

MARRIAGE PROBLEM SOLVED.
 LOVE no longer a factor. A society that guarantees its members shall wed happily and never foolishly.
 In Next...
 Sunday's Journal

NEW YORK JOURNAL AND ADVERTISER.

LOOK OUT FOR THE NEW WORLD
 ARRETT P. SERVIS, the famous astronomer, describes the birth of a new earth
 In Next...
 Sunday's Journal

NO. 5,412.

Copyright, 1897, by W. R. Hearst.—NEW YORK, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1897.—14 PAGES.

PRICE ONE CENT In Greater New York and Jersey City. Elsewhere, TWO CENTS.


MYSTERY IN THE WILLARD FAILURE.

Big Brokerage Firm Went Down for Over \$1,000,000 Yesterday.

ACTIVE PARTNERS MISSING. Creditors Believe Assets Have Been Shipped to Europe or New Jersey.



J. L. STARBUCK.



THE OFFICES AT 55 BROADWAY

The failure of J. R. Willard & Co., brokers, at No. 55 Broadway, started Wall street yesterday. The amount involved is from one to three million dollars. James L. Starbuck, a confidential clerk of the firm, was made assignee, with a \$200,000 preference to William H. Oosterhout. An immense crowd of creditors thronged the wide corridors and reception rooms of the big offices. Clicking telegraph instruments and banging telephones, with a recently discharged clerk sobbing in a corner, accused of having betrayed the firm's insolvency to the police, added to the strange pleturesqueness of the scene. Twenty-five newspaper reporters besieged the private office in search of information which for hours was refused.

The failure has been foretold for weeks. The exact status of the firm's affairs is clouded in all kinds of unpleasant mystery and uncertainty, and the absence of the members gives an unpleasant color to rumors which were repeated broadcast in the street yesterday.

One member, Jay Dwigliss, is on the ocean, said to be on his way to New York. A rumor in the Street is that he is really sailing the other way, and that large jumps of the firm's assets are also thither bound. Whatever of inside history the investigation brings to light, it is certain that the fall of the concern will involve a multitude of investors, great and small, in utter ruin. Many of them were clamoring about the doors yesterday.

A Chicago firm, 180

The firm really consisted of Elmer and Jay Dwigliss, who organized it in Chicago, September 7, 1895. It had offices at No. 1227 Broadway, this city, No. 1031 Chestnut street, Philadelphia, No. 1343 F street, N. W., Washington, D. C., No. 388 Elliott square building, Buffalo, and No. 3719 Notre Dame street, Montreal.

The business was established in this city in October, 1895, as a branch of their Chicago business, and up to April of this year, Chicago had claimed as the principal office, but it was then announced that they had sold out the Chicago business to John M. Dickinson & Co. The firm advertised extensively, traded in stocks, grain, cotton and oil, and were supposed to carry most of their customers' orders. They had no rating at Bradstreet's. In April last they made a statement claiming to have assets \$14,260, and liabilities \$100,000, and that the net profits of the New York office from the start to March last were \$245,000, which was far in excess of what old established houses could make.

A dispatch received from Chicago last night says:

"I do not think I am responsible in any way for the liabilities of the firm," said Mr. Willard this evening. "I was only nominally connected with the house up to September 7, but after that time my relations ceased. There was really no such matter as J. R. Willard & Co. after Tuesday. I have contracts to show that the firm went out of existence on that date. It was the agreement when I allowed these people to use my name two years ago that September 7, 1897, my name would be abandoned. In spite of their evident desire to hang on to it, even for a little while, their right cannot be shown. The Dwigliss boys are pretty clever youngsters. They left Chicago after making a comfortable life in real estate deals, and made the old lights of the New York Exchange look like children. As a partner Elmer Dwigliss led them and as far as his successes were simply uninterrupted. Bradstreet's and Dun's which are supposed to give the smallest margin quoted the profits of J. R. Willard & Co. at \$215,000 in eighteen months.

Willard's Grave Charges.

"I cannot attempt to explain this unusual proceeding other than that it is a coup to avoid financial troubles over using the name of J. R. Willard & Co. These Dwigliss are

SPAIN'S SPY A NAVAL ATTACHE.

Sebral, De Lome's Naval Attache, Inspected United States Forts.

MADE A FORMAL REPORT. The Journal Gave the Information the War Department Was So Anxiously Seeking.

DETECTED AT CHARLESTON, S. C. Captain Abbott, in Charge of the Government Works, Names the Spanish Attache as the Secret Visitor to Sullivan's Island.

Washington, Sept. 9.—The Journal has given to the War Department the name of the Spanish emissary who has been inspecting the fortifications along the Atlantic seaboard.

The secret agent is Lieutenant S. C. Sebral, naval attache of the Spanish Legation at Washington.

In a report from Captain F. V. Abbott, in charge of the Government work at Charleston, S. C., Lieutenant Sebral is named as the man who has been engaged in this unsavory work.

Lieutenant Sebral is not a member of the Diplomatic Corps, and is not, therefore, subject to a demand for recall, unless it can be shown that he has violated some statute respecting the safeguarding of our fortifications.

It is to show that the naval attache has actually been guilty of this breach of etiquette that the Government is investigating Sebral's recent movements.

First News from the Journal.

A special dispatch from Charleston published in the Journal on August 27 gave the first public intimation of the visit there of a Spanish attache. The story was told of his presence there without making his identity known and of his slipping over to Sullivan's island to look at the guns that guard the entrance to Charleston Harbor. He is also supposed to have peeped into Fort Sumpter, but the government has not been able to prove that yet.

Of his secret visit to Sullivan's Island there is no question, and Captain Abbott has positive proof of it. The Government was much exercised over this hostile inspection, and the Adjutant-General has kept the wires hot with messages to Captain Abbott urging upon him the importance of discovering the identity of the man.

Captain Abbott made little progress in this direction until the Journal's correspondent gave him the results of his investigation. This established the identity of the secret agent and on the information Captain Abbott wired the War Department that the man they were looking for was Lieutenant Sebral, a Spanish attache, complete, and will leave the Spanish no loophole of escape. He may, however, attempt to prove that his inspection and his presence in Charleston and at Sullivan's island, which had been very generously given, have been restricted.

Nowadays as much pains are taken to keep foreign military men out of our forts as was formerly taken to show them around. The War Department has realized that such courtesies may be paid for too dearly in the end.

If Sebral only looked around and did not slip the guards inside the lines he may be safe.

To-night Captain Abbott has men at work to see if it cannot be shown that the agent did go inside. Persons are not allowed in the fortifications without their names, and the register does not bear the name of J. G. Sobral, Washington, D. C., Captain Abbott is unable to say which of the fortifications he visited. The evidence will hinge on the trip to Sullivan's Island.

After leaving Charleston Spanish Attache Sebral went to Florida, where he was to continue his work. He intimated to an ally while in this city that he had been looking at the defenses along the coast. He is here, and that he had still more work of the kind to do down South.

Sebral is a man of a more ornamental and bungling manner. As a result, he had been in the city only a few hours when a telephone message was sent to Captain Abbott regarding his presence. Men were sent to the island, but they were unable to locate the suspicious visitor.

Captain Abbott admitted to-night that he had furnished the information to the Journal for the information that he has been so anxious to get for weeks.

The War Department displayed the utmost anxiety to identify the fellow. According to some of those who saw him, Sebral's inspection was minute. He is said to have made elaborate notes, and probably through his efforts Spain is in possession of plans of some of our fortifications that should be of incalculable value to her in the event of the Cuban complications leading to war between the two countries.

Part of the result of the investigation of Sebral's movements will not reach the War Department to-day. The Department is anxiously awaiting it, as on the completeness of the report will depend the proceedings to be taken in regard to him.

It may be that the Government at Washington may take a leaf out of Weyler's book and do some expelling on their own account.

It will probably interest the United States Government to know that Lieutenant Sebral and Lieutenant Carrio, of the Havana Volunteers, and honorary Adjutants to Captain-General Yaguez, spent four days last month around the forts and the Government dry dock at Port Royal, S. C., and later looked over the sand batteries at Fernandina, Fla.

FORTUNE IN HIS MOTHER'S BIBLE.

Willed to Him by a Fond Maiden Aunt in Manchester, England.

SAID TO EXCEED \$100,000. Frederick W. Whitehead Proved His Identity by His Mother's Bible.

HAD TREASURED IT FOR YEARS. He Refuses to Discuss His Good Luck, Saying Indignantly the Story Is Exaggerated Grossly.

Frederick W. Whitehead, porter in Bennett's tea store, No. 8 Fulton street, has fallen heir, according to rumor, to a fortune in England.

The amount of the inheritance is said to



AGATHA HAAG

OUR NEWEST SCOURGE IS OF MASKED BURGLARS.

Stony Hill, N. J.—James C. Pitts, killed, and Mary Davis will probably die.

Tarrytown—Mrs. Mary Crowley, bent on the head.

Westport, Conn.—Mrs. O'Brien, almost killed; husband tried to commit suicide.

At Mount Vernon, a masked burglar beat Miss Agatha Haag into insensibility and fled. A man is arrested under suspicion.

At Rochester masked burglars beat Mr. and Mrs. George Smith and shot Mrs. Smith in the head. They stole \$1,300.



G. BURGESS, THE SUSPECT

Principals and Scenes in the Mount Vernon Masked Burglar Mystery.

Washington, Sept. 9.—The Journal has given to the War Department the name of the Spanish emissary who has been inspecting the fortifications along the Atlantic seaboard.

The secret agent is Lieutenant S. C. Sebral, naval attache of the Spanish Legation at Washington.

In a report from Captain F. V. Abbott, in charge of the Government work at Charleston, S. C., Lieutenant Sebral is named as the man who has been engaged in this unsavory work.

Lieutenant Sebral is not a member of the Diplomatic Corps, and is not, therefore, subject to a demand for recall, unless it can be shown that he has violated some statute respecting the safeguarding of our fortifications.

It is to show that the naval attache has actually been guilty of this breach of etiquette that the Government is investigating Sebral's recent movements.

First News from the Journal.

A special dispatch from Charleston published in the Journal on August 27 gave the first public intimation of the visit there of a Spanish attache. The story was told of his presence there without making his identity known and of his slipping over to Sullivan's island to look at the guns that guard the entrance to Charleston Harbor. He is also supposed to have peeped into Fort Sumpter, but the government has not been able to prove that yet.

Of his secret visit to Sullivan's Island there is no question, and Captain Abbott has positive proof of it. The Government was much exercised over this hostile inspection, and the Adjutant-General has kept the wires hot with messages to Captain Abbott urging upon him the importance of discovering the identity of the man.

Captain Abbott made little progress in this direction until the Journal's correspondent gave him the results of his investigation. This established the identity of the secret agent and on the information Captain Abbott wired the War Department that the man they were looking for was Lieutenant Sebral, a Spanish attache, complete, and will leave the Spanish no loophole of escape. He may, however, attempt to prove that his inspection and his presence in Charleston and at Sullivan's island, which had been very generously given, have been restricted.

Nowadays as much pains are taken to keep foreign military men out of our forts as was formerly taken to show them around. The War Department has realized that such courtesies may be paid for too dearly in the end.

If Sebral only looked around and did not slip the guards inside the lines he may be safe.

To-night Captain Abbott has men at work to see if it cannot be shown that the agent did go inside. Persons are not allowed in the fortifications without their names, and the register does not bear the name of J. G. Sobral, Washington, D. C., Captain Abbott is unable to say which of the fortifications he visited. The evidence will hinge on the trip to Sullivan's Island.

After leaving Charleston Spanish Attache Sebral went to Florida, where he was to continue his work. He intimated to an ally while in this city that he had been looking at the defenses along the coast. He is here, and that he had still more work of the kind to do down South.

Sebral is a man of a more ornamental and bungling manner. As a result, he had been in the city only a few hours when a telephone message was sent to Captain Abbott regarding his presence. Men were sent to the island, but they were unable to locate the suspicious visitor.

Captain Abbott admitted to-night that he had furnished the information to the Journal for the information that he has been so anxious to get for weeks.

The War Department displayed the utmost anxiety to identify the fellow. According to some of those who saw him, Sebral's inspection was minute. He is said to have made elaborate notes, and probably through his efforts Spain is in possession of plans of some of our fortifications that should be of incalculable value to her in the event of the Cuban complications leading to war between the two countries.

Part of the result of the investigation of Sebral's movements will not reach the War Department to-day. The Department is anxiously awaiting it, as on the completeness of the report will depend the proceedings to be taken in regard to him.

It may be that the Government at Washington may take a leaf out of Weyler's book and do some expelling on their own account.

It will probably interest the United States Government to know that Lieutenant Sebral and Lieutenant Carrio, of the Havana Volunteers, and honorary Adjutants to Captain-General Yaguez, spent four days last month around the forts and the Government dry dock at Port Royal, S. C., and later looked over the sand batteries at Fernandina, Fla.

AGATHA HAAG

Principals and Scenes in the Mount Vernon Masked Burglar Mystery.

The failure of J. R. Willard & Co., brokers, at No. 55 Broadway, started Wall street yesterday. The amount involved is from one to three million dollars. James L. Starbuck, a confidential clerk of the firm, was made assignee, with a \$200,000 preference to William H. Oosterhout. An immense crowd of creditors thronged the wide corridors and reception rooms of the big offices. Clicking telegraph instruments and banging telephones, with a recently discharged clerk sobbing in a corner, accused of having betrayed the firm's insolvency to the police, added to the strange pleturesqueness of the scene. Twenty-five newspaper reporters besieged the private office in search of information which for hours was refused.

The failure has been foretold for weeks. The exact status of the firm's affairs is clouded in all kinds of unpleasant mystery and uncertainty, and the absence of the members gives an unpleasant color to rumors which were repeated broadcast in the street yesterday.

One member, Jay Dwigliss, is on the ocean, said to be on his way to New York. A rumor in the Street is that he is really sailing the other way, and that large jumps of the firm's assets are also thither bound. Whatever of inside history the investigation brings to light, it is certain that the fall of the concern will involve a multitude of investors, great and small, in utter ruin. Many of them were clamoring about the doors yesterday.

A Chicago firm, 180

The firm really consisted of Elmer and Jay Dwigliss, who organized it in Chicago, September 7, 1895. It had offices at No. 1227 Broadway, this city, No. 1031 Chestnut street, Philadelphia, No. 1343 F street, N. W., Washington, D. C., No. 388 Elliott square building, Buffalo, and No. 3719 Notre Dame street, Montreal.

The business was established in this city in October, 1895, as a branch of their Chicago business, and up to April of this year, Chicago had claimed as the principal office, but it was then announced that they had sold out the Chicago business to John M. Dickinson & Co. The firm advertised extensively, traded in stocks, grain, cotton and oil, and were supposed to carry most of their customers' orders. They had no rating at Bradstreet's. In April last they made a statement claiming to have assets \$14,260, and liabilities \$100,000, and that the net profits of the New York office from the start to March last were \$245,000, which was far in excess of what old established houses could make.

A dispatch received from Chicago last night says:

"I do not think I am responsible in any way for the liabilities of the firm," said Mr. Willard this evening. "I was only nominally connected with the house up to September 7, but after that time my relations ceased. There was really no such matter as J. R. Willard & Co. after Tuesday. I have contracts to show that the firm went out of existence on that date. It was the agreement when I allowed these people to use my name two years ago that September 7, 1897, my name would be abandoned. In spite of their evident desire to hang on to it, even for a little while, their right cannot be shown. The Dwigliss boys are pretty clever youngsters. They left Chicago after making a comfortable life in real estate deals, and made the old lights of the New York Exchange look like children. As a partner Elmer Dwigliss led them and as far as his successes were simply uninterrupted. Bradstreet's and Dun's which are supposed to give the smallest margin quoted the profits of J. R. Willard & Co. at \$215,000 in eighteen months.

Willard's Grave Charges.

"I cannot attempt to explain this unusual proceeding other than that it is a coup to avoid financial troubles over using the name of J. R. Willard & Co. These Dwigliss are

AGATHA HAAG

Principals and Scenes in the Mount Vernon Masked Burglar Mystery.

far from being paupers. They have plenty of money and could carry on their business without any apparent chance for failure. I am quite certain that this fellow Starbuck worked in the New York house. He had a number there, too. Starbuck was simply an agent to close up this grand coup. Every attempt was made to get me to accede to having my name used beyond September 7, but I was not to be taken in by these clever money sharks. My lawyer advised me to be cautious and I wired back that I would not permit them to use my name longer than a second after the specified time. The New York exchanges were also notified by me, and the right to trade would have been denied the Dwigliss had they attempted an entry after September 7, the time specified in the contract.

When the firm was organized in Chicago the understanding was that Mr. Willard was to have no active interest in its affairs. He was to be a consideration for the privilege of using his name for two years, and his dues as member of the Chicago Board of Trade, the New York Consolidated Stock Exchange and the New York Produce Exchange. The Chicago office was opened in the Farmers' Building, and a few days later the Eastern branch started operations. Mr. Willard acted in the capacity of manager of the Chicago house. Soon after the big firm came into it he was suspended from the Chicago Board of Trade on the charge that the Dwigliss brothers, with whom he was connected, were running a bucket shop.

The two years during which, under the firm's articles, the Dwigliss were to use the name of Willard's name, have elapsed to a day, and the firm has failed by the clock.

Big Accounts Withdrawn.

Since the failure of E. B. Cuthbert & Co., on August 31, many of the customers of J. R. Willard & Co. have been uneasy, and demanded their money. Some large accounts were withdrawn, and the stories of the firm's impending downfall were so widespread in the Street that creditors who succeeded in squaring their accounts have been glad.

That Mr. Willard himself knew what was to happen as soon as the date of the partnership's expiration arrived is shown by the fact that yesterday morning he went to the superintendent of the Produce Exchange a letter postmarked "Chicago, Sept. 7, 1897, p. m." It contained the following notice on letter paper, headed "John Dickinson & Co. It reads:

"Chicago, Sept. 7, 1897.

"To the Secretary of the Consolidated Petroleum and Stock Exchange:

"Dear Sir—Please take notice that the firm of J. R. Willard & Co. is no longer in existence. Very truly yours,

"J. R. WILLARD."

One of the first stories circulated in Wall Street yesterday after the news of the failure was made known by a notice on the floor, was that the failure had been caused by the dishonesty of an employe. A reporter who inquired for the cashier met a young man who called himself Valentin Sebra, and who said that he was the cashier and that he had not absconded with any funds.

He gave the firm a good record, but did not know the whereabouts of anybody or the names of any of the employes. Ten minutes later when the reporter discovered that he was not the cashier, he returned to hear him explain it. He denied every saying that he was the cashier. "If any man says that I did say so he's a liar," he said.

J. L. Starbuck, who is named as assignee, has Starbuck as head bookkeeper in the concern. He at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter:

Causes of Failure.

"We have failed because men in whom we had confidence did not make good their margins; we trusted them, dealt heavily on their orders and were left to whittle for the money the floor was at last he consented to be seen, he said to a Journal reporter: