be addressed to the hearing clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, room 6-68, 5000 Lees Lane, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, and may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. Additional comments replying to any comments so filed may also be submitted on or before July 2, 1973. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

CHARLES C. EDWARDS,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.78-5667 Filed 4-4-73; 8:45 am]
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

OCEAN DUMPING

Interim Regulations Governing Transportation for Dumping, and Dumping of Material into Ocean Waters
RULINS AND REGULATIONS

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

SUBCHAPTER H—OCEAN DUMPING

TRANSPORTATION FOR DUMPING, AND DUMPING OF MATERIAL INTO OCEAN WATERS

Pursuant to title I of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctions Act of 1972, Public Law 92-532 (hereinafter, "the Act"), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is publishing hereunder interim regulations, effective immediately. The interim regulations describe procedures for application for, and issuance and denial of, permits for ocean dumping under the Act.

When title I of the Act becomes effective on April 23, 1973, it will generally be unlawful to depart a port in the United States for the purpose of dumping material in the territorial sea or contiguous zone of the United States, unless the person engaged in such transport or dumping has first obtained a permit from EPA; permits for the transportation and dumping of dredged spoil will, however, be issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, pursuant to section 103 of the Act.

The Act became law October 23, 1972. Since all ocean dumping activities regulated by the Act will, in the absence of a permit from EPA or the Corps of Engineers, be unlawful as of April 23, 1973, it has been necessary to promulgate regulations on which permit applicants may rely prior to the effective date of title I of the Act. At the same time constraints imposed by the Act are such that it has been impracticable to develop and publish regulations in proposed form, to allow a reasonable period of no less than 30 days for public comment, to review the proposed regulations in the light of the comments received, and to promulgate final regulations at the lead time to implement a smoothly functioning permit program on April 23, 1973.

Accordingly, EPA has determined pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §553(b) that notice and comment under the interim regulations, promulgated in proposed form would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest; accordingly, they will be effective immediately. However, the intention of EPA to revise the interim regulations in the light of comments submitted in writing to the Office of Air and Water Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Attention: Mr. T. A. Wastler, room 1102, Crystal Mall Building 2, 2025 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va. 22202, on or before June 23, 1973.

Particular attention should be drawn to the provisions of §222.2a of the interim regulations. While certain time limits established by the interim regulations are thought to be realistic, it is clear that those time limits cannot be followed with respect to certain applications submitted in connection with proposed ocean dumping activities in the 90-day period subsequent to the effective date of title I. Accordingly, the interim rules include a special provision to govern the processing of such applications. Section 222.2a will allow EPA to establish special time limits for such applications, so that certain ocean dumping activities may be permitted, consistently with the policies set forth in title I of the Act, on or shortly after its effective date. Applicants for permits to conduct dumping within the first 90 days after the effective date of title I are urged to file their applications immediately, particularly if the proposed dumping is to take place within the first 30 days. EPA will begin accepting and processing applications as soon as these regulations are published. If an application is received by EPA on or before May 23, 1973, and is otherwise proposed dumping activities to be conducted prior to July 23, 1973, the agency may, pursuant to §222.2a of the interim regulations, identify the time which would normally be afforded the public or State and Federal agencies for comment, consultation, or requesting public hearings.

It should be stressed, however, that the special rules of §222.2a are not to alter the scope of the inquiry into the advantages and disadvantages of a particular permit application, and will not alter the showing to be made by the permit applicant, or of the nature of opposing considerations that may be raised by interested members of the public at a hearing on a permit application. It is, of course, anticipated that §222.2a will be revoked when subchapter H is revised in the light of comments made pursuant to this notice.

It should also be noted that section 102 of the Act requires the Administrator to establish criteria to be used in the evaluation of permit applications. Those criteria will be set forth in Part 227 of subchapter H, which will be published separately in the Federal Register.

As the interim regulations make clear, applications for permits may, prior to the availability of printed application forms, be made to the appropriate regional administrator of EPA, or to the Administrator, in letter form, providing the information required by §221.1. Under the authority of section 104 of the Act, applications under these interim regulations, including applications in letter form, will be subject to a processing fee in accordance with the provisions of §221.5.

Comments from interested members of the public on the interim regulations will be available for public inspection in room 1102, Crystal Mall Building 2, 2025 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Va., during normal working hours.

Chapter I of title 48 is amended by adding as interim regulations Subchapter H, Ocean Dumping, as follows:

WILLIAM D. RICKELSHUSEN
Administrator


PART 220—GENERAL

Sec. 220.1 Purpose and scope.

220.2 Definitions.

220.3 Classes of permits.

220.4 Delegation of authority.


§220.1 Purpose and scope.

(a) General. This part establishes procedures for the issuance of permits by EPA pursuant to section 102 of the Act. Subject to the exclusion in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, the Act prohibits:

(1) Transportation from the United States of radiological, chemical, or biological warfare agents, or of any high-level radioactive wastes, for the purpose of dumping them into ocean waters, and the dumping of any such materials into the territorial sea, or into the contiguous zone (to the extent it may affect the territorial sea or the territory of the United States);

(2) Transportation from the United States of material not specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, for the purpose of dumping them into ocean waters, and the dumping of any such materials into the territorial sea, or into the contiguous zone (to the extent it may affect the territorial sea or the territory of the United States), without a permit from EPA; or, in the case of dredged material, from the Corps of Engineers.

(3) Transportation from any location outside the United States, of materials specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, from any location outside the United States, for the purpose of dumping them into ocean waters, by any officer, employee, agent, department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States, without a permit from EPA; or, in the case of dredged material, from the Corps of Engineers.

(b) Exclusion.—This part does not apply to the transportation and dumping of fish wastes unless such dumping occurs in:

(1) Harbors or enclosed coastal waters;

(2) Any other location where the Administrator finds that such dumping could endanger health, the environment or ecological systems in a specific location; Provided, That nothing herein shall be construed as requiring a permit under the Act for the dumping of fish wastes in areas inside the baseline from which the territorial sea is measured as provided for in the Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone (15 UST 1606; TTAS 5690).

§220.2 Definitions.

As used in this part, the term "Act" means the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, Public Law 92-532, 33 U.S.C. Unless otherwise provided herein, all other terms shall have the meanings assigned to them by the Act.
§ 220.3 Categories of permits.

(a) General permits.—From time to time the Administrator may authorize, by general permit, the dumping of certain materials. Such general permits shall be published in the Federal Register and shall specify the types and amounts of materials which may be dumped, the designated dumping sites for such dumping activities, a fixed expiration date and any other conditions deemed appropriate by the Administrator. A general permit may be granted by the Administrator under this section on application of an interested person in accordance with the procedures of part 221 of this chapter, or may be granted by the Administrator on his own initiative, subject to the notice and hearing requirements of part 222 of this chapter.

(b) Special permits.—The dumping of material requiring an EPA permit under the act, and not covered by a general permit published in the Federal Register under paragraph (a) of this section will require a special permit issued to a specified applicant, having a fixed expiration date (which shall be no later than 1 year from the date of issue), and specifying the exact amount of material permitted to be dumped thereunder. Special permits will be granted only on application in accordance with the requirements of part 222 of this chapter.

§ 220.4 Delegation of authority.

(a) Regional Administrators.—Regional administrators have the authority to issue, deny, and to impose conditions on, special permits for:

1. The dumping of material in that portion of the territorial sea which is subject to the jurisdiction of any State within their respective regions;

2. The transportation for dumping of any material from a location in a State in their respective regions, except to the extent a different regional administrator has such authority by virtue of paragraph (a) (1) of this section.

(b) Other.—In all cases not described in paragraph (a) of this section the Administrator, or such other EPA employee as he may from time to time designate in writing, shall issue, deny or impose conditions on special and general permits issued pursuant to the Act.

PART 221—APPLICATIONS

Sec.
221.1 Application forms for special permits.
221.2 Other information.
221.3 Applicants.
221.4 Adequacy of information.
221.5 Processing fees.


§ 221.1 Application forms for special permits.

Applications for EPA special permits under the Act shall be filed with the Administrator or the Regional Administrator, if any, authorized by § 220.4(a) of this chapter to act on the application. Unless and until printed application forms are made available, an application may be made by letter. Any application for a permit under this subchapter will include at a minimum:

(a) Name and address of applicant;
(b) Name of the person or firm (if not the applicant), and the name and usual location of the conveyance, to be used in the transportation and dumping of the material involved;
(c) Physical and chemical description of material to be dumped, including results of tests necessary to meet the requirements of part 227 of this chapter;
(d) Quantity of material to be dumped;
(e) Means of conveyance and anticipated time of disposal;
(f) Proposed dump site; and in the event such proposed dumping site is not a designated dumping site designated in appendix A of this subchapter, detailed physical information on the nature of the proposed dump site;
(g) Proposed method of disposal at the dump site;
(h) Identification of the specific process or activity giving rise to the production of the material;
(i) Information on the manner in which the type of material in question has been previously disposed of by or on behalf of the applicant;
(j) A description of available alternative means of disposal of the material, with explanations of why each of such alternatives is thought by the applicant to be inappropriate.

§ 221.2 Other information.

In the event the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or a person designated by either to review special permit applications, determines that additional information is needed in order to apply the criteria set forth in part 227 of this chapter, he shall so advise the applicant in writing. For purposes of applying the time limitation of § 221.1 of this chapter, an application will not be considered complete until all additional information requested in this section is received, and all such information shall be deemed part of the application.

§ 221.3 Applicant.

Any person may apply for a special permit under this part, even though the proposed dumping may be carried on by a permittee who is not the applicant. However, issuance of a permit will not excuse the permittee from any civil or criminal liability which may attach by virtue of his having transported or dumped materials in violation of the terms or conditions of a permit, notwithstanding that the permittee may not have been the applicant.

§ 221.4 Adequacy of information.

No special permit issued under this part will be valid for the transportation or dumping of any material which is not accurately and fully described in the application. No permittee shall be relieved of any liability which may arise as a result of the transportation or dumping of material which does not conform to information provided in the application solely by virtue of the fact that such information was furnished by an applicant other than the permittee.

§ 221.5 Processing fees.

(a) A processing fee of $500 will be charged in connection with each application for a special permit for dumping in an existing dump site designated in appendix A of this subchapter.

(b) A processing fee of $1,000 will be charged in connection with each application for a special permit involving the use of a dump site other than a designated dump site.

(c) A processing fee of $300 will be charged in connection with each application for renewal of a special permit.

(d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, no agency or instrumentality of the United States or of a State or local government will be required to pay the processing fees specified in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section.

PART 222—ACTIONS ON APPLICATIONS

Sec.
222.1 General.
222.2 Tentative determinations.
222.3 Notice of applications.
222.4 Issuance of permits without hearing.
222.5 Notice of applications.
222.6 Notice of hearings.
222.7 Notice of hearings.
222.8 Conduct of hearings.
222.9 Recommendations of presiding officer.
222.10 Issuance of permits after hearings.


§ 222.1 General.

Decisions as to the issuance, denial, or imposition of conditions on a permit issued by EPA pursuant to this part will be made in the light of the factors set forth in section 102(a) of the Act and after issuance of criteria pursuant there to, in the light of such criteria. In all cases, final action on any application for a special permit, or renewal thereof, will be taken by EPA within 180 days from:

(a) The date the application is filed, or;
(b) In the event the application is deficient, from the date on which the applicant provides all requisite information.

Provided, that if a hearing is convened pursuant to part 222 of this chapter, such 180-day limit to grant a permit will be extended by the time required for such hearing.

§ 222.2 Tentative determinations.

Within 10 days after receipt of a completed permit application, EPA shall prepare in writing a tentative determination with respect to issuance or denial of the permit applied for. If such tentative determination is to issue the permit, the following additional tentative determinations will be made:

(a) Proposed time limitations, if any;
(b) Proposed dumping site; and
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(e) A brief description of any other proposed special conditions determined to be appropriate for inclusion in the permit in question.

§ 222.2a Interim time limits.

(a) Proposed time limitations, if any; standing the time periods established by this subchapter, including without limitation those set forth in §§ 222.3(d), 222.4(a), 222.4(b), 222.5, and 222.6, the special rules of paragraph (b) of this section shall apply to the processing and decision of any application which is submitted under part 221, which is (1) received by EPA prior to May 23, 1973, and which is (2) for a permit to dump prior to July 23, 1973.

(b) Special rules.—Any application described in paragraph (a) of this section may, at the discretion of the Administrator, a regional administrator or their designees, be processed within special time limits shorter than those established by this subchapter: Provided, That notice of any such special time limit shall be included in any notice to the public of any Federal or State agency, including without limitation notices given pursuant to §§ 222.3(a), 222.3(c), and 222.3(d).

§ 222.3 Notice of applications.

(a) Contents.—Public notice of every complete permit application received shall be circulated to inform the public of the proposed dumping. Each such public notice shall include at least the following:

(1) A summary of the information included in the permit application;

(2) Any tentative determinations made pursuant to § 222.2;

(3) A brief description of the procedures set forth in § 222.3 for requesting a public hearing on the proposed dumping; and

(4) The location at which interested persons may obtain further information on the proposed dumping, including copies of the documents.

(b) Publication.—(1) Notice given pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section shall be circulated within the geographical area of any port from which material is proposed to be transported for dumping in the territorial sea, as follows:

(i) Published in at least one daily newspaper, or, if there is none, in a newspaper of general circulation in such port;

(ii) Posted in the post office in such port.

(2) Notice shall be mailed to any person, group, or State or Federal agency upon request. Any such request may be a standing request for notice of all permit applications received by EPA, or of any class of such permit applications.

(c) Notice to States.—In addition to the public notice required by paragraph (a) of this section, notice of each application for dumping, including all the material required to be included in a public notice, will be mailed to the State water pollution control agency for the State, if any, contiguous to that portion of the territorial sea, if any, in which proposed dumping will occur. Notice under this subsection, and certification under section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, are not required in connection with applications for dumping permitted under the special rules of paragraph (b) of this section.

(d) Notice to Corps of Engineers.—In addition to other notice required by this section, notice of each application for dumping will be forwarded to the appropriate officer of the Corps of Engineers for review. In accordance with section 106(c) of the Act (pertaining to navigation, harbor approaches, and artificial islands on the Outer Continental Shelf), unless advice to the contrary is received within 30 days of the date such notice is transmitted to the Corps by the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees, the Corps of Engineers will be deemed to have no objection on account of matters required to be considered pursuant to section 106(c) of the Act.

(e) Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.—The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1970, and Public Law 92-532 require Regional Administrators to consult with appropriate regional representatives of the Department of Commerce and Interior, the Regional Director of the NMFS-NOAA, the agency exercising administrative jurisdiction over the fish and wildlife resources of the State subject to any dumping.

§ 222.4 Issuance of permits without hearing.

(a) General.—Subject to the receipt of certification, if required, pursuant to section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, from any State to which notice has been sent pursuant to § 222.3(c), the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees, may issue permits in accordance with § 222.1, as soon as all provisions of § 222.3(a) (pertaining to public notice) have been complied with, unless a request for a public hearing has been granted pursuant to 222.5(b), or unless objection is received from the Corps of Engineers pursuant to § 222.3(d).

(b) Waiver of State certification.—State certification pursuant to section 401 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act will be deemed waived, in accordance with the terms thereof, if such certification is not received within 60 days of notice to the proper State agency under § 222.3(c), or such longer period to which the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees, may agree.

§ 222.5 Initiation of hearings.

(a) Any person may, within 30 days of the date on which all provisions of § 222.3(b) have been complied with, request a public hearing to consider the issuance of any permit applied for under this part. Any such request for a public hearing must be in writing, and must be made in writing; with the notice of the proposed permit, and the issues which are proposed to be considered at the hearing.

(b) Upon receipt of a written request meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator, regional administrator, or a designee of either, will fix a time and place for a public hearing and shall publish notice of such hearing in accordance with § 222.7 whenever such request presents bona fide issues amenable to resolution by public hearing.

In the event the Administrator, regional administrator, or a designee of either, determines that a request purportedly made pursuant to this section does not comply with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, he shall so advise, in writing, the person requesting the hearing, and shall proceed to rule on the permit application in accordance with § 222.4(a).

§ 222.6 Time and place of hearings.

When the Administrator or Regional administrator grants a request for a public hearing pursuant to § 222.5(b), he shall designate an appropriate location for such hearings, and an appropriate time which shall be no sooner than 30 days after receiving the request for such hearing. Where possible, public hearings shall be held in a location in the State, if any, to which notice of the permit application was given pursuant to § 222.3(c).

§ 222.7 Notice of hearings.

Notice of public hearings, including information as to their time and place, shall be given, at a minimum, to persons to whom, and in the manner in which, notice of the permit application was published pursuant to § 222.3.

§ 222.8 Conduct of hearings.

The Administrator or regional administrator may designate a presiding officer to conduct a hearing convened pursuant to § 222.8 of this subchapter: Provided, That the presiding officer shall be responsible for the expeditious conduct of the hearing, and that a cause of sufficient importance may be made by the presiding officer to suspend the proceedings, and an appropriate record (including, if appropriate, a verbatim transcript) of the proceedings to be made. Any person may appear at a hearing convened pursuant to this part whether or not he is requested to appear, and may be represented by counsel or any other authorized representative. The presiding officer is authorized to set forth reasonable restrictions on the nature or amount of documentary material or testimony presented at a hearing, giving due regard to the relevancy of any such information, and to the avoidance of undue repetitiveness of information presented. No cross-examination of any person, including the applicant, appearing at a hearing shall be permitted, although the presiding officer may, in his discretion, address persons or their authorized representatives questions submitted in writing by participants at a hearing.

§ 222.9 Recommendations of presiding officer.

At any time following the adjournment of a public hearing convened pursuant to this part, the presiding officer may prepare written recommendations relating to the issuance or denial of...
the proposed permit, or relating to any conditions which he believes may appropriately be imposed on any such permit, after full consideration of the views and arguments expressed at the hearing: Provided, That the presiding officer’s findings and recommendations, if any, and the record of the hearing, will in all cases be completed and forwarded to the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designated representatives within 30 days following adjournment of the hearing. Copies of the presiding officer’s findings and recommendations, if any, shall be provided to any interested person on request free of charge. Copies of the record will be provided in accordance with §2.111 of this chapter.

§ 222.10 Issuance of permits after hearings.

Within 30 days following receipt of the presiding officer’s findings and recommendations, if any, but in no event later than 180 days from the time limit specified in §222.1, the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees, shall make a final determination with respect to the issuance, denial, or recommen- dations, if any, but in no event to any interested person on request, the Administrator or Regional Administrator, or their designated representatives, shall be provided with respect to the issuance, denial, or imposition of conditions on, any permit applied for under this paragraph.

PART 223—CONTENTS OF PERMITS

Sec. 223.1 Contents of permits.

Contents of permits.

PART 224—RECORDS

Sec. 224.1 Records of permittees.

PART 225—CORPS OF ENGINEERS PERMITS

Sec. 225.1 General.

As indicated in §220.1 of this chapter, the Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, has the authority to issue permits for the transportation and dumping of dredged material. As defined in the Act, “dredged material” means “any material excavated or dredged from the navigable waters of the United States.” EPA personnel will not act initially on any application received for the transportation or dumping of dredged material, but will forthwith forward any such application to the appropriate office of the corps, which will, in acting on any such application, apply the criteria in part 227 of this chapter.

§ 225.2 Review of corps permit applications.

Within 15 days following receipt of notification, pursuant to section 103(c) of the Act, the Administrator, regional administrator or the designee of either, terms and conditions of a special or general permit;

(a) Information relevant to the assessment of the impact of permitted dumping activities on the marine environment.

(b) Periodic reports.—Information included in records required to be kept pursuant to §224.1 shall be reported to the EPA official who issued the permit in question, as follows:
(1) As of the end of each 6-month period, if any, measured from the effective date of the permit and ending before its expiration;

(2) As of the expiration of the permit, unless renewed; and

(3) As otherwise required in the conditions of the permit.

(b) Time of reporting.—Reports required by this section must be received by EPA within 30 days of the date at which the information is required to be reported: Provided, That if an application for renewal of a special permit is pending at such time, the report required by paragraph (a) (2) of this section may be deferred until 30 days after the date of a denial of the renewal application.

(c) Emergencies.—If material the dumping of which is regulated under this subchapter is dumped, without a permit, in an emergency to safeguard life at sea, the owner or operator of the vessel from which such dumping occurs shall within 30 days report to the Administrator the information required under §224.1, and a complete description of the emergency which occasioned the dumping.

Annex:

Title 1, Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, Public Law 92-532.
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224.1 Records of permittees.

Each permittee under a special permit, and each person availing himself of the privilege conferred by a general permit, shall maintain complete records, which will be available for inspection by the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees, of:
(1) The nature, including a complete description of relevant physical and chemical characteristics, of material dumped pursuant to the permit;

(2) The precise times and location of dumping;

(3) Any other information reasonably required as a condition of a permit by the Administrator, Regional Administrator, or their designees for the purpose of determining:

(1) Whether dumping has in fact been accomplished in accordance with all

1Part 227 of this chapter to be published at a later date.
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will notify in writing the corps of his disagreement, if any, to the issuance of the permit in question, on the grounds that it would not be in accordance with the criteria of part 227 of this chapter, or would violate section 102(c) of the Act (pertaining to critical areas).

§ 225.3 Waivers.

If, after notice of disagreement is given the corps pursuant to §225.2, a request for a waiver is received pursuant to section 103(d) of the Act, such request will be forwarded to the Administrator; Provided, That if any such request does not include the finding required by section 103(d) of the Act as to economically feasible methods of disposal, and the basis for such finding, the request will be denied. The Administrator will act on the request for a waiver, in accordance with section 103(d) of the Act, within 30 days of receipt thereof by EPA.

PART 226—ENFORCEMENT

Sec.

226.1 Civil penalties.

In addition to the criminal penalties provided for in section 105(b) of the act, the Administrator or his designee may assess a civil penalty of not more than $50,000 for each violation of the act and of this subchapter. Upon receipt of information that any person has violated any provision of the act or of this subchapter, the Administrator or his designee will notify such person in writing of the violation with which he is charged, and will convene a hearing to be convened no sooner than 60 days of such notice, at a convenient location, before a hearing officer. Such hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures of § 226.2.

§ 226.2 Enforcement hearings.

Hearings convened pursuant to § 226.1 shall be trial-type hearings on a record before a hearing officer. Parties may be represented by counsel, and will have the right to submit motions, to present evidence in their own behalf, to cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be apprised of all evidence considered by the hearing officer, and to receive copies of the transcript of the proceedings. Formal rules of evidence will not apply. The hearing officer will rule on all evidentiary matters, and on all motions, which will be subject to review pursuant to § 226.3.

§ 226.3 Determinations.

Within 30 days following adjournment of the hearing, the hearing officer will in all cases make findings of facts and recommendations to the Administrator, including, when appropriate, a recommended appropriate penalty, after consideration of the gravity of the violation, prior violations by the person charged, and the demonstrated good faith by such person in attempting to achieve rapid compliance with the provisions of the act and this subchapter. A copy of the findings and recommendations of the hearing officer shall be provided to the person charged at the same time they are forwarded to the Administrator. Within 30 days of the date on which the hearing officer's findings and recommendations are forwarded to the Administrator, any party objecting thereto may file written exceptions with the Administrator.

§ 226.4 Final action.

A final order on a proceeding under this part will be issued by the Administrator or by such other person designated by the Administrator to take such final action, no sooner than 30 days following receipt of the findings and recommendations of the hearing officer. A copy of the final order will be served by registered mail (return receipt requested) on the person charged or his representative. In the event the final order assesses a penalty, it shall be payable within 60 days of the date of receipt of the final order, unless judicial review of the final order is sought by the person against whom the penalty is assessed.
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